



# 1 Study on Seismic Risk Assessment Model of Water Supply

# 2 System in Chinese Mainland

- 3 Tianyang Yu<sup>1,2</sup> Banghua Lu<sup>1,2</sup> Hui Jiang<sup>1,3</sup> Zhi Liu<sup>1,3</sup>
- 4 1. Guangdong Earthquake Agency; Guangzhou, China
- Guangdong Earthquake Disaster Risk Control and Prevention Center, Guangzhou,
   China
- 7 3. Shenzhen Academy of Disaster Prevention and Reduction; Shenzhen, China
- 8 Correspondence: Tianyang Yu (821677781@qq.com).

9 Abstract: Using the PGA under four exceeding probabilities calculated by China probabilistic seismic hazard analysis method, the probability density function of PGA 10 was obtained by fitting utilizing the Cornell seismic hazard exceeding 11 probability-PGA function model. Combined with the seismic fragility function of the 12 13 water supply system calculated based on the empirical matrix of actual earthquake damage and the exposure of fixed assets, the seismic loss expectation and loss rate 14 15 expectation models of the water supply system were established, and the classification standard with the seismic loss rate expectation of the water supply system as the index 16 was proposed. The seismic fragility of the water supply system was classified, and the 17 18 exposure of the water supply system was analyzed. The total fixed assets in the Water Supply Yearbook were taken as the exposure to earthquake in the region. The 19 20 accuracy of the fragility model in this paper was verified through the actual earthquake damage losses in Deyang City. Taking the water supply system of 720 21 cities in Chinese Mainland as an example, the distribution maps of seismic loss 22 23 expectation and loss rate expectation were calculated and drawn. The loss rate 24 expectation model was verified by the key earthquake prevention areas in Chinese Mainland. The assessment model based on loss expectation and loss rate expectation 25 was taken as the seismic risk assessment model of water supply system in Chinese 26 27 Mainland.

#### 28 Introduction

Today, with the gradual improvement of human civilization and material wealth, the 29 30 increasing number of earthquake disaster around the world poses a huge threat to urban water supply systems. 40% of major cities in China are located near major 31 earthquake zones, with 17% facing high risk, and 55% of cities may suffer serious 32 disasters (Gao Mengtan, 2020). After a strong earthquake, as an important component 33 34 of civil engineering, the urban water supply system and emergency rescue system in lifeline engineering are called lifelines in lifeline engineering. Therefore, to ensure the 35 36 normal operation of lifelines after an earthquake, the government should increase investment and management (Nigg J, 1998). Once the water supply system is 37 38 damaged by an earthquake, it not only cannot meet the normal water supply for 39 residents, but also cannot provide water for emergency rescue departments and prevent the spread of fires. At the same time, the inability of enterprises to use 40 41 production water can also bring indirect economic losses. In 1994, the North Ridge





6.6 magnitude earthquake in the United States caused widespread rupture of over 1 1400 Los Angeles water supply pipelines, of which 100 were located on the main 2 3 water supply network (Han Yang, 2002). The 1995 Kobe 7.3 magnitude earthquake in Japan caused damage to 1610 destruction of the main water supply system in the 4 earthquake area, causing 80% of users in 9 cities water-break, 90% of water supply 5 facilities in the Kobe area of Osaka to be damaged, and 120000 underground water 6 7 supply pipelines to leak. At the same time, the interruption of water supply also 8 seriously hindered firefighting work (He Weihua, 2009); The power failure of the 9 Fukushima nuclear power plant caused by the March 11 earthquake in Japan led to the failure of the water supply system, which led to nuclear reactor meltdown. The 1976 10 Tangshan earthquake resulted in the paralysis of the city's water supply system, with a 11 12 pipeline damage rate of 4 per kilometer. 332 main networks in Tanggu District were damaged, and after half a month of emergency repair, only 50% of the water supply 13 capacity was restored (Han yang, 2002). The water supply system of Mianzhu City 14 15 suffered devastating damage in the 2008 Wenchuan 8.0 earthquake (Institute of Engineering Mechanics, CEA, 2009). Research has shown that the indirect economic 16 17 losses caused by water supply interruptions are often dozens of times greater than the direct economic losses caused by earthquake damage in the water supply system 18 19 (Brozovic N, 2007). Therefore, the importance and urgency of building a regional and 20 urban water supply system seismic risk assessment model to provide decision-making 21 basis for the government and business departments has emerged.

22 In the 1984 UNESCO research plan (Jiang Hui, etc., 2022), Varnes proposed a definition of natural disasters and risks, which has been widely recognized by experts 23 in the field of natural disaster research both domestically and internationally. The 24 25 basic model of earthquake (disaster) risk assessment also conforms to this definition. At present, scholars at home and abroad have different definitions of the concept of 26 earthquake disaster risk. The commonly used earthquake disaster risk refers to the 27 28 possibility of damage and loss to buildings (structures) or lifeline projects in specific areas in the future within a certain time limit, as well as the possibility of loss to life, 29 30 property, national economy, etc., which can be expressed as:

$$R = f(H, E, V)$$

34

Taking into account the impact of site conditions, the above equation can be further expressed as:

 $R = H \cdot E \cdot V \cdot S$ 

In the formula, R is the risk of earthquake (disaster), referring to the potential 35 losses caused by future earthquakes; H refers to the seismic hazard, which refers to 36 the probability of future earthquakes occurring within a certain region within a certain 37 38 period of time; E is the value of the disaster bearing body or social wealth, which refers to the exposure level of the disaster bearing body (including buildings, lifeline 39 engineering, population, property, etc.) threatened by earthquakes in a given area; V is 40 41 the vulnerability of the disaster bearing body under earthquake action, or the loss rate of the disaster bearing body under different earthquake intensities, which can be 42 43 represented by a number between 0 and 1 (0 represents no loss, 1 represents complete





1 loss); S is the site impact coefficient.

The risk assessment research in this paper was based on the above three elements of earthquake disaster risk (seismic hazard, vulnerability of disaster bearing body, and asset exposure) to establish a risk assessment model based on the loss rate expectation of water supply system. Based on this approach, we carry out data collection, organization, modeling, and other work.

## 7 1. Basic database for risk assessment

8 The risk assessment data involved in this study includes regional basic data of the water supply system, including five categories. The first category is the material 9 of the water supply pipeline network extracted from the "Water Supply 10 Yearbook" (Statistical Yearbook of Urban Water Supply (2009-2018)). The second 11 category is the urban basic fortification intensity extracted from the "Seismic 12 13 Code"(GB50011-2010 Code for seismic design of buildings. (2010).). The third category is the urban population, GDP and other data extracted from the Census 14 (National Bureau of Statistics of China. (2011).), which have been processed to 15 provide urban classification. The fourth category is site classification. The fifth 16 category is seismic hazard data extracted from the "Fifth Zonation 17 Map"(GB18306-2015Seismic ground motion parameters zonation map of China. 18 19 (2015).). The above basic data covers 720 cities in 31 provinces and autonomous regions except Taiwan, Hong Kong, and Macau. 20

21 (1) Water supply system

This paper is mainly based on the pipeline material data in 2018 Water Supply 22 Yearbook, and mainly collects the length data of five pipeline materials, namely, 23 24 Ductile Cast iron pipe, steel pipe, Cast iron pipe, prestressed reinforced concrete pipe 25 and plastic pipe. At present, data from a total of 720 cities has been compiled. Although the data covers 31 provinces and cities in mainland China, there are 26 27 differences in data coverage for each province. The western region does not have complete data for the eastern region, such as Qinghai and Tibet, which only have data 28 29 for one city each.

30 (2) Fortification intensity data

This article extracts the seismic fortification intensities of 720 cities that have been organized in the "Seismic Code".

33 (3) City category data (population and GDP)

Extract urban category data based on the urban population and GDP data from the 6th National Population Census released by the national statistical department. Determine the city categories of 720 cities through certain data processing methods.

37 (4) Site Category Data

In the national site classification database established using the BP neural network site classification method (Allen, T. I., and Wald, D. J. (2007). Shi, D. C. (2009).), 720 site categories representing the city's water supply system were extracted.

42 (5) Seismic hazard data

43 According to the determined potential source area division scheme, seismicity





- 1 parameter scheme and ground motion parameter attenuation relationship, the peak
- 2 acceleration  $\boldsymbol{a}_{\varepsilon_l}$  under four different exceeding probability levels of basic ground

motion, frequent ground motion, rare ground motion and extremely rare ground
motion in I1 site category of grid averaged distribution sites nationwide was given by

5 using the probabilistic seismic hazard analysis method and the basic database of the

6 Fifth Generation Zonation Map. The grid density is  $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ . This article extracted

seismic hazard data for government residences in 720 cities from the database. The
probability density function of the PGA of 720 cities was calculated by the piecewise
fitting method of the seismic hazard curve.

This article collected seismic damage data from cities such as Haicheng, Tangshan, and Wenchuan (Institute of Engineering Mechanics, CEA.,1979. Institute fEngineering Mechanics, CEA.,2009.) and classified, organized and calculated the seismic damage matrices of water supply pipelines, water tanks, and pump houses according to the city classification and seismic damage data. A database of seismic damage data for water supply systems was established.

The above basic data constitute the basic database for seismic risk assessment ofwater supply system.

#### 18 2 Seismic risk assessment model based on loss (rate) expectation

The seismic loss expectation is expressed by the coupling of three factors: seismic hazard, structural vulnerability and social wealth (Chen Yong, 1999): as an expression of earthquake disaster risk, the seismic risk loss (rate) expectation refers to the intersection of seismic hazard, structural vulnerability of water supply system facilities and total fixed assets of water supply system in a certain region in a certain period of time in the future.

25 2.1 Seismic Hazard

38

The process of seismic hazard probability analysis includes complex earthquake 26 repetition models and earthquake motion prediction models, but the expression of 27 28 seismic hazard analysis results is not complex and is generally represented by seismic hazard curves. The seismic hazard curve should provide exceeding probability curve 29 30 for the ground motion parameters, which is the probability of exceeding the given 31 ground motion parameter value on the probability distribution curve. The seismic hazard curve is determined by the potential source and the attenuation law of ground 32 motion parameters. In this paper, the probability density function of peak ground 33 34 acceleration was calculated by using the piecewise fitting method of seismic hazard 35 curve.

36 The relationship between the seismic hazard function  $H_t(a)$  of the engineering

37 site and the peak ground acceleration a is (Cornell, 1968):

$$H_t(a) = 1 - \exp(k_b t a^{k_H}) \tag{1}$$

39 Where *a* is peak ground acceleration, *t* is Time (year),  $k_b$  and  $k_H$  is





- 1 Parameters of seismic hazard curve.
- 2 This article used certain designated control points piecewise fitting the seismic
  3 hazard curve, while the exceeding probability of other PGA parameters was obtained
  4 from the seismic hazard curve.
- 5 The probability seismic hazard analysis method compiled by the "Fifth Zonation 6 Map" was used to calculate the annual exceeding probability of the peak ground 7 acceleration of the rock site in Mengzi City, Yunnan Province (Wen Manhua, 2017), 8 as shown in Table 1.
- 9
- 10

Table 1 PGA of rock at a certain site in Mengzi City-annual exceeding probabilities

	Annual		Annual		Annual
PGA/gal	exceeding	PGA/gal	exceeding	PGA/gal	exceeding
	probability		probability		probability
1	4.12E-01	60	6.87E-03	200	1.46E-04
5	3.27E-01	70	4.68E-03	250	5.31E-05
10	1.58E-01	80	3.29E-03	300	2.02E-05
15	9.31E-02	90	2.38E-03	350	7.85E-06
20	6.02E-02	100	1.74E-03	400	2.98E-06
30	2.99E-02	125	8.64E-04	450	1.11E-06
40	1.70E-02	150	4.60E-04	500	3.95E-07
50	1.05E-02	175	2.55E-04	600	2.33E-08

11

The corresponding relationship between the peak ground acceleration of four control points of a rock site in Mengzi City and the exceeding probability in different time scales is shown in Table 2.

15

Table 2 PGA of rock at a certain site in Mengzi City-exceeding probabilities

PGA/g	37.92	94.31	156.80	224.76
1 year exceeding probability	1.97%	0.21%	0.04%	0.01%
10 year exceeding probability	18.03%	2.08%	0.40%	0.10%
50 year exceeding probability	63.00%	10.00%	2.00%	0.50%
100 year exceeding probability	86.31%	19.00%	3.96%	1.00%

16

According to Table 2, the parameters of the 1-year segmented seismic hazard function for the rock site in Mengzi City were fitted using the least squares method, as shown in Table 3. The data in Table 1 and the fitted 1-year seismic hazard curve for the rock site were plotted in the same coordinate system, as shown in Figure 1.

Table 3 Parameters of Seismic Hazard Function in Mengzi City

	City	Fortification	Site	Sagmontation		1-year
_	City	intensity	classification	Segmentation	$k_H$	$k_b$
-				1st segment	-2.47	-156.2
	Mengzi	VII	$I_1$	2nd segment	-3.26	-5841.5
		-1		3rd segment	-3.85	-113627.1

<sup>21</sup> 

> 1 2







Figure 1 Seismic hazard curve of 1-year rock site in Mengzi City

3 From Figure 2, it can be seen that the seismic hazard curve obtained by the piecewise fitting method is basically consistent with the seismic hazard calculation 4 5 points obtained by the fifth generation seismic zonation map method. When the peak ground acceleration is small, the annual exceeding probability will be overestimated. 6 7 In fact, when the peak ground acceleration is small, the water supply system is 8 basically in good condition, and its loss ratio is 0. Even if the exceeding probability is overestimated, the accuracy of the seismic risk analysis results of the water supply 9 10 system will not be affected. Therefore, it is feasible to obtain seismic risk curve parameters in different regions of Chinese Mainland by piecewise fitting four control 11 points given in the Fifth Generation Zonation Map (GB18306-2015 Seismic ground 12 motion parameters zonation map of China. (2015).). 13

14 The ratio relationship between the PGA corresponding to the 50 year exceeding probability of 63%, the 50 year exceeding probability of 2%, and the annual 15 exceeding probability of 10-4 and the basic ground motion PGA (50 year exceeding 16 probability of 10%) is very complex, and its spatial distribution has a great correlation 17 with the distribution of potential source areas, which is mainly affected by the 18 19 seismotectonics environment, and the ratios in different regions vary greatly (Gao Mengtan, 2006; Lei Jiancheng, etc., 2010). Therefore, it is not possible to directly use 20 21 the PGA(0.05g, 0.1g, 0.15g, 0.2g, 0.3g) corresponding to the 50 year exceeding probability of 10% of specific sites in the "Fifth Generation Zonation Map" to 22 calculate the PGA under the other three exceeding probabilities in a fixed proportion. 23 Instead, based on the basic database of the "Fifth Generation Zonation Map", further 24 25 analysis and processing are conducted on the actual calculated seismic hazard data (using CPSHA method) extracted from the database. 26

Since the PGA provided in the Fifth Generation Zonation Map is under a specific site category, it is necessary to obtain the PGA under the corresponding site category by interpolation and transformation according to the actual site category of the city using the method provided in the Fifth Generation Zonation Map. This paper collected seismic hazard data (four control points) and actual site categories of 720 cities in Chinese Mainland.

1

5





By using the relationship between the cumulative distribution function (CDF)and the exceeding probability, the functional relationship between the cumulative

4 distribution function  $C_t(a)$  and the PGA *a* can be obtained as follows:

$$C_t(a) = 1 - H_t(a) = \exp(k_b t a^{k_H})$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

6 The probability density function (PDF) of PGA can be obtained by calculating 7 the first derivative of the cumulative distribution function, that is, the functional 8 relationship between  $f_t(a)$  and the PGA *a* is:

9 
$$f_t(a) = \exp(k_b t a^{k_H}) \cdot k_b \cdot t \cdot k_H \cdot a^{k_H - 1}$$
(3)

Based on the above method, the relevant parameters of the probability density 10 function  $f_t(a)$  of the PGA of 720 cities in 10-year, 50-year and 100-year scales 11 under the actual site categories are calculated, and a seismic hazard database that can 12 be used for the seismic risk assessment model is formed. This article listed the 13 parameters of segmented seismic hazard functions at the 10 year, 50 year, and 100 14 year scales for the actual site categories of three typical cities, as shown in Table 4. 15 The seismic hazard curves of four typical cities are plotted, as shown in Figures 2 to 16 17 5.

18

Table 4 Parameters of Seismic Hazard Function for Example Cities

City	Site	Segmentation	10-year		50-year		100-year	
		Segmentation	$k_H$	$k_b$	$k_H$	$k_b$	$k_H$	$k_b$
Heyuan		1 <sup>st</sup> segment	-1.76	-3.00E-05	-1.76	-3.00E-05	-1.76	-3.00E-05
	Π	2 <sup>nd</sup> segment	-1.86	-2.37E-05	-1.85	-2.40E-05	-1.85	-2.40E-05
		3 <sup>rd</sup> segment	-3.76	-1.31E-06	-3.78	-1.29E-06	-3.77	-1.30E-06
Deyang		1 <sup>st</sup> segment	-2.22	-3.46E-05	-2.21	-3.40E-05	-2.21	-3.50E-05
	III	2 <sup>nd</sup> segment	-4.08	-1.08E-06	-4.07	-1.11E-06	-4.07	-1.11E-06
		3 <sup>rd</sup> segment	-4.92	-3.26E-07	-4.94	-3.18E-07	-4.93	-3.22E-07
Kelamayi		1 <sup>st</sup> segment	-1.98	-1.76E-05	-1.98	-1.77E-05	-1.98	-1.80E-05
	Π	2 <sup>nd</sup> segment	-2.49	-5.17E-06	-2.48	-5.29E-06	-2.48	-5.29E-06
		3rd segment	-3.13	-1.68E-06	-3.15	-1.65E-06	-3.14	-1.67E-06







Figure 4 Seismic Hazard Curve of Kelamayi City







1 2

3

30

Figure 5 Seismic Hazard Curve of Mianzhu City

2.2 Seismic Fragility Analysis of Water Supply Facilities

The main purpose of seismic fragility analysis is to establish the relationship between the probability of water supply system facilities reaching or exceeding different seismic damage levels and ground motion parameters (intensity or peak ground acceleration). The main methods include earthquake damage investigation, theoretical analysis, and experimental analysis.

9 The water supply system facilities mainly include the water supply pipeline network, water pool, and pump station buildings. In this paper, the water supply 10 pipeline network was divided into five types according to the material: Ductile Cast 11 iron pipe, steel pipe, plastic pipe, reinforced concrete pipe and Cast iron pipe. Each 12 13 pipe is divided into five different types of seismic capacity zones according to the pipeline's city category, that is, each pipe has a total of five types of fragility curves. 14 15 When calculating, the corresponding pipe fragility curve must be selected according to the seismic capacity zone of the pipeline's city. The water pool and pump station 16 17 buildings are divided into two categories based on the seismic capacity zone.

Based on the seismic damage data collected in this article and the "Classification of Seismic Damage Levels in Lifeline Engineering" (GB/T24336-2009 Classification of Earthquake Damage Levels for Lifeline Engineering. (2009).) specification, the seismic damage level of pipelines is determined by the pipeline seismic damage rate. The proportion of pipeline damage levels under the same seismic intensity obtained from seismic damage sample data is the damage ratio in the seismic damage matrix, which then forms the seismic damage matrix for pipelines of various materials.

Based on the seismic damage matrix of the pipeline, the distribution of different damage ratios under different intensities was obtained, and a fitting curve for the damage ratios of different damage levels under different intensities of the water supply pipeline network in 5 levels was established. The fitting results show that its distribution follows the trend of polynomial function distribution.

$$P_i = a_0 d_i^4 + a_1 d_i^3 + a_2 d_i^2 + a_3 d_i + y_0 \tag{4}$$





1	Where $P_i$ is the damage ratio of ith level(totally 5 different seismic capacity
2	zones), $d_j$ is jth damage level (Basically intact-1, Slight damage-2, Moderate damage-3,
3	Severe damage-4 and Destroyed-5), $a_0$ , $a_1$ , $a_2$ , $a_3$ , $y_0$ are parameters.

4 We obtained parameters  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, y_0)$  through polynomial fitting. Its

5 goodness-of-fit is that the R-square value of polynomials of all pipes is above 0.98.

6 This article listed the fitting parameters of the damage ratio curve of seismic 7 damage matrix for PE pipelines, as shown in Table 5.

Table 5 Parameter Values of Damage Ratio Curves for Different Damage Levels of I	PΕ
Pipe under Different Intensities	

Seismic		× //	X / X /	X // 11	137
capacity level	Parameter	VI	VII	VIII	IX
	$a_0$	4.15	0.79	-0.77	0.42
	$a_1$	-58.03	-12.85	11.99	-5.50
Level 1 area	$a_2$	294.20	78.51	-62.18	21.58
	$a_3$	-638.10	-215.50	111.20	-27.50
	$\mathbf{y}_0$	497.50	226.00	-18.20	31.00
	$a_0$	4.00	0.65	0.94	0.83
	$a_1$	-56.10	-10.48	-8.46	-11.50
Level 2 area	$a_2$	285.30	64.70	20.31	50.67
	$a_3$	-621.20	-182.50	-14.79	-79.00
	$\mathbf{y}_0$	487.00	200.50	38.00	54.00
	$a_0$	3.83	0.04	1.88	0.63
	$a_1$	-53.87	-2.12	-19.92	-9.75
Level 3 area	$a_2$	274.70	23.41	67.63	47.38
	$a_3$	-600.60	-98.03	-88.58	-78.25
	$\mathbf{y}_0$	474.00	143.70	71.00	50.00
	$a_0$	3.50	-0.17	1.67	-1.04
	$a_1$	-49.40	1.47	-18.17	8.08
Level 4 area	$a_2$	253.50	1.87	62.83	-14.46
	$a_3$	-559.60	-45.37	-79.33	4.42
	$\mathbf{y}_0$	448.00	102.20	58.00	6.00
	$a_0$	3.58	-0.88	2.60	-2.00
	$a_1$	-49.43	11.12	-29.79	19.07
Level 5 area	$a_2$	247.40	-44.83	111.10	-56.30
	$a_3$	-533.60	47.78	-153.00	66.63
	<b>y</b> <sub>0</sub>	422.00	40.80	87.00	-27.00















3

(d) IX Figure 6 Damage ratio of PE pipe under different seismic intensities(Level 1 area-Level 5 area)

5 This article established a seismic fragility function model with the input 6 parameter of seismic peak ground acceleration. The seismic fragility analysis results 7 can generally be represented by the seismic fragility curve or the seismic damage 8 exceeding probability matrix. Therefore, it is necessary to convert the seismic damage 9 matrix based on the peak ground acceleration into the exceeding probability matrix 10 that reaches or exceeds a certain limit state.

In this paper, the logarithmic normal distribution function model (Chen Libo et al., 2012; Chen Bo, 2018) is used as the seismic fragility function  $F_m(a)$ ,  $F_m(a)$  is the function of the peak ground acceleration *a*:

$$F_m(a) = \Phi\left[\frac{\ln\left(\frac{a}{\theta_m}\right)}{\beta_m}\right] \tag{5}$$

14

15 *a*: Peak ground acceleration,

16 *m*: Seismic damage level, m=1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 represents damage levels of 17 Basically intact, Slight damage, Moderate damage, Severe damage and Destroyed 18 respectively.

19  $\Phi$ : Standard normal distribution function,

20  $\theta_m$ : The median value of the seismic fragility curve for the m th damage level,

21  $\beta_m$ : Logarithmic standard deviation of seismic fragility curve for the m th 22 damage level.

The probability of being at the m th damage level can be calculated using the following formulas:

25 
$$P_1(\mathbf{D}|a) = 1 - F_2(a)$$
 (6)





1	$P_m\left(\mathrm{D}\left a ight) = F_m\left(a ight) - F_{\left(m+1 ight)}\left(a ight)$	(7)
2	$P_5(\mathbf{D} a) = F_5(a)$	(8)
3	The two parameters of the seismic fragility function $F_m(a)$ in formu	la (5) $\theta_m$ and

The two parameters of the seismic fragility function  $F_m(a)$  in formula (5)  $\theta_m$  and  $\beta_m$  is obtained by firstly converting from the pipe seismic damage matrix to the exceeding probability matrix, and then fitting using the least squares method.

6 This article took the PE pipe as an example and listed the parameters of the 7 seismic fragility function in Table 6. The fragility curve is shown in Figures 7 to 11.

Table 6 Seismic Fragility Function Parameters of PE Pipe under Different Seismic Canability Levels

Capability Levels					
Seismic	Doromotor	Slight	Moderate	Severe	Destroyed
capacity level	Farameter	damage	damage	damage	Destroyed
1	$\theta$	0.2466	0.4724	0.8187	1.3791
1	β	0.8000	0.8000	0.6500	0.5952
2	$\theta$	0.2255	0.4066	0.7047	1.1724
2	β	0.7500	0.7500	0.6667	0.6427
2	$\theta$	0.1993	0.3234	0.5488	0.8607
3	β	0.6333	0.7000	0.6800	0.5302
4	$\theta$	0.1597	0.2594	0.4066	0.7469
4	β	0.7446	0.6574	0.7000	0.6539
5	$\theta$	0.1319	0.2466	0.3679	0.6703
3	β	0.5391	0.5600	0.5800	0.7000





Figure 7 Fragility Curve of PE Pipe in Seismic Capacity Level 1 Area

<sup>8</sup> 9









Figure 8 Fragility Curve of PE Pipe in Seismic Capacity Level 2 Area





Figure 9 Fragility Curve of PE Pipe in Seismic Capacity Level 3 Area





Figure 10 Fragility Curve of PE Pipe in Seismic Capacity Level 4 Area









16

22

# Figure 11 Fragility Curve of PE Pipe in Seismic Capacity Level 5 Area

3 2.3 Water supply system exposure

Before assessing the seismic risk in the water supply system, it is necessary to 4 know the exposure of the water supply system. The total fixed assets of the water 5 supply system, as the quantitative characteristics of the expected loss caused by the 6 possible earthquake disaster in the region, can represent its exposure. Using the 7 8 "Water Supply Yearbook" to collect the total fixed assets of the regional water supply 9 system, it is necessary to know the proportion of water supply network, pool, and pump station building assets in the total fixed assets. Based on literature statistics and 10 analysis, this article determined that in the water supply system, pipeline assets 11 12 account for 70%, pool assets account for 22% (with clean water pools and water treatment pools each accounting for 50% of pool assets), and pump station buildings 13 account for 8%. (Fan Wenting, 2020; Nong Weiwen, 2006; China Water Supply 14 Association, 2009) 15

2.4 Comparison with actual earthquake damage losses

When the water supply system encounters a seismic peak ground acceleration of*a*, the loss is (Yin Zhiqian, 2004):

19 
$$L(a) = \sum_{s} \sum_{m} (W_{s}r_{ms})P_{ms}(\mathbf{D}|a)$$
(9)

20 L(a): The loss of the water supply system when encountering a seismic peak 21 ground acceleration of a.

 $W_s$ : Total replacement cost of Class S water supply system facilities.

23  $r_{ms}$ : The loss ratio of Class S water supply system facilities in the M damage 24 level,

25  $P_{ms}(D|a)$ : The probability of Class S water supply system facilities experiencing 26 M damage level when peak ground acceleration is *a*.

According to the seismic hazard curve of Deyang City, combined with the seismic fragility of various facilities of the water supply system and the distribution of





various facilities assets of the water supply system, the 50-year exceeding
probabilities of 63%, 10% and 2% were respectively predicted, which corresponded
to the earthquake disaster losses of the water supply system in Deyang City when the
intensity was VI, VII and VIII. The actual earthquake losses and predicted losses are
shown in Table 7.

_	Table / La	ai inquake aisaster Es	035 Treatenon of Deg	ang water Suppry System
Intensity		Actual losses	Predicted losses	50 waar awaa din a mahahilitu (0/)
	Intensity	(10,000 yuan)	(10,000 yuan)	50-year exceeding probability (%)
	VI		613	63
	VII	3500	3394	10
	VIII		5634	2

Table 7 Earthquake disaster Loss Prediction of Deyang Water Supply System

7

6

The probability of occurrence of intensity VI and VII in Deyang City is 39.24% 8 and 24.63%, respectively, which are one to two orders of magnitude higher than the 9 probabilities of occurrence of other intensities. This indicates that the seismic 10 11 intensity threat in Deyang City in the next 50 years mainly comes from intensity VI and VII. Although the exceeding probability of degree VI is 63%, which belongs to 12 the level of frequent seismic motion, the predicted loss of degree VI is less than that 13 of degree VII by one order of magnitude, and the destructive effect is relatively small. 14 Although the predicted loss of degree VIII is greater than that of degree VII, the 15 exceeding probability of degree VIII is only 2%, which belongs to the level of rare 16 seismic motion. Therefore, the seismic risk faced by Deyang City is mainly the 17 earthquake loss caused by intensity VII. The predicted loss of intensity VII in Deyang 18 City is 33.94 million yuan, which is more consistent with the actual loss of 35 million 19 yuan caused by Wenchuan earthquake. This confirms the reliability of the seismic 20 fragility function proposed in this article. 21

22

#### 23 3 Seismic risk distribution based on loss (rate) expectation in water

#### 24 supply systems

Using the seismic hazard analysis method, the seismic fragility model of water supply system and the distribution of fixed assets introduced in Part 2, the loss expectation and loss rate expectation of earthquake disaster in a certain area at different time scales were calculated. In the scale of future t years, the full probability of the class s water supply system facilities experiencing m damage level is:

30 
$$PDf_{ms} = \int P_{ms} (D|a) f_t(a) da \qquad (10)$$

31  $PDf_{ms}$ : Full probability of the class s water supply system facilities experiencing 32 m damage level in future t years,

33  $P_{ms}(D/a)$ : The probability of Class S water supply system facilities experiencing





1)

- 1 M damage level when peak ground acceleration is *a*.
- 2  $f_t(a)$ : Probability density function of peak ground acceleration in future t-year 3 scale.

At the scale of t years in the future, the loss expectation of water supply system facilities caused by the peak ground acceleration of various intensities that may occur in the local area is expressed as the sum of the product of direct loss when the s-class water supply system facilities experience m damage level and the full probability. The calculation model is:

$$E[L_t] = \sum_{s} \sum_{m} (W_s r_{ms}) PDf_{ms}$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

10  $E[L_t]$  is water supply systems loss expectation in the future t years.

11  $W_s$  is total replacement cost of s-class water supply system facilities (s-class 12 total fixed assets).

13  $r_{ms}$  is the loss ratio of s-class water supply system facilities in the m damage 14 level.

In the scale of t years in the future, the loss expectation of water supply system facilities caused by peak ground accelerations of various intensities that may occur in the local area divided by the total cost of resetting the water supply system facilities in the local area is loss rate expectation:

19 
$$E[R_t] = \frac{E[L_t]}{\sum_s W_s}$$
(12)

2	n	
Z	υ	

9

China's capital circle, southern Liaoning, north-south seismic belt, northwestern 21 Xinjiang, Yangtze River Delta and Pearl River Delta regions, and most provincial 22 23 capital cities have high seismic loss expectations. The high level of seismicity and the high risk of seismic hazard are the main reasons for Xinjiang and the north-south 24 seismic belt; The eastern region is due to its developed economy, high level of 25 urbanization, abundant water supply system facilities, and high exposure of disaster 26 27 bearing bodies; The capital circle and southern Liaoning region are the results of the combination of seismic hazard and exposure of disaster bearing bodies. The top 10 28 cities in descending order of loss expectation are Beijing, Kunming, Tianjin, Shanghai, 29 30 Guangzhou, Guyuan, Shenyang, Chengdu, Ningbo, and Xi'an. Among them, mega cities may not necessarily be in seismic hazard areas, such as Shanghai and 31 Guangzhou, mainly due to the large stock of water supply networks in mega cities and 32 33 the high asset value affecting loss expectations. Cities with high loss rate expectation 34 are generally located in seismic hazard areas or have high seismic fragilities, not only affected by the large stock of water supply networks and high assets; Moreover, the 35 loss rate expectation is expected to have exponential characteristics, which can be 36 37 used as a regional seismic risk index to compare the seismic risk between cities.





supply system or a certain facility in different time scales due to the seismic hazard
probabilities, the 10-year scale and 100-year scale standards adopt the 50-year scale
seismic loss rate expectation index classification standard.

For the 50-year scale, considering that the seismic loss rate expectation of the water supply system is independent, when determining the classification standard of the seismic loss rate expectation index of the water supply system, this paper divided the classification standard of the seismic loss rate expectation index of the water supply system according to the principle that the number of cities in all categories accounts for basically the same proportion.

10 The seismic loss rate expectation of the water supply system can be used as the 11 regional seismic risk index to compare the seismic risk between cities, so as to carry

- 12 out the seismic risk assessment for the regional water supply systems.
- 13 Table 8 Grade classification standard of seismic loss rate expectation index of regional water

	supply systems					
Classificatio n of loss rate expectation	А	В	С	D	E	
Loss rate expectation index	[0.085-1.0)	[0.030-0.085)	[0.018-0.030)	[0.0075-0.018)	(0-0.0075)	
Risk level	Very high	High	Medium	Low	Very Low	
Symbol color	Red	Orange	Yellow	Blue	Green	

15







Figure 12 Distribution Map of 10-year seismic loss expectation of Water Supply Systems in
 720 cities in Chinese Mainland





Figure 13 Distribution Map of 50-year seismic loss expectation of Water Supply Systems in 720 cities in Chinese Mainland





Figure 14 Distribution Map of 100-year seismic loss expectation of Water Supply Systems in 720 cities in Chinese Mainland











4

5 Figure 16 Distribution Map of 50-year seismic loss rate expectation of Water Supply Systems

1





in 720 cities in Chinese Mainland



## 2 3 4

5

# Figure 17 Distribution Map of 100-year seismic loss rate expectation of Water Supply Systems in 720 cities in Chinese Mainland

6 The seismic loss rate expectation in the capital circle, southern Liaoning (Beijing, 7 Tianjin, Hebei, Shanxi and Southern Liaoning), north-south seismic belt (Gansu, 8 Qinghai, Ningxia, Shaanxi, Sichuan and Yunnan), northwest Xinjiang, and southeast 9 coastal areas (Fujian Guangdong border area, Taiwan Strait, Haikou City, Hainan Province) is expected to be higher. This result is consistent with the research results of 10 seismic hazard and key monitoring and defense areas in China from 2006 to 2020. As 11 shown in Figure 18. Because the above areas are located in the seismic zone, 12 13 seismicity is frequent and the seismic hazard is high.







Figure 18 Seismic hazard and key monitoring and defense areas in China from 2006 to 2020

## 4 Conclusion

1 2

3

4

5 This paper proposed an assessment model based on loss expectation and loss rate 6 expectation for seismic risk assessment of water supply system in Chinese Mainland. 7 This model solves the different needs of government departments for the risk level of 8 seismic risk in the water supply system, and provides technical support for the risk 9 zonation and risk mapping of earthquake disaster in the water supply system. The 10 specific conclusions obtained through this study are as follows:

11 1) Based on multi-source basic data such as urban industry yearbook, seismic zonation, seismic code, population GDP and historical earthquake damage data, a 12 basic database for seismic risk assessment of 720 urban water supply systems in 13 14 Chinese Mainland was established. The probability density functions of peak ground 15 acceleration were calculated by using the seismic hazard analysis method, and the parameters of the seismic risk curves of 720 cities were calculated. The seismic 16 damage matrix of pipelines and facilities is obtained based on the actual seismic 17 18 damage through statistical calculation, and the seismic fragility curves of various facilities in the water supply system were given based on the logarithmic normal 19 20 distribution model.

21 2) The risk index of earthquake disaster is the result of the joint action of 22 earthquake occurrence probability, vulnerability and exposure. The seismic loss rate 23 expectation index is used as the seismic risk assessment index to evaluate the water 24 supply systems. The grading evaluation criteria of risk index (A-E) were established, 25 and the distribution maps of seismic loss expectation and the classification maps of 26 loss rate expectation index of 720 urban water supply systems in Chinese Mainland in 27 medium and long-term were given.





3) According to the conclusion that the region where the cities with risk levels A 1 and B are located is more consistent with the research results of China's seismic 2 3 hazard and key monitoring and defense areas from 2006 to 2020, it shows that the seismic risk assessment of regional water supply systems is highly correlated with the 4 5 medium and long-term earthquake prediction results, which is suitable for the medium 6 and long-term risk assessment, and verifies the rationality and applicability of the 7 model proposed in this paper. In particular, we should strengthen the prevention and 8 control of seismic risk in key cities in North China, Northwest China, Southwest 9 China and South Northeast China, and improve the seismic capacity of water supply systems and facilities in these key risk cities. 10

11

# 12 Data availability

The datasets used in the study were derived from the following resources 13 14 available in the public domain: Communiqué of the National Bureau of Statistics of the People's Republic of China on Major Figures of the 2010 Population Census, 15 Statistical Yearbook of Urban Water Supply (2009-2018), GB50011-2010Code for 16 seismic design of buildings (2010), Summary report on scientific investigation of 17 earthquake damage in Wenchuan earthquake, GB18306-2015Seismic ground motion 18 19 parameters zonation map of China. (2015). Site category data was calculated through BP neural network method. Seismic hazard control points were calculated using 20 21 CPSHA method. Both site category and seismic hazard control points data are classified and could not be available in the public domain. 22

# 23 Author contributions

Tianyang Yu initiated the research. Tianyang Yu and Banghua Lu gathered the
data. Tianyang Yu analyzed the data and plotted the maps and graphs. Tianyang Yu
wrote the manuscript draft. Hui Jiang and Zhi Liu reviewed the manuscript.

# 27 **Competing interests**

The contact author has declared that none of the authors have any competing interests.

#### 30 Acknowledgement

This research is supported by "National Natural Science Foundation of China
 (U1901602) "and "Youth Earthquake Research Fund of Guangdong Earthquake
 Agency (GDDZY202308) ".

34

### 35 **References**

 Gao Mengtan, Urban Earthquake Catastrophe Insurance - Opportunities and Challenges, Keynote Speech at the Fourth China Reinsurance Summit Forum on Catastrophe Risk and Insurance, 2020.12.





- Nigg J., Perceptions of earthquake impacts and loss-reduction policy preferences among community residents and option leaders, Delaware: Disaster Research Center, University of Delaware, 1998.
- Han Yang, Study on seismic reliability of urban Buried pipeline network systems.
   Dalian University of Technology Doctor's Degree Thesis, 2002.
- He Weihua. Reflection on the Damage of Water Supply Networks Induced by the
   Wenchuan "5.12" Earthquake. Urban Water Supply and Drainage, 2009, 35 (12):
   7-11.https://doi.org/10.13789/j.cnki.wwe1964.2009.12.012
- 9 5. Summary report on scientific investigation of earthquake damage in Wenchuan
  earthquake. Institute of Engineering Mechanics, CEA, Harbin, 2009.
- Brozovic N, Sunding D L and Zilberman. Estimating business and residential
   water supply interruption losses from catastrophic events. Water resources
   Research, 2007, 43(8): 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005WR004782
- Jiang Hui, Guo Endong and Lin Xuchuan. A new exploration of the risk assessment method of earthquake disasters in urban agglomerations: Taking the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area as an example. ACTA SEISMOLOGICA SINICA, 2022,44(5): 868-877.
   https://doi.org/10.11939/jass.20220096.
- Statistical Yearbook of Urban Water Supply (2009-2018). China Urban Water
   Supply and Drainage Association, Beijing.
- GB50011-2010Code for seismic design of buildings. (2010). Ministry of Housing
   and Urban-Rural Development of the People's Republic of China, Beijing.
- 10. National Bureau of Statistics of China. (2011). Communiqué of the National
   Bureau of Statistics of the People's Republic of China on Major Figures of the
   2010 Population Census. BEIJING REVIEW, 54(22):4-6.
- GB18306-2015Seismic ground motion parameters zonation map of China. (2015).
   General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine of the
   People's Republic of China, Beijing.
- 12. Allen, T. I., and Wald, D. J. (2007). Topographic slope as a proxy for seismic site-conditions (Vs30) and amplification around the globe. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America 97, 1379-1395. https://doi.org/10.1785/0120060267.
- 13. Shi, D. C. (2009). Study on New Methods of Site Classification Based on GIS.
  Harbin: IEM.
- 14. Institute of Engineering Mechanics, CEA. Earthquake damage in Haicheng.Seismological Press, Beijing, 1979.
- 15. Chen Yong, Chen Qifu and Chen Ling. Vulnerability Analysis in Earthquake
   Loss Estimate. EARTHQUAKE RESEARCH IN CHINA, 1999.6. https://doi.org/
   10.1023/A:1011181803564.
- 16. Cornell C A. Engineering seismic risk analysis. Bulletin of the Seismological
  Society of America, 1968, 58(5): 1583-1606. https://doi.org/
  10.1785/BSSA0580051583.
- 43 17. Wen Manhua. Application Practice of Mapping Method of Seismic Ground
  44 Motion Parameter Zonation Map of China (GB18306-2015) in Yunnan Area.
  45 JOURNAL OF SEISMOLOGICAL RESEARCH,2017,40(2):257-263.
- 46 18. Gao Mengtan and Lu Shoude. The Discussion on Principles of Seismic Zonation
  47 of the Next Generation. 2006, 1(1):1-6.





- Lei Jiancheng, Gao Mengtan and Lv Hongshan. Ratios between peak ground
   accelerations under different hazard levels in Sichuan and adjacent region. ACTA
   SEISMOLOGICA SINICA, 2010, 32(5):588-599.
- 4 20. GB/T24336-2009Classification of Earthquake Damage Levels for Lifeline
   5 Engineering. (2009). China Standard Press, Beijing.
- 6 21. Chen Libo, Zheng Kaifeng and Zhuang Weilin. Analytical Investigation of
  7 Bridge Seismic Vulnerability in Wenchuan Earthquake. JOURNAL OF
  8 SOUTHWEST JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, 2012, 47(4): 558-565.
- 22. Chen Bo, Wen Zengping and Zhao Wenzhe. Curve Fitting Approach to Obtain
  Fragility Curve from Building Damage Matrix Based on the Seismic Ground
  Motion Parameters. Journal of seismological research, 2018, 41(4): 613-620.
- 23. Fan Wenting. Investment budget and economic evaluation of Toutun River
  pipeline water supply project. Water Science Engineering and Technology , 2020,
  1: 48-49.
- Nong Weiwen. Selection of Pipe Materials for Urban Water Supply Pipeline.
   Coastal enterprises and science and technology, 2006, 8: 75-77.
- 25. Yin Zhiqian and Yang Shuwen. Earthquake loss analysis and fortification
   standards. Beijing: Earthquake Publishing House, 2004.