



Assessing the impact of climate change to-landslides using public data, a case study from Vejle, Denmark

Kristian Svennevig¹, Julian Koch¹, Marie Keiding¹, Gregor Luetzenburg^{1,2}

¹ Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland, GEUS, Copenhagen, Denmark

5 ² Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

Corresponding to Kristian Svennevig (ksv@geus.dk)

Abstract

The possibility of increased landslide activity as a result of climate change has often been suggested, but few studies quantify this connection. Here, we present and utilize a workflow for using publicly available data to assess the impact of future changes in the climate conditioning factors on landslide movement. In our case of three slow-moving coastal landslides at Vejle, Denmark the examined dynamic conditioning factor is modelled water table depth (WTD). The DK-HIP-model simulates historic and future WTD and the data shows a clear correlation with landslide movement as recorded by InSAR time series, for the period 2015 to 2019. Movement occurs

- 15 especially during wet winter seasons when normalized WTD exceeds +0.5 m. In dry winters no, or very little, seasonal landslide movement is observed. The DK-HIP-model predicts an increase of up to 0.7 m in WTD at the field site by 2100 AD under the RCP8.5 scenario (95% confidence). With such an increase, the WTD will exceed levels above what this area has experienced in recent decades. This will result in increased landslide activity and acceleration of movement. In a previous episode of increased landslide activity in early 1980'ies (1981 was the then
- 20 wettest year on record), one of the examined landslides accelerated, causing damage to infrastructure and buildings. Our study highlights the potential of utilizing high-quality, publicly available data to address complex scientific questions. The quality and quantity of such data is ever increasing and so is the potential of such approach.

1 Introduction

Landslides can have devastating impacts on infrastructure and human lives in areas with steep topography (Froude and Petley, 2018; Mateos et al., 2020). To mitigate these consequences, it is crucial to understand the temporal occurrence and (re-)activation of landslide movement (Pollock and Wartman, 2020). Climate change has been shown to increase the activity of landslides (Gariano and Guzzetti, 2016; Crozier, 2010), but relatively few studies have quantified the extent of this effect.

Conditioning factors for landslides can be divided into static conditioning factors: those that do not change in time,
 such as lithology and the structural setting, and dynamic conditioning factors; those that change the stability of the slope (preparing factors) and control the timing (triggering factors) of slope failures (Hermanns et al., 2006). Long





time series of fluctuations in dynamic conditioning factors, coupled with data on landslide movement, are powerful indicators to examine thresholds for landslide movement. Dynamic conditioning factors may include measured or modeled fluctuations in precipitation (Coe et al., 2004; Kashyap et al., 2021; Handwerger et al., 2022; Dixon and

Brook, 2007), water table depth (WTD) (van Asch et al., 2009), permafrost conditions in polar (Svennevig et al., 2022, 2023) and alpine regions (Magnin et al., 2019, 2017; Penna et al., 2023), snow melt (Moreiras et al., 2012), earthquakes (Saba et al., 2010), landslide toe erosion (Alberti et al., 2022), and changes in land cover (Van Beek and Van Asch, 2004). Movement can be constrained in a number of ways, based both on ground and in-situ measurements (Uhlemann et al., 2016), or remote sensing observations (Scaioni et al., 2014). However, the data used in these studies have often been limited in scope and public availability.

Precipitation can be a dynamic conditioning factor for the (re-)activation of landslides when water infiltrates into the ground and raises the ground water table (Handwerger et al., 2019). As a result, the effective normal stress is lowered and the frictional strength of the hillslope is reduced (Terzaghi, 1950). Hydrological drivers of landslide movement are controlled by irregular peak rainfall events and more regular seasonal patterns during wet seasons

- 45 (Bennett et al., 2016). An associated increase in temperature raises the evapotranspiration, leading to an intensification of the hydrological cycle and a more dynamic shallow water table depth (Collison et al., 2000). Future changes in seasonal precipitation regimes will lead to increased landslide activity (Gariano and Guzzetti, 2016). However, it is difficult to constrain general landslide sensitivity to precipitation due to diverse, site-specific conditioning factors (Handwerger et al., 2022).
- 50 The amount of public available geodata is ever increasing (e.g. Vitousek et al., 2023). In recent years, space-born InSAR time series have proven to be a strong tool for covering large areas with high temporal resolution, and the European Ground Motion Service (EGMS) has made such data freely available for most of Europe (Costantini et al., 2022). Moreover, forward modeling of dynamic conditioning factors is increasingly being conducted for local sites (e.g. Magnin et al., 2017; Peres and Cancelliere, 2018). Nationwide freely available datasets such as high resolution water table depth modeling are also becoming more widely available (e.g. Henriksen et al. (2020) and Koch et al.
- (2021) used in the present study).

With this increasing availability of new public data in mind, we set out to answer the question: How will large coastal landslides respond to future climate change? And how far can we get towards answering this question using freely and publicly available data?

- 60 Compared to many other European countries, relatively little quantitative research has been conducted on landslides in Denmark (Herrera et al., 2018; Mateos et al., 2020; Svennevig and Keiding, 2020; Svennevig et al., 2020). However, a recent study found 3202 landslides in Denmark (Luetzenburg et al., 2022), some of which are in close proximity to developed areas and may pose a threat to infrastructure and livelihoods (Svennevig et al., 2020). One such area is at Mørkholt, a site in Vejle Municipality in eastern Jutland where three large coastal landslides with
- 65 houses and infrastructure on top were identified. These landsldies have good InSAR reflectors and are covered by





the freely available national water resources model of Denmark, the DK-model. It is thus a good site to address the above research question and to implement the workflow to shed light on the potential impacts of climate change on landslides in Denmark, while at the same time examining the potential of publicly available data. We do this by firstly measure weekly landslide movement rates of three deep-seated coastal landslides over six years based on

70

Sentinel 1 Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar. Secondly, we identify dynamic conditioning factors that lead to the landslide movement. Thirdly, we discuss consequence of changes of the dynmaic conditioning factors for landslide movement rates in the context of climate change.







Figure 1. [2 columns] Setting. a) overview map of Denmark showing position of b). b) Regional map of the prequaternary geology from Håkansson and Pedersen (1992) overlain by a shaded Digital Elevation Model (DEM) and mapped landslides from Luetzenburg et al. (2022) showing position of c) and d). c) orthophoto from 2021 and d)
DEM and hillshade from 2018 of the field area (Geodatastyrelsen 2023). Mapped landslide morphologies are shown with dashed lines: red are active backscarps and green are internal scarps and fractures or inactive backscarps. The dashed line off the coast of the Svinget landslide is a dark lineament on the seabed from uplifted

80 black clay. Springs observed during fieldwork in June 2021 are indicated with blue dots. The positions of photos in Fig. 4 are indicated on d) along with the positions of the geothermal well DGUnr 125. 2169 (marked with X).

1.1 Geographic and geological setting

The physiography and near surface geology of Denmark is shaped by several Quaternary glaciations and interglacial periods. The landscape in eastern Jutland consists of sub- and proglacial landforms modified by postglacial fluvial and coastal processes as well as human activity. At the Mørkholt field site, up to tens of metres thick glacial and interglacial deposits cover pre-Quaternary bedrock of unconsolidated Paleogene siliciclastic fluvial and marine deposits of sand, silt and cla

The detailed subsurface geology at the Mørkholt field site is poorly known. Heilmann-Clausen et al. (1985) and
Rasmussen et al. (2010) describe clays of the Upper Oligocene – Lower Miocene Vejle Fjord Formation from the area. This conforms with the 1:500 000 scale pre-Quaternary bedrock map of Denmark (Håkansson and Pedersen, 1992) indicating the lower part of Miocene at Mørkholt (Fig 1b). In the national drill hole database Jupiter a geothermal well (DGUnr 125. 2169) has been logged in the central part of the field area (Fig 1d). This shows a succession of more than 84 m of marly mudstone, 14 m of black clay topped by 2 m of fine silty sand.

95 Denmark is in the North Temperate climate zone and mean temperature ranges between 1°C in January and February and 17°C in July. Mean annual precipitation in Vejle is 766 mm/y which is distributed across the year but more intense in the fall season.

1.2 Landslide setting

- 100 Three landslides hosting 47 houses have been mapped on the east facing coastal slope at the Mørkholt field site (Fig. 1c, d): the Mørkholt landslide (27 houses on top), the Svinget landslide (14 houses on top) and the Gimlegrunden landslide (six houses on top). The overall morphological mapping is based on identification of steep (35°–50°) arcuate backscarps in a Digital Elevation Model (DEM) from 2018 supplemented with mapping of internal morphologies and field validation. Additional landslides are mapped in the areas north-west and south of the three
- 105 landslides we focus on. These are relict landslides with smoother morphologies and no indication of recent activity. The Mørkholt landslide is the largest of the three: 510 m wide (north – south), stretching 130 m inland from the coast, covering 58 000 m² onshore, with an unknown extent into sea. The backscarp is clearly defined by an up to 8 m high arcuate 40° slope. The scarp is highest in the central part and decreases to 5 m to the north and south. The





Svinget landslide is morphologically not as well defined as the Mørkholt landslide and a clear single backscarp
cannot be identified. The area of the landslide eould be constrained by a series of 30°-60° steep up to 6 m high
slopes and is 470 m wide and stretches 90 m from the shore and inland. It encompasses an onshore area of 22 000 m². A data lineament is visible on the seabed 35 m from the shore which could correspond to the toe of the landslide giving it a total width of 125 m. The Gimlegrunden landslide is well defined in the DEM as a 115 by 55 m arcuate depression in the coastal slope encompassing an onshore area of 6 000 m². It is delimited by an up to 4.5 m high and 55° sloping backscarp. Based on the above, we classify the three landslides as deep (>5-20 m) rotational clay slides

115 55° sloping backscarp. Based on the above, we classify the three landslides as deep (>5–20 m) rotational clay slides ("soil slump" sensu Hungr et al., 2014).

2 Data and methods

The workflow presented in Fig. 2 makes it possible to do climate forecasting of landslides based on publicly available data summarized in table 1. The workflow diagram shows all the steps from identification of landslides and assessment of landslide activity (step 1) over analysis of potential thresholds in dynamic conditioning factors (step 2) to climate forecasting of landslide activity (step 3). Step 1 is by now a relatively standard procedure (Herrera et al., 2018; Luetzenburg et al., 2022) while step 2 and 3 are novel and the focus of this paper. We have used general terms to describe the input data to make the flowchart applicable to cases where other types of

125 displacement data and dynamic conditioning factors are at play. The flowchart is thus intended as a blueprint for the near future when publicly available data applicable for assessing the climatic thresholds for landslides will increase in quality and quantity.



Figure 2 [single column] Generalized workflow for identifying landslides and estimating climatic thresholds. The
focus of this paper is steps 2 and 3. We have used general terms to describe the input data to make the flowchart applicable to cases where other types of displacement data and dynamic conditioning factors are at play. The





specific data used in the present paper is shown in green brackets and in Table 1. DOD stands for Digital Elevation Model of Difference.

Name/type	Spatial	Temporal	Workflow	Application	Data availability
	resolution	resolution	step (Fig. 2)		
SDFI 2014	0.4 cm	N/A	1B	DOD	https://eng.sdfi.dk/data/the-danish-
DEM					elevation-model-dk-dem
					(Accessed April 2 nd 2023)
SDFI 2018	0.4 cm	N/A	1A	Morphological	https://eng.sdfi.dk/data/the-danish-
DEM				mapping and	elevation-model-dk-dem
				DOD	(Accessed April 2 nd 2023)
2014-2018	0.4 cm	4 years	1B	Detection of	Produced from the above
DOD				landslide	
				activity: vertical	
				movement	
Field	N/A	N/A	1A,B	Field validation	
observations					
Historical			1B,2,3	Understanding	
accounts				of a potential	
				"worst case"	
				development	
EGMS	N/A	6 days	1B,2	Movement time	https://land.copernicus.eu/pan-
InSAR		From		series, where	european/european-ground-motion-
		2015 to		reflectors are	service
		2021		available	(Accessed January 5th 2023)
DK-model	100 m	Daily	2,3	WTD time	https://hip.dataforsyningen.dk
(HIP –		hindcast:		series	(Accessed April 2 nd 2023)
model)		1990 to			
		2019			
		Daily			
		forecast:			
		2071-			
		2100			
DMI Gridded	10 km	Daily	2	Precipitation	Available through DMI's api.
precipitation		sum:		time series	https://www.dmi.dk/vejrarkiv
data					(Accessed March 6 th 2023)





	1989 to		
	2021		

135 Table 1 overview of data used for this study in the workflow presented in Fig 2.

2.1 Lidar DEM and DEM of Difference (DoD)

The Danish digital elevation model (DEM) is provided by the Danish Agency for Data Supply and Infrastructure (SDFI) (Geodatastyrelsen 2023). The dataset is produced from airborne laser scanning data processed to a spatial resolution of 40 cm (https://eng.sdfi.dk/data/the-danish-elevation-model-dk-dem, Accessed April 2nd 2023). For the

140 resolution of 40 cm (<u>https://eng.sdfi.dk/data/the-danish-elevation-model-dk-dem,</u> Accessed April 2nd 2023). For the area around Mørkholt, the data was acquired in April and May 2018. Vegetation and buildings have been automatically removed from the dataset resulting in a digital elevation model. The 2018 DEM is the basis for the morphological mapping in step 1A of the workflow (Fig. 2) to initially identify the landslides and their extent. This mapping was assisted by orthophotos with a resolution of 12.5 cm also available from SDFI (Luetzenburg et al.,

145 2022).

A nationwide Lidar DEM like the one from 2018 was also produced in 2014 (Table 1). By subtracting the two, a DEM of difference (DoD) was produced enabling us to evaluate the vertical change in elevation (subsidence, erosion and deposition) between the two acquisitions. Slope parallel transport in the landslides is thus not resolved by this method. Automatic registering was applied to the two DEM's, to account for minor discrepancies between

- 150 the two datasets (Nuth and Kääb, 2011). Data was processed in the open source GIS platform QGIS. The result is a 40 cm DoD showing change in elevation down to vertical precision of 1.4 cm between the two acquisition dates. This enables us to effectively detect the spatial extent of vertical changes between the two datasets of down to c. 25 mm/y and thus establish landslide activity in the examined period (step 1B in fig. 2). The spatial resolution is much denser than the point data obtained from InSAR, and the DoD can thus be used to validate whether the InSAR anomalies are indeed representing landslide movement.

2.2 InSAR product

Observations of terrain movement are freely available from the European Ground Motion Service (EGMS, <u>https://land.copernicus.eu/pan-european/european-ground-motion-service</u> Accessed January 5th 2023) (Costantini et

- 160 al., 2022). The data is provided as points and is initially used to screen for a spatial scan for landslide movement in step 1B of the workflow (Fig. 2). In step 2 the time series stored in each individual spatial point is used for a correlation analysis with the dynamic conditioning factor (WTD), see section 2.6. The terrain movements are calculated using Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR), a technique that uses radar measurements of the Earth's surface from polar orbiting satellites (Crosetto et al., 2016; Rosen et al., 2000; Ferretti et al., 2001; Crosetto
- 165 et al., 2020). The EGMS uses freely available raw data from the Sentinel-1 satellites of the EU Copernicus Earth Observation Program. For our study area, at the time of writing, it covers the time period from 2015 to 2020 but periodical updates are planned. The terrain movements are measured in line-of-sight (LOS) to the satellites; hence





the measurements do not provide the absolute vector of movement, but only the part of the movement that projects into the LOS direction. Here, we use data from ascending, i.e., north-going tracks 44 and 117. The ascending

- 170 satellites look towards ENE, which means that negative movements in LOS indicate movement toward east and down (Fig. 3). By assuming a movement direction of 70°–80° and a slope parallel dip of 30°–40°, the LOS records 90%–100% of the actual movement. Thus, the ascending satellite geometry is very well suited to study landslide movement at the Mørkholt coast and we can assume that the movement rates obtained from this method is the actual movement. The movement is reported both as a mean LOS velocity (Fig. 3) and a time series of each InSAR data
- 175 point (e.g. Fig. 6). The time series data are smoothed using a rolling median with a window size of 90 days similar to e.g. Handwerger et al. (2022). Data are lacking for Gimlegrunden and Svinget landslides in the winter 2015/2016 due to an acquisition error observed in all 117A tracks across northern Europe. We have made a linear interpolation across this time period thus providing minimum movement rates in this period.
- 180 The satellite emits pulses of radar energy that are scattered and reflected by Earth's surface and recorded back at the satellite. This means that measurements are only obtained where objects at the Earth's surface provide stable reflections of the radar signal, such as bedrock outcrops, houses, and other infrastructure. Vegetated areas or areas with large surface change typically have little or no stable reflectors. Furthermore, very fast or non-linear terrain movements will prevent correlation of the reflected radar signal between acquisitions. In practice areas with LOS velocities faster than c. 40 mm/y will have no InSAR points in our area of interest.

2.3 Field visits and historical accounts

Field visits were carried out during a two-day inspection in June 2021 to verify remotely sensed observations of the landslide extent and examine potential signs of landslide activity in step 1A and 1B of the workflow (Fig. 2). These
observations are presented in the result section as photos and descriptions of geomorphological expressions of landslide activity. Accounts from residents and the local history archive have been gathered to constrain an earlier episode of fast landslide development. These include photos, eyewitness accounts and contemporaneous news items.

2.4 Groundwater modelling

195 Modelled (hindcast) Water Table Depth (WTD) is analysed as a potential dynamic conditioning factor for the landslides by correlation analysis with InSAR movement data (step 2 in Fig. 2). Forecasted WTD is used to examine the climate sensitivity of the landslide in step 3 of the workflow.

In Denmark, the national water resources model of Denmark, the DK-model, has been continuously developed for the past 25 years by the Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (Henriksen et al., 2003; Højberg et al., 2013).

200 The model represents physically-based descriptions of groundwater flow, surface water dynamics and groundwatersurface water interactions, integrating water demands for e.g. irrigation and domestic (household) use. The model is





build using the MIKE SHE model code (Abbott et al., 1986) and it is spatially distributed in a 500 m grid. A version at 100 m grid cell size has recently been produced as part of the Danish Hydrological Information and Prognosis system (HIP) (Henriksen et al., 2020). The groundwater simulations of the HIP implementation of the DK-model

- 205 build the basis of the presented work and the model is hereafter referred to as DK-HIP-model. We have analysed historical and future simulations of water table depth (WTD), defined as the depth below terrain to the uppermost water table. The historical WTD simulations are at 100 m resolution at daily time step from 1990 to 2019. The data is used to analyse the temporal WTD dynamics for the study area for the period 2015 to 2019, overlapping with the period of InSAR ground movement. Furthermore, long-term average summer and winter WTD maps at 10 m
- 210 resolution (Koch et al., 2021) are used to screen the study site for areas with a distinct WTD seasonality. Climate change impact simulations are analysed for Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP)4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios at 500 m spatial resolution that quantify the changes of WTD for the end of the 21st century. RCP4.5 is the pathway of low to moderate emission throughout the 21st century as defined by IPCC while RCP8.5 is the scenario of very high future emission towards the year 2100 as defined by IPCC. All WTD data presented in this paper are publicly
- 215 available via the DK-HIP-model data portal (<u>https://hip.dataforsyningen.dk/</u>Accessed April 2nd 2023). The national simulations were subsetted to match the domain of the study area.

The WTD simulations, obtained from the DK-HIP-model data portal, underwent a number of processing steps prior to the final analysis. A single WTD timeseries at monthly timestep, representing the aggregated groundwater dynamics for the entire study area, has been derived from daily WTD simulation results from 16 selected 100 by 100

- 220 m grids (Fig. 5). DK-HIP-model simulations are subject to uncertainty (mean error < 1m for validation period) and the model was not intended to be used at 100 m grid scale at individual grids. In order to mitigate this, we first normalize the simulation result by mean division and then aggregate in space and time to obtain a robust and realistic timeseries of WTD dynamics for the study area. The grids were selected based on two criteria, first, they had to be situated close to the coast in areas collocated with the mapped landslides, and second, the standard
- 225 deviation of the simulated WTD dynamics may not exceed 2 m, which constrains the analysis to a realistic groundwater simulation without drying out of simulation cells resulting in a water table that jumps between computational layers. Further, the 16 WTD timeseries were grid-wise normalized (division by mean) to represent the deviation around mean in order to obtain anomaly timeseries that are comparable with each other. Lastly, the timeseries were aggregated to monthly timescale using the mean function and the monthly variability was calculated
- 230 by the standard deviation across all grids. The climate change impact was obtained based on simulations at five selected 500 m by 500 m grids (Fig. 5) and the projected change in WTD was calculated as the average across the selected grids on monthly basis. The uncertainty associated to the climate change impact simulations was estimated as the mean climate model ensemble standard deviation. The climate change impact was simulated for both, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, for a far-future situation representing 2071–2100. The WTD seasonality, i.e., amplitude representing
- 235 the difference between dry summer and wet winter, was calculated based on the 10 m summer and winter WTD maps.





2.5 Precipitation data

We use precipitation data as an auxiliary variable in the analysis to investigate linkages between precipitation and
 landslide movement (step 2 in Fig. 3). The data originates from the 10 km by 10 km gridded precipitation dataset
 from the Danish Meteorological Institute (DMI) (Scharling, 1999). Data was extracted for a single 10 km grid cell
 which fully encompasses the study site. Data are available via DMI's free data API (https://www.dmi.dk/vejrarkiv/
 Accessed March 6th 2023). For the analysis we aggregated the daily precipitation timeseries to weekly values using
 the sum function.

245

2.6 Correlation analysis

Correlation analysis between movement data of the three landslides, WTD and precipitation was carried out in the R software package (A language and environment for statistical computing, 2023). Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (ρ) was calculated to investigate the extent of correlation between the WTD and the weekly LOS

250 movement of each landslide. Positive LOS movement rates were considered outliers and removed before the analysis. Spearman's rank correlation assesses the monotonicity of the relation between two variables which we favoured over Pearson correlation because of the expected non-linearity between WTD and InSAR landslide movement.





3 Results



255

Figure 3. [1 column] Landslide activity at the three landslides. DoD showing difference in elevation between 2014 and 2018 overlain with a hillshade model. InSAR points from tracks 44 and 117 are shown as points colored according to their mean LOS velocities during 2015–2020. The numbered InSAR points marked with arrows refer to the timeseries shown in Fig. 6. The extent of the map is the same as Fig. 1c and d

260

3.1 Spatial movement patterns of the landslides

For the Mørkholt landslide, the DoD shows vertical changes along the backscarp and at the coast of up to 650 mm/y. InSAR reflectors are present in the northern part of the landslide, but are absent in the central and southern part where DoD anomalies are evident. The largest recorded movement is observed near the eastern row of houses with 5, 10 mm/y. Field wide effective deformation are where the landslide and include structure deformation.

265 5–10 mm/y. Field evidence of active deformation are ubiquitous across the landslide and include structural damage





to fences and buildings, an open fracture with up to 30 cm vertical offset, an uplifted surface of black clay at the beach, rotated landslide blocks (Fig. 4), and springs (Fig. 1c, d).



270 Figure 4 [1 column] a) Field photo of a large beech tree (Fagus sylvatica) on the Mørkholt landslide. The tree has been tilted by progressive landslide activity as shown by the upward changing dip of the trunk demonstrating the progressive and long-lived nature of the landslide. A minor scarp and the backscarp of the Mørkholt landslide are seen to the left of the tree. Se Fig. 1d for location of photo. b) Photo taken in March 1981 at the head of the Svinget landslide after a month of accelerated landslide activity. The photographer is standing on the southern part of the





275 gravel road, the central part of which has subsided by 4–6 m over a month creating the present backscarp of the Svinget Landslide. Se Fig. 1d for location of photo. Photo courtesy of Lars Hansen

For the Svinget landslide, the DoD shows heterogenous movement across the landslide. Maximum subsidence of c. 70 mm/y are found in the northern part. Rotated landslide blocks bounded by steeper slopes of around 40° inside the

- 280 central and northern part of the landslide show a relative uplift in the DoD. The Svinget landslide has few InSAR points in the central part of the landslide, close to the coast, with movement of up to 25 mm/y. InSAR points in the southern part of the landslide show no or little movement. Only few signs of active deformation were observed, however, a brick-and-mortar house built across the backscarp in the northern part of the landslide was observed to be strongly damaged during June 2021 fieldwork. A local house owner explained that he re-levels his house every
- two to five years with a jack as landslide activity is tilting it, and that fractures appear in lawns and paths the same place every spring; near where InSAR data show highest movement rates (InSAR point ID "3WC5v2Vewp" in Fig. 3).

Historical records and information provided by local house owners informed us that the central part of the Svinget landslide had a period of rapid movement in the early 1980'ies mainly focused around February and March 1981.

- 290 The landslide movement continued for a couple of years with one of the houses close to the backscarp moving by a total of 18 m, and 40 m of the road going through the landslide were damaged and had to be abandoned (Fig. 4b). Today this scarp is outlined by the 120 m wide slope centrally in the Svinget landslide. In association with this landslide activity, a coast parallel bar of clay emerged in the sea c. 30 m from the shore. This feature was removed by wave erosion after some years, but a dark lineament on the seabed is still apparent in orthophotos (Fig. 1c). 1980
- 295 and 1981 were both extremely wet years with annual precipitation for both years being 100 mm above the Danish 1981–2010 normal (Cappelen, 2019). March of 1981 is the fourth wettest March on record in Denmark with total precipitation of 91 mm (www.dmi.dk).

The DoD does not show subsidence in the Gimlegrunden landslide. A DoD anomaly in the northern part of the landslide is probably due to excavation work. InSAR points are available throughout the landslide with movements

300 of up to 10 mm/y. Movement is more pronounced near the coast. No clear signs of active deformation could be observed in the field.

3.2 Temporal movement and dynamic conditioning factor pattern - InSAR movement and groundwater modelling results

305 The average WTD seasonality expressed as the difference between long-term average winter and summer WTD, varied between c. 0 m and 2 m for the study site (Fig. 5). Fig. 6a depicts the average WTD seasonality over the three landslides for a five-year period. The dynamics are characterized by shallow groundwater levels during winter and deep groundwater levels during summer. Depending on the year, the seasonality can vary between less than 1 m in 2017 to approximately 2 m in 2016 and 2018 (Fig. 6a). The highest WTD is simulated for the winters 2015/2016,





310 2017/18 and 2019/20 whereas the winters 2016/17 and 2018/19 have relatively deep normalized WTD. The WTD uncertainty for the study site also varies seasonally, with the highest variability found in months with the highest and lowest groundwater levels. Comparing the WTD seasonality shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6a underlines that a distinct variability in space (Fig. 5) as well as in time (Fig. 6a) exists. The WTD timeseries shown in Fig. 6a is averaged over 16 simulation grids (shown in Fig. 5) and aggregated from daily to monthly timescale.



315

Figure 5 [1 column] DK-HIP-model. Map showing the difference between the modelled summer and winter water table depth (WTD) in the study area for the period 1990 to 2019 downscaled following Koch et al. (2021). The small 100 m grid cells highlighted in black (n=16) are used to calculate the WTD in Fig. 6a. Larger (500 m) grid cells (n=5) are used to analyse the climate change impact on WTD in Fig 7. The map is overlain by a hillshade. Mapped

320 landslide backscarps are shown with black dashed lines. Springs observed during fieldwork in June 2021 are indicated with blue dots. Note that the map extent is slightly larger than that in Fig. 1c and d to show the 500 m grid cells.





Movement is observed exclusively in the winter seasons in the Mørkholt and Gimlegrunden landslides. For the
 325 Mørkholt landslide particularly large movements of 48 –72 mm/y are seen in the winters 2015/16, 2017/18 and 2019/20 (Fig. 6a). Our WTD time series does not include the entire 2019/20 season as the model only runs to December 2019. However, that winter was the wettest on record in Denmark. For the Svinget landslide, movement occurs throughout the year but at various rates, with the fastest movement of up to 84 mm/y in winter and early spring (Fig. 6a).

330 The WTD shows a strong correlation (ρ ranges between -0.54 and -0.67) with movement for all three landslides (Fig. 6b); when the water table is high, the weekly movement is also high and when the water table is low the weekly movement is also low. No correlation was found between the accumulated weekly precipitation and the InSAR movement of any of the three landslides.







335

Figure 6 [1 column]. a) Mean normalized WTD for the 16 selected 100 m grids (see Fig. 5) at monthly timescale and InSAR displacement data for three InSAR points within each landslide (see Fig. 3). Also shown is the weekly precipitation for the period. InSAR outliers disregarded for the running average calculation are coloured grey. Winter months (December, January, February) are shown as shaded grey bars to aid readability. b) Spearman's

340 rank correlation coefficient (ρ) derived from the WTD and the weekly movement for each of the three landslides. Blue indicates positive and red negative correlations with p < 0.01.

3.3 Climate modelling

To understand how climate change may impact future landslide activity, simulated groundwater levels for the study area were examined for the historic period 1990–2019 and for two climate scenarios RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 for the period 2071–2100. Fig. 7a depicts the long-term average and standard deviation of the historic WTD at monthly timescale for the 16 selected simulation grids shown in Fig. 5. The climate change impacts in Fig. 7b and c are averaged for the five 500 m simulation grids also shown in Fig. 5. WTD is expected to rise throughout the entire





year towards end of the 21st century. The rise in WTD is more pronounced for RCP8.5 than for RCP4.5. The rise in
WTD in the period 2071–2100 is most distinct during the winter months showing that we can expect wetter winters with a WTD in December up to 0.7 m higher relative to today following for the RCP8.5 (95% confidence interval) (red asterisk in Fig. 7c). The similar value for RCP4.5 (95% confidence interval) is 0.3 m (blue asterisk in Fig. 7b).



- Figure 7 [1 column]. Climate change scenarios. a) Monthly climatology for the historic period 1990–2019 for the normalized WTD 16 selected 100 m grids (Fig. 5). The mean +/- 68% and 95% confidence intervals are shown. The red and blue asterisks indicate the maximum WTD values within RCP4.5 and 8.5 within 95% confidence interval, also shown in b and c. b) Simulated change in WTD for the period 2071–2100 based on RCP4.5 with respect to the historic reference. The blue asterisk is the maximum expected WTD of up to 0.3 m mentioned in the text using this
- 360 RCP scenario. c) Simulated change in WTD for the period 2071–2010 based on RCP8.5 with respect to the historic reference. The red asterisk is the maximum expected WTD of up to 0.7 m mentioned in the text using this RCP scenario. The uncertainty bounds in b) and c) represent the standard deviation origination from using an ensemble of climate models in the impact simulation. Dotted and dashed lines in b) and c) represent the ensemble mean and are added to the historic climatology in a).





4 Discussion

4.1 WTD seasonality and landslide movement pattern

The observed landslide movement varies across and between seasons but is generally observed in the wet season (winter to early spring) with relatively shallow WTD (Fig. 6a). There is a clear correlation, for the whole timeseries

- 370 examined, between WTD and landslide movement (Fig. 6b) whereas it is not possible to establish a correlation between weekly precipitation and landslide movement. This is because the dynamics in WTD are a result of multiple hydrological processes taking place, such as, precipitation, evapotranspiration, recharge and runoff, which are all accounted for in the DK-HIP model (Henriksen et al., 2020). Our correlation analysis (Fig. 6b) shows that these processes need to be considered when studying landslide movement either by on site monitoring or, as in our
- 375 case, by modelling and remote sensing. This is at least valid for the temperate climate conditions of Denmark where rainfall is often evenly distributed over the year (see fig. 6a) and WTD dynamics are modulated by the seasonality of air temperature and thereby potential evapotranspiration. Under different climate conditions, e.g., where precipitation has a more distinct seasonality the correlation between terrain movement and precipitation may be higher (e.g. Cohen-Waeber et al., 2018; Handwerger et al., 2022; Wistuba et al., 2021).
- 380 In relatively dry winter/early spring seasons of 2016/2017 and 2018/2019, where the normalized WTD is generally below +0.5 m, seasonal movement is not observed for the three landslides. In the winter seasons of 2015/16, 2017/18 and 2019/20 the mean normalized WTD exceeded c. +0.5 m and landslide movement is observed. We thus estimate an empirical threshold for landslide movement for the three landslides is at c. +0.5 m normalized WTD. A more detailed investigation, preferable with in-situ measurements would be required to properly constrain a critical
- 385 WTD threshold for seasonal landslide movement.

A relationship between landslide movements and precipitation/WTD has been observed in several studies where precipitation has been found to be the main factor controlling seasonal activity in deeper slow-moving landslides (Iverson, 2000; Handwerger et al., 2019; van Asch and Buma, 1997; Corominas et al., 2005; Luna and Korup, 2022; van Asch et al., 1999). This is due to rainwater infiltrating the ground and raising the WTD (Iverson, 2000). As a

result, the effective normal stress is lowered on the basal surface of rupture and the frictional strength of the hillslope is reduced (Terzaghi, 1950).

4.2 Climate projections and landslide evolution

We can quantify an overall increase in mean WTD by 2071–2100 for both RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 (Figs. 7b, c). For
RCP8.5, the increase in WTD is predicted to be up to 0.7 m (95 % confidence interval), mainly focussed in the winter season (red asterisk in Fig. 7c). This trend will lead to wetter initial conditions meaning that less precipitation in an event will be required to achieve critical WTD levels and increased weight of the landslide body leading to decrease of the basal shear strength (Crozier 2010). This will overall lead to increased seasonal landslide activity.

If 0.7 m of WTD increase is applied to the dry seasons of 2016/17 and 2018/19, where normalized WTD in thewinter season under current conditions does not exceed +0.5 m, then the landslides would experience a WTD similar



405



to the one evident for the seasons 2015/16, 2017/18 and 2019/20 that are some of the wettest seasons (by precipitation) on record in Denmark to date (Cappelen, 2019).

The wet seasons of 2015/16, 2017/18 and 2019/20, exhibiting a normalized WTD above +0.5 m, would with increase in WTD of 0.7 m experience values that fall beyond the 95% confidence interval in Fig. 7a (red asterisk). WTD would thus exceed what this area has likely experienced in the modelled historical period 1990–2019.

The wet seasons of 2015/16, 2017/18, and 2019/20, with a normalized WTD greater than +0.5 m, would result in an elevated WTD of 0.7 m, exceeding the 2-standard deviation interval depicted in Fig. 7a (represented by a red asterisk) and surpassing historical modelled values from the period 1990–2019.

RCP4.5 predicts up to 0.3 m increase in normalized WTD in the winters at the end of this century (95 % confidence
interval, Fig. 7b). This will potentially elevate WTD of dry winters such as 2016/17 and 2018/19 up to above the +0.5 m threshold making them wetter than average WTD for the historic period (Fig. 7a). In wet years such as 2015/16, 2017/18 and 2019/20, WTD will potentially increase to within the 95% confidence interval of historic WTD levels (Fig. 7a) also surpassing most modelled historical WTD levels. Thus, the RCP4.5 scenario also points towards increasing landslide activity and likelihood of thresholds being breached.

- 415 The response of the landslides to such a breach of thresholds could be similar to the historical case of extreme sliding that occurred in the Svinget landslide in 1981. Here individual houses moved by 18 m over a year mainly focused on February and March (>1500 mm/month average over the year) leading to structural damages on roads and buildings. This occurred during the, at that time, wettest winter in Denmark only to be surpassed in recent decades (Cappelen, 2019). This event demonstrates that these landslides can accelerate from slow to moderate
- 420 velocities warranting evacuation (*sensu* Hungr et al., 2014) during extreme events, which we show are likely to increase in frequency.

Other dynamic conditioning factors for landslide movement such as increased coastal erosion caused by higher sea level and storm wave activity along with a projected increase in extreme rainfall events (Crozier 2010) are not included in our present analysis. However, these are also projected to change (see e.g DMI, 2021) in a direction that

425 is expected to accelerate landslide movement. Thus, all the main natural dynamic conditioning factors controlling landslide activity are shifting towards increasing landslide activity. In an area of low landslide activity such as Denmark (Herrera et al., 2018; Mateos et al., 2020; Svennevig et al., 2020) the net result is likely to be an increased landslide activity. This will pose new challenges to authorities, landowners and decision makers that have little or no experience in dealing with the consequences of landslides.

- 430 The impact of climate change on landslides has been investigated in several studies, with varying results. For example, Dixon and Brook (2007) found that the instability threshold of examined landslides could decrease under the medium-high climate change scenario, while Collison et al. (2000) found no significant change in the frequency of large landslides in SE England due to the projected increased rainfall being matched by increases in evapotranspiration. In contrast, Lin et al. (2022) discovered that the extent of landslide-susceptible terrain and the
- 435 frequency of landslide-triggering rainfall will increase under climate change in China, but noted a spatially





heterogeneous pattern. Peres and Cancelliere (2018) found a general tendency for a decrease in landslide hazard due to progressive climate change in a site in Italy. The differing outcomes of these and other studies along with our present contribution highlight the site and region-specific impacts of climate change on landslides.

440 4.3 Limitations and benefits of using free and publicly available data

The empirical threshold of +0.5 m WTD for landslide activation is not universal and can vary depending on sitespecific factors, such as topography, geology, and climate. However, the workflow outlined in Fig. 2 can be applied widely as larger datasets on dynamic conditioning become available. Our study is based on a limited dataset, and longer time periods would increase the robustness of our correlation analysis. InSAR data from EGMS are freely

445 available for all of Europe, with periodic updates planned to provide longer movement time series for analysis. Furthermore, the WTD model used here is planned to be rerun up to the present and future predictions refined, which will no doubt nuance our current findings.

Although this workflow based solely on remote data and modelling (Fig. 2) is not a substitute for on-site monitoring, it can serve as an initial screening process to inexpensively screen landslides for sensitivity to projected climate

- 450 change in areas where remote data on dynamic conditioning factors along with movement data are available. This information can help prioritize resources for further investigations and monitoring. However, in-depth studies on landslides, e.g., linking WTD and landslide movement, should preferably use local groundwater models calibrated with in situ measurements, as they can better incorporate local hydrogeology. Moreover, the DK-HIP-model was calibrated to average WTD conditions, so we recommend that local groundwater models be calibrated to better
- 455 represent extreme wet conditions, with a focus on the effects of high-intensity precipitation events on WTD, as landslide movement is sensitive to extreme WTD.

5 Conclusions

Seasonal activity in three large slow-moving coastal landslides in Denmark correlates with modelled changes in 460 water table depth (WTD). When normalized WTD exceeds +0.5 m in the winter season in wet years seasonal movement commences. Weekly precipitation data shows no correlation with landslide movement.

WTD is projected to increase by up to 0.7 m towards 2100 AD (RCP8.5, 95% confidence interval) in this area. These WTD values exceed what this area has experienced in the past decades (1990-2019) and this is likely to result in increasing landslide activity as the landslides equilibrates to the changing conditions. The RCP4.5 scenario also points to increased activity in the landslides.

465

A historic case from 1981 of accelerated landslide movement resulting in serious structural damage may serve as an example of an extreme event we will see more of in the future as a direct result of elevated WTD caused by climate change.





Our study highlights the potential of utilizing high-quality publicly available data to address complex scientific
 questions and presents a workflow for doing this. The quality and quantity of such data is ever increasing and so is the potential of such approach.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

475

Data availability

All data is publicly available from the links in section 2: Data and methods.

Funding

480 Field validation of the remotely sensed observations was conducted within a project financed by Vejle Municipality. GL has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 801199.

Acknowledgement

485 Residents of Mørkholt are thanked for their cooperation. Lars Hansen is thanked for information on the 1981 event and the use of photos he took during the event (Fig. 4b). Vejle Municipality is thanked for cooperation during fieldwork and aid in communication with local stakeholders. Two anonymous reviewers are thanked for their reviews.

490 CRediT authorship contribution statement

Kristian Svennevig: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal synthesis analysis; Writing – original draft and Review & Editing. Julian Koch: Formal analysis of the DK-HIP-model; Writing - Review & Editing. Marie Keiding: Formal analysis of InSAR; Writing - Review & Editing. Gregor Luetzenburg: Formal analysis of DoD and correlation analysis; Writing - Review & Editing.

495

References

Abbott, M. B., Bathurst, J. C., Cunge, J. A., O'Connell, P. E., and Rasmussen, J.: An introduction to the European Hydrological System — Systeme Hydrologique Europeen, "SHE", 1: History and philosophy of a physically-based, distributed modelling system, J. Hydrol., 87, 45–59, 1986.



515



500 Alberti, S., Olsen, M. J., Allan, J., and Leshchinsky, B.: Feedback thresholds between coastal retreat and landslide activity, Eng. Geol., 106620, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2022.106620, 2022.

van Asch, T. W. J. and Buma, J. T.: Modelling groundwater fluctuations and the frequency of movement of a landslide in the Terres Noires region of Barcelonnette (France), Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 22, 131–141, https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9837(199702)22:2<131::AID-ESP679>3.0.CO;2-J, 1997.

505 van Asch, T. W. J., Buma, J., and Van Beek, L. P. H.: A view on some hydrological triggering systems in landslides, Geomorphology, 30, 25–32, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(99)00042-2, 1999.

van Asch, T. W. J., Malet, J. P., and Bogaard, T. A.: The effect of groundwater fluctuations on the velocity pattern of slow-moving landslides, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 9, 739–749, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-9-739-2009, 2009.

510 Van Beek, L. P. H. and Van Asch, T. W. J.: Regional assessment of the effects of land-use change on landslide hazard by means of physically based modelling, Nat. Hazards, 31, 289–304, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:NHAZ.0000020267.39691.39, 2004.

Bennett, G. L., Roering, J. J., Mackey, B. H., Handwerger, A. L., Schmidt, D. A., and Guillod, B. P.: Historic drought puts the brakes on earthflows in Northern California, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 5725–5731, https://doi.org/doi:10.1002/2016GL068378, 2016.

Cappelen, J.: Ekstrem nedbør i Danmark - opgørelser og analyser til og med 2018, Copenhagen, 84 pp., 2019.

Coe, J. A., Michael, J. A., Crovelli, R. A., Savage, W. Z., Laprade, W. T., and Nashem, W. D.: Probabilistic assessment of precipitation-triggered landslides using historical records of landslide occurence, Seattle, Washington, Environ. Eng. Geosci., 10, 103–122, https://doi.org/10.2113/10.2.103, 2004.

520 Cohen-Waeber, J., Bürgmann, R., Chaussard, E., Giannico, C., and Ferretti, A.: Spatiotemporal Patterns of Precipitation-Modulated Landslide Deformation From Independent Component Analysis of InSAR Time Series, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 1878–1887, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075950, 2018.

Collison, A., Wade, S., Gri, J., and Dehn, M.: Modelling the impact of predicted climate change on landslide frequency and magnitude in SE England, Engenering Geol., 55, 205–218, 2000.

525 Corominas, J., Moya, J., Ledesma, A., Lloret, A., and Gili, J. A.: Prediction of ground displacements and velocities from groundwater level changes at the Vallcebre landslide (Eastern Pyrenees, Spain), Landslides, 2, 83–96, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-005-0049-1, 2005.

Costantini, M., Minati, F., Trillo, F., Ferretti, A., Passera, E., Rucci, A., Dehls, J., Larsen, Y., Marinkovic, P., Eineder, M., Brcic, R., Siegmund, R., Kotzerke, P., Kenyeres, A., Costantini, V., Proietti, S., Solari, L., and

530 Andersen, H. S.: EGMS: Europe-Wide Ground Motion Monitoring based on Full Resolution Insar Processing of All Sentinel-1 Acquisitions, in: IGARSS 2022 - 2022 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium,



550



5093-5096, https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS46834.2022.9884966, 2022.

Crosetto, M., Monserrat, O., Cuevas-González, M., Devanthéry, N., and Crippa, B.: Persistent Scatterer Interferometry: A review, ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens., 115, 78–89,

535 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2015.10.01, 2016.

Crosetto, M., Solari, L., Mróz, M., Balasis-Levinsen, J., Casagli, N., Frei, M., Oyen, A., Moldestad, D. A., Bateson, L., Guerrieri, L., Comerci, V., and Andersen, H. S.: The evolution of wide-area DInSAR: From regional and national services to the European ground motion service, Remote Sens., 12, 1–20, https://doi.org/10.3390/RS12122043, 2020.

540 Crozier, M. J.: Deciphering the effect of climate change on landslide activity: A review, Geomorphology, 124, 260–267, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.04.009, 2010.

Dixon, N. and Brook, E.: Impact of predicted climate change on landslide reactivation: Case study of Mam Tor, UK, Landslides, 4, 137–147, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-006-0071-y, 2007.

DMI Klimaatlas: https://www.dmi.dk/klima-atlas/data-i-klimaatlas/, last access: 30 January 2023.

545 Ferretti, A., Prati, C., and Rocca, F.: Permanent Scatterers in SAR Interferometry, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 39, 8–20, 2001.

Froude, M. J. and Petley, D. N.: Global fatal landslide occurrence from 2004 to 2016, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 18, 2161–2181, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-18-2161-2018, 2018.

Gariano, S. L. and Guzzetti, F.: Landslides in a changing climate, Earth-Science Rev., 162, 227–252, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.08.011, 2016.

Håkansson, E. and Pedersen, S. A. S.: Geologisk Kort over den Danske Undergrund, Varv, 1992.

Handwerger, A. L., Fielding, E. J., Huang, M. H., Bennett, G. L., Liang, C., and Schulz, W. H.: Widespread Initiation, Reactivation, and Acceleration of Landslides in the Northern California Coast Ranges due to Extreme Rainfall, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 124, 1782–1797, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JF005035, 2019.

555 Handwerger, A. L., Fielding, E. J., Sangha, S. S., and Bekaert, D. P. S.: Landslide Sensitivity and Response to Precipitation Changes in Wet and Dry Climates, Geophys. Res. Lett., 49, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099499, 2022.

Heilmann-Clausen, C., Nielsen, O. B., and Gersner, F.: Lithostratigraphy and depositional environments in the Upper Paleocene and Eocene of Denmark, Bull. Geol. Soc. Denmark, 33, 287–323, 1985.

560 Henriksen, H. J., Troldborg, L., Nyegaard, P., Sonnenborg, T. O., Refsgaard, J. C., and Madsen, B.: Methodology for construction, calibration and validation of a national hydrological model for Denmark, J. Hydrol., 280, 52–71, 2003.



565

575

590



Henriksen, H. J., Kragh, S. J., Gotfredsen, J., Ondracek, M., van Til, M., Jakobsen, A., Schneider, R. J. M., Koch, J., Troldborg, L., Rasmussen, P., Pasten-Zapata, E., and Stisen, S.: Dokumentationsrapport vedr. modelleverancer til Hydrologisk Informations- og Prognosesystem, 2020.

Hermanns, R. L., Niedermann, S., Villanueva Garcia, A., and Schellenberger, A.: Rock avalanching in the NW argentine andes as a result of complex interactions of lithologic, structural and topographic boundary conditions, climate change and active tectonics, in: Landslides from Massive Rock Slope Failure, edited by: Evans, S. G., Scarawcia Mugnozza, G., Strom, A. L., and Hermanns, R. L., Springer Netherlands, Celano, 497–520, 2006.

570 Herrera, G., Mateos, R. M., García-Davalillo, J. C., Grandjean, G., Poyiadji, E., Maftei, R., Filipciuc, T. C., Jemec Auflič, M., Jež, J., Podolszki, L., Trigila, A., Iadanza, C., Raetzo, H., Kociu, A., Przyłucka, M., Kułak, M., Sheehy, M., Pellicer, X. M., McKeown, C., Ryan, G., Kopačková, V., Frei, M., Kuhn, D., Hermanns, R. L., Koulermou, N., Smith, C. A., Engdahl, M., Buxó, P., Gonzalez, M., Dashwood, C., Reeves, H., Cigna, F., Lik, P., Pauditš, P., Mikulėnas, V., Demir, V., Raha, M., Quental, L., Sandić, C., Fusi, B., and Jensen, O. A.: Landslide databases in the

Højberg, A. L., Troldborg, L., Stisen, S., Christensen, B. B., and Henriksen, H. J.: Stakeholder driven update and improvement of a national water resources model, Environ. Model. Softw., 40, 202–213, 2013.

Geological Surveys of Europe, Landslides, 15, 359–379, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-017-0902-z, 2018.

Hungr, O., Leroueil, S., and Picarelli, L.: The Varnes classification of landslide types, an update, Landslides, 11, 167–194, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-013-0436-y, 2014.

580 Iverson, R. M.: Landslide triggering by rain infiltration, Water Resour. Res., 36, 1897–1910, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000WR900090, 2000.

Kashyap, R., Pandey, A. C., and Parida, B. R.: Spatio-temporal variability of monsoon precipitation and their effect on precipitation triggered landslides in relation to relief in Himalayas, Spat. Inf. Res., 29, 857–869, https://doi.org/10.1007/s41324-021-00392-8, 2021.

585 Koch, J., Gotfredsen, J., Schneider, R., Troldborg, L., Stisen, S., and Henriksen, H. J.: High resolution water table modelling of the shallow groundwater using a knowledge-guided gradient boosting decision tree model, Front. Water, 3, https://doi.org/DOI=10.3389/frwa.2021.701726, 2021.

Lin, Q., Steger, S., Pittore, M., Zhang, J., Wang, L., Jiang, T., and Wang, Y.: Evaluation of potential changes in landslide susceptibility and landslide occurrence frequency in China under climate change, Sci. Total Environ., 850, 158049, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158049, 2022.

Luetzenburg, G., Svennevig, K., Bjørk, A. A., Keiding, M., and Kroon, A.: A national landslide inventory of Denmark, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2022, 1–13, 2022.

Luna, L. V. and Korup, O.: Seasonal Landslide Activity Lags Annual Precipitation Pattern in the Pacific Northwest, Geophys. Res. Lett., 49, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022gl098506, 2022.



600

610

615

620



595 Magnin, F., Josnin, J. Y., Ravanel, L., Pergaud, J., Pohl, B., and Deline, P.: Modelling rock wall permafrost degradation in the Mont Blanc massif from the LIA to the end of the 21st century, Cryosphere, 11, 1813–1834, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1813-2017, 2017.

Magnin, F., Etzelmüller, B., Westermann, S., Isaksen, K., Hilger, P., and Hermanns, R. L.: Permafrost distribution in steep rock slopes in Norway : measurements , statistical modelling and implications for geomorphological processes, Earth Surf. Dyn., 7, 1019–1040, 2019.

Mateos, R. M., López-Vinielles, J., Poyiadji, E., Tsagkas, D., Sheehy, M., Hadjicharalambous, K., Liscák, P., Podolski, L., Laskowicz, I., Iadanza, C., Gauert, C., Todorović, S., Auflič, M. J., Maftei, R., Hermanns, R. L., Kociu, A., Sandić, C., Mauter, R., Sarro, R., Béjar, M., and Herrera, G.: Integration of landslide hazard into urban planning across Europe, Landsc. Urban Plan., 196, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2019.103740, 2020.

605 Moreiras, S., Lisboa, M. S., and Mastrantonio, L.: The role of snow melting upon landslides in the central Argentinean Andes, Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 37, 1106–1119, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3239, 2012.

Nuth, C. and Kääb: Co-registration and bias corrections of satellite elevation data sets for quantifying glacier thickness change, Cryosphere, 5, 271–290, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-5-271-2011, 2011.

Penna, I. M., Magnin, F., Nicolet, P., Etzelmüller, B., Hermanns, R. L., Böhme, M., Kristensen, L., Nöel, F., Bredal, M., and Dehls, J. F.: Permafrost controls the displacement rates of large unstable rock-slopes in subarctic

environments, Glob. Planet. Change, 220, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2022.104017, 2023.

Peres, D. J. and Cancelliere, A.: Modeling impacts of climate change on return period of landslide triggering, J. Hydrol., 567, 420–434, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.10.036, 2018.

Pollock, W. and Wartman, J.: Human Vulnerability to Landslides, GeoHealth, 4, 1–17, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GH000287, 2020.

A language and environment for statistical computing: https://www.r-project.org/, last access: 30 January 2023.

Rasmussen, E. S., Dybkjær, K., and Piasecki, S.: Lithostratigraphy of the Upper Oligocene – Miocene succession of Denmark, Geol. Surv. Denmark Greenl. Bull., 22, 92 pp., 2010.

Rosen, P. A., Hensley, S., Joughin, I. R., Li, F. K., Madsen, S. N., Rodriguez, E., and Goldstein, R.: Synthetic aperture radar interferometry, Proc. IEEE, 88, 333–382, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1109/5.838084, 2000.

Saba, S. B., van der Meijde, M., and van der Werff, H.: Spatiotemporal landslide detection for the 2005 Kashmir earthquake region, Geomorphology, 124, 17–25, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.07.026, 2010.

Scaioni, M., Longoni, L., Melillo, V., and Papini, M.: Remote Sensing for Landslide Investigations: An Overview of Recent Achievements and Perspectives, Remote Sens., 6, https://doi.org/doi:10.3390/rs60x000x, 2014.

625 Scharling, M.: Klimagrid Danmark Nedbør 10x10 km (ver. 2) - Metodebeskrivelse, Danish Meteorological Institute





Technical Report, Copenhagen, 18 pp., 1999.

Svennevig, K. and Keiding, M.: En dansk nomenklatur for landskred, Geol. Tidsskr., 2020, 19-30, 2020.

Svennevig, K., Luetzenburg, G., Keiding, M. K., Pedersen, S. A. S., Asbjørn, S., and Pedersen, S. A. S.: Preliminary landslide mapping in Denmark indicates an underestimated geohazard, GEUS Bull., 44, 1–6,

Svennevig, K., Hermanns, R. L., Keiding, M., Binder, D., Citterio, M., Dahl-Jensen, T., Mertl, S., Sørensen, E. V., and Voss, P. H.: A large frozen debris avalanche entraining warming permafrost ground—the June 2021 Assapaat landslide, West Greenland, Landslides, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-022-01922-7, 2022.

Svennevig, K., Keiding, M., Korsgaard, N. J., Lucas, A., Owen, M., Poulsen, M. D., Priebe, J., Sørensen, E. V., and

635 Morino, C.: Uncovering a 70-year-old permafrost degradation induced disaster in the Arctic, the 1952 Niiortuut landslide-tsunami in central West Greenland, Sci. Total Environ., 859, 11, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.160110, 2023.

Terzaghi, K.: Mechanism of Landslides, https://doi.org/10.1130/Berkey.1950.83, 1 January 1950.

Uhlemann, S., Smith, A., Chambers, J., Dixon, N., Dijkstra, T., Haslam, E., Meldrum, P., Merritt, A., Gunn, D., and
 Mackay, J.: Assessment of ground-based monitoring techniques applied to landslide investigations, Geomorphology, 253, 438–451, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.10.027, 2016.

Vitousek, S., Buscombe, D., Vos, K., Barnard, P. L., Ritchie, A. C., and Warrick, J. A.: The future of coastal monitoring through satellite remote sensing, Cambridge Prism. Coast. Futur., 1, https://doi.org/10.1017/cft.2022.4, 2023.

645 Wistuba, M., Gorczyca, E., and Malik, I.: Inferring precipitation thresholds of landslide activity from long-term dendrochronological and precipitation data: Case study on the unstable slope at Karpenciny, Poland, Eng. Geol., 294, 18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2021.106398, 2021.

⁶³⁰ https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.34194/geusb.v44.5302, 2020.