Regression analysis of flood risk perception

Table S1

Regression analysis in gender group.

Variable	Standardized coefficient	
Variable	Males	Females
Flood risk knowledge	0.815***	0.841***
Flood risk worry	0.087**	0.043*
Government trust	0.105**	0.090***
Flood disaster education	0.062*	0.042
Flood experience	-0.015	0.027
\mathbb{R}^2	0.768	0.812
Adjusted R ²	0.764	0.810
F	209.864	352.248

*** P < 0.001, ** P < 0.01, * P < 0.05

Table S2

Regression analysis in age group.

Variable	Standardized coefficient	
vallable	Elder	Non-elder (young and middle-aged)
Flood risk knowledge	0.828***	0.823***
Flood risk worry	0.128**	0.059**
Government trust	0.060	0.101***
Flood disaster education	0.042	0.056**
Flood experience	0.028	0.007
\mathbb{R}^2	0.780	0.792
Adjusted R ²	0.767	0.790
F	58.303	488.224

Table S3

Regression analysis in group of education level.

Variable	Standardized coefficient	
variable	High education level	Low education level
Flood risk knowledge	0.817***	0.831***
Flood risk worry	0.041	0.109***
Government trust	0.124***	0.054
Flood disaster education	0.025	0.093***
Flood experience	0.013	-0.008
\mathbb{R}^2	0.807	0.778
Adjusted R ²	0.804	0.775
F	346.002	217.902

Table S4

.

Variable	Standardized coefficient		
у апаріе	Long living time	Short living time	
Flood risk knowledge	0.829***	0.734***	
Flood risk worry	0.051*	0.111	
Government trust	0.064*	0.187**	
Flood disaster education	0.083**	0.042	
Flood experience	0.018	-0.012	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.767	0.801	
Adjusted R ²	0.764	0.782	
F	245.078	41.063	

Regression analysis in group of living time.

Table S5

.

Regression analysis in group of health condition.

Variable	Standardized coefficient	
v allable	Good health condition	Bad health condition
Flood risk knowledge	0.821***	0.824***
Flood risk worry	0.059***	0.228
Government trust	0.107***	-0.099
Flood disaster education	0.046*	0.373
Flood experience	0.017	0.082
\mathbb{R}^2	0.788	0.801
Adjusted R ²	0.787	0.702
F	458.429	8.066

Table S6

Regression analysis in group of exercising situation.

Variable	Standardized coefficient		
variable	Regular exercising	Not exercising	
Flood risk knowledge	0.833***	0.817***	
Flood risk worry	0.076***	0.056*	
Government trust	0.097***	0.091***	
Flood disaster education	0.026	0.090***	
Flood experience	0.024	-0.009	
R^2	0.792	0.793	
Adjusted R ²	0.789	0.790	
F	337.860	214.957	

Table S7

Regression analysis in group of life style.

Variable	Standardized coefficient		
	Smoking	Not smoking	

Flood risk knowledge	0.815***	0.831***
Flood risk worry	0.093*	0.063***
Government trust	0.118***	0.086***
Flood disaster education	0.111***	0.041*
Flood experience	-0.015	0.009
\mathbb{R}^2	0.815	0.784
Adjusted R ²	0.808	0.782
F	116.896	429.389

Regression analysis of flood preparedness

Table S8

Variable	Standardized coefficient		
vallable	High risk perception	Low risk perception	
Threat appraisal	0.226***	0.309**	
Flood risk knowledge	0.103***	0.039	
Flood risk worry	0.162***	-0.060	
Government trust	0.123*	0.126	
Flood disaster education	0.213**	0.060	
Flood experience	-0.171	0.051	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.166	0.119	
Adjusted R ²	0.158	0.083	
F	18.984	3.300	

Regression analysis of risk perception group.

Table S9

-

Regression analysis of response intention group.

Variable	Standardized coefficient	
variable	High response intention	Low response intention
Threat appraisal	0.216***	0.211***
Flood risk knowledge	0.217***	0.082
Flood risk worry	0.069	0.097
Government trust	0.193***	0.172**
Flood disaster education	0.106*	0.067
Flood experience	-0.112*	-0.006
R ²	0.249	0.120
Adjusted R ²	0.234	0.107
F	16.906	9.172

Table S10

Regression analysis in gender group.

Variable	Standardized coefficient	
variable	Males	Females
Threat appraisal	0.263***	0.154***
Flood risk knowledge	0.192**	0.090
Flood risk worry	0.028	0.089
Government trust	0.240***	0.141**
Flood disaster education	0.044	0.123*
Flood experience	-0.060	-0.075
R ²	0.272	0.135
Adjusted R ²	0.258	0.122

Regression analysis in age group.

Variable	Standardized coefficient	
v allaule	Elder	Non-elder
Threat appraisal	0.237*	0.217***
Flood risk knowledge	0.206	0.136**
Flood risk worry	-0.062	0.083*
Government trust	0.319**	0.155***
Flood disaster education	-0.081	0.096*
Flood experience	-0.050	-0.086*
R ²	0.252	0.186
Adjusted R ²	0.197	0.178
F	4.560	24.446

Table S12

Regression analysis in group of education level.

Variable	Standardiz	ed coefficient
Variable	High education level	Low education level
Threat appraisal	0.276***	0.180***
Flood risk knowledge	0.065	0.226***
Flood risk worry	0.088*	0.036
Government trust	0.152**	0.205***
Flood disaster education	0.085	0.049
Flood experience	-0.102*	-0.011
R ²	0.199	0.191
Adjusted R ²	0.187	0.176
F	17.144	12.194

Table S13

Regression analysis in group of living time.

Variable	Stand	lardized coefficient	
variable	Long	Short	
Threat appraisal	0.204***	0.352*	
Flood risk knowledge	0.180***	-0.059	
Flood risk worry	0.076	-0.113	
Government trust	0.169***	-0.017	
Flood disaster education	0.102*	0.031	
Flood experience	-0.005	-0.209	
R ²	0.184	0.161	
Adjusted R ²	0.171	0.061	
F	14.018	1.602	

Voriable	Standardiz	ed coefficient
vanable	Bad	Good
Threat appraisal	0.602	0.172***
Flood risk knowledge	0.292	0.127**
Flood risk worry	-0.125	0.105***
Government trust	0.394	0.192***
Flood disaster education	-0.246	0.078*
Flood experience	0.016	-0.091*
R ²	0.531	0.170
Adjusted R ²	0.219	0.161
F	1.700	20.897

Regression analysis in group of health condition.

Table S15

Regression analysis in group of exercising situation.

Voriable	Standardized coefficient							
variable	Regular exercising	Not exercising						
Threat appraisal	0.208	0.207***						
Flood risk knowledge	0.137*	0.147**						
Flood risk worry	0.045	0.108						
Government trust	0.218**	0.116***						
Flood disaster education	0.026	0.147						
Flood experience	-0.048	-0.113*						
R ²	0.175	0.214						
Adjusted R ²	0.164	0.198						
F	15.750	12.692						

Table S16

Regression analysis in group of life style.

Variable	Standa	rdized coefficient
Variable	Smoking	Not smoking
Threat appraisal	0.159***	0.229***
Flood risk knowledge	0.210**	0.119*
Flood risk worry	0.103	0.064*
Government trust	0.238***	0.161
Flood disaster education	0.076	0.076*
Flood experience	-0.072	-0.078*
R ²	0.246	0.171
Adjusted R ²	0.211	0.163
F	7.165	20.374

Analysis results of influence path

Table S17

Regression results of path analysis (health condition – flood preparedness).

Variable		l	M: Response	intentio	n			Ŋ	T: Flood pr	eparec	lness		
variable	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI	
X: Threat appraisal M:	0.3969	0.0455	8.7262	0.0000	0.3076	0.4862	0.3128	0.0449	6.9724	0.00	00 0.2247	0.4009	
Response intention	—	—	_		_	—	0.1737	0.0344	5.0540	0.00	00 0.1062	0.2412	
w: Health condition			—				0.0873	0.0325	2.6833	0.00	75 0.0234	0.1512	
X×W	—		—			—	- 0.1090	0.0501	-2.1774	0.02	98 - 0.2074	- 0.0107	
Intercept	2.8680	0.0277	103.6208	0.0000	2.8137	2.9223	3.5593	0.1020	34.9055	0.00	00 3.3591	3.7595	
\mathbb{R}^2			0.093	9					0.14	458			
F			76.147	73					31.2	232			
р			p<0.00)1					p<0.	001			
	Moderating effect of W on X and Y:												
		He	ealth condition	ion Ef	fect se	e	t	р		LLC	CI U	LCI	
	M-S	SD8	010	.4	.4002 .0612			.0	0000	.280	.5	202	
	0	.00	000	.3	.3128 .0449			.0	.0000		47 .4	009	
	M+	SD .68	879	.2	.2378 .0557			4.2727 .0000 .1285 .34					
	1	Indirect	effect(s) of	X on Y	/:								
	Varia	able		Eff	ect	BootSE		Boot	LLCI		BootULC	[
	Resp	oonse int	ention	.06	89	.0158		.0402	2		.1031		
]	Partially	v standardi	zed ind	lirect ef	fect(s) of	f X on Y:						
	Varia	able		Effec	t	BootSE		Boot	tLLCI		BootULC	I	
	Resp	oonse int	ention		.0202		.054	6		.1345			
	(Complet	ely standa	rdized	indirec	t effect(s)) of X on	Y:		I			
	Varia	able		Effec	ct	BootSE		BootLLCI			BootULCI		
	Resp	oonse int	ention	.0550	5	.0123		.0330			.0816		

Note: independent variable (X), dependent variable (Y), mediator variable (M),

moderator variable (W).

Table S18

Regression results of path analysis (education level – flood preparedness).

Variable]	M: Resp	onse intentio	n			Y: Flood preparedness						
variable	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI		
X: Threat appraisal	0.3969	0.0455	8.7262	0.0000	0.3076	0.4862	0.3342	0.0446	7.4883	0.0000	0.2466	0.4218		
M: Response intention				—	_		0.1776	0.0346	5.1382	0.0000	0.1097	0.2455		
W: Education level		_		_	_	_	0.0587	0.0238	2.4703	0.0137	0.0121	0.1054		
X×W						_	0.1119	0.0388	2.8852	0.0040	0.0358	0.1880		
Intercept	2.8680	0.0277	103.62	208 0.0000	2.8137	2.9223	3.5442	0.1025	34.5865	0.0000	3.3430	3.7453		
\mathbb{R}^2				0.0939					0.1	459				
F			7	6.1473					31.2	2649				
р			p	< 0.001					p<0	.001				
	M	Moderating effect of W on X and Y:												
		Educ	ation l	evel Effec	et se	t	р		LLCI	ULCI	_			
	M-SD	-1.10	000	.2111	.0595	5 3.54	472 .0	0004	.0943	.3279				
	0	.0000)	.3342	2 .0446	5 7.48	883 .0	0000	.2466	.4218				
	M+SD	1.100	00	.4573	3 .0639	9 7.1:	570 .0	0000	.3318	.5827	_			
	In	direct ef	ffect(s)	of X on Y:							_			
	Variab	le		Effect	BootSE	8	BootLLCI BootULCI			LCI	_			
	Respon	nse inter	ntion	.0705	.0162		.0413		.1048		_			
	Pa	rtially s	tandar	dized indi	rect effec	ct(s) of X	K on Y:							
	Variab	le		Effect	BootSE	Ξ	BootL	LCI	BootU	ILCI				
	Respon	nse inter	ntion	.0933	.0207		.0554		.1367		_			
	Co	mpletel	y stand	lardized in	direct ef	ffect(s) a	of X on Y	Y:						
	Variable Effect BootSE					3	BootL	LCI	BootU	ILCI				
	Respon	nse inter	ntion	.0568	.0126		.0338		.0828		_			

Regression results of path analysis (gender - threat appraisal- flood appraisal).

Variable]	M: Respons	e intentio	n			γ	: Flood p	reparednes	38			
variable	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI		
X: Threat appraisal	0.3969	0.0455	8.7262	0.0000	0.3076	0.4862	0.3051	0.0445	6.8628	0.0000	0.2178	0.3924		
M: Response intention	_			_			0.1786	0.034	5.2488	0.0000	0.1118	0.2454		
W: Gender			—				0.2376	0.0515	4.6156	0.0000	0.1365	0.3386		
X×W		—	—	_		—	- 0.2146	0.0839	-2.5569	0.0108	- 0.3794	- 0.0498		
Intercept	2.868	0.0277	103.6208	0.0000	2.8137	2.9223	3.5399	0.1009	35.0902	0.0000	3.3418	3.7379		
\mathbb{R}^2			0.09	939					0.1	628				
F		76.1473 35.5789												
р		p<0.001 p<0.001												
	M	Moderating effect of W on X and Y:												
		Gen	der Eff	ect s	e	t	р	L	LCI	ULCI				
	M-SD	56	17 .42	57.	0605	7.0385	.000	0.3	069	.5444				
	M+SD	.438	.21	2111 .0613 3.4451			.000	6.0	908	.3313				
	In	direct ef	ffect(s) of	X on Y:										
	Variab	le	Ef	fect	BootSI	Ŧ	BootLLCI BootULCI			LCI				
	Respo	nse inter	ntion .07	709	.0161		.0409		.1052		_			
	Pa	rtially s	tandardiz	zed indir	ect effe	ct(s) of X	K on Y:				_			
	Variab	le	Ef	fect	BootSI	Ξ	BootL	LCI	BootU	LCI	_			
	Respo	nse inter	ntion .09	939	.0205		.0552		.1365					
	Co	ompletel	y standar	dized in	direct e	of X on Y	Y:	- 1		_				
	Variab	le	Ef	fect	BootSI	Ξ	BootL	BootLLCI		BootULCI				
	Respo	nse inter	ntion .05	572	.0125		.0335		.0831		_			
	-										_			

Regression results of path analysis (gender - coping appraisal - flood appraisal).

	0		T	, ()										
	-	M: Respons	se intentio	n			Y	: Flood pi	reparednes	ss				
β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI			
0.447	0.0217	20.5714	0.0000	0.4043	0.4897	0.1318	0.0308	4.2835	0.0000	0.0714	0.1922			
			—			0.1471	0.0415	3.5446	0.0004	0.0656	0.2286			
		_	_			0.2612	0.0525	4.9764	0.0000	0.1582	0.3642			
	_					- 0.1539	0.0491	-3.1354	0.0018	- 0.2502	- 0.0575			
2.868	0.0232	123.8185	0.0000	2.8225	2.9135	3.6249	0.1219	29.7248	0.0000	3.3855	3.8643			
		0.36	554					0.1	314					
		423.1	1826					27.6	881					
		p<0.	001					p<0	.001					
Mo	Moderating effect of W on X and Y:													
	Gen	der Eff	Effect se 1			р	LI	LCI	ULCI	_				
M-SD	56	17 .21	2182 .0396 5.5135			.000	0.14	405	.2959					
M+SD	.438	.06	.0644 .0390 1.6519				0()121	.1409					
In	direct ef	fect(s) of	X on Y:							_				
Variab	le	Eff	fect	BootSE	3	BootL	LCI	BootU	LCI	_				
Respon	nse inten	tion .06	58	.0184		.0305		_						
Pa	rtially s	tandardiz	ed indir	ect effec	ct(s) of X	on Y:				_				
Variab	le	Eff	fect	BootSE	3	BootLLCI		BootU	LCI	_				
Respon	nse inten	tion .08	571	.0242		.0404		.1346		-				
Completely standardized indirect effect(s)						f X on Y	:			-				
Variab	le	Eff	fect BootSE			BootL	LCI	BootULCI		_				
						.0430		.1440						
	β 0.447 — 2.868 M-SD M+SD M+SD M+SD Mage 100 Variab Respon Pa Variab Respon Co	βSE 0.447 0.0217 2.868 0.0232 ModeratinGenM-SD56M+SD.438Indirect efVariableResponse intenPartially sVariableResponse intenVariableVariableVariableVariableVariableVariableVariableVariableVariableVariableVariable	β SE t 0.447 0.0217 20.5714 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — 2.868 0.0232 123.8185 0.36 423.1 p<0.	M: Response intentio β SE t p 0.447 0.0217 20.5714 0.0000 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — 2.868 0.0232 123.8185 0.0000 0.3654 423.1826 p<0.001	β SEtpLLCI β SEtpLLCI 0.447 0.0217 20.5714 0.0000 0.4043 $ 2.868$ 0.0232 123.8185 0.0000 2.8225 0.3654 423.1826 $p<0.001$ Moderating effect of W on X and Y:GenderEffectSec $N-SD$ 5617 $.2182$ $.0396$ M+SD $.4383$ $.0644$ $.0390$ Indirect effect(s) of X on Y:VariableEffectBootSEResponse intention $.0658$ $.0184$ Partially stand=dized indirect effectVariableEffectBootSEResponse intention $.0871$ $.0242$ VariableEffectBootSEResponse intention $.0871$ $.0242$ VariableEffectBootSEResponse intention $.0871$ $.0242$	I I I β SE t p LLCI ULCI 0.447 0.0217 20.5714 0.0000 0.4043 0.4897 $ 2.868$ 0.0232 123.8185 0.0000 2.8225 2.9135 0.3654 423.1826 $p<0.001$ $ -$ Moderating effect of W on X and Y: Gender Effect se t M-SD 5617 .2182 .0396 5.5135 M+SD .4383 .0644 .0390 1.6519 Indirect effect(s) of X on Y: Variable Effect BootSE Response intention .0871 .0242 <t< td=""><td>I <th colspan="2" i<="" t<="" td=""><td>I Y Y β SE t p LLCI ULCI β SE 0.447 0.0217 20.5714 0.0000 0.4043 0.4897 0.1318 0.0308 0.1471 0.0415 0.1471 0.0415 0.1471 0.0415 0.1471 0.0415 0.1471 0.0415 0.2612 0.0525 0.2612 0.0525 0.1539 0.0491 2.868 0.0232 123.8185 0.0000 2.8225 2.9135 3.6249 0.1219 0.3654 423.1826 $p<$ 0.000 1.471 0.491 0.3651 <</td><td>N: Response intention Y: Flood pr β SE t p LLCI ULCI β SE t 0.447 0.0217 20.5714 0.0000 0.4043 0.4897 0.1318 0.0308 4.2835 $-$ 0.1471 0.0415 3.5446 $-$ 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.1471 0.0415 3.5446 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.2612 0.0491 -3.1354 2.868 0.0222 123.8185 0.0000 2.8225 2.9135</td><td>If $0 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 0$</td><td>IP IP IP IP IP IP IP IP IP <th c<="" td=""></th></td></th></td></t<>	I I <th colspan="2" i<="" t<="" td=""><td>I Y Y β SE t p LLCI ULCI β SE 0.447 0.0217 20.5714 0.0000 0.4043 0.4897 0.1318 0.0308 0.1471 0.0415 0.1471 0.0415 0.1471 0.0415 0.1471 0.0415 0.1471 0.0415 0.2612 0.0525 0.2612 0.0525 0.1539 0.0491 2.868 0.0232 123.8185 0.0000 2.8225 2.9135 3.6249 0.1219 0.3654 423.1826 $p<$ 0.000 1.471 0.491 0.3651 <</td><td>N: Response intention Y: Flood pr β SE t p LLCI ULCI β SE t 0.447 0.0217 20.5714 0.0000 0.4043 0.4897 0.1318 0.0308 4.2835 $-$ 0.1471 0.0415 3.5446 $-$ 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.1471 0.0415 3.5446 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.2612 0.0491 -3.1354 2.868 0.0222 123.8185 0.0000 2.8225 2.9135</td><td>If $0 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 0$</td><td>IP IP IP IP IP IP IP IP IP <th c<="" td=""></th></td></th>	<td>I Y Y β SE t p LLCI ULCI β SE 0.447 0.0217 20.5714 0.0000 0.4043 0.4897 0.1318 0.0308 0.1471 0.0415 0.1471 0.0415 0.1471 0.0415 0.1471 0.0415 0.1471 0.0415 0.2612 0.0525 0.2612 0.0525 0.1539 0.0491 2.868 0.0232 123.8185 0.0000 2.8225 2.9135 3.6249 0.1219 0.3654 423.1826 $p<$ 0.000 1.471 0.491 0.3651 <</td> <td>N: Response intention Y: Flood pr β SE t p LLCI ULCI β SE t 0.447 0.0217 20.5714 0.0000 0.4043 0.4897 0.1318 0.0308 4.2835 $-$ 0.1471 0.0415 3.5446 $-$ 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.1471 0.0415 3.5446 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 0.2612 0.0491 -3.1354 2.868 0.0222 123.8185 0.0000 2.8225 2.9135</td> <td>If $0 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 0$</td> <td>IP IP IP IP IP IP IP IP IP <th c<="" td=""></th></td>		I Y Y β SE t p LLCI ULCI β SE 0.447 0.0217 20.5714 0.0000 0.4043 0.4897 0.1318 0.0308 $ 0.1471$ 0.0415 $ 0.1471$ 0.0415 $ 0.1471$ 0.0415 $ 0.1471$ 0.0415 $ 0.1471$ 0.0415 $ 0.2612$ 0.0525 $ 0.2612$ 0.0525 $ 0.1539$ 0.0491 2.868 0.0232 123.8185 0.0000 2.8225 2.9135 3.6249 0.1219 0.3654 423.1826 $p<$ 0.000 1.471 0.491 0.3651 <	N: Response intention Y: Flood pr β SE t p LLCI ULCI β SE t 0.447 0.0217 20.5714 0.0000 0.4043 0.4897 0.1318 0.0308 4.2835 $ -$ 0.1471 0.0415 3.5446 $ -$ 0.2612 0.0525 4.9764 $ 0.1471$ 0.0415 3.5446 $ 0.2612$ 0.0525 4.9764 $ 0.2612$ 0.0525 4.9764 $ 0.2612$ 0.0525 4.9764 $ 0.2612$ 0.0525 4.9764 $ 0.2612$ 0.0491 -3.1354 2.868 0.0222 123.8185 0.0000 2.8225 2.9135	If $0 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 0$	IP IP IP IP IP IP IP IP <th c<="" td=""></th>	

Variable		M: Response intention Y: Preventive behavior												
v arrable	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI		
0.0000	0.3969	0.0455	8.7262	0.0000	0.3076	0.4862	0.3455	0.0512	6.7474	0.0000	0.245	0.4461		
M:														
Response					—		0.2144	0.0392	5.4659	0.0000	0.1374	0.2914		
intention														
W: Health							0.1251	0.0371	3.3679	0.0008	0.0522	0.198		
condition														
X×W					—		-	0.0572	-2.5604	0.0107	-	-		
0.0000	2 868	0.0277	102 6208	0.0000	2 8127	2 0223	0.1464	0 1164	27 8567	0.0000	0.2586	0.0341		
R^{2}	2.808	0.0277	103.0208	0.0000	2.0137	2.9223	3.2423	0.1104	27.8507	558	5.0156	3.4708		
F	76 1473								33.7	706				
n			n<0	.001					p<0	.001				
Г	M	Moderating effect of W on X and V.												
	Health condition Effect se t p I									ULCI				
	M-SE)80	010		4628	.0698 6	6.6286	.0000	.3257	.5998				
	0	.00	00		3455	.0512 6	5.7474	.0000	.2450	.4461				
	M+SI	D .68	79		.2448	.0635 3	8.8542	.0001	.1201	.3696				
	Ir	ndirect e	ffect(s) o	f X on Y										
	X7	L1.		£	D 49		Deed		Deet					
	varia	ble	E	filect	Boot	SE	Boot	LLCI	Boott	JLCI				
	Respo	onse inte	ntion .()851	.0179		.0523	;	.1216					
	P	artially	standard	ized indi	rect eff	ect(s) of	X on Y:							
	Varial	Variable Effect BootSE BootLLCI BootULCI												
	Respo	onse inte	ntion .(981	.0199)	.0609)	.1375					
	C	omplete	ly standa	rdized i	ndirect	of X on	Y:							
	Varial	ble	- F	ffect	Boot	SE V	Boot	BootLLCI Boot						
	Respo	onse inte	ntion .()598	.0121		.0369)	.0837	_				

Regression results of path analysis (health condition – preventive behavior).

		M. Respor	se intentio	n		Y: Preventive behavior						
β	SE	t	p	LLCI	ULCI	β	SE	t	p	LLCI	ULCI	
0.3969	0.0455	8.7262	0.0000	0.3076	0.4862	0.3727	0.0511	7.2866	0.0000	0.2723	0.4731	
		_				0.2209	0.0396	5.575	0.0000	0.1431	0.2986	
_		_				0.0761	0.0272	2.7947	0.0053	0.0226	0.1296	
						0.1159	0.0445	2.607	0.0093	0.0286	0.2032	
2.868	0.0277	103.620	8 0.0000	2.8137	2.9223	3.2177	0.1174	27.396	0.0000	2.9871	3.4482	
		0.0)939					0.1	489			
		76.	1473					32.0	0234			
		p<0	0.001					p<0	.001			
Mo	deratin	g effect o	of W on X	and Y:								
	Educa	ation leve	el Effect	t se	t	р]	LLCI	ULCI			
M-SD	-1.10	00	.2452	.0682	3.59	.00	.003	1113	.3791			
0	.0000		.3727	.0511	7.28	.066	. 000	2723	.4731			
M+SD	1.100	0	.5002	04 .0								
Ind	lirect eff	fect(s) of	X on Y:							_		
Variable	e	I	Effect	BootSE		BootLLCI Boo			LCI	_		
Respon	se intent	tion .	0877	.0184		.0539		_				
Par	rtially st	andardi	zed indir	ect effec	t(s) of X	on Y:				_		
Variable	e	I	Effect	BootSE		BootLI	LCI	BootU	LCI	_		
Respon	se intent	tion .	1011	.0205		.0633		.1434				
Co	mpletely	y standa	rdized inc	lirect eff	fect(s) o	f X on Y	:					
Variable	e	I	Effect	BootSE		BootLLCI		BootU	LCI	_		
						.0383		.0872				
	β 0.3969 —	β SE 0.3969 0.0455 — — — — 2.868 0.0277 Moderating Education M-SD -1.100 0 .00000 M+SD 1.100 Indirect eff Variable Response interm Partially st Variable Response interm Response interm Yariable Variable Notation Variable Notation Variable Notation Variable Notation Variable Notation Variable Notation Notation Notation	β SEt0.39690.04558.72622.8680.0277103.62080.00.0277103.62080.00.0277103.62080.00.0277103.62080.00.0277103.62080.00.0277103.62080.00.0277103.62080.00.0277103.62080.00.0277103.62080.00.00000.0000M-SD-1.100000.000000M+SD1.10001Indirect effect(s) ofIVariableIResponse intention.VariableIResponse intention.VariableIResponse intention.VariableIVariableIVariableIVariableIVariableIVariableIIIVariableIIIVariableIIIVariableIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	M: Response intentio β SE t p 0.3969 0.0455 8.7262 0.0000 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — 2.868 0.0277 103.6208 0.0000 0.0939 76.1473 p<0.001	M: Response intention β SE t p LLCI 0.3969 0.0455 8.7262 0.0000 0.3076 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — 2.868 0.0277 103.6208 0.0000 2.8137 0.0939 76.1473 p<0.001	M: Response intention β SE t p LLCI ULCI 0.3969 0.0455 8.7262 0.0000 0.3076 0.4862 $ -$ 2.868 0.0277 103.6208 0.0000 2.8137 2.9223 0.0939 76.1473 $p<0.001$ $p<0.001$ $p<0.001$ Moderating effect of W on X and Y: Education level Effect se t M-SD -1.1000 .2452 .0682 3.59 0 .0000 .3727 .0511 7.28 M+SD 1.1000 .5002 .0732 6.83 Indirect effect(s) of X on Y: Variable Effect BootSE Response intention .0877 .0184 Partially standardized indirect effect	M: Response intention β SE t p LLCI ULCI β 0.3969 0.0455 8.7262 0.0000 0.3076 0.4862 0.3727 - - - - - 0.2209 - - - - 0.0761 - - - - 0.0761 - - - - 0.0761 - - - - 0.0761 - - - - 0.0761 - - - - 0.0761 - - - - 0.01159 2.868 0.0277 103.6208 0.0000 2.8137 2.9223 3.2177 0.0939 76.1473 - - - 0 0.1159 2.868 0.0277 103.6208 0.0001 .2452 .0682 3.5954 .00 0 .0000 .3727 .0511	M: Response intention Y β SE t p LLCI ULCI β SE 0.3969 0.0455 8.7262 0.0000 0.3076 0.4862 0.3727 0.0511 - - - - 0.2209 0.0396 - - - - 0.0761 0.0272 - - - - 0.0761 0.0272 - - - - 0.01159 0.0445 2.868 0.0277 103.6208 0.0000 2.8137 2.9223 3.2177 0.1174 0.0939 76.1473 - - - 10.1174 0.0939 76.1473 p<0.001	M: Response intention Y: Preventi β SE t p LLCI ULCI β SE t 0.3969 0.0455 8.7262 0.0000 0.3076 0.4862 0.3727 0.0511 7.2866 - - - - 0.2209 0.0396 5.575 - - - - 0.0761 0.0272 2.7947 - - - - 0.0761 0.0272 2.7947 - - - - 0.0761 0.0272 2.7947 - - - - 0.0159 0.0445 2.607 2.868 0.0277 103.6208 0.0000 2.8137 2.9223 3.2177 0.1174 27.396 0.0939 0.1 76.1473 32.0 p<0.001	M: Response intention Y: Preventive behavior β SE t p LLCI ULCI β SE t p 0.3969 0.0455 8.7262 0.0000 0.3076 0.4862 0.3727 0.0511 7.2866 0.0000 - - - 0.2209 0.0396 5.575 0.0000 - - - 0.0761 0.0272 2.7947 0.0053 - - - - 0.0159 0.0445 2.607 0.0093 2.868 0.0277 103.6208 0.0000 2.8137 2.9223 3.2177 0.1174 27.396 0.0000 0.0939 76.1473 32.0234 p<0.001	M: Response intention Y: Preventive behavior β SE t p LLCI ULCI β SE t p LLCI 0.3969 0.0455 8.7262 0.0000 0.3076 0.4862 0.3727 0.0511 7.2866 0.0000 0.2723 - - - - 0.2209 0.0396 5.575 0.0000 0.1431 - - - - 0.0761 0.0272 2.7947 0.0053 0.0226 - - - - 0.0159 0.0445 2.607 0.0093 0.0286 2.868 0.0277 103.6208 0.0000 2.8137 2.9223 3.2177 0.1174 27.396 0.0000 2.9871 0.0939 0.1489 32.0234 p<0.001	

Regression results of path analysis (education level – preventive behavior).

Regression results of path analysis (gender – threat appraisal – adaptive behavior).

Variable			M: Respor	nse intentio	n			,	Y: Adaptiv	ve behavio	r		
v ariable	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI	
X: Threat appraisal	0.3969	0.0455	8.7262	0.0000	0.3076	0.4862	0.2671	0.0482	5.5477	0.0000	0.1726	0.3617	
M: Response intention	_		_	—	_	—	0.1374	0.0369	3.7269	0.0002	0.0650	0.2097	
W: Gender							0.1994	0.0557	3.5772	0.0004	0.0900	0.3089	
X×W							- 0.2241	0.0909	-2.4654	0.0139	- 0.4026	- 0.0457	
Intercept	2.8680	0.0277	103.6208	8 0.0000	2.8137	2.9223	3.8608	0.1093	35.3346	0.0000	3.6463	4.0753	
\mathbb{R}^2			0.0)939					0.1	072			
F			76.	1473					21.9	9689			
р			p<(0.001				p<0	.001				
	Mo	Moderating effect of W on X and Y:											
		Gen	der Ef	fect s	e	t	р	Ll	LCI	ULCI	_		
	M-SD	56	17 .3	931 .	0655	6.0004	.000	0 .2	645	.5217			
	M+SD	.438	.1	689 .	0664	2.5456	.011	1.0	386	.2992	_		
	In	direct ef	fect(s) of	f X on Y:									
	Variab	le		Effect	BootSH	Ŧ	BootLLCI BootULC			LCI	_		
	Respon	nse inter	ition	.0545	.0160		.0249		.0888		_		
	Pa	rtially s	tandardi	zed indir	ect effe	ct(s) of X	on Y:				_		
	Variab	le		Effect	BootSH	E	BootL	LCI	BootU	LCI	_		
	Respon	nse inter	ition	.0688	.0198		.0320		.1113		_		
	Co	mpletel	y standa	rdized in	direct et	ffect(s) o	f X on Y	/:	•		_		
	Variable Effect BootSE						BootLLCI BootULCI				_		
	Respon	nse inter	ition	.0419	.0120		.0195		.0676		_		

Regression results of path analysis (gender – coping appraisal – preventive behavior).

Variable]	M: Respons	se intentio	n			Y	: Preventi	ve behavi	or	
v ariable	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI	β	SE	t	р	LLCI	ULCI
X: Coping appraisal	0.4470	0.0217	20.5714	0.0000	0.4043	0.4897	0.0950	0.0356	2.6698	0.0078	0.0252	0.1649
M: Response intention				—			0.2270	0.0480	4.7266	0.0000	0.1327	0.3213
W: Gender	_		—	_	_		0.2967	0.0607	4.8851	0.0000	0.1774	0.4159
X×W	—		—		—		- 0.1306	0.0568	-2.2997	0.0217	- 0.2420	- 0.0191
Intercept	2.8680	0.0232	123.8185	0.0000	2.8225	2.9135	3.1939	0.1411	22.6373	0.0000	2.9169	3.4709
\mathbb{R}^2			0.30	554					0.1	181		
F			423.	1826					24.4	996		
р			p<0.	001					p<0	.001		
	Mo	oderatin	g effect o	f W on Y	X and Y:						_	
		Gen	der Eff	ect s	e	t	р	LI	LCI	ULCI	_	
	M-SD	56	17 .16	.84	0458	3.6770	.000	3.0′	785	.2583		
	M+SD	.438	3 .03	78 .0	0451	.8389	.401	80	0507	.1263		
	Inc	direct ef	fect(s) of	X on Y:					-		_	
	Variab	le	Ef	fect	BootSE	3	BootL	LCI	BootU	LCI		
	Respon	nse inten	tion .10)15	.0211		.0612		.1433		_	
	Pa	rtially s	tandardiz	zed indir	ect effec	ct(s) of X	C on Y:				_	
	Variab	le	Ef	fect	BootSE	3	BootL	LCI	BootU	LCI	_	
	Respon	nse inten	tion .11	70	.0239		.0709		.1645		_	
	Co	mpletel	y standar	dized in	direct ef	ffect(s) o	f X on Y	/ :			_	
	Variab	le	Ef	fect	BootSE	3	BootL	LCI	BootU	LCI	_	
	Respon	nse inten	tion .12	248	.0256		.0756		.1755		_	

Flood Risk Perception Questionnaire

Questionnaire N	Number:	Home Address:		
Survey Date:	Year	Month	Day	Investigator Name:

Hello! Global warming has become an important topic of concern to people in the world today. In order to understand the public risk perception of flood disasters, research team of Jiangsu University is conducting a public welfare survey. The information collected by this survey is used for scientific research purposes only and we will keep the survey data strictly confidential. Thank you very much for support and help!

1. Flood risk perception

1.1 Threat appraisal

1. Do you care about floods and their impacts?

1	2	3	4	5
least	less	generally	more	most
2. Do you und	lerstand the causes	of flooding?		
1	2	3	4	5
least	less	generally	more	most
3. How much	do you think flood	ls will affect you?		
1	2	3	4	5
least	less	generally	more	most
4. Do you thi	nk floods will cau	use damage to urban	infrastructure aft	er occurrence?
— • • —	7.5.7			

 \Box Yes \Box No

5. Do you think the occurrence of floods is seasonal?

□Yes \Box No

1.2 Coping appraisal

1. Do you agree that there are a number of measures that humanity can take to mitigate the effects of floods?

3 2 4 5 1 least less generally more most

2. How well do you know about evacuation routes within a 3 km radius of your home address?

2 3 4 5 1 least less generally more most 3. How well you know how often floods occur within a 3 km radius of your home address? 2 3 4 1 5 generally less more least most 4. How well you know about the intensity of a flood disaster within a 3 km radius of your home address? 2 3 4 5 1 less least generally more most 5. How well you know about the flood-prone areas within a 3 km radius of your home address? 2 3 4 1 5 least less generally more most 6. How well do you know about the disaster losses of flood disasters within a 3 km radius of your home address? 2 3 4 5 1

2. Flood preparedness

generally

more

most

2.1 Adaptive behavior

less

least

The following are some specific response behaviors during flood events. 1-5 is least to most. Please draw on the corresponding option (check $\sqrt{}$)_o

Number	Question		2	3	4	5
1	Reduce going out during floods					
2	Pay attention to flood information					
3	Extra stock with some food and drinking water					
4	Disinfect your accommodation					
5	Learn about your local government's flood control plan					

6	When the disaster is serious, turn off the gas valve and			
	the power switch			
7	Avoid power facilities such as high-voltage power lines			
8	Seek medical attention in time if you are unwell			

(2) Preventive behavior

The following are some specific response behaviors during flood events. 1-5 is least to most, and please draw on the corresponding option (check $\sqrt{}$)_o

Number	Question	1	2	3	4	5
1	Regularly check the drainage system in your home					
2	Develop household flood response plans					
3	Emergency supplies such as first aid kits are available					
1	Listen to the weather forecast every day and follow					
4	flood warning messages					
5	Conduct flood hazard avoidance exercises					
6	Wash your hands frequently and pay attention to					
0	personal hygiene					
7	Place valuables in a place that will not be flooded					
8	Purchase flood disaster insurance					

3. Response intention

3.1 Flood experience

1. Have you experienced flooding in the last five years?

 \Box Yes \Box , no?

3.2 Flood disaster education

1. If you have received the following forms of flood disaster education, please draw $\sqrt{}$ on the corresponding options, and you can select more than one:

Classroom	Promotional	Television	Prophest	Network
education	posters	program	Dioadeast	information

3.3 Flood risk worry

Here are a few questions that investigate your mood in the face of floods, and then indicate the extent of the question after each question, based on how you actually feel about the flood disaster.

1. Are you worried about floods?

 \Box Yes \Box No

If yes, then choose what you will do because of worry

 \Box Learn the knowledge of flood avoidance

□ Purchase flood disaster insurance

 \Box Conduct flood disaster avoidance exercises

 \Box Formulate household flood response plans

 \Box Prepare emergency supplies such as first aid kits

 \Box Pay attention to flood early warning information

Learn about your local government's flood control plan

3.4 Flood risk knowledge

Here is some knowledge related to local flood disasters, and please indicate how well you know about local flood disasters. 1-5 is least to most. Please draw $\sqrt{}$ on the corresponding option.

Number	Question		2	3	4	5
1	How well do you know how often floods occur in your					
	city?					
2	How well do you know the intensity of flooding in your					
	city?					
2	How well do you know about the flood-prone areas of					
3	your city?					
4	How well do you know about the disaster losses of					
	flood disasters in your city?					
5	How well do you know about disaster policies proposed					
	by the government of your city?					

3.5 Government trust

-	-			
1	2	3	4	5
least	less	generally	more	most
2. Do you know	the government's	emergency response	e to floods?	
1	2	3	4	5
least	less	generally	more	most
3. Do you know	about the governn	nent's campaign to p	prevent floods?	
1	2	3	4	5
least	less	generally	more	most
4. Do you know	how to contact the	government flood	esponse departr	nent?
1	2	3	4	5
least	less	generally	more	most
5. Do you think	the government's r	esponse to floods h	as been swift?	
1	2	3	4	5
least	less	generally	more	most
	4. Basic ir	formation of resp	ondents	
1. Gender: □Ma	le Female□			
2. Age:	_years old			
3. Height:	cm, weight:	kg		
5. Your highest l	evel of education:			
□ Elementary se	chool			
□ Junior high				
□ High school				
Undergraduat	e			
Destgraduate	and above			
7. You have live	d in the city for	years.		
8. What do you	think of your healt	h?		
1	2	3	4	5
Very poor	Poor	General	Good	Excellen

1. Do you understand the government's early warning mechanism for flood disasters?

9. Have you been diagnosed with the following health conditions by the hospital?

(Multiple selections are available).

□Hepatitis □Cholera □Dysentery □High Cholesterol

□Coronary Heart Disease □Angina□ Myocardial Infarction

Chronic Bronchitis Asthma Other Respiratory Diseases

 $\Box I$ have never been diagnosed with these problems

10. Do you smoke?

 \Box Yes \Box No

11. Do you have a habit of exercising regularly?

 \Box Yes \Box No