Review for “The probabilistic skill of Extended-Range Heat wave forecasts over Europe”, by Korhonen et al.

**General comments:**

I acknowledge the efforts of the authors to tackle all the issues raised by the reviewers, and improve the manuscript accordingly.
I still find the lengthy discussion part in lines 411-424 quite odd. In my view, it would better fit the introduction section, since it does not discuss the results found but rather explains the motivation to carry out this study. However, I would not make a strong case for another round of revision, and I suggest the paper be accepted for publication.
I am listing below a few typos found in the revised manuscript.

**Typos:**
L. 280: typo “all the hindcasts”
Fig.4 : typo in the title of the second column: “longest”
L.349: typo “if the forecasts were perfectly..”