Review article: Towards Improved Drought Prediction in the Mediterranean Region – Modelling Approaches and Future Directions

4

5 Bouchra Zellou¹, Nabil EL Moçayd^{2,3}, EL Houcine Bergou¹

6 ¹ School of Computer Science, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University, Benguerir. 43150. Morocco

7 ² International Water Research Institute, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University, Benguerir. 43150. Morocco

8 ³ Institute of Applied Physics, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University, Benguerir. 43150. Morocco

9 Correspondence to: Bouchra Zellou (bouchra.zellou@um6p.ma)

10 Abstract.

11 There is a scientific consensus that the Mediterranean Region (MedR) is warming and as the temperature continues 12 to rise, droughts and heat waves are becoming more frequent, severe, and widespread. Given the detrimental effects 13 of droughts, it is crucial to accelerate the development of forecasting and early warning systems to minimize their 14 negative impact. This paper reviews the current state of drought modeling and prediction applied in the MedR, 15 including statistical, dynamical, and hybrid statistical-dynamical models. By considering the multifaceted nature 16 of droughts, the study encompasses meteorological, agricultural, and hydrological drought forms and spans a 17 variety of forecast scales, from weekly to annual timelines. Our objective is to pinpoint the knowledge gaps in 18 literature and to propose potential research trajectories to improve the prediction of droughts in this region. The 19 review finds that while each method has its unique strengths and limitations, hybrid statistical-dynamical models 20 appear to hold the most promising potential for skillful prediction with seasonal to annual lead times. However, 21 the application of these methods is still challenging due to the lack of high-quality observational data and the 22 limited computational resources. Finally, the paper concludes by discussing the importance of using a combination 23 of sophisticated methods such as data assimilation techniques, machine learning models, and copula models and 24 integrating data from different sources (e.g., remote sensing data, in-situ measurements, and reanalysis) to improve 25 the accuracy and efficiency of drought forecasting.

26 Key Words: drought, forecasting, data assimilation, machine learning, Mediterranean, review

27 1 Introduction

28 Drought is a recurrent phenomenon in the Mediterranean Region (MedR). Throughout time, adaptation to this kind 29 of climate event has been an important issue for the development of many countries in the region. Yet, with the 30 disruptive accelerated impact of global warming, already reflected in more regular and intense droughts around 31 the Mediterranean in the last few decades, building resilience to extreme weather conditions remains a true 32 challenge (Satour et al., 2021). For these reasons among others, the region is often described as a hotspot for 33 climate change (Tuel and Eltahir, 2020). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) pointed out in 34 the Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) that global warming has been more rapid in the Mediterranean than in the rest 35 of the world (IPCC, 2021). This report projected an increase in the frequency and/or severity of agricultural and ecological droughts across the Mediterranean and Western Africa (IPCC, 2021). A global increase of 2 °C is 36

thought to correspond to a 3 °C increase in the daily maximum temperature in the MedR (Seneviratne et al., 2016;

- 38 Vogel et al., 2021). If this increase in temperature continues at the same pace, MedR is susceptible to experience
- 39 fearful desertification by the end of the 21st century, driving an increase in aridity (Carvalho et al., 2022).
- 40 This will surely lead to irreversible biodiversity loss and diminish the capability of semi-arid Mediterranean
- 41 ecosystems to function as effective carbon sinks in the future (Valentini et al., 2000; Briassoulis, 2017; Zeng et
- 42 al., 2021). These conditions exacerbate water stress, which, in turn, enhances the probability of wildfire (Turco et
- 43 al., 2017a). A phenomenon already witnessed these two last summers (2021 and 2022) in several Mediterranean
- 44 countries (Turkey, Greece, Italy, Algeria, and Morocco), displacing thousands, killing hundreds, and causing
- 45 irreparable damage (Rodrigues et al., 2023; Yilmaz et al., 2023; Eberle and Higuera Roa, 2022).
- 46 The Mediterranean Sea (MEDS), lying between Africa, Europe, and Asia, serves as a substantial source of 47 moisture and heat, affecting atmospheric circulation and weather patterns (Mariotti et al., 2008). Its narrow 48 connection to the Atlantic Ocean via the 14 km wide Strait of Gibraltar and the surrounding varied topography 49 (Fig. 1), with vegetated areas to the north and desert areas to the south and east, contribute to the region's complex
- 50 climate dynamics (Michaelides et al., 2018).
- The MedR is characterized by a mid-latitude temperate climate with mild rainy winters and hot, dry summers (Lionello et al., 2023). Notably, this area is positioned in a transitional band between the midlatitude and subtropical regions, which makes climate modeling for this region quite challenging (Planton et al., 2012). The Mediterranean climate exhibits a strong spatial gradient in precipitation, with generally decreasing precipitation values towards the south and hardly any precipitation during the summer (Lionello, 2012). Such conditions pose challenges in climate modeling and can lead to severe impacts on water supply and agriculture, especially in regions relying on rain-fed agriculture (Tramblay et al., 2020).
- Water availability is unevenly distributed among the Mediterranean countries with 72% in temperate countries of the North, against 5% in the South, and 23% in the East (Milano et al., 2013). Accordingly, several countries such as Algeria, Morocco, Egypt, Libya, Malta, and some countries of southern Europe such as Portugal and Spain are experiencing a structural water shortage that is likely to increase with the expected population growth (Sanchislbor et al., 2020). This situation is further aggravated when multi-annual droughts hit the region. In this challenging context, drought forecasting that provides seasonal to annual lead times becomes critically important for proactive agricultural and water resources management.
- 65 Growing concern about the drought phenomenon in the last decades has spurred the development of improved 66 systems that predict the full cycle of drought (onset, duration, severity, and recovery) via a large number of indices 67 and models. Common approaches to predicting drought can be subdivided into two categories of models: statistical 68 models and dynamical models. Statistical models, also named data-driven models, rely on the estimated 69 correlations between several predictors (large-scale climate variables) and predictands (local climate variables 70 represented by historical observations). The climatology-based or persistence-based models, like the Ensemble 71 Streamflow Prediction (ESP) system, form an essential tool in this category, leveraging both historical and near 72 real-time data to generate a probabilistic forecast of future drought events (AghaKouchak, 2014a; Turco et al., 73 2017b; Torres-Vázquez et al., 2023). Meanwhile, dynamical drought prediction relies on the use of Global Climate 74 Models (GCMs) to simulate the dynamical processes that govern hydroclimatic variability. Nevertheless, despite 75 the usefulness of these models in drought prediction and early warning systems, their forecast accuracy remains

- 76 limited for longer lead times (exceeding one month) (Wood et al., 2015). The post-processing and multi-model
- ensemble techniques are usually used to improve prediction skills by avoiding systematic bias related to the coarse
 resolution of GCMs (Han and Singh, 2020). Recently, drought prediction has also been tackled by the hybrid
- real statistical-dynamical models which combine the two approaches mentioned above. These models constitute a
- 80 promising tool for long lead-time drought forecasting (Ribeiro and Pires, 2016).

81 Despite the efforts made to predict drought phenomena, it remains largely little understood due to its multiple 82 causing mechanisms and contributing factors (Kiem et al., 2016; Hao et al., 2018). The complexity and variability 83 depicted by many physical mechanisms such as Sea Surface Temperature (SST), North Atlantic Oscillation 84 (NAO), El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), Mediterranean Oscillation (MO), and land-atmosphere feedback 85 are also responsible for the low performance of drought monitoring and forecasting (Ayugi et al., 2022). 86 Understanding the synoptic conditions leading to the drought phenomenon becomes increasingly important given 87 the upward trend in temperature in the MedR. Further investigations to assimilate how large-scale teleconnections 88 affect local weather and climate anomalies, as well as how these later feedback into the larger context, are much

89 needed in this context.

90 To address these questions, numerous review papers have sought to consolidate the scientific advances in drought 91 prediction from different regions of the world (e.g., Mishra and Singh, 2011; Hao et al., 2018; Fung et al., 2019; 92 Han and Singh, 2020). While these studies provided a comprehensive overview of drought prediction at a global 93 scale, our paper offers an in-depth analysis of drought prediction methodologies specifically applied to the 94 Mediterranean context. This is achieved through an examination of the applicability, strengths, and limitations of 95 statistical, dynamical, and hybrid statistical-dynamical models, in line with the regional specifics of the MedR. 96 This specificity is vital given that drought, as a phenomenon, is highly region dependent. The unique 97 meteorological conditions of the MedR necessitate dedicated studies, as solutions developed for other regions may 98 not be applicable or effective here.

- 99 Tramblay et al. (2020) emphasized the urgent need for drought modeling and forecasting methods designed for the 100 Mediterranean context, particularly as climate change continues to exacerbate drought conditions in this region. 101 Building on this, our work not only emphasizes the complexities of drought assessment but also conducts a critical 102 review of recent drought forecasting methodologies applied specifically to the MedR. In addition to shedding light 103 on the merits and limitations of these methods, our investigation also helps identify underexplored areas that 104 warrant further research. Detecting these gaps is a crucial aspect of our work, as it directs future research towards 105 these relatively unexplored realms of drought prediction.
- 106 The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 highlights the difficulty related to the definition of drought from 107 different perspectives. The causes of drought in MedR are provided in section 3. Sections 4, 5, and 6 present the 108 recent advances in drought prediction with statistical, dynamical, and hybrid statistical-dynamical models 109 respectively. Section 7 discusses the results found in this review, providing insights into the current state of drought 100 forecasting in the MedR and highlighting potential areas for improvement. The challenges in drought prediction
- are reviewed with the prospects in section 8. Finally, the 9th section presents the conclusions of the whole paper.
- **112** Figure 1 Topography of the Mediterranean Region (30°N 46°N in latitude and 10°W 40°E in longitude).
- 113 2 Drought Definitions, Classification, and Indices

- Drought is a compound phenomenon of creeping nature. Establishing an accurate prediction, well describing its
 starting date and duration is extremely hard. The multidisciplinary and multiscale nature of drought renders the
 understanding of this phenomenon very challenging (AghaKouchak et al., 2021). As a matter of fact, literature
- 117 gives numerous definitions for drought.

118 In the eighties, Wilhite and Glantz (1985) found more than 150 published definitions of drought that can be 119 categorized into four broad groups: meteorological, agricultural, hydrological, and socioeconomical. This 120 classification based on both physical and socioeconomic factors is still adopted today. As this classification is 121 human-centered, some recent works emphasized the need to consider the ecological drought as well, which creates 122 multiple stresses in natural ecosystems, see for example Crausbay et al. (2017), Vicente-Serrano et al. (2020), 123 Bradford et al. (2020) and Zhang et al. (2022). Since the aim of this study is to review forecasting drought methods, 124 we will focus only on the first three categories that provide direct methods to quantify drought as a physical 125 phenomenon.

- 126 In an attempt to associate a mathematical definition with each drought type, several drought indices have emerged.
- 127 These indices are typically based upon some hydroclimatic variables or parameters (indicators) such as
- 128 temperature, precipitation, soil moisture, streamflow, and snowpack to describe three major characteristics of the
- drought event: severity, duration, and frequency. However, the lack of a universal definition of drought is also
- apparent in the huge variety of indices (more than 100) that have been developed for drought prediction (Lloyd-
- 131 Hughes, 2014). Unfortunately, this plethora of indices creates more confusion than clarity (Lloyd-Hughes, 2014)
- and makes the choice of the most suitable indices a difficult task.

133 2.1. Meteorological Drought

134 The World Meteorological Organization (WMO) characterizes meteorological drought as "a prolonged absence or marked deficiency of precipitation". Similarly, the IPCC defines meteorological drought as "a period of 135 136 abnormally dry weather in a region over an extended period". The threshold to distinguish between a dry or wet period often depends on the average rainfall typical for the specific area under study. This gives rise to a variety 137 138 of meteorological definitions, each tailored to the distinct conditions of diverse regions or countries (Isendahl, 139 2006). Regarding the MedR, creating a single encompassing definition of meteorological drought is particularly 140 challenging. This complexity stems from the diverse climate conditions across the region, particularly the 141 pronounced variability between eastern and western meteorological conditions that contribute to drought.

142 The Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) (McKee et al., 1993) and the Standardized Precipitation 143 Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010a) are two of the most prevalent indicators used to 144 describe meteorological drought. They owe their popularity to the recommendation of the WMO (Svoboda et al., 145 2012). The SPI has been extensively used in previous studies for its ease of computation, its probabilistic nature, 146 and its ability to detect drought at multiple time scales (Madadgar and Moradkhani, 2013; Chen et al., 2013; Li et 147 al., 2020; Mesbahzadeh et al., 2020; Das et al., 2020). By fitting a probability distribution to observed precipitation 148 data, the SPI is calculated and subsequently transformed into a standard normal distribution with a mean of 0 and 149 a standard deviation of 1 (Livada and Assimakopoulos, 2007). Consequently, SPI values can be compared across 150 various regions and timeframes (e.g., 1, 3, 6, 12, or 24 months). This multiscale nature of SPI enables it to capture

diverse aspects of drought depending on the selected time scale. The shorter time scales (1-3 months) are suitable

- 152 for monitoring agricultural drought, while longer time scales (6-12 months or more) are better suited for evaluating
- 153 hydrological drought. However, it should be noted that the SPI considers only precipitation data and neglects the
- variability of temperature and potential evapotranspiration (PET), ignoring the effect of warming on droughts.
- 155 Indeed, in relatively wet regions, precipitation deficit can constitute an important indicator for drought (Gamelin
- the et al., 2022). Yet, in midlatitude (or extratropic) regions such as the Mediterranean where the climatological
- precipitation is modest or low, precipitation deficit may not be sufficient to measure extreme droughts.Furthermore, knowing the upward trend in temperature and the influence of high atmospheric evaporative demand
- (AED) in increasing severity of recent drought events in the MedR (Tramblay et al., 2020; Mathbout et al., 2021;
- 160 Bouabdelli et al., 2022), the choice of drought indices needs to prioritize those including these variables in their
- 161 formulation such as SPEI, or Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) (Palmer, 1965) and Reconnaissance Drought
- 162 Index (RDI) (Tsakiris and Vangelis, 2005) to mention but a few.
- 163 The SPEI was developed by Vicente-Serrano et al. (2010a) using the climatic water balance concept of climatic
- 164 water supply and AED. It is based on precipitation and PET and has the advantage of combining the multi-scalar
- 165 character of the SPI with the ability to include the effects of temperature variability (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010a).
- 166 A global assessment of drought indices conducted by Vicente-Serrano et al. (2012) found that SPEI provided a
- superior capability in capturing drought impacts, particularly during the crucial summer season. Bouabdelli et al.
- 168 (2022) used SPI and SPEI indices and copula theory to study the impact of temperature on agricultural drought
- 169 characteristics under future climate scenarios over seven vast Algerian plains located in the MedR. The results of
- this study confirmed that the frequency of drought events is much higher using SPI while their duration and severity
- are more intense using SPEI. Russo et al. (2019) performed drought characterization in MedR using both SPEI and
- 172 SPI, considering the period 1980–2014. Their findings indicated that SPEI exhibits a stronger correlation with
- drought conditions over a 3-month time scale, while SPI shows a better correlation for a 9-month duration. This
- result highlights the ability of SPEI to capture the early shifts in the balance between evapotranspiration and
- 175 precipitation more efficiently than SPI (Russo et al., 2019).
- 176 Despite the utility of SPEI in drought characterization, it does have a noteworthy limitation. The effectiveness of 177 SPEI significantly relies on the method used for estimating PET such as the Penman-Monteith equation, the 178 Thornthwaite method, the Hargreaves method, and the Priestley-Taylor method among others. These estimation
- 179 methods can yield varying results, leading to inconsistencies in SPEI values. In essence, the sensitivity of SPEI to
- 180 the PET estimation method used could potentially affect the accuracy and reliability of the index in representing
- 181 drought conditions (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010b; Stagge et al., 2014).
- 182 The PDSI has also been widely used to quantify the drought characteristics for a given location and time. It includes 183 precipitation, temperature, and soil moisture data to estimate water supply and demand and to reflect long-term 184 drought. But it has shown some inconsistencies when used at various locations (Wells et al., 2004). A self-185 calibrating variant of this index (scPDSI) was proposed by Wells et al. (2004) to automatically calibrates the 186 behavior of the index by replacing empirical constants in its computation with dynamically estimated values to 187 account for the variability of precipitation and the climate characteristics between locations (Wells et al., 2004). Ionita and Nagavciuc (2021) evaluated the drought characteristics at the European level over the period 1901–2019 188 189 using SPI, SPEI, and scPDSI. The results based on SPEI and scPDSI show that the increase in mean air temperature
- and PET are making central Europe and the MedR dryer, whereas Northern Europe is getting wetter. While results

- based on SPI using only precipitation data did not reveal this drought variability. This underscores the findings of
 Vicente-Serrano et al. (2012), who emphasized the benefits of using more integrative indices like SPEI in
 understanding and predicting drought variability more effectively.
- The MedPDSI, which is an update of the PDSI formulation in terms of its soil water balance to consider real evapotranspiration (based on reanalysis data instead of PET) in the MedR, has allowed an earlier identification of longer and more severe droughts (Paulo et al., 2012). Paulo et al. (2012) compared SPI, SPEI, PDSI, and MedPDSI in detecting drought characteristics in Portugal for the period 1941 to 2006. They concluded that PDSI and MedPDSI are likely to identify better the supply-demand dynamics and that they may be of great interest for
- drought warning applications, aiming namely at agriculture (Paulo et al., 2012).

200 2.2. Agricultural Drought

201 Agriculture is very sensitive to climate variation especially extreme weather. Due to its dependency on water 202 availability, this sector is strongly impacted by drought events. In the Mediterranean Basin, agricultural practices 203 span both rain-fed and irrigated systems. Rain-fed agriculture is prevalent, particularly for crops such as wheat and 204 barley, while crops like olives and citrus fruits, such as oranges, often utilize controlled irrigation systems to 205 supplement natural precipitation (Rodrigo-Comino et al., 2021). Regardless of the system employed, if 206 meteorological drought lasts for a prolonged period, it can lead to a reduction in soil moisture to such a level that 207 it harmfully affects crop production, especially during the active plant growth season (Wilhite and Glantz, 1985; 208 Mishra and Singh, 2010). At this stage the agricultural drought sets in.

209 Therefore, in addition to meteorological factors, the agricultural drought definition is also related to the retention 210 capacity of soil in the crop growth season (Kuśmierek-Tomaszewska and Żarski, 2021) which depends on crop 211 types, soil characteristics, and soil management. All these indicators can be employed to develop relevant 212 agricultural drought indices. Among them, we cite Crop Moisture Index (CMI) (Palmer, 1968); Soil Moisture 213 Deficit Index (SMDI); Evapotranspiration Deficit Index (ETDI) (Narasimhan and Srinivasan, 2005); Normalized 214 Soil Moisture index (NSMI) (Dutra et al., 2008) and Empirical Standardized Soil Moisture Index (SSMI) (Carrão 215 et al., 2016).

216 The formulation of these indices integrates soil moisture data, leveraging a variety of assessment techniques, each 217 with unique advantages. These include in-situ soil moisture probes, cosmic-ray neutron probes, and physically 218 driven models such as the ISBA land surface model (Tramblay et al., 2019). Each of these techniques has distinct 219 advantages and is suitable for different application contexts (Miralles et al., 2010; Martens et al., 2017). However, 220 when faced with the scarcity of observed soil moisture data, remote sensing comes to the forefront. It furnishes 221 extensive and frequent measurements of soil moisture characteristics, effectively supplementing areas where 222 observed data falls short. Yet, it is crucial to be aware of the limitations of these tools. Despite its indispensable 223 role, remote sensing is constrained by factors such as coarse temporal and spatial resolution, limited penetration

- depth, and incompatible governing hydrologic principles (Mohanty et al., 2017; Gruber and Peng, 2022). As an
- alternative, hydrological models have been commonly used to simulate and calibrate this variable in the context
- of agricultural drought forecasts (Hao et al., 2018). Mimeau et al., (2021) used a modeling framework to estimate
- soil moisture sensitivity to changes in precipitation and temperature at 10 plots located in southern France. They

- concluded that the current climate change scenarios may induce longer periods of depleted soil moisture content,
- corresponding to agricultural drought conditions.
- 230 In general, when soil moisture in the root zone reaches a critical level, farmers resort to irrigation to save crops

231 (Kang et al., 2000). However, nowadays agriculture consumes approximately 85% of global fresh water for

- irrigation (D'Odorico et al., 2019; Tatlhego et al., 2022), which is expected to increase in the years to come by
- 233 growing population, increasing food consumption, and rising temperatures that accelerate PET and promote
- hydrological stress.

235 2.3. Hydrological Drought

- 236 Unlike agricultural drought which is mainly affected by the depletion of soil moisture after a dry period, a lack of 237 precipitation impacts many components of the hydrological system in a river basin or watershed (streams, 238 reservoirs, and lakes). These define water availability that can be used for commercial navigation, generation of 239 hydroelectric power, irrigation of farmlands, industry, and domestic activities for several months after the 240 deficiency in precipitation. Consequently, hydrological drought lags behind the occurrence of meteorological and 241 agricultural droughts. This lag time is a characteristic of the watershed, which is defined based on many physical 242 drivers such as evapotranspiration capacity, soil properties, vegetation types, snow accumulation/melt, local water 243 management such as dams' construction and control, water supply operation rules, and irrigation strategy (Van 244 Loon and Laaha, 2015).
- 245 A hydrological drought is generally proclaimed when the water levels in streamflow, reservoirs, lakes, aquifers,
- and other water storage systems fall below a specific threshold. Therefore, the hydrological drought prediction
- 247 necessitates the analysis of climate variables such as precipitation and temperature and initial catchment conditions
- 248 (e.g., snow cover, and soil moisture) (Hao et al., 2018).
- In the Mediterranean Basin, a common tendency for water levels to drop in shallow lakes and aquifers has
 motivated many researchers to study the hydrological drought in this region: Greece (Myronidis et al., 2012);
 Turkey (Akyuz et al., 2012); Tunisia (Hamdi et al., 2016); Lebanon (Al Sayah et al., 2021); Italy (Di Nunno et al.,
 2021); Portugal (Mendes et al., 2022); Algeria (Bouabdelli et al., 2022); Syria (Mohammed et al., 2022). The most
 common hydrological drought indices include Palmer Hydrologic Drought Index (PHDI) (Palmer, 1965), the
 Streamflow drought index (SDI) (Nalbantis, 2008), and Standardized Runoff Index (SRI) (Shukla and Wood,
 2008).
- As part of the effort made by Palmer in the sixties, the PHDI has been developed by using the same two-layer soil model as the PDSI, but it applies a stricter criterion for determining the ends of drought to account for long-term drought events that reduce surface and groundwater supply. Vasiliades and Loukas (2009) tested the Palmer indices in a Mediterranean basin (in Greece) they concluded that these indices were successful in the identification of drought severity of historical events, but they were unable to identify drought duration.
- The SRI is an index that uses the same computational principles as SPI but uses monthly mean streamflow rather than precipitation only to account for the hydrologic process that determines seasonal lags in the influence of climate on streamflow (Shukla and Wood, 2008). Shukla and Wood (2008) compared the SRI and the SPI results
- during drought events in a snowmelt region. They concluded that the SRI can be used as a complement to the SPI
- 265 for depicting hydrologic aspects of drought.

- 266 The SDI is also a simple index that uses the cumulative monthly streamflow volumes for a given hydrological year
- to predict wet and dry periods and identify the severity of a hydrological drought (Nalbantis, 2008). Bouabdelli et
- al. (2020) conducted a comparison study of the SPI and SDI, focusing on their characteristics across three
- 269 watersheds in northwestern Algeria. Their analysis revealed a substantial similarity between meteorological
- 270 drought events (as represented by SPI-12) and hydrological drought events (as indicated by SDI-6). This
- 271 correlation emphasizes the sensitive and responsive nature of these basins to dry conditions, further illustrated by
- the swift transition from meteorological to hydrological drought events in the studied basins (Bouabdelli et al.,
- 273 2020).
- The application of hydrological drought indices appears to be very valuable. However, the main challenge in applying these indices lies in the requirement for a long-term series of climatic data. According to the WMO, up to 30 years of continuous rainfall data may be necessary for accurate drought index calculations (WMO, 1994). This condition is not always fulfilled which makes the rainfall-runoff transformation a difficult task (De Luca et al., 2022). Modern hydrological models can offer a valuable counterpart to existing climate-based drought indices
- by simulating hydrologic variables such as land surface runoff (Shukla and Wood, 2008).

280 3 Overview of the physical mechanisms causing drought in the Mediterranean region

It is difficult to determine the physical mechanisms causing droughts in the Mediterranean basin since the region
covers a complex landscape with high topographic and climatic heterogeneity, strong land-sea contrasts, and high
anthropic pressure (De Luca et al., 2022).

- 284 Considering the various forms of drought, meteorological droughts, characterized by a deficit in precipitation, are 285 commonly recognized as marking the onset of drought conditions. This initial stage is intrinsically linked to 286 precipitation predictability, which is driven by large-scale atmospheric motions such as Walker circulations and 287 Rossby waves, influenced by factors like SST anomalies, radiative forcing changes (both natural and 288 anthropogenic), and land surface interactions (Hao et al., 2018; Wood et al., 2015). However, due to the inherently chaotic nature of atmospheric circulation, predictability, particularly for meteorological droughts, tends to 289 290 diminish beyond a one-month lead time. It is crucial to note that the reliability of these predictions can differ when 291 considering other drought types (such as agricultural or hydrological droughts) or altering the forecast scale, with 292 seasonal forecasts often displaying more reliability months in advance, while daily forecasts may face limitations 293 from around two weeks.
- 294 The discovery of teleconnections between SST anomalies and hydroclimatic phenomena constitutes a major 295 advance in drought forecasting and early warning (Wood et al., 2015). Notably, it is widely established within the 296 scientific community that certain ocean-atmospheric teleconnections, such as ENSO, can profoundly influence the 297 onset of drought conditions in various regions worldwide, particularly in the tropics (Ropelewski and Halpert, 298 1987; Shabbar and Skinner, 2004; Hoell et al., 2014; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2017). For instance, during the peak 299 phase of El Niño or La Niña in the tropical Pacific, a corresponding change in precipitation patterns can be 300 observed several months later in North American winter climate (Livezey and Smith, 1999; Hoerling and Kumar, 301 2003). This delayed impact provides a crucial window for predicting potential drought conditions with a long lead
- 302 time exceeding one month (Johnson and Xie, 2010). Moreover, this lagged correlation allows for proactive drought
- 303 management strategies, with the ability to anticipate and prepare for drought conditions based on forecasted ENSO

- conditions. Nevertheless, drought predictability is seasonally and spatially variable. Typically, the accuracy of
 seasonal drought prediction is superior in the tropics, while it still challenging in the extra-tropics (Doblas-Reyes
 et al., 2013).
- 307 In the MedR, the response of climate to ENSO is complex. It varies over time and depends on the maturity of the
- 308 ENSO state, and the co-occurrence with NAO (Kim and Raible, 2021; Brönnimann et al., 2007; Mariotti et al.,
- 309 2002). Although many authors have found a non-negligible correlation between ENSO and precipitation anomalies
- in the MedR, it remains insignificant compared to the tropics (Mariotti et al., 2002).
- 311 In contrast, the NAO is commonly identified as a prominent factor influencing Mediterranean climate variability
- during the winter season (Ulbrich and Christoph, 1999; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2011; Kahya, 2011; Santos et al.,
- 313 2014; Cook et al., 2016). It is important to note, however, that while acknowledging the profound impact of the
- 314 NAO on the climate dynamics of the MedR, its predictability, especially on seasonal scales, continues to be a
- 315 considerable challenge in the field of climate science (Czaja and Frankignoul, 1999; Saunders and Qian, 2002;
- **316** Scaife et al., 2014; Dunstone et al., 2016).
- 317 During the positive phase of the NAO, below-average precipitation rates are observed over large parts of the 318 northern and western MedR. While in the negative phase of NAO, the climate is wetter and warmer (Lionello, 319 2012). Kim and Raible (2021) analyzed the dynamics of multi-year droughts over the western and central 320 Mediterranean for the period of 850-2099. This analysis suggests Mediterranean droughts from 850-1849 CE were 321 mainly driven by the internal variability of the climate system, including elements like barotropic high-pressure 322 systems, positive NAO phases, and La Niña-like conditions. Conversely, external forcing such as volcanic 323 eruptions were found to be associated with wetter Mediterranean conditions. In the period 1850-2099 CE, however, 324 anthropogenic influences amplified land-atmosphere feedback, leading to persistent dry conditions in the 325 Mediterranean (Kim and Raible, 2021).
- 326 Paz et al. (2003) analyzed monthly mean Sea Level Pressure anomalies (SLP) from the 1958–1997 record over
- 327 the Mediterranean Basin. They identified a significant anomalous SLP oscillation between North Africa (NA) and
- 328 West Asia (WA) and concluded that the regional trend of the NAWA index could explain increased drought
- 329 processes in the eastern Mediterranean after the late '70s, in relation to northern hemispheric circulation.
- The climate heterogeneity in the Mediterranean area may also be explained by the regional Mediterranean Oscillation (MO) characterized by the opposite precipitation patterns between the eastern and western regions (Dünkeloh and Jacobeit, 2003). More recently Redolat et al. (2019) proposed a new version of MO that uses areas instead of observatories or isolated points. The new index which is referred to as the Upper-Level Mediterranean Oscillation index (ULMOi) is based on the differences in geopotential height at 500 hPa to improve the predictability of seasonal anomalies in the Mediterranean climate (Redolat et al., 2019). According to this study, ULMOi has reported higher confidence than the MO index for rainfall predictability (Redolat et al., 2019). Other
- teleconnections influencing the climate of MedR can be found in the reviews done by Paz et al. (2003) and Lionello
- 338 (2012). Recent works have also shed light on the impact of Madden Julian Oscillation (MJO) on water availability
- in the region, especially during heavy rainy episodes, see for example (Chaqdid et al., 2023)

- 340 In conclusion, several complex factors that influence the predictability of drought are not yet fully understood,
- specially those related to climate change. Therefore, more research on the physical mechanisms causing droughtin the MedR is needed to improve the predictability of drought forecasts.
- Expanding our grasp of the physical factors causing drought in MedR, we will now delve into drought forecasting models. By leveraging insights from these mechanisms, scientists have developed numerous approaches and techniques including statistical, dynamical, and hybrid statistical-dynamical models to boost the accuracy and trustworthiness of drought predictions.

347 4 Statistical Drought Prediction Methods

- Once the major sources of predictability are identified, the task of the statistical models is to uncover the spatial and/or temporal relationship between a set of these potential predictors and the predictand. When a large number of predictors are identified within the same region, dimension reduction techniques like Principal Component Analysis (PCA) or Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) can improve model accuracy and efficiency by reducing the number of dimensions while preserving essential information. On the other hand, feature selection methods such as decision trees or Random Forests can help eliminate irrelevant predictors. These approaches can prevent overfitting, leading to enhanced model performance and interpretability (Hao et al., 2018; Ribeiro and Pires, 2016).
- The next sections will present the frequently used data-driven models and how they were employed to predict different types of droughts at different spatiotemporal resolutions in the MedR.

357 4.1. Time Series models

During the last few decades, several methods have been developed to analyze the stochastic characteristics of hydrologic time series (Morid et al., 2007; Rafiei-Sardooi et al., 2018; Band et al., 2022; Zarei and Mahmoudi, 2020). Moving average (MA), Autoregressive (AR), and Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) are all linear models that analyze past observations of the same variable to predict its future values. Normality and stationarity of observations are two of the basic assumptions of these time-series models. Therefore, if some trends or seasonality are detected in observations, they should be removed before the modeling to avoid any drift in the concepts to be captured.

ARIMA is the most frequently used time-series model (Zhang et al., 2003). The popularity of this model is related to its ability to search systematically for an adequate model at each step of the model building (identification, parameter approximation, and diagnostic check). This method is based on the concept that nonstationary data could be made stationary by "differencing" the series (Box et al., 2015). The approach involved considering a value Y at time point t and adding/subtracting based on the Y values at previous time points and adding/subtracting error terms from previous time points. The formula can be written as:

$$Y_{t} = c + \varphi_{1}Y_{t-1} + \dots + \varphi_{p}Y_{t-p} + \theta_{1}e_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_{q}e_{t-q} + e_{t},$$
(1)

371 where:

372 Y_t is the value of the variable at time t; c is a constant term; p and q are the orders of AR and MA models, 373 respectively; φ_i and θ_i are model parameters; $e_{t-1} \dots e_t$ are the error terms. The AR component captures the impact of past values on the current value, the I component handles any nonstationarity in the data (i.e., changes in the mean or variance over time) by "differencing" the time series, and the MA component captures the impact of random shocks or errors in the data.

377 The ARIMA model is generally expressed with the three terms p, d, and q. The order of differencing in the I

component is denoted by the value of (d) in the ARIMA(p,d,q) notation. It represents the number of times that the

data must be "differenced" to produce a stationary signal. The lag order (p) represents the number of prior

- 380 observations having a strong correlation with the current observation. While (q) is the size of the moving window
- and is identified by determining the number of lag errors that have a significant impact on the current observation.
- 382 The SARIMA is a more specific version of ARIMA that includes a seasonal component, which takes into account
- the repeating patterns that occur at regular intervals (e.g., daily, weekly, monthly) in the data. This makes it more
- appropriate for forecasting seasonal time series data.

378

390

Bouznad et al. (2021) conducted a comparative analysis of ARIMA and SARIMA models using precipitation,

temperature, and evapotranspiration data to assess seasonal drought conditions in the Algerian highlands. These

- 387 models were compared based on their ability to replicate and forecast the data series accurately. The SARIMA
- 388 model emerged as the better choice as it exhibited significant p-values for all variables under study. This implies
- that the model was statistically significant in predicting the variables and thus outperformed the ARIMA model in
- drought in the Wadi Ouahrane Basin using ARIMA and SARIMA models applied to SPI and SRI indices. A

this specific context. In the same country, Achite et al. (2022) investigated the meteorological and hydrological

392 validation based on R² revealed high accuracy for SPI and SRI of 0.96 and 0.97 respectively, at 1-month lag.

Additional examples of the use of the time-series model in drought forecasting in MedR can be found in Table 1.

Although time series models have shown good predictability of drought characteristics, these methods present
 certain limitations as they are based solely on the persistence of some drought indicators (trend, seasonality)
 without worrying about their interactions.

397 Table 1 Main studies using the Time series model to forecast drought in the MedR.

398 4.2. Regression analysis

Regression models are commonly applied in drought forecasting due to their straightforwardness, interpretability,
 and proficiency in revealing potential connections between hydroclimatic variables. These models use various
 predictors (independent variables), including precipitation, temperature, and other relevant climate indices, to

402 approximate drought indices or related target variables (dependent variables).

403 Simple and multivariate linear regression (MLR) models have been broadly applied for projecting extreme404 hydrological phenomena such as droughts (Sharma et al., 2018). These models shed light on the linear connections

- 405 between various predictors and predictands, offering a valuable method to understand the primary factors of
- 406 drought conditions and their interactions (Mishra et al., 2011).
- 407 An MLR model that predicts drought from multiple drought predictors $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ can be formulated as:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_n X_n + \varepsilon$$
⁽²⁾

408 Where:

409 β_0 is the y-intercept or the constant term,

- 410 $\beta_{i(i=1,2,\dots,n)}$ are the regression coefficient for each independent variable $X_{i(i=1,2,\dots,n)}$,
- 411 ε is the model's error term.

412 On the other hand, when drought forecasts have a binary or dichotomous nature, such as drought vs. no drought,

- 413 logistic regression models can be particularly useful. In these cases, the dependent variable (drought) is expressed414 as a probability or likelihood of occurrence. The main goal of logistic regression is to estimate the relationship
- 415 between a set of predictors and the probability of the binary outcome (Rahali et al., 2021; Hosmer et al., 2013).
- Some of the applications of regression analysis for drought forecasting in the MedR are discussed below andsummarized in (Table 2).

418 Table 2 Main studies using regression analysis to forecast drought in the MedR.

419 Sousa et al. (2011) analyzed the spatiotemporal evolution of drought conditions across the MedR during the 20th 420 century using monthly precipitations, NAO, and SST as independent variables and scPDSI as a dependent variable. 421 Their study successfully developed a robust stepwise regression model capable of predicting summer drought 422 conditions six months in advance with a high correlation of 0.79 between simulated and observed scPDSI time 423 series, thus demonstrating its utility in forecasting future drought conditions in the region. Tigkas and Tsakiris 424 (2015) used the MLR model with variables that include the minimum temperature and RDI as the main 425 independent variable for the assessment of drought effects on wheat yield in two rural areas of Greece. The results 426 of this analysis showed a high correlation between RDI and the wheat yield during the winter months which proves 427 that satisfactory prediction of the drought impacts on wheat yields 2 to 3 months before the harvest can be achieved using the MLR model. Martínez-Fernández et al. (2016) conducted a study in the REMEDHUS (Soil Moisture 428 429 Measurement Stations Network) area in Spain, aiming to monitor agricultural drought on a weekly time scale and 430 provide early warning to farmers for adapting irrigation strategies. They computed a specific agricultural drought 431 index (SWDI) using data from the SMOS satellite. Within this study, various computation approaches were 432 analyzed, and the ones that yielded the most promising results were those directly based on soil attributes or 433 parameters extracted from pedo-transfer function (PTF). These approaches utilized a multiple regression analysis, 434 with soil water parameters as dependent variables and incorporated other relevant soil characteristics such as 435 texture, bulk density, and porosity.

- Although regression models have been valuable in drought forecasting, they exhibit certain limitations such as the
 linearity assumption, limited interactions between variables, sensitivity to overfitting and multicollinearity (Rafiei-
- 438 Sardooi et al., 2018). Consequently, their ability to accurately represent complex real-world phenomena is often
 439 insufficient (Zhang, 2003). To address these shortcomings, more advanced models capable of capturing non-linear
- 440 relationships and interactions are required, ultimately improving the forecasting of complex hydroclimatic events
- 441 such as droughts.

442 4.3. Machine Learning and Hybrid Models

444

443 One of the big challenges in drought prediction is the random and nonlinear nature of the hydroclimatic variables

(Agana and Homaifar, 2017). Over the last two decades, intelligent techniques such as Artificial Neural networks

445 (ANN), Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Fuzzy Logic (FL) have proven to be very promising tools for

- 446 modeling nonlinear and dynamic time series (Mokhtarzad et al., 2017; Dikshit et al., 2022; Prodhan et al., 2022).
- 447 These algorithms have thus garnered significant interest in the realms of drought modeling and forecasting
- 448 (Prodhan et al., 2022). In the context of modeling, they are used to develop mathematical representations of
- 449 complex drought systems, capturing the interplay of various atmospheric, hydrological, and land surface processes
- 450 that lead to these phenomena. In forecasting, the models derived from these algorithms are employed to anticipate
- 451 future drought conditions, assisting in risk assessment and mitigation strategies. Table 3 highlights key studies that
- 452 utilize machine learning models for drought prediction in the MedR.
- 453 Prodhan et al. (2022) stated in their review of machine learning methods for drought hazard monitoring and
- 454 forecasting on a global scale that the ANN was the most popular model in peer-reviewed literature, and they
- 455 suggested that higher use of the ANN model is anticipated because it has non-linear properties that make it more
- 456 robust for identifying all possible interactions between predictors.
- 457 ANN is a mathematical model inspired by biological brain neural networks. It consists of an interconnected group
- 458 of nodes (artificial neurons) and processes information using a connectionist computation (Fig. 2). In the case of
- 459 drought forecasting, ANN architecture is usually made of three layers: an input layer which consists of the drought
- 460 predictors, hidden layer(s) which comprises a function that applies weights to the input variables and passes them
- 461 using a non-linear activation function, and an output layer that consists of the drought target variable or drought
- 462 index (Han and Singh, 2020).

463 Figure 2 Drought forecasting based on a simple ANN architecture.

- 464 For the proper functioning of a neural network, the optimization of network weights (known as the learning or 465 training process) is an essential step (Dikshit et al., 2022). Back-propagation, Feed Forward, Gradient Descent, 466 Stochastic Gradient Descent, Adam and Levenberg–Marquardt are among the common training algorithms 467 (Bergou et al., 2020). The role of these algorithms is to minimize the difference between predicted and observed 468 values by adjusting the network weights and biases of the model.
- 469 Di Nunno et al. (2021) used a non-linear AutoRegressive with eXogenous inputs (NARX) neural network (a470 particular type of recurrent dynamic ANNs) to predict spring flows in the Umbria region (Italy). The results of this
- 471 study show a good performance of the NARX model in predicting spring discharges for both short (1 month:
- 472 R2 = 0.90-0.98, RAE = 0.09-0.25) and long-term lag time (12 months: R2 = 0.90-0.98, RAE = 0.09-0.24).
- 473 Achour et al. (2020) also confirmed the performance of the ANN model with multi-layer perceptron networks
- 474 architecture and Levenberg–Marquardt calibration algorithm in predicting drought in seven plains located in
- 475 northwestern Algeria with 2 months lead time ($R^2=0.81$, RMSE< 0.41 and MAE < 0.23).
- 476 SVM is also a robust supervised learning model that investigates data for classification and regression analysis. It
- 477 designates the best separating line to classify the data with more safety margins. Besides, the good performance in
- 478 solving linear problems, SVM could also transfer a non-linear classification to a linear one using the kernel
- 479 function and be able to solve high-dimensional problems (El Aissaoui et al., 2021).

- 480 In the context of drought studies, SVM is particularly beneficial due to its ability to handle many inputs, use a 481 small dataset for training, and its resistance to overfitting compared to ANN (Hao et al., 2018). These features
- 482 make SVM less sensitive to data sample size, enhancing the robustness of the drought model. On the forecasting
- 483 aspect, SVM employs a kernel function to map predictors in a high-dimensional hidden space, subsequently
- transforming the predictand to the output space (El Aissaoui et al., 2021). This process allows the SVM model to
- 485 generate effective and accurate forecasts about potential future drought events, given the input variables.
- El Aissaoui et al. (2021) used the Support Vector Regression (SVR) model with three kernel functions (linear,
 sigmoid, polynomial, and radial basis function [RBF]) for the prediction of drought in the region of Upper
- 488 Moulouya (Morocco) through the SPI and SPEI indices. Their research underscores the SVR model's effectiveness,
- 489 particularly with the RBF kernel function, in forecasting drought indices SPI (R = 0.92) and SPEI (R = 0.89). 490 Mohammed et al. (2022) evaluated the applicability of 4 Machine Learning algorithms namely bagging (BG),
- 490 Mohammed et al. (2022) evaluated the applicability of 4 Machine Learning algorithms namely bagging (BG),
 491 random subspace (RSS), random tree (RT), and random forest (RF) in predicting agricultural and hydrological
- 492 drought events in the eastern MedR based on SPI. The results of this study revealed that hydrological drought
- 493 (SPI-12, -24) was more severe over the study area and BG was the best model in the validation stage with RMSE
- 494 $\approx 0.62 0.83$ and $r \approx 0.58 0.79$.
- 495 To further improve the prediction accuracy of AI models, preprocessing of data using wavelet decomposition 496 (WD), PCA, or empirical mode decomposition (EMD) is recommended. These techniques known as hybrid models 497 have gained attention due to their potential to improve prediction accuracy and better capture complex 498 relationships in the data (Yoo et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2020). The preprocessing techniques are used to extract and 499 represent the essential features and patterns within the data and statistical methods, such as ANN, SVM, or RF, 500 model the relationship between the input variables and the target drought index. El Ibrahimi and Baali (2018) 501 explored the prediction of short-term (SPI-3) and long-term (SPI-12) drought conditions using 6 models: SVR, 502 ANN-MLP, Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference Systems (ANFIS), WA-SVR, WA-MLP, and WAANFIS in the 503 Saïss Plain (Morocco). They argued that ANN models were more efficient than SVR models and that the use of 504 wavelet analysis has enhanced the prediction skill of ANN models which is probably due to their capacity in 505 detecting local discontinuities and non-stationary characteristics of the data.

506 Table 3 Main studies using Artificial Intelligence Models to forecast drought in the MedR.

507 Özger et al. (2020) evaluated the effect of using EMD and WD for decomposing time series data on drought
508 prediction using the self-calibrated Palmer Drought Severity Index (sc-PDSI) and machine learning models ANN
509 and SVM. They found that the accuracy of standalone machine learning models in midterm sc-PDSI predictions
510 was unsatisfactory, but it significantly improved when EMD and WD techniques were introduced, particularly for
511 hybrid wavelet models.

- 512 In summary, machine learning and hybrid models, which combine preprocessing techniques with statistical
- 513 methods, have demonstrated their efficiency in drought forecasting, as they can effectively handle intricate,
- 514 nonlinear relationships and adjust to a diverse range of input data characteristics. However, the applicability of
- 515 these models may be challenging when input variables exhibit strong dependence on each other. This dependency
- 516 can lead to several issues such as multicollinearity, overfitting, and diminishing returns (Maloney et al., 2012). To

address these limitations and improve drought forecasting performance, it is essential to consider joint probability
models (Madadgar et al., 2014; Hao et al., 2018).

519 4.4. Joint Probability Models

520 The probabilistic analysis of drought events plays a significant role in the planning and management of water 521 resource systems, particularly in arid or semi-arid Mediterranean regions known for low annual and seasonal 522 precipitation. Drought return periods, which estimate the frequency of drought events, can provide valuable 523 information for responsible water management during drought conditions. The univariate frequency analysis is a 524 common method for analyzing drought events. As mentioned above, drought is usually characterized by its 525 severity, duration, and frequency which can be extracted using the theory of runs introduced by Yevjevich (1967). 526 These characteristics present a dependence structure that can be ignored by the univariate approach, resulting in 527 an under/overestimation of drought risks. As such, several joint probability theories have been recently 528 incorporated into drought risk analysis including two or more variables. One of the most important joint probability 529 models that have garnered increasing attention in the hydrologic community over the last decade is the copula 530 model (Jehanzaib et al., 2021; Pontes Filho et al., 2020; Das et al., 2020; Zellou and Rahali, 2019; Mortuza et al., 531 2019; Ozga-Zielinski et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2015; AghaKouchak, 2014b; Madadgar and Moradkhani, 2013; Chen 532 et al., 2013).

There are numerous copula families and classes, such as elliptic, Archimedean (Clayton, Frank, Gumbel, Joe),
extreme value, and Bayesian to cite but a few. The choice of the most suitable copula family depends on the
specific modeling goals and the structure of the data being modeled (Genest and Favre, 2007; Joe, 2014).

536 A brief overview of the bivariate copula theory is given here to initiate readers about their concept and application.

537 However, for additional details on the theory and concepts of the copula, readers may refer to the monographs by

538 Joe (1997) and Nelsen (2007). Furthermore, comprehensive methodological understanding of constructing high-

539 dimensional copulas, such as Pair Copula Construction (PCC) and Nested Archimedean Construction (NAC), can

- be garnered from the works of Aas and Berg (2009) and Savu and Trede (2010).
- 541 Let F be a 2-dimensional distribution function, with univariate margins F_1 and F_2 for random variables U and V,
- 542 respectively. According to Sklar's theorem (Sklar, 1959), there exists a copula C such that:

$$F(U, V) = C(F_1(U), F_2(V)) U, V \in R$$
(3)

- 543 with C unique when $F_1(U)$ and $F_2(V)$ are continuous marginal distributions, so that
- 544 $C: [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$ that satisfies the boundary conditions C(u,0) = C(0,v) = 0

and C(u, 1) = C(1, u) = u (Uniform margins) for any $u \in [0, 1]$ and the so-called 2-increasing property (Papaioannou et al., 2016).

- 547 The main advantage of the copula over the traditional multivariate distributions is its ability to model the nonlinear
- 548 dependence structure between variables independently from the choice of their marginal distributions (Salvadori
- and De Michele, 2004). This concept simplifies the joint probability analysis and its application in high dimensions
- 550 (with a large number of variables or predictors) becomes possible.

- 551 Serinaldi et al. (2009) constructed a four-dimensional joint distribution using the copula approach and SPI to model
- the stochastic structure of drought variables in Sicily (Italy). Drought return periods were next computed as mean
- interarrival time, taking into account two drought characteristics at a time by means of the corresponding bivariate marginals of the fitted four-dimensional distribution. Bouabdelli et al. (2020) investigated the joint probability and
- 555 joint return period of drought severity and duration using copula theory to assess the hydrological drought risk in
- 556 the reference period and its probability of occurrence in the future under two climate change scenarios in three
- basins located in northern Algeria. Bonaccorso et al. (2015) evaluated the conditional probability of future SPI
- 558 classes under the hypothesis of multivariate normal distribution of NAO and SPI series in Sicily (Italy). The results
- 559 of this study indicated that transition probabilities toward equal or worse drought conditions increase as NAO
- 560 tends toward extremely positive values. Table 4 displays additional examples of the application of the Joint
- 561 Probability Models to forecast drought in the MedR.

562 Table 4 Main studies using Joint Probability Models to forecast drought in the MedR.

All the above-mentioned studies confirm that copulas can accurately capture the joint distribution and dependence structure between multiple drought predictors without making strong assumptions about their marginal distributions. By combining the strengths of machine learning models with the flexibility of copulas, researchers can develop more accurate and reliable hybrid methods that better represent the intricacies of hydrological processes and climatic variables, even in the presence of strong dependence among the input variables (Jiang et al., 2023; Li et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2020).

569 4.5. Ensemble Streamflow Prediction

The ESP method, a commonly used technique in hydrological forecasting, was primarily intended for medium to
long-term streamflow prediction (Day, 1985). However, its utility extends to the prediction of hydrological
droughts, characterized by low streamflows (KyungHwan and DegHyo, 2015; Sutanto et al., 2020; Troin et al.,
2021).

574 ESP operates on the principle of employing historical data to generate an ensemble of possible future climate 575 conditions (Turco et al., 2017b). The process begins by determining the current state of the system, considering 576 parameters such as current streamflow, soil moisture levels, and reservoir levels which serves as the initial 577 conditions for the forecast (Wood et al., 2016). The generation of the ensemble involves choosing a historical 578 record at each time (day, week or month) of forecast that will provide the meteorological inputs (Day, 1985). By 579 repeating this process for every time in the historical record, an ensemble of forecasts is produced, each member 580 representing a potential future scenario. The hydrological model is run for each ensemble member, using the 581 chosen meteorological inputs and initial conditions to generate a range of potential future states of the system 582 (Harrigan et al., 2018). The ensemble of forecasts is then analyzed to derive probabilistic predictions.

583 As new data becomes available, forecasts can be updated by re-initializing the system's state and generating a new

ensemble of forecasts. A significant advantage of this method is that it enables the uncertainty prediction by

- producing a variety of potential future streamflow forecast scenarios which can increase the confidence of this
- approach, specifically for its operational use in water management (Troin et al., 2021).

- However, the limitations of the ESP method must be noted. For instance, it presupposes that future behavior will
 mirror past behavior, a concept that may not hold under changing climatic conditions (Wood et al., 2016).
 Furthermore, the method's performance is heavily reliant on the quality and duration of the historical
 meteorological records used in the ensemble generation process (Turco et al., 2017b).
- 591 ESP is frequently employed as a benchmark for comparison with more sophisticated forecasting methods, such as
- 592 dynamical climate models or hybrid statistical-dynamical models (AghaKouchak, 2014a; Turco et al., 2017b;
- 593 Torres-Vázquez et al., 2023). Although these more complex methods can outperform ESP in some instances, the
- 594 computationally efficient ESP method often exhibits comparable performance, particularly when forecasting a few
- 595 months ahead (Turco et al., 2017b; Torres-Vázquez et al., 2023).

596 4.6. Markov Chain Models

- 597 Markov chains are effective tools to understand the stochastic characteristics of drought events and their temporal598 dependency. These models assume that future states depend only on the current state.
- 599 Mathematically, Markov chain is a stochastic process X, such as at any time t, X_{t+1} is conditionally independent
- from $X_0, X_1, X_2, ..., X_{t-1}$, given X_t ; the probability that X_{t+1} takes a particular value j depends on the past only through its most recent value X_t :

$$P\{X_{t+1} = j | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_t\} = P\{X_{t+1} = j | X_t = i\} \forall i, j \in S, t \in T$$
(4)

- 602 A Markov chain is characterized by a set of states, *S*, and by the transition probability, P_{ij} , between states. The 603 transition probability P_{ij} is the probability that the Markov chain is at the next time point in state *j*, given that it is 604 at the present time point in state *i*.
- 605 The drought prediction using this concept can be expressed as the transition from wet or normal state to dry state 606 (or the other way around) or the transition from one drought severity state to another (e.g., no drought, mild 607 drought, moderate drought, extreme drought). Habibi et al. (2018) studied meteorological drought in North 608 Algeria's Chéliff-Zahrez basin, employing both localized and spatially distributed probabilities for temporal 609 transitions using Markov Chains, and recurrence probabilities using an optimal time series model, the APARCH 610 approach. Paulo and Pereira (2007) used Markov chains, incorporating homogeneous and non-homogeneous 611 formulations, to predict drought transitions up to three months ahead, based on the SPI derived from 67 years of 612 data in Southern Portugal. The non-homogeneous Markov model outperformed its counterpart by considering the 613 initial month and seasonal rainfall variations. Table 5 lists additional studies that apply Markov chain models for 614 MedR drought forecasting.
- 014 Weak alought foreeasting.

Table 5 Main studies using Markov Chains Model to forecast drought in the MedR.

- 616 These studies generally support the effectiveness of Markov chain models in providing valuable drought insights.
- 617 However, it is essential to consider the challenges associated with applying Markov chains within the MedR, as
- 618 the region's complex topography, considerable interannual climate fluctuations, limited data availability, and the
- 619 non-stationarity resulting from climate change can adversely affect the models' core assumptions and constrain
- 620 their long-term forecasting accuracy. Addressing these challenges calls for the adoption of more sophisticated

techniques that encompass both stochastic and physically based approaches, ultimately enhancing the accuracyand reliability of drought predictions in this region (Paulo and Pereira, 2007).

623 5 Dynamical Drought Prediction Methods

624 Dynamical drought prediction methods are generally based on the use of seasonal climate forecasts derived from 625 comprehensive GCMs. The European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF)'s System 4 626 (SYS4), the Hadley Centre's Global Environmental Model (HadGEM), the Community Earth System Model 627 (CESM), and the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP)'s Climate Forecast System (CFS) are 628 some widely recognized examples. Designed to emulate physical processes across the atmosphere, ocean, and land 629 surface, these GCMs can produce near-term forecasts for various climatic factors such as precipitation, 630 temperature, surface pressure, and winds. However, these models typically provide a global overview and possess 631 a relatively coarse resolution, which spans from 150 km to 300 km horizontally, encompassing 10 to 20 vertical 632 atmospheric layers and up to 30 oceanic layers. This level of detail may not offer the specificity necessary for 633 local-scale impact assessments. To counter this, post-processing steps, encompassing downscaling and bias 634 correction, are crucial when employing GCM forecasts (Tuel et al., 2021; Gumus et al., 2023). The main objective 635 here is to refine the global, coarse-grained GCM data into higher-resolution forecasts. These refined forecasts are 636 far more pertinent for predicting seasonal drought events at a regional and local scale within the MedR.

637 The most common approaches to downscale GCM forecasts include statistical models, dynamic or nested models, 638 and hybrid statistical-dynamical models (Wilby et al., 2004). In statistical downscaling, large-scale variables are 639 used as the predictors and desired near-surface climate variables are the predictands (Gutiérrez et al., 2019). The 640 role of statistical models is then to measure the correlations between predictors and predictands. Whereas 641 dynamical downscaling refers to the use of high-resolution regional simulations to dynamically extrapolate the 642 effects of large-scale climate processes to regional or local scales based on a nesting approach between GCMs and 643 Regional Climate Models (RCMs) (Giorgi and Gutowski, 2015). However, it is known that GCMs contain 644 significant systematic biases that may propagate into RCMs through the lateral and lower boundary conditions 645 and thus degrade the dynamically downscaled simulations and lead to large uncertainties (Maraun, 2016). Besides, 646 climate predictions from a single climate model simulation are sensitive to initial oceanic and atmospheric states 647 and can represent only one of the possible pathways the climate system might follow.

648 5.1. Multi-Model Ensemble

649 To allow probabilistic estimates of climate variables with uncertainties in quantification, it is necessary to carry 650 out an ensemble of simulations with different initial conditions from each model and to combine various models 651 as ensemble members. The frequently used Multi-Model Ensemble (MME) and bias correction methods include 652 quantile mapping (Wood et al., 2002) and Bayesian Model Averaging (Krishnamurti et al., 1999; Seifi et al., 2022). 653 These methods proceed by adjusting the modeled mean, variance, and/or higher moments of the distribution of 654 climate variables, to match the observations. However, such MME simulations can be very computationally 655 demanding. Therefore, some international dynamical downscaling intercomparison projects were carried out such 656 as the Coordinated Regional Downscaling Experiment (CORDEX, Wilby et al., 1998) and its Mediterranean 657 initiative MEdCORDEX (Ruti et al., 2016) to provide present and future climate simulations with a high spatial

- $\label{eq:conducted} \text{resolution} \ (\sim 12 \ \text{km}). \ \text{In a study conducted by Turco et al.} \ (2017b), \ \text{the accuracy and reliability of ECMWF's System}$
- 4 (SYS4) in forecasting drought conditions, characterized by a six-month SPEI6, across Europe from 1981 to 2010
- 660 was evaluated. They found that the SYS4 model effectively projected the spatial patterns of SPEI6 and various
- drought conditions (ranging from extreme to normal) with a reasonable degree of precision up to a lead time of 2
- 662 months. In the same geographical context, Ceglar et al. (2017) demonstrate the power of dynamical models in the 663 agricultural sector by investigating the relationship between large-scale atmospheric circulation and crop yields in
- 664 Europe. Their research highlights the significant potential of such models in developing effective seasonal crop
- 665 yield forecasting, and consequently, in advancing dynamic adaptation strategies to climate variability and change.
- All these studies confirmed the good performance of MME methods in providing probabilistic drought forecasts for 1 to 2 months of lead time and improving drought onset detectability. However, much effort should be made in selecting the most skilled GCM ensembles in reproducing the large and synoptic scale atmospheric and landsurface conditions associated with drought development in the MedR. By prioritizing ensembles that adequately capture the region's distinct climate characteristics, spatial-temporal variability, and land-atmosphere interactions, the MME forecasts can mitigate biases related to key meteorological variables such as temperature or precipitation and significantly improve the precision and reliability of drought predictions (Li et al., 2023; Ahmed et al., 2019).

673 5.2. Coupled hydrological models.

- 674 On the other hand, GCMs often struggle to accurately represent some complex elements of the hydrological cycle, 675 such as soil moisture, streamflow, groundwater level, and PET. The inherent complexities of these variables and 676 the broad spatial scale of GCMs make it challenging to fully capture their behavior. This gap can limit the 677 effectiveness of GCMs in drought prediction and modelling (Balting et al., 2021). Consequently, to dynamically 678 forecast agricultural and hydrological droughts, the water balance should be correctly simulated by hydrological 679 models forced by climate forecasts (Wanders and Wood, 2016). Among the most used models to forecast 680 hydrological drought, we cite, the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) (Arnold et al., 1998), the Variable 681 Infiltration Capacity (VIC) (Liang et al., 1994), and the Community Land Model (CLM) (Oleson et al., 2004). 682 These models can incorporate data on soil moisture, vegetation, snow water equivalent, groundwater level, and 683 other initial hydrologic conditions with climate forecasts to simulate the movement of water through the 684 hydrological cycle, including the processes of precipitation, evaporation, infiltration, and runoff. Crop growth 685 models can also be coupled with hydrological models to make an accurate prediction of agricultural drought and 686 its impact on crop yields (Narasimhan and Srinivasan, 2005; Abhishek et al., 2021).
- 687 Coupled hydroclimatic models can improve drought forecasting by allowing for the consideration of feedback 688 between the hydrological and climatological components of the Earth system. Indeed, drought conditions can 689 affect the availability of water for evapotranspiration, which in turn can affect the amount of moisture in the 690 atmosphere and the likelihood of precipitation. By incorporating this feedback into the model, it is possible to 691 produce more accurate forecasts of drought conditions.
- 692 In a recent study, Brouziyne et al. (2020) combined meteorological and hydrological drought indices (SPI and
- 693 SDI) with a SWAT model forced by bias-corrected CNRM-CM5 data to predict future droughts under two RCPs
- 694 (4.5 & 8.5) in Bouregreg watershed, Morocco. They confirmed that using multiple drought indices and a
- 695 comprehensive water budget indicator such as Total Water Yield provided a valid approach to evaluate drought

- 696 conditions in a Mediterranean context. Marx et al. (2018) analyzed a multi-model ensemble of 45 hydrological
- 697 simulations based on three RCPs (2.6, 6.0, and 8.5), five GCMs (CMIP5), and three state-of-the-art hydrological
- 698 models (mHM, Noah-MP, and PCR-GLOBWB) to investigate how hydrological low flows are affected under
- different levels of future global warming. Based on the analysis of the results, the authors recommended usingmultiple hydrological models in climate impact studies and to embrace uncertain information on the multi-model
- rot ensemble as well as its single members in the adaptation process.

702 5.3. Long-term drought projection under climate change.

703 As climate change continues to influence drought events in the MedR, it is vital to integrate long-term climate 704 projections into drought forecasting strategies (Tramblay et al., 2020). In this regard, GCMs are essential for 705 projecting future climate changes under varying scenarios, such as Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) 706 or Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs1). Coupled with downscaling techniques, these models offer region-707 specific projections of critical climate variables including precipitation, temperature, surface pressure, and winds. 708 These projections are instrumental in estimating long-term drought events, facilitating a more comprehensive risk 709 assessment for stakeholders and decision makers. Baronetti et al. (2022) analyzed the expected characteristics of 710 drought episodes in the near (2021–2050) and far (2071–2100) future compared to the baseline conditions (1971– 711 2000) for northern Italy using EURO-CORDEX and MedCORDEX GCMs/RCMs pairs at a spatial resolution of 712 0.11 degrees for the RCPs (4.5 and 8.5) scenarios. The results indicated that the GCM/RCM pairs performed 713 generally well, while in complex environments such as coastal areas and mountain regions, the simulations were 714 affected by considerable uncertainty. Dubrovský et al. (2014) used an ensemble of 16 GCMs to map future drought 715 and climate variability in the MedR. Bağçaci et al. (2021) compared the capacity of the latest release Coupled 716 Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) model ensembles in representing near-surface temperature and 717 precipitation of Turkey in comparison with its predecessor CMIP5 to better understand the vulnerability degree of 718 the country to climate change. In a study conducted by Cos et al. (2022), the authors compared climate projections 719 from CMIP5 and CMIP6 models to assess the impacts of climate change in the MedR. The findings reveal a robust 720 and significant warming trend across all seasons, with CMIP6 models projecting stronger warming compared to 721 CMIP5. While precipitation changes show greater uncertainties, a robust and significant decline is projected over 722 large parts of the region during summer by the end of the century, particularly under high emission scenarios. 723 (Seker and Gumus, 2022) uses 22 global circulation models from CMIP6 to project future precipitation and 724 temperature changes in the MedR. The MMEs outperform individual GCMs in simulating historical data, and the 725 projections indicate a decrease in precipitation by 15% for SSP2-4.5 and 20% for SSP5-8.5. Table 6 shows the 726 main studies using dynamical models to forecast drought in MedR.

727 Table 6 Main studies using dynamical models to forecast drought in the MedR.

728 In summary, recent advancements in seasonal drought forecasting with dynamical models encompass increased 729 climate resolution, improved representation of physical processes, improved initialization methods using data 730 assimilation techniques (Zhou et al., 2022), use of multi-model ensembles (Wanders and Wood, 2016; Seker and 731 Gumus, 2022), coupled modeling approaches (Guion et al., 2022), and the development of sub-seasonal to seasonal

¹ SSPs are the latest climate change scenarios used in CMIP6. They not only incorporate greenhouse gas emissions scenarios like their predecessor, RCPs from CMIP5, but also integrate socioeconomic factors, such as population growth, economic development, and technological progress. Essentially, SSPs provide a more holistic view of possible future climate scenarios by considering both environmental and societal changes.

predictions (Zhou et al., 2021). These steps have contributed to more accurate and reliable drought predictions.
However, even with these improvements, predicting drought months in advance remains a significant challenge

due to the inherent complexity and chaos of the climate system.

735

6 Hybrid Statistical-Dynamic Methods

736 While statistical models, when appropriately fine-tuned, can effectively predict seasonal drought events, a 737 significant limitation arises from the non-stationary relationship between the predictors and predictands used in 738 the forecasting process (AghaKouchak et al., 2022). This can limit their ability to accurately predict unprecedented 739 drought events, which fall beyond the scope of their historical training data (Hao et al., 2018). On the other hand, 740 dynamical models are proficient at capturing the nonlinear interactions among the atmosphere, land, and ocean, 741 enhancing their ability to detect the onset of droughts (Turco et al., 2017b; Ceglar et al., 2017). However, despite 742 their advanced capabilities, their forecast proficiency is generally constrained to a few months of lead time (Turco 743 et al., 2017b). To address the shortcomings associated with seasonal forecasting skills, hybrid models employ 744 statistical or machine learning methods to merge a broad variety of forecasts from statistical and dynamical models 745 into a final probabilistic prediction product (Slater et al., 2022). The frequently used merging methods include the 746 regression analysis, BMA, and Bayesian post-processing method (Hao et al., 2018; Strazzo et al., 2019; Han and 747 Singh, 2020; Xu et al., 2018). The BMA method involves the estimation of the posterior probability density 748 function (PDF) of model parameters based on the observed data and using this PDF to weight each individual 749 model forecast (Tian et al., 2023). The hybrid forecast is then generated as the weighted average of the individual 750 forecasts from statistical and dynamical models. The BMA weights estimation with simultaneous model 751 uncertainty quantification can also be used in selecting the best-performing ensemble members to reduce the cost 752 of running large ensembles (Raftery et al., 2005). There is also an opportunity to enhance the probabilistic seasonal 753 forecast skill through Bayesian post-processing methods such as the Calibration, Bridging, and Merging (CBaM) 754 technique (Schepen et al., 2014; Schepen et al., 2016; Strazzo et al., 2019). The calibration step consists in 755 optimizing the dynamical forecasts from multiple GCMs by analyzing their correlation to observed data through 756 a statistical model. In the bridging step, the dynamical forecasts from GCMs are calibrated using some large-scale 757 climate indices (e.g., ENSO, NAO, PDO, AO), and finally, the merging component combines the forecasts of the 758 two previous steps.

759 These hybrid statistical-dynamical models combine the strengths of both modeling approaches and offer several 760 advantages compared to either statistical or dynamical models alone. Thereby, seasonal drought forecasting using 761 hybrid models has recently become an active area of research (Madadgar et al., 2016; Strazzo et al., 2019; 762 AghaKouchak et al., 2022). On global scale, Yan and Wood (2013) analyzed the capability of seasonal forecasting 763 of global drought onset and found that despite climate models increasing drought detection, a significant proportion 764 of onset events are still missed. Their findings underscore the urgent need for implementing reliable, skillful 765 probabilistic forecasting methods to better manage the inherent uncertainties and potentially improve drought predictability. Dutra et al. (2014) confirmed that the uncertainty in long lead time forecasts suggested that drought 766 767 onset might fundamentally be a stochastic problem. Mo and Lyon (2015) also found that improvements in near-768 real-time global precipitation observations could yield the most substantial advances in global meteorological 769 drought prediction in the near term. This reinforces the notion that the effectiveness of dynamical models is 770 fundamentally associated to the quality of initial data and the inherent stochastic nature of drought onset.

- 771 In line with these findings, a unique approach was undertaken by Ribeiro and Pires (2016) in the MedR. They
- proposed a hybrid scheme that combines dynamical forecasts from the UK Met Office (UKMO) operational
- 773 forecasting system with past observations as predictors in a statistical downscaling approach based on MLR model
- for long-range drought forecasting in Portugal (Table 7). They concluded that hybridization improves drought
- forecasting skills in comparison to dynamical forecasts.

776 Table 7 Main studies using hybrid statistical-dynamical models to forecast drought in the MedR.

777 Leveraging these advantages of hybrid statistical-dynamical models, the prediction of flash droughts has become 778 possible. Indeed, these events can develop rapidly by a quick decline in soil moisture and streamflow that may 779 cause devastating economic and ecological impacts in a short period (from a few days to 1-2 months) (Mo and 780 Lettenmaier, 2015) which makes them, particularly challenging to forecast. By providing a more nuanced 781 understanding of the drought contributing factors, hybrid statistical-dynamical models help to identify potential 782 warning signs of an imminent drought event, improve drought early warning systems, and reduce false alarm rate 783 of drought onset (Xu et al., 2018), thus tackling some of the limitations and challenges highlighted in the earlier 784 studies.

785 7 Discussion

786 7.1. Drought types and indices

787 The indices adopted by the surveyed studies were grouped according to three distinct drought categories: 788 meteorological, agricultural, and hydrological. Figure 3 illustrates the percentage of usage for each index by 789 category. Meteorological droughts were the most common, appearing in 63.00% of the examined studies, followed 790 by agricultural droughts with approximately 22.20%, whereas hydrological droughts were the least prevalent, 791 making up only 14.80%.

792 The SPI was the primary indicator, used in 70.59% of meteorological drought studies. But it also served as an 793 indicator for hydrological and agricultural droughts, with usage rates of around 25.00% and 8.33%, respectively.

Despite the apparent versatility of the SPI, its reliance on precipitation data limits its ability to account for other influential factors such as evapotranspiration, soil moisture, land usage, and water management practices. Consequently, an overemphasis on the SPI could potentially constrain our comprehension of drought phenomena in the MedR. To enrich this understanding, it is recommended to incorporate a broader range of indicators and models that include a more diverse set of variables. Using multivariate drought indices such as the SPEI, PDSI, or sc-PDSI, or alternatively, a combination of multiple indices, can contribute to a more comprehensive view by

- 800 including regional feedback mechanisms in the forecast process. This approach also enhances our capacity to
- 801 evaluate the impacts of global warming on drought severity and intensity in the MedR (see Marcos-Garcia et al.,
- **802** 2017; Gouveia et al., 2017).

803 Figure 3 Pie chart showing the proportion of use of indices in the surveyed studies in MedR for different drought types.

804 On the other hand, SDI was the most applied index in hydrological drought studies in the MedR (37.50%). It is 805 calculated by comparing the current streamflow to the long-term average or median streamflow for a specific

- 806 location and time of year (Nalbantis & Tsakiris, 2009). Despite its usefulness, there are some limits to using SDI
- 807 in MedR. Indeed, this region is known for highly variable climates with strong seasonality (wet winters and dry

- summers) and the presence of transient streams or intermittent rivers that flow only during and after rainfall events,
- 809 especially in sub-humid and semi-arid areas. Groundwater recharge principally occurs during the wet season, when
- 810 precipitation infiltrates the soil and replenishes aquifers (Scanlon et al., 2002). In these regions, the SDI may not
- 811 provide an accurate representation of the hydrological drought as it relies solely on streamflow data. Therefore,
- the use of SDI should be done in combination with other drought indices that consider variables such as
- 813 groundwater, soil moisture, runoff, and regional variations in precipitation and streamflow patterns for accurate
- 814 hydrological drought assessment.
- One can notice from Fig. 3 that the agricultural drought studies are characterized by more diversity of indices. This diversity can be explained by the varied range of agro-climatic conditions that characterize the MedR, including a wide range of soil types, topography, and vegetation cover. These diverse conditions can result in varying impacts of drought on agricultural production, which require different drought indices to accurately capture the extent and severity of the drought. In addition, the MedR is also home to a diverse range of crops, each with different sensitivities to drought (Fereres & Soriano, 2007). This diversity of crops can require different indices to assess
- the impact of drought on each crop.
- 822 Overall, a suitable index should be able to capture the impacts of drought, detect changes over time, and 823 differentiate between different levels of severity, while also being accurate and easily interpretable by stakeholders.
- 824 7.2. Drought forecasting accuracy
- Key obstacles in drought modeling include the absence of a one-size-fits-all model, choosing suitable inputs,
 determining an index that accurately represents drought tracking in various regions, and the uneven geographical
 influence that leads to discrepancies in model accuracy (Mishra & Desai, 2005; IPCC, 2012). Consequently,
 contrasting different methodologies is crucial for developing a reliable prediction model.
- 829 The accuracy of drought prediction depends on various factors such as the quality and availability of data, spatial 830 and temporal scales, prediction lead time, and model complexity, to cite but a few (Wilhite et al., 2014; Mishra & 831 Singh, 2010). For consistency, this analysis only includes studies that use R² as evaluation criteria of the forecast 832 with a lead time of 1 month. Joint probability models were excluded from this analysis since the accuracy 833 evaluation criteria were different. Moreover, the concept of lead time is not addressed in most of the surveyed 834 studies. It is also important to note that this analysis does not include hybrid statistical-dynamical models, as the 835 number of studies applying this approach in the MedR was quite limited. Consequently, the available research is 836 insufficient to offer a comprehensive understanding of the applicability and effectiveness of these models in the 837 region.
- Figure 4 Box and whiskers plot to show the performance of drought prediction models denoted by the coefficient of
 determination (R²) for the surveyed studies in MedR. The lower box shows the 25th percentile, the upper box shows the
- 840 75 percentile and the median (50th percentile) is represented by the black line inside the box. The whiskers show the
- 841 extent to the minimum and maximum values within 1.5 times the interquartile range (IQR) from the box.
- Figure 4 shows a box and whisker plot of drought forecasting model accuracy based on R² in the surveyed studies
 in the MedR (see table1 in Appendix). According to the graph, hybrid models appear to be the most accurate and
- 844 consistent, with the highest median and shortest box height. Markov chains and AI models also have relatively
- short box heights, indicating high agreement and accuracy across studies. Meanwhile, dynamical and regression

- 846 models exhibit moderate to high accuracy (both have median equal to 0.79), but the height of the dynamical model
- 847 box is shorter than that of the regression models, suggesting greater consistency. Time series models also show
- 848 moderate to high accuracy, with a median equal to 0.82.

849 Nonetheless, Fig. 4 provides valuable information about the relative performance of different models across 850 multiple studies in the MedR. The consistently high median of hybrid models suggests that they are particularly 851 effective for drought forecasting in the region. Similarly, the consistent performance of the AI and Markov chain 852 models, suggests that these models also show promise. The variability in the performance of the regression, and 853 the time series, as indicated by their taller boxplots, suggests that there may be more variability in the effectiveness 854 of these models across different studies and regions. The results also show that dynamical models can provide 855 valuable insights into drought conditions. However, the high variability in their performance, suggests that there 856 may be room for improvement in the development and implementation of these models in MedR.

- 857 This analysis concludes that simple statistical models such as Markov chains, regression, and time series can still 858 be useful in some situations and are generally more transparent and easier to interpret. For example, when focusing 859 on a single variable to forecast drought (e.g., precipitation using SPI), simple models like ARIMA can effectively capture the temporal patterns and provide reasonable forecasts. Or, when drought conditions can be effectively 860 861 represented by discrete states or categories, Markov chains can be employed to model the transition probabilities 862 between these states and forecast future drought conditions (Habibi et al., 2018; Nalbantis and Tsakiris, 2009; 863 Paulo and Pereira, 2007). Also, when working with a limited number of variables and moderate interactions, 864 simple regression models like linear or logistic regression can provide adequate predictions of drought conditions 865 (Sharma et al., 2017). The effectiveness of simple models in these situations depends on the specific context and 866 the data quality and quantity. When more complex relationships or high-dimensional data are involved, it may be 867 necessary to employ more advanced models like dynamical models or combine simple models with techniques 868 like machine learning, copulas, or hybrid approaches to improve forecasting performance. Hybrid statistical-869 dynamical models present a promising avenue for enhancing forecast accuracy, particularly for extended lead 870 times and in situations where intricate processes and interactions are critical (AghaKouchak et al., 2021; Mehran 871 et al., 2020; Madadgar et al., 2016). The relatively nascent emergence of these hybrid techniques has resulted in a 872 limited number of studies applying them in the MedR. This can be ascribed to factors such as data constraints, 873 computational complexity, and model uncertainty. Moreover, proficiency in both statistical and dynamical 874 modeling is needed, and interdisciplinary cooperation is frequently deficient. Notwithstanding these challenges, 875 there is an increasing interest not only in enhancing traditional dynamical models but also in the development and 876 utilization of hybrid models. As research progresses and resources become more accessible, these hybrid models 877 may see wider adoption for their potential to improve predictive accuracy.
- 878

7.3. Spatial and Temporal Scales of Drought

Figure 5 displays the spatial and temporal scales of drought forecasting studies in the MedR with a pie chart indicating the percentage of use of drought forecasting method: statistical, dynamical, and hybrid statistical models for each spatiotemporal scale. This figure shows that the number of droughts forecasting studies tends to decrease as the spatial scale increases and increases as the time scale increases. We can also notice from this figure that the majority of studies in the MedR focused on the local scales (e.g., city or catchment), particularly at annual and seasonal time scales. In contrast, very few studies were conducted at the MedR scale, and only a few studies wereconducted at the country scale.

Figure 5 Spatial and temporal scales of drought forecasting studies in the Mediterranean region with a pie chart
indicating the percentage of use of drought forecasting method: statistical, dynamical, and hybrid statistical models for
each spatiotemporal scale.

889 When considering the spatial scale, drought forecasting becomes more challenging at larger scales due to various 890 factors. One of the major challenges is the complexity of the interactions between different factors that contribute 891 to droughts, such as precipitation, temperature, soil moisture, and vegetation cover (Sheffield & Wood, 2011). 892 These interactions are nonlinear and difficult to capture accurately, especially at larger scales where there are more 893 variability and heterogeneity (AghaKouchak et al., 2015). For instance, at the country scale, there could be 894 different microclimates, topography, and land use practices that affect these factors differently (Vicente-Serrano 895 et al., 2010a). This heterogeneity tends to increase as the spatial scale increases, making it harder to calibrate and 896 validate drought forecasting models. On the other hand, the small number of studies that focused on large 897 geographic areas is probably due to the challenge of data availability and homogeneity, which arises due to 898 limitations in data collection and standardization, particularly at larger spatial scales (Dai, 2011). This can lead to 899 incomplete or inconsistent datasets, which in turn can impact the accuracy of drought forecasting models. Remote 900 sensing technologies can provide a solution to this problem by allowing for the collection of large-scale, high-901 resolution data that can improve the accuracy of forecasting models (Gouveia et al., 2017). The role of remote 902 sensing data in improving drought prediction will be further discussed in sect. 8.2.

903 When considering the time scale, the number of droughts forecasting studies tends to increase as the scale 904 increases. Drought research often emphasizes seasonal, annual, or decadal scales due to various factors. The slow-905 onset nature of droughts necessitates studying their progression and recovery over extended periods (Mishra & 906 Singh, 2010). Investigating longer time scales also allows researchers to analyze the impact of large-scale climate 907 drivers, such as ENSO or NAO, on drought events (Dai, 2011). Moreover, focusing on these time scales enables 908 a better assessment of drought consequences on water resources, agriculture, and ecosystems, which are more 909 pronounced over extended periods (Wilhite & Pulwarty, 2017). Additionally, data availability and reliability tend 910 to be higher for longer time scales, facilitating more robust analyses. Long-term trends and climate change impacts 911 on droughts can also be better understood at longer time scales (Trenberth et al., 2014).

912 Notably, only one study focused on the weekly time scale. Drought forecasting at small scales or weekly time 913 scales offers several advantages, including early warning and improved water management (Pulwarty & 914 Sivakumar, 2014), quick response to flash droughts (Mo & Lettenmaier, 2015), support for agricultural decision-915 making (Hansen et al., 2011), improved accuracy of longer-term forecasts (Yuan et al., 2015), and model 916 improvement and validation (Wood et al., 2016). However, drought forecasting at such a small scale may be more 917 challenging due to the chaotic nature of the atmosphere, making it difficult to accurately model complex 918 interactions between atmospheric conditions, land surface characteristics, and water management practices over

919 short periods (Lorenz, 1963; Seneviratne et al., 2012).

920 On the other hand, the most commonly used forecasting methods were statistical and hybrid statistical models, 921 with only a few studies applying dynamical models and the percentage of studies applying this last approach 922 increases with an increase in the temporal scale. There could be several reasons for these findings. Dynamical

- 923 models require large amounts of high-quality input data, which may not be readily available for the MedR due to
- 924 limitations in historical data and spatial coverage (Giorgi & Lionello, 2008). Statistical and hybrid statistical
- 925 models often have lower data requirements and are generally computationally more efficient than dynamical
- 926 models, making them more suitable for regions with limited data availability and computational constraints.
- 927 Furthermore, the percentage of studies applying dynamical models increases with an increase in the temporal scale
- 928 because these models are better suited for capturing long-term climate variability and the influence of large-scale 929 climate drivers (Dai, 2011; Sheffield et al., 2012). Statistical and hybrid statistical models, conversely, are more
- 930 effective at capturing short-term variability and local-scale processes, which are often more relevant for drought
- 931
- forecasting in the MedR (Mehran et al., 2014). Lastly, data availability at shorter temporal scales can be a limiting
- 932 factor for developing and validating dynamical models (Shah et al., 2018).
- 933 In summary, while increasing the spatial scale can decrease the accuracy of drought forecasting studies, increasing 934 the time scale can improve the accuracy by allowing for a more comprehensive understanding of the various factors 935 that contribute to drought conditions. It is essential to consider both spatial and temporal scales when conducting
- 936 drought forecasting studies to ensure the most accurate predictions possible.

937 **Challenges and Future Prospects** 8

In the earlier discussion, we analyzed drought indices, factors affecting the accuracy of drought forecasts, and the 938 939 significance of spatial and temporal scales in drought predictions within the MedR context. Building on this 940 understanding, the following sections will focus on the challenges and prospects within the realm of drought 941 forecasting, which will help to pinpoint potential avenues for progress and innovation in this area.

942 8.1. Data Assimilation

943 The skills lack of in-situ measurement networks and coarse global seasonal forecast 944 hindered drought forecasting facilities, especially in data-poor (Pozzi al., has regions et 945 2013; Haile et al. 2020). In this regard, Data Assimilation (DA) provides a powerful approach to enhancing drought 946 forecasting accuracy by incorporating different observations and climate forecasts into a hydrologic model to 947 generate more precise initial conditions (Hao et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2016). Therefore, many studies have referred to this method to better forecast hydroclimatic variables (e.g., Bazrkar and Chu, 2021; Peng, 2021; Xu et al., 2020; 948 949 Liu et al., 2019; Steiger et al., 2018; Steiger and Smerdon, 2017). The ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF) (Evensen, 950 1994) algorithm is one of the most popular DA techniques applied by the hydrologic community. However, this 951 assimilation method is subject to some inherent drawbacks especially in nonlinear dynamic systems thus resulting 952 in suboptimal performance and violation of water balance (Abbaszadeh et al., 2018). Given these limitations, 953 emphasis should be placed on the development of improved DA algorithms better adapted to hydrologic models, 954 which allow the modeling of different temporal and spatial scales and the improvement of water balance. This can 955 be achieved by modifying the standard approaches such as the ensemble Kalman filter or variational algorithms 956 so that, accurate predictions can be obtained at a reasonable computational cost. These include among others hybrid 957 EnKF-Var methods (Bannister, 2017; Bergou et al., 2016; Mandel et al., 2016) and AI algorithms for ensemble post-processing (Grönquist et al., 2021). One recent advance in data assimilation techniques for drought 958 959 forecasting is the use of machine learning algorithms to improve the accuracy of predictions. For example, 960 researchers have used machine learning techniques to develop models that can analyze large amounts of data from a variety of sources and generate more accurate forecasts of drought conditions (Aghelpour et al., 2020; Rhee and
Im, 2017; Feng et al., 2019). These models can also be updated in real time as new data becomes available,
allowing for more accurate and up-to-date forecasts. Another advance in data assimilation techniques for drought
forecasting is the use of remote sensing data and reanalysis to improve the accuracy of predictions, which may be
particularly beneficial in areas where ground-based observations are limited (Shahzaman et al., 2021b; Shi et al.,

966 2011).

967 8.2. Remote Sensing and Reanalysis

968 Various challenges in drought modeling in the MedR are related to data availability. The lack of climatic and 969 hydrological observations in ungauged catchments, low station density, short data records, data gaps, and limited 970 data access in some Mediterranean countries. All these challenges can limit the accuracy and reliability of drought 971 predictions. Although many efforts are being deployed by developing new complete datasets in the MEDR (Tuel 972 and El Moçayd, 2023), finding alternative data sources and modeling techniques is essential to tackle these 973 challenges.

974 Remote sensing data can provide real-time information about the Earth's surface facilitating effective drought 975 forecasting, monitoring, and early warning (Zhang et al., 2016). Agricultural drought can be assessed by analyzing 976 changes in vegetation cover over time. Indeed, drought can lead to marked changes in the health and vigor of 977 vegetation, and these changes can be detected using remote sensing data (Belal et al., 2014). By analyzing changes 978 in vegetation greenness over time, it is possible to identify areas that are experiencing or are at risk of experiencing 979 drought stress. Moreover, drought conditions related to vegetation or evapotranspiration can also be monitored 980 with drought indices from remote sensing products, such as NDVI or Evaporation Stress Index (ESI) (Shahzaman 981 et al., 2021a). Microwave satellite data can also be used to estimate soil moisture levels during crop growing 982 season, which can be used to predict and monitor potential agricultural droughts (Le Page and Zribi, 2019; Yuan 983 et al., 2015).

In addition, satellite observations of precipitation and soil moisture such as IMERG (Huffman et al., 2015),
PERSIANN-CCS (Sadeghi et al., 2021), CHIRPS (Funk et al., 2015), SMAP (Entekhabi et al., 2010), MSWEP
V2 (Beck et al., 2019), GLEAM v3 (Martens et al., 2017), and DROP (Turco et al., 2020) can be used in
conjunction with the in-situ observations and ground-based radar observations data to fill observational gaps.

988 Moreover, data from numerical weather forecasting reanalysis such as ERA5-land were used instead or along with
989 direct observations to forecast drought in many studies (Babre et al., 2020; Junqueira et al., 2022; Parker et al.,

990 2021). ERA5-land is a state-of-the-art global reanalysis dataset that can provide a consistent view of the evolution

991 of land variables (e.g., precipitation, temperature) over several decades at an enhanced resolution (~10 km). This

992 product obtained by assimilating observations through a 4D-VAR data assimilation technique can be used as 993 ground truth in data-poor regions. For example, ERA5-land can be used to calibrate and validate climate forecasts

and to choose an ensemble of the most skilled GCMs in reproducing the actual observed climate in a specificregion.

Similarly, SAFRAN, a high-resolution meteorological reanalysis, has shown its utility in regions with sparse
observational data. Tramblay et al. (2019) used SAFRAN to generate a high-resolution (5 km) gridded daily
precipitation datasets for Tunisia between 1979 and 2015. Their study, which combined data from 960 rain gauges

- 999 with the SAFRAN analysis, demonstrated that SAFRAN surpassed other standard interpolation methods like 1000 Inverse Distance, Nearest Neighbors, Ordinary Kriging, or Residual Kriging with altitude. The outcome was a 1001 highly accurate gridded precipitation dataset that could be instrumental for climate studies, model evaluation, and 1002 hydrological modeling to support the planning and management of surface water resources.
- Finally, remote sensing data and reanalysis remain valuable tools for drought forecasting and monitoring, as it provides timely land surface information that can fill the observational gaps, help to identify areas at risk of potential drought conditions and to monitor the progression of drought over time.

1006 8.3. Uncertainty analysis in drought forecasting

1007 In spite of the large number of studies that have been carried out on the probabilistic characterization of drought, 1008 the quantification of uncertainty of these forecasts is still ignored in major studies. Uncertainty analysis is an 1009 important aspect of probabilistic drought forecast, as it allows users to understand the degree of confidence 1010 associated with the forecasted probabilities (Hao et al., 2016; Dehghani et al., 2014). Therefore, more efforts 1011 should focus on quantifying the uncertainty beyond just an ensemble of model simulations (AghaKouchak et al., 1012 2022).

- 1013 Drought forecasting is subject to epistemic and aleatory uncertainties. The first one arises from incomplete 1014 knowledge of drought processes and can be reduced with improved understanding, more data, and good models' 1015 calibration and validation. The second one is related to the inherent variability and randomness in natural systems 1016 and is often difficult to reduce (Pappenberger & Beven, 2006). In addition, uncertainties in drought forecasting 1017 can vary by region, spatial scale, and temporal scale. As we discussed in sect. 7.3, even well calibrated and 1018 validated, the drought forecasting model will not necessarily perform equally well in all periods or locations. By 1019 considering the uncertainty of the drought model as a nonstationary process in space and time, researchers can 1020 gain new insights into the variability of uncertainty and its underlying causes (AghaKouchak et al., 2022). This 1021 perspective can help identify regions or periods where the uncertainties are particularly high, which can guide 1022 further research, data collection, and model development efforts. Additionally, understanding the space-time 1023 variability of uncertainty can inform the development of more robust and reliable forecasting and decision-making 1024 approaches that account for the changing nature of uncertainty.
- 1025 Various techniques can be employed to quantify drought forecast uncertainty, including ensemble forecasting 1026 (Palmer et al., 2004), Bayesian methods (Vrugt et al., 2008), sensitivity analysis (Saltelli et al., 2008) and 1027 probabilistic forecasting (Gneiting et al., 2005). Probabilistic drought prediction can also involve the use of data 1028 assimilation techniques to integrate different data sources, including remote sensing data, ground-based 1029 observations, and output from meteorological and hydrological models. Lately, hybrid statistical-dynamical 1030 models have shown their potential in reducing uncertainties associated with both statistical and dynamical methods 1031 (Yuan et al., 2015; Madadgar et al., 2016). For example, shortcomings in dynamical model physics or data can be 1032 counterbalanced by the empirical associations in statistical models. While, uncertainties in statistical models 1033 resulting from shifting climate conditions can be tackled by the physically based dynamical models (Yuan et al., 1034 2015).
- 1035 In summary, probabilistic drought prediction with uncertainty analysis can be useful tools for decision makers, as1036 they provide a more comprehensive view of the potential impacts of drought and allow for more informed risk

1037 management decisions. However, what is missing in the current drought forecasting models is not just the1038 uncertainty quantification, but also a lack of awareness of it (AghaKouchak et al., 2022).

1039 8.4. Drought Information Systems

1040 A critical component of proactive approaches to drought preparedness is providing timely and 1041 reliable climate information, including seasonal forecasts, that helps decision makers prepare 1042 management policies (Manatsa et al., 2017). Identifying drought risk timely depends on our 1043 ability to monitor and forecast its physical causing mechanisms at the relevant spatiotemporal 1044 scale. An integrated national drought monitoring and early warning system has been 1045 implemented in many regions and countries such as the United States, New Zealand, South Asia, India, and Europe 1046 (Prabhakar and Rama, 2022) but has not taken place until recently in developing countries (e.g., the Southern and 1047 Eastern Mediterranean countries). This is probably due to the lack of a drought information system, the sparse 1048 observation networks, and the low predictability of seasonal precipitation in these countries. To overcome these 1049 limitations, there is a need for developing a Drought Information System with a complete approach allowing data 1050 collection and preprocessing, accurate probabilistic drought risk prediction using a combination of ensemble 1051 climate seasonal forecasts, ground-based observations, reanalysis, conventional and remote-sensing observations, 1052 artificial intelligence, data assimilation and hydrological models and drought information dissemination through a 1053 web-based Drought Early Warning System (DEWS).

1054 9 Conclusions

1055 This study reviewed the recent statistical, dynamical, and hybrid statistical-dynamical methods used to forecast 1056 droughts and their application on the MedR. Drought definitions, classification, indices, and causative physical 1057 mechanisms were also presented in the context of the MedR. The main conclusions of this review are:

- 1058 There are only a few studies on the analysis of physical mechanisms causing droughts in the MedR. The 1. 1059 review of these studies confirmed that seasonal drought predictability skills are still very limited over the 1060 region due to its relatively poor teleconnection with ENSO compared to the tropical and subtropical regions. 1061 Besides, MedR is strongly influenced by other climate patterns, such as the NAO, regional MO, ULMOi, 1062 and NAWA which can also affect the region's weather and climate but their relationship to drought onset 1063 is rather weak and could not explain major droughts in the region. Land surface memory can also contribute 1064 to the predictability of seasonal and sub-seasonal droughts. Thereby, an accurate representation of these 1065 land-atmosphere processes is needed to improve drought forecasting skills in mid-latitude regions such as 1066 the Mediterranean.
- 1067 2. Statistical models were largely used to forecast droughts in the MedR. One of the major limitations of these 1068 models is that they often assume a stationary relationship between the predictors and the predictands which 1069 can lead to potentially inaccurate forecasts. In this regard, AI models such as SVR, SVM, and ANN have 1070 proven good capacity in detecting local discontinuities and non-stationary characteristics of the data and 1071 show satisfactory forecasting skills at less than 6 months lead time. Moreover, sophisticated statistical 1072 models, incorporating a data pre-processing technique such as wavelet analysis, EMD, or PCA with AI 1073 models have proven to be more efficient than using a single model and can extend the lead time of the 1074 drought forecast up to 12 months. The copulas can also provide valuable insights into the complex

relationships between different drought predictors. The use of copulas enables a more in-depth analysis of
the nonlinear dependencies between variables such as temperature, precipitation, and soil moisture, yielding
a more comprehensive understanding of the factors that contribute to drought risk in a specific region. This
leads to a more sophisticated and reliable forecast of drought probability. Thus, copulas are a highly useful
resource in the ongoing effort to understand and manage the consequences of drought.

- 1080 3. Dynamical models, given their ability to capture nonlinear interactions across the atmosphere, land, 1081 and ocean, offer considerable potential for more accurate and reliable seasonal drought predictions. 1082 However, the inherent chaotic nature of the atmosphere restricts their forecast skill to a few months in 1083 advance. The dynamical drought forecasting has seen notable advancements, such as enhanced climate 1084 model resolution, refined representation of physical processes, improved initialization methods, the 1085 application of multi-model ensembles, and the development of coupled modeling approaches. These 1086 developments have indeed bolstered the accuracy and reliability of drought predictions. Nevertheless, 1087 the implementation of these models in the MedR is constrained by challenges such as limited data 1088 availability, computational complexity, and inherent model uncertainties.
- 4. Hybrid statistical-dynamical models can be promising tools to potentially enhance the accuracy and reliability of drought forecasting in the MedR. By merging a broad variety of forecasts from statistical and dynamical models into a final probabilistic prediction, hybrid models benefit from the strengths of both modeling approaches and improve the forecast skill compared to an individual model. But their applicability remains challenging due to several constraints. Indeed, the hybrid model may require careful calibration and validation to ensure that they are performing optimally which can be time-consuming, requiring a large amount of data, specialized expertise, and high computational resources.
- 1096 One of the major challenges in drought forecasting in the MedR is the lack of long-term, high-quality 5. 1097 hydroclimatic observations to convey the nonstationary patterns and the variability of the climate. In 1098 addition, hydrologic model predictions are often poor, due to model initialization, parametrization, and 1099 physical errors. To address these challenges, it is important to improve the availability and quality of data 1100 for drought forecasting in this region. This could involve implementing better monitoring systems and 1101 increasing the number of weather stations in the region. In addition, efforts should be made to improve the 1102 performance of drought forecasting models by using more advanced data assimilation and machine learning 1103 techniques and to incorporate data from other sources such as state-of-art satellite observations and 1104 reanalysis with relatively high spatiotemporal analysis to provide a superior hydrologic and climate states 1105 estimate and consequently a skillful agricultural and hydrological drought forecasting.
- 1106 Drought mapping is the final stage in which drought risk information is disseminated and communicated 6. 1107 to end users. Major studies in the MedR analyze drought risk using some drought indices without applying 1108 a visualization via maps or presenting the risk on a single map showing the overall risk situation. An 1109 informative visualization of results via probabilistic drought risk maps is recommended, whereby color 1110 gradations or contouring are used to effectively illustrate the range of probabilities. Ensuring cartographic 1111 rigor, such maps should maintain spatial accuracy, use appropriate scaling, and include a clearly defined 1112 legend to decrypt different probability levels. Uncertainties related to drought modeling and prediction also need to be perspicuously defined, discussed and communicated to increase the intelligibility and 1113 1114 comprehensibility of decision makers, farmers, and other end users.

- 1115 7. Finally, much effort should be done to improve the communication and dissemination of drought forecasts
- 1116 which can help in extending their lead time by ensuring that decision makers and stakeholders have access
- 1117 to the most up-to-date information.

1118 Index of Acronyms

Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference systems (ANFIS) Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) Anderson-Darling (AD) Artificial neural network of multilayered perceptron (ANN-MLP) Asymmetric Power Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (APARCH) Atmospheric water deficit (AWD) Automated Statistical Downscaling (ASD) AutoRegressive (AR) Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity time series of order 1 (ARCH) Autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) Autoregressive moving average (ARMA) Autoregressive moving average time series of order (11) (ARMA) Autoregressive moving average time series of order 1 (MA1) Autoregressive moving average time series of order 2 (MA2) Autoregressive time series of order 1 (AR1) Autoregressive time series of order 2 (AR2) Bagging (BG) Bagnouls-Gaussen aridity index (BGI) Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) Breaks for Additive Season and Trend (BFAST) Coefficient of efficiency (CE) Convolutional neural network long short-term memory (CNN-LSTM) Co-ordinated regional climate downscaling experiment for the Mediterranean area (MedCORDEX) unbiased trend-free-pre-Corrected and whitening (TFPWcu) Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP) Cramers-von Mises (CvM) Crop moisture index (CMI) Drought class transition probabilities (DCTP) Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) Exponential General Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity time series of order (11)) (EGARCH) False alarm ratio (FAR) Frequency bias (FB) Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity time series of order (11) (GARCH) Geometric Brownian Motion (GMB)

Geometric Brownian Motion time series model with asymmetric Jumps (GBMAJ) Global Historical Climatology Network-Monthly (GHCN) Global Land Data Assimilation System (GLDAS) Groundwater Resource Index (GRI) Growing season minimum and maximum values (gsmm) Hadley Centre Coupled Model version 3(HadCM3) Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) Land Surface Temperature (LST) Maximum likelihood methods (MLIKE) Mean absolute error (MAE) Mean error (ME) Model output statistics (MOS) Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Modified Fournier Index (MFI) Monthly average relative humidity (MARH) Monthly mean solar radiation (MMSR) Moving average (MA) Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) National Centers for Atmospheric Prediction (NCEP) National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) NDVI anomaly index (NDVIA) Non-linear AutoRegressive with eXogenous inputs (NARX) Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) Pedotransfer functions (PTF) Periodic autoregressive (PAR) Periodic autoregressive moving average (PARMA) Principal component analysis (PCA) Probability of detection (POD) Probability of false detection (POFD) Proportion of correct predictions (PC) Random forest (RF) Random subspace (RSS) Random tree (RT) Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI) Root mean squared error (RMSE) Sea Surface Temperature (SST) Seasonal-ARIMA (SARIMA) Soil and Terrain Database (SOTER)

Soil Moisture (SM) Soil Moisture Agricultural Drought Index (SMADI) Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS) Soil moisture anomaly index (SMAI) Soil Moisture Deficit Index (SMDI) Soil moisture percentiles (Wp) Soil Water Deficit Index (SWDI) Soil Wetness Deficit Index (SWDI) Standardized Water-Level Index (SWI) Streamflow drought index (SDI) Support vector Regression (SVR) Temperature Condition Index (TCI) The Second Generation of Canadian Coupled General Circulation Model (CGCM2) Vegetation Condition Index (VCI) Vegetation Health Index (VHI) Wavelet Analysis (WA) Wavelet decomposition (WD)

1119

1120 Author contribution

- 1121 Each author has made substantial contributions to the creation of this manuscript. BZ was responsible for
- 1122 conceptualization, methodology, investigation, analysis, drafting the manuscript, and reviewing and editing. NEM
- 1123 contributed to the methodology, analysis, review, and editing processes. EHB was involved in the methodology,
- analysis, review, and editing stages.

1125 Competing Interests

1126 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

1127 Disclaimer

1128 Acknowledgments

- 1129 The contribution of NEM was conducted as part of the UMRP project, and he wishes to thank OCP for the
- 1130 corresponding funding. The authors are also deeply grateful to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their
- 1131 insightful feedback, which significantly enhanced the quality of the paper.

1132 References

- 1133 Aas, K., Berg, D.: Models for construction of multivariate dependence a comparison study. Eur. J. Finance 15,
- 1134 639–659. https://doi.org/10.1080/13518470802588767, 2009.
- 1135 Abbaszadeh, P., Moradkhani, H., Yan, H.: Enhancing hydrologic data assimilation by evolutionary Particle Filter
- and Markov Chain Monte Carlo. Adv. Water Resour. 111, 192–204.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2017.11.011, 2018.
- 1138 Abhishek, A., Das, N.N., Ines, A.V.M., Andreadis, K.M., Jayasinghe, S., Granger, S., Ellenburg, W.L., Dutta, R.,
- 1139 Hanh Quyen, N., Markert, A.M., Mishra, V., Phanikumar, M.S.: Evaluating the impacts of drought on rice
- 1140 productivity over Cambodia in the Lower Mekong Basin. Journal of Hydrology 599, 126291.
- 1141 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126291, 2021.
- 1142 Achite, M., Bazrafshan, O., Azhdari, Z., Wałęga, A., Krakauer, N., Caloiero, T.: Forecasting of SPI and SRI Using
- 1143 Multiplicative ARIMA under Climate Variability in a Mediterranean Region: Wadi Ouahrane Basin, Algeria.
- 1144 Climate 10, 36. https://doi.org/10.3390/cli10030036, 2022.
- 1145 Achour, K., Meddi, M., Zeroual, A., Bouabdelli, S., Maccioni, P., Moramarco, T., Spatio-temporal analysis and
- 1146 forecasting of drought in the plains of northwestern Algeria using the standardized precipitation index. J. Earth
- 1147 Syst. Sci. 129, 42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-019-1306, 2020.
- 1148 Agana, Norbert A, et Abdollah Homaifar. « A deep learning based approach for long-term drought prediction », 1
- **1149** 8. IEEE, 2017.

- 1150 AghaKouchak, A., Cheng, L., Mazdiyasni, O., & Farahmand, A.: Global warming and changes in risk of
- concurrent climate extremes: Insights from the 2014 California drought. Geophysical Research Letters, 41(24),
 8847-8852, 2014a.
- **1153** AghaKouchak, A.: Entropy–Copula in Hydrology and Climatology. Journal of Hydrometeorology 15, 2176–2189.
- 1154 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-13-0207.1, 2014b.
- 1155 AghaKouchak, A., Farahmand, A., Melton, F.S., Teixeira, J., Anderson, M.C., Wardlow, B.D., Hain, C.R.: Remote
- sensing of drought: Progress, challenges and opportunities: REMOTE SENSING OF DROUGHT. Rev. Geophys.
- 1157 53, 452–480. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014RG000456, 2015.
- 1158 AghaKouchak, A., Mirchi, A., Madani, K., Di Baldassarre, G., Nazemi, A., Alborzi, A., Anjileli, H.,
- Azarderakhsh, M., Chiang, F., Hassanzadeh, E.: Anthropogenic drought: Definition, challenges, and opportunities,2021.
- 1161 AghaKouchak, A., Pan, B., Mazdiyasni, O., Sadegh, M., Jiwa, S., Zhang, W., Love, C.A., Madadgar, S.,
- 1162 Papalexiou, S.M., Davis, S.J., Hsu, K., Sorooshian, S.: Status and prospects for drought forecasting: opportunities
- in artificial intelligence and hybrid physical-statistical forecasting. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.
- 1164 380, 20210288. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2021.0288, 2022.
- 1165 Aghelpour, P., Mohammadi, B., Biazar, S.M., Kisi, O.: Sourmirinezhad, Z.: A Theoretical Approach for
- 1166 Forecasting Different Types of Drought Simultaneously, Using Entropy Theory and Machine-Learning Methods.
- 1167 ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf. 9, 701. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi9120701, 2020.
- 1168 Akyuz, D.E., Bayazit, M., Onoz, B.: Markov Chain Models for Hydrological Drought Characteristics. J.
 1169 Hydrometeorol. 13, 298–309. https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-11-019.1, 2012.
- 1170 Al Sayah, M.J., Abdallah, C., Khouri, M., Nedjai, R., Darwich, T.: A framework for climate change assessment
- in Mediterranean data-sparse watersheds using remote sensing and ARIMA modeling. Theor. Appl. Climatol. 143,
- 1172 639–658. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-020-03442-7, 2021.
- 1173 Arnold, J.G., Srinivasan, R., Muttiah, R.S., Williams, J.R.: Large area hydrologic modeling and assessment part I:
- 1174 model development 1. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association 34, 73–89, 1998.
- 1175 Ayugi, B., Eresanya, E.O., Onyango, A.O., Ogou, F.K., Okoro, E.C., Okoye, C.O., Anoruo, C.M., Dike, V.N.,
- 1176 Ashiru, O.R., Daramola, M.T., Mumo, R., Ongoma, V.: Review of Meteorological Drought in Africa: Historical
- 1177 Trends, Impacts, Mitigation Measures, and Prospects. Pure Appl. Geophys. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-0221178 02988-z, 2022.
- 1179 Babre, A., Bikse, J., Popovs, K., Kalvans, A., Delina, A.: Differences in the ERA5-Land reanalysis and real
- 1180 observation datasets for calculation of drought indices from two distinct points 18404.
 1181 https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu2020-18404, 2020.
- 1182 Balting, D.F., AghaKouchak, A., Lohmann, G., Ionita, M.: Northern Hemisphere drought risk in a warming
- 1183 climate. Npj Clim. Atmospheric Sci. 4, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-021-00218-2, 2021.
- 1184 Band, S.S., Karami, H., Jeong, Y.-W., Moslemzadeh, M., Farzin, S., Chau, K.-W., Bateni, S.M., Mosavi, A.:
- 1185 Evaluation of Time Series Models in Simulating Different Monthly Scales of Drought Index for Improving Their
- **1186** Forecast Accuracy. Front. Earth Sci. 10, 2022.
- 1187 Bannister, R.: A review of operational methods of variational and ensemble-variational data assimilation. Q. J. R.
- **1188** Meteorol. Soc. 143, 607–633, 2017.

- Baronetti, A., Dubreuil, V., Provenzale, A., Fratianni, S., Future droughts in northern Italy: high-resolution
 projections using EURO-CORDEX and MED-CORDEX ensembles. Clim. Change 172, 22.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-022-03370-7, 2022.
- 1192 Başakın, E.E., Ekmekcioğlu, Ö., Özger, M.: Drought prediction using hybrid soft-computing methods for semi-
- arid region. Model. Earth Syst. Environ. 7, 2363–2371. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-020-01010-6, 2021.
- 1194 Bazrkar, M.H., Chu, X.: Ensemble stationary-based support vector regression for drought prediction under
- the changing climate. J. Hydrol. 603, 127059. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.127059, 2021.
- Belal, A.-A., El-Ramady, H.R., Mohamed, E.S., Saleh, A.M.: Drought risk assessment using remote sensing and
 GIS techniques. Arab. J. Geosci. 7, 35–53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-012-0707-2, 2014.
- 1198 Ben Abdelmalek, M., Nouiri, I.: Study of trends and mapping of drought events in Tunisia and their impacts on
- agricultural production. Sci. Total Environ. 734, 139311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139311, 2020.
- 1200 Ben Mhenni, N., Shinoda, M., Nandintsetseg, B.: Assessment of drought frequency, severity, and duration and its
- 1201 impacts on vegetation greenness and agriculture production in Mediterranean dryland: A case study in Tunisia.
- 1202 Nat. Hazards 105, 2755–2776. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-04422-w, 2021.
- Bergou, E., Diouane, Y., Kungurtsev, V.: Convergence and Complexity Analysis of a Levenberg-Marquardt
 Algorithm for Inverse Problems. J 185, 927–944, 2020.
- Bergou, E., Gratton, S., Vicente, L.N.: Levenberg--Marquardt Methods Based on Probabilistic Gradient Models
 and Inexact Subproblem Solution, with Application to Data Assimilation. SIAMASA J. Uncertain. Quantif. 4,
 924–951, 2016.
- Bonaccorso, B., Cancelliere, A., Rossi, G.: Probabilistic forecasting of drought class transitions in Sicily (Italy)
 using Standardized Precipitation Index and North Atlantic Oscillation Index. J. Hydrol., Drought processes,
- 1210 modeling, and mitigation 526, 136–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.070, 2015.
- 1211 Bouabdelli, S., Meddi, M., Zeroual, A., Alkama, R.: Hydrological drought risk recurrence under climate change 1212 karst area of Northwestern Algeria. J. Water Clim. Change 11, 164–188. in the 1213 https://doi.org/10.2166/wcc.2020.207, 2020.
- 1214 Bouabdelli, S., Zeroual, A., Meddi, M., Assani, A.: Impact of temperature on agricultural drought occurrence
- under the effects of climate change. Theor. Appl. Climatol. 148, 191–209. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-02203935-7, 2022.
- Bouznad, I.-E., Guastaldi, E., Zirulia, A., Brancale, M., Barbagli, A., Bengusmia, D.: Trend analysis andspatiotemporal prediction of precipitation, temperature, and evapotranspiration values using the ARIMA models:
- 1219 case of the Algerian Highlands. Arab. J. Geosci. 13, 1281. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-020-06330-6, 2021.
- Box, G.E., Jenkins, G.M., Reinsel, G.C., Ljung, G.M.: Time series analysis: forecasting and control. John Wiley
 & Sons, 2015.
- 1222 Bradford, J.B., Schlaepfer, D.R., Lauenroth, W.K., Palmquist, K.A.: Robust ecological drought projections for
- 1223 drylands in the 21st century. Glob. Change Biol. 26, 3906–3919. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15075, 2020.
- Briassoulis, H. (Ed.), 2017. Policy Integration for Complex Environmental Problems: The Example of
 Mediterranean Desertification. Routledge, London. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315246598
- 1226 Brönnimann, S., Xoplaki, E., Casty, C., Pauling, A., Luterbacher, J.: ENSO influence on Europe during the last
- 1227 centuries. Clim. Dyn. 28, 181–197. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-006-0175-z, 2007.

- 1228 Brouziyne, Y., Abouabdillah, A., Chehbouni, A., Hanich, L., Bergaoui, K., McDonnell, R., Benaabidate, L.:
- 1229 Assessing Hydrological Vulnerability to Future Droughts in a Mediterranean Watershed: Combined Indices-Based
- and Distributed Modeling Approaches. Water 12, 2333. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12092333, 2020.
- 1231 Cancelliere, A., Mauro, G.D., Bonaccorso, B., Rossi, G.: Drought forecasting using the Standardized Precipitation
- 1232 Index. Water Resour. Manag. 21, 801–819. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-006-9062-y, 2007.
- 1233 Carrão, H., Russo, S., Sepulcre-Canto, G., Barbosa, P.: An empirical standardized soil moisture index for
- 1234 agricultural drought assessment from remotely sensed data. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinformation, Advances in
- 1235 the Validation and Application of Remotely Sensed Soil Moisture Part 2 48, 74-84.
- 1236 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2015.06.011, 2016.
- 1237 Carvalho, D., Pereira, S.C., Silva, R., Rocha, A., 2022. Aridity and desertification in the Mediterranean under
- EURO-CORDEX future climate change scenarios. Climatic Change 174, 28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-02203454-4
- 1240 Chaqdid, A., Tuel, A., El Fatimy, A., and El Moçayd, N.: Extreme Rainfall Events in Morocco: Spatial

Extremes

40:100556.

- 1241 Dependence and Climate Drivers. Weather and Climate
- 1242 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2023.100556, 2023.
- 1243 Chen, L., Singh, V.P., Guo, S., Mishra, A.K., Guo, J.: Drought Analysis Using Copulas. J. Hydrol. Eng. 18, 797–
- 1244 808. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000697, 2013.
- 1245 Cook, B.I., Anchukaitis, K.J., Touchan, R., Meko, D.M., Cook, E.R.: Spatiotemporal drought variability in the
 1246 Mediterranean over the last 900 years. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 121, 2060–2074.
 1247 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023929, 2016.
- 1248 Crausbay, S.D., Ramirez, A.R., Carter, S.L., Cross, M.S., Hall, K.R., Bathke, D.J., Betancourt, J.L., Colt, S.,
- 1249 Cravens, A.E., Dalton, M.S., Dunham, J.B., Hay, L.E., Hayes, M.J., McEvoy, J., McNutt, C.A., Moritz, M.A.,
- 1250 Nislow, K.H., Raheem, N., Sanford, T.: Defining Ecological Drought for the Twenty-First Century. Bull. Am.
- 1251 Meteorol. Soc. 98, 2543–2550. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0292.1, 2017.
- Dai, A.: Drought under global warming: a review. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 2(1), 45-65,
 2011.
- 1254 Czaja, A., Frankignoul, C., 1999. Influence of the North Atlantic SST on the atmospheric circulation. Geophysical
- 1255 Research Letters 26, 2969–2972. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL900613
- 1256 Danandeh Mehr, A., Rikhtehgar Ghiasi, A., Yaseen, Z.M., Sorman, A.U., Abualigah, L.: A novel intelligent deep
- learning predictive model for meteorological drought forecasting. J. Ambient Intell. Humaniz. Comput.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-022-03701-7, 2022.
- 1259 Das, J., Jha, S., Goyal, M.K.: Non-stationary and copula-based approach to assess the drought characteristics
- 1260 encompassing climate indices over the Himalayan states in India. J. Hydrol. 580, 124356.
- 1261 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124356, 2020.
- 1262 Day, G.N., 1985. Extended streamflow forecasting using NWSRFS. Journal of Water Resources Planning and
- **1263** Management 111, 157–170.
- 1264 De Luca, D.L., Apollonio, C., Petroselli, A.: The Benefit of Continuous Hydrological Modelling for Drought
- 1265 Hazard Assessment in Small and Coastal Ungauged Basins: A Case Study in Southern Italy. Climate 10, 34.
- 1266 https://doi.org/10.3390/cli10030034, 2022.

- 1267 Dehghani, M., Saghafian, B., Nasiri Saleh, F., Farokhnia, A., Noori, R.: Uncertainty analysis of streamflow
 1268 drought forecast using artificial neural networks and Monte-Carlo simulation. Int. J. Climatol. 34, 1169–1180,
- **1269** 2014.
- 1270 Derdous, O., Bouamrane, A., Mrad, D.: Spatiotemporal analysis of meteorological drought in a Mediterranean dry
- 1271 land: case of the Cheliff Basin–Algeria. Model. Earth Syst. Environ. 7, 135–143. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-
- **1272** 020-00951-2, 2021.
- 1273 Di Nunno, F., Granata, F., Gargano, R., de Marinis, G.: Prediction of spring flows using nonlinear autoregressive
- 1274 exogenous (NARX) neural network models. Environ. Monit. Assess. 193, 350. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-
- **1275** 021-09135-6, 2021.
- 1276 Dikshit, A., Pradhan, B., Santosh, M.: Artificial neural networks in drought prediction in the 21st century–A
 1277 scientometric analysis. Appl. Soft Comput. 114, 108080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2021.108080, 2022.
- 1278 Dirmeyer, P.A., Balsamo, G., Blyth, E.M., Morrison, R., Cooper, H.M.: Land-Atmosphere Interactions
- 1279 Exacerbated the Drought and Heatwave Over Northern Europe During Summer 2018. AGU Adv. 2,
 1280 e2020AV000283. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020AV000283, 2021.
- 1281 Djerbouai, S., Souag-Gamane, D.: Drought Forecasting Using Neural Networks, Wavelet Neural Networks, and
 1282 Stochastic Models: Case of the Algerois Basin in North Algeria. Water Resour. Manag. 30, 2445–2464.
- 1283 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-016-1298-6, 2016.
- 1284 D'Odorico, P., Carr, J., Dalin, C., Dell'Angelo, J., Konar, M., Laio, F., Ridolfi, L., Rosa, L., Suweis, S., Tamea,
- S., Tuninetti, M.: Global virtual water trade and the hydrological cycle: patterns, drivers, and socio-environmental
 impacts. Environ. Res. Lett. 14, 053001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab05f4, 2019.
- 1287 Doblas-Reyes, F.J., García-Serrano, J., Lienert, F., Biescas, A.P., Rodrigues, L.R.L.: Seasonal climate
 1288 predictability and forecasting: status and prospects. WIREs Climate Change 4, 245–268.
 1289 https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.217, 2013.
- 1290 Drobinski, P., Alonzo, B., Bastin, S., Silva, N.D., Muller, C.: Scaling of precipitation extremes with temperature
- 1291 in the French Mediterranean region: What explains the hook shape? Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 1292
 Atmospheres 121, 3100–3119. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023497, 2016.
- 1293 Drobinski, P., Bastin, S., Arsouze, T., Béranger, K., Flaounas, E., Stéfanon, M.: North-western Mediterranean sea-
- breeze circulation in a regional climate system model. Clim Dyn 51, 1077–1093. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382017-3595-z, 2018.
- 1296 Dubrovský, M., Hayes, M., Duce, P., Trnka, M., Svoboda, M., Zara, P.: Multi-GCM projections of future drought
- and climate variability indicators for the Mediterranean region. Reg. Environ. Change 14, 1907–1919.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-013-0562-z, 2014.
- 1299 Ducrocq, V., Drobinski, P., Gualdi, S., Raimbault, P.: Sub-chapter 1.2.1. The water cycle in the Mediterranean,
- 1300 in: Moatti, J.-P., Thiébault, S. (Eds.), The Mediterranean Region under Climate Change : A Scientific Update,
- 1301 Synthèses. IRD Éditions, Marseille, pp. 73–81, 2018.
- 1302 Dünkeloh, A., Jacobeit, J.: Circulation dynamics of Mediterranean precipitation variability 1948–98. Int. J.
- 1303 Climatol. 23, 1843–1866. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.973, 2003.
- 1304 Dutra, E., Viterbo, P., Miranda, P.M.A.: ERA-40 reanalysis hydrological applications in the characterization of
- 1305 regional drought. Geophys. Res. Lett. 35. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL035381, 2008.

- 1306 Duveiller, G., Hooker, J., Cescatti, A.: The mark of vegetation change on Earth's surface energy balance. Nat
- 1307 Commun 9, 679. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02810-8, 2018.
- 1308 Eberle, C., Higuera Roa, O.: Technical Report: Mediterranean wildfires, 2022.
- 1309 El Aissaoui, K., Ousmana, H., El Hmaidi, A., Bekri, M.H., El Faleh, E.M., Essahlaoui, A., El Ouali, A., Berrada,
- 1310 M.: Weather drought index prediction using the support vector regression in the Ansegmir Watershed, Upper
- 1311 Moulouya, Morocco. J. Water Land Dev. 2021 No 50 187-194, 2021.
- 1312 El Alaoui El Fels, A., Saidi, M.E.M., Bouiji, A., Benrhanem, M.: Rainfall regionalization and variability of
- 1313 extreme precipitation using artificial neural networks: a case study from western central Morocco. J. Water Clim.
- 1314 Change 12, 1107–1122. https://doi.org/10.2166/wcc.2020.217, 2020.
- 1315 El Ibrahimi, A., Baali, A.: Application of several artificial intelligence models for forecasting meteorological
- drought using the standardized precipitation index in the saïss plain (Northern Morocco). Int. J. Intell. Eng. Syst.
 11, 267–275, 2018.
- 1318 Elkharrim, M., Bahi, L.: Using Statistical Downscaling of GCM Simulations to Assess Climate Change Impacts
- 1319 on Drought Conditions in the Northwest of Morocco. Mod. Appl. Sci. 9, p1. https://doi.org/10.5539/mas.v9n2p1,
- **1320** 2014.
- 1321 Entekhabi, D., Njoku, E.G., O'Neill, P.E., Kellogg, K.H., Crow, W.T., Edelstein, W.N., Entin, J.K., Goodman,
- S.D., Jackson, T.J., Johnson, J. The soil moisture active passive (SMAP) mission. Proceedings of the IEEE 98,
 704–716, 2010.
- Esit, M., YUCE, M.I.: Copula-Based Bivariate Drought Severity and Duration Frequency Analysis Considering
 Spatial-Temporal Variability in the Ceyhan Basin, Turkey, 2022.
- 1326 Feng, P., Wang, B., Liu, D.L., Yu, Q.: Machine learning-based integration of remotely-sensed drought factors can
- improve the estimation of agricultural drought in South-Eastern Australia. Agric. Syst. 173, 303–316.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2019.03.015, 2019.
- Fung, K.F., Huang, Y.F., Koo, C.H., Soh, Y.W.: Drought forecasting: A review of modelling approaches 2007–
 2017. J. Water Clim. Change 11, 771–799. https://doi.org/10.2166/wcc.2019.236, 2019.
- 1331 Funk, C., Peterson, P., Landsfeld, M., Pedreros, D., Verdin, J., Shukla, S., Husak, G., Rowland, J., Harrison, L.,
- 1332 Hoell, A.: The climate hazards infrared precipitation with stations—a new environmental record for monitoring
- 1333 extremes. Scientific data 2, 1–21, 2015.
- 1334 Gamelin, B.L., Feinstein, J., Wang, J., Bessac, J., Yan, E., Kotamarthi, V.R.: Projected U.S. drought extremes
- through the twenty-first century with vapor pressure deficit. Sci. Rep. 12, 8615. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598022-12516-7, 2022.
- 1337 Genest, C., Favre, A.-C.: Everything You Always Wanted to Know about Copula Modeling but Were Afraid to
- **1338** Ask. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering 12, 347–368, 2007.
- 1339 Giorgi, F., Gutowski, W.J.: Regional Dynamical Downscaling and the CORDEX Initiative. Annual Review of
- 1340 Environment and Resources 40, 467–490. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-102014-021217, 2015.
- 1341 Giannakopoulos, C., Le Sager, P., Bindi, M., Moriondo, M., Kostopoulou, E., Goodess, C.M.: Climatic changes
- and associated impacts in the Mediterranean resulting from a 2 °C global warming. Global and Planetary Change
- 1343 68, 209–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2009.06.001, 2009.
- 1344 Gneiting, T., Raftery, A.E., Westveld, A.H., Goldman, T.: Calibrated probabilistic forecasting using ensemble
- 1345 model output statistics and minimum CRPS estimation. Monthly Weather Review 133, 1098–1118, 2005.

- 1346 Gouveia, C., Trigo, R. M., & DaCamara, C. C.: Drought and vegetation stress monitoring in Portugal using satellite
- data. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 9(1), 185-195, 2009.
- Grönquist, P., Yao, C., Ben-Nun, T., Dryden, N., Dueben, P., Li, S., Hoefler, T.: Deep learning for post-processing
 ensemble weather forecasts. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A 379, 20200092, 2021.
- 1350 Gruber, A., Peng, J.: Remote sensing of soil moisture, in: Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental
- 1351 Sciences. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822974-3.00019-7, 2022.
- 1352 Gumus, V., El Moçayd, N., Seker, M., Seaid, M.: Evaluation of future temperature and precipitation projections
- in Morocco using the ANN-based multi-model ensemble from CMIP6. Atmospheric Res. 292, 106880.
- 1354 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2023.106880, 2023.
- 1355 Habibi, B., Meddi, M., Torfs, P.J.J.F., Remaoun, M., Van Lanen, H.A.J.: Characterisation and prediction of
- meteorological drought using stochastic models in the semi-arid Chéliff–Zahrez basin (Algeria). J. Hydrol. Reg.
 Stud. 16, 15–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2018.02.005, 2018.
- 1358 Hadri, A., Saidi, M.E.M., Boudhar, A.: Multiscale drought monitoring and comparison using remote sensing in a
- Mediterranean arid region: a case study from west-central Morocco. Arab. J. Geosci. 14, 118.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-021-06493-w, 2021.
- Hamdi, Y., Chebana, F., Ouarda, T.: Bivariate drought frequency analysis in the Medjerda River Basin Tunisia. J.
 Civ. Environ. Eng. 6, 1–11, 2016.
- Han, J., Singh, V.P.: Forecasting of droughts and tree mortality under global warming: a review of causative
 mechanisms and modeling methods. J. Water Clim. Change 11, 600–632. https://doi.org/10.2166/wcc.2020.239,
 2020.
- Hansen, J.W., Mason, S.J., Sun, L., Tall, A.: REVIEW OF SEASONAL CLIMATE FORECASTING FOR
 AGRICULTURE IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA. Experimental Agriculture 47, 205–240.
- 1368 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479710000876, 2011.
- 1369 Harrigan, S., Prudhomme, C., Parry, S., Smith, K., Tanguy, M.: Benchmarking ensemble streamflow prediction
- 1370 skill in the UK. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 22, 2023–2039. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-2023-
- **1371** 2018., 2018.
- 1372 Hao, Z., Hao, F., Singh, V.P., Sun, A.Y., Xia, Y.: Probabilistic prediction of hydrologic drought using a conditional
- 1373 probability approach based on the meta-Gaussian model. J. Hydrol. 542, 772–780, 2016.
- Hao, Z., Singh, V.P., Xia, Y.: Seasonal Drought Prediction: Advances, Challenges, and Future Prospects. Rev.
 Geophys. 56, 108–141. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016RG000549, 2018.
- Hoerling, M., Kumar, A.: The Perfect Ocean for Drought. Science 299, 691–694.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1079053, 2003.
- 1378 Hoell, A., Funk, C., Barlow, M.: The regional forcing of Northern hemisphere drought during recent warm tropical
- **1379** west Pacific Ocean La Niña events. Climate dynamics 42, 3289–3311, 2014.
- 1380 Hosmer Jr, D.W., Lemeshow, S., Sturdivant, R.X.: Applied logistic regression. John Wiley & Sons, 2013.
- 1381 Huffman, G.J., Bolvin, D.T., Nelkin, E.J., Tan, J.: Integrated Multi-satellitE Retrievals for GPM (IMERG)
- **1382**technical documentation. Nasa/Gsfc Code 612, 2019, 2015.
- 1383 Ionita, M., Nagavciuc, V.: Changes in drought features at the European level over the last 120 years. Nat. Hazards
- 1384
 Earth Syst. Sci. 21, 1685–1701. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-21-1685-2021, 2021.

- 1385 IPCC: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth
- 1386 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani,
- 1387 S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy,
- 1388 J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University
- **1389** Press. In Press, 2021.
- 1390 Isendahl, N.: Drought in the Mediterranean: WWF Policy Proposals: WWF-World Wide Fund for Nature 45, 2006.
- 1391 Jehanzaib, M., Yoo, J., Kwon, H.-H., Kim, T.-W.: Reassessing the frequency and severity of meteorological
- drought considering non-stationarity and copula-based bivariate probability. Journal of Hydrology 603, 126948.
- 1393 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126948, 2021.
- 1394 Jiang, T., Su, X., Zhang, G., Zhang, T., Wu, H.: Estimating propagation probability from meteorological to
- ecological droughts using a hybrid machine learning copula method. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 27,
 559–576. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-27-559-2023, 2023.
- 1397 Jiménez-Donaire, M. del P., Tarquis, A., Giráldez, J.: Evaluation of a combined drought indicator and its potential
- 1398 for agricultural drought prediction in southern Spain. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-20-21-2020, 2020.
- 1399 Joe, H.: Multivariate Models and Multivariate Dependence Concepts, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs on
- 1400 Statistics & Applied Probability. Taylor & Francis, 1997.
- Joe, H.: Dependence modeling with copulas, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs on Statistics & Applied
 Probability. Taylor and Francis, Hoboken, NJ., 2014.
- Johnson, N.C., Xie, S.-P.: Changes in the sea surface temperature threshold for tropical convection. Nature
 Geoscience 3, 842–845, 2010.
- 1405 Junqueira, R., Viola, M.R., Amorim, J. da S., Wongchuig, S.C., Mello, C.R. de, Vieira-Filho, M., Coelho, G.:
- 1406 Hydrological Retrospective and Historical Drought Analysis in a Brazilian Savanna Basin. Water 14, 2178, 2022.
- 1407 Kahya, E.: The Impacts of NAO on the Hydrology of the Eastern Mediterranean, in: Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Trigo,
- 1408 R.M. (Eds.), Hydrological, Socioeconomic and Ecological Impacts of the North Atlantic Oscillation in the
- 1409 Mediterranean Region, Advances in Global Change Research. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 57-71.
- 1410 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-1372-7_5, 2011.
- 1411 Kang, S., Zhang, L., Liang, Y., Hu, X., Cai, H., & Gu, B.: Effects of limited irrigation on yield and water use
- 1412 efficiency of winter wheat in the Loess Plateau of China. Agricultural Water Management, 45(3), 203-216, 2000.
- 1413 Karabulut, M.: Drought analysis in Antakya-Kahramanmaraş Graben, Turkey. J. Arid Land 7, 741–754.
- 1414 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40333-015-0011-6, 2015.
- 1415 Khan, Md.M.H., Muhammad, N.S., El-Shafie, A.: Wavelet based hybrid ANN-ARIMA models for meteorological
- 1416 drought forecasting. J. Hydrol. 590, 125380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125380, 2020.
- 1417 Kim, W.M., Raible, C.C.: Dynamics of the Mediterranean droughts from 850 to 2099 CE in the Community Earth
- 1418 System Model. Clim. Past 17, 887–911. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-17-887-2021, 2021.
- 1419 Krishnamurti, T., Kishtawal, C.M., LaRow, T.E., Bachiochi, D.R., Zhang, Z., Williford, C.E., Gadgil, S.,
- 1420 Surendran, S.: Improved weather and seasonal climate forecasts from multimodel superensemble. Science 285,
- **1421** 1548–1550, 1999.
- 1422 Kuśmierek-Tomaszewska, R., Żarski, J.: Assessment of Meteorological and Agricultural Drought Occurrence in
- 1423 Central Poland in 1961–2020 as an Element of the Climatic Risk to Crop Production. Agriculture 11, 855.
- 1424 https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture11090855, 2021.

- 1425 KyungHwan, S., DegHyo, B., 2015. Applicability assessment of hydrological drought outlook using ESP method.
- 1426 Journal of Korea Water Resources Association 48, 581–595.
- 1427 Lazri, M., Ameur, S., Brucker, J.M., Lahdir, M., Sehad, M.: Analysis of drought areas in northern Algeria using
- 1428 Markov chains. J. Earth Syst. Sci. 124, 61–70. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-014-0500-6, 2015.
- 1429 Le Page, M., Zribi, M.: Analysis and predictability of drought in Northwest Africa using optical and microwave
- 1430satellite remote sensing products. Sci. Rep. 9, 1–13, 2019.
- 1431 Li, L., She, D., Zheng, H., Lin, P., Yang, Z.-L.: Elucidating Diverse Drought Characteristics from Two
- Meteorological Drought Indices (SPI and SPEI) in China. J. Hydrometeorol. 21, 1513–1530.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-19-0290.1, 2020.
- 1434 Liang, X., Lettenmaier, D.P., Wood, E.F., Burges, S.J.: A simple hydrologically based model of land surface water
- and energy fluxes for general circulation models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 99, 14415–
 14428. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JD00483, 1994.
- 1437 Lionello, P.: The Climate of the Mediterranean Region: From the Past to the Future, 2012.
- 1438 Lionello, P., Giorgi, F., Rohling, E., Seager, R.: Chapter 3 Mediterranean climate: past, present and future, in:
- Schroeder, K., Chiggiato, J. (Eds.), Oceanography of the Mediterranean Sea. Elsevier, pp. 41–91.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-823692-5.00011-X, 2023.
- Liu, D., Mishra, A.K., Yu, Z.: Evaluation of hydroclimatic variables for maize yield estimation using crop model
 and remotely sensed data assimilation. Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess. 33, 1283–1295.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-019-01700-3, 2019.
- Liu, S., Fu, G., Liu, C., Zhang, Y., & Zhou, Y.: Ensemble of machine learning models for real-time probabilistic
 forecasting of hydrological drought. Journal of Hydrology, 583, 124610.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.124610, 2020.
- Livada, I., Assimakopoulos, V.D.: Spatial and temporal analysis of drought in greece using the Standardized
 Precipitation Index (SPI). Theor. Appl. Climatol. 89, 143–153. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-005-0227-z
- Livezey, R.E., Smith, T.M.: Covariability of aspects of North American climate with global sea surface
 temperatures on interannual to interdecadal timescales. Journal of Climate 12, 289–302, 1999.
- 1451 Lloyd-Hughes, B., 2014. The impracticality of a universal drought definition. Theor. Appl. Climatol. 117, 607–
- 1452 611. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-013-1025-77, 2007.
- 1453 Lorenz, E. N.: Deterministic nonperiodic flow. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 20(2), 130-141, 1963.
- 1454 Madadgar, S., AghaKouchak, A., Shukla, S., Wood, A.W., Cheng, L., Hsu, K.-L., Svoboda, M.: A hybrid
- 1455 statistical-dynamical framework for meteorological drought prediction: Application to the southwestern United
- 1456 States. Water Resour. Res. 52, 5095–5110. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR018547, 2016.
- Madadgar, S., Moradkhani, H.: Drought Analysis under Climate Change Using Copula. J. Hydrol. Eng. 18, 746–
 759. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000532, 2013.
- 1459 Madadgar, S., Moradkhani, H.: Improved Bayesian multimodeling: Integration of copulas and Bayesian model
- 1460 averaging. Water Resources Research 50, 9586–9603. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR015965, 2014.
- 1461 Maloney, K.O., Schmid, M., Weller, D.E.: Applying additive modelling and gradient boosting to assess the effects
- 1462 of watershed and reach characteristics on riverine assemblages. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 3, 116–128.
- 1463 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00124.x, 2012.

- Manatsa, D., Mushore, T., Lenouo, A.: Improved predictability of droughts over southern Africa using the
 standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index and ENSO. Theor. Appl. Climatol. 127, 259–274.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-015-1632-6, 2017.
- 1467 Mandel, J., Bergou, E., Gürol, S., Gratton, S., Kasanický, I.: Hybrid Levenberg-Marquardt and weak-constraint
- 1468 ensemble Kalman smoother method. Nonlinear Process. Geophys. 23, 59–73. https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-23-59-
- **1469** 2016, 2016.
- 1470 Maraun, D.: Bias Correcting Climate Change Simulations a Critical Review. Curr Clim Change Rep 2, 211–220.
- 1471 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-016-0050-x, 2016.
- 1472 Mariotti, A., Zeng, N., Lau, K.-M.: Euro-Mediterranean rainfall and ENSO—a seasonally varying relationship.
- 1473 Geophys. Res. Lett. 29, 59-1-59–4. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL014248, 2002.
- 1474 Mariotti, A., Zeng, N., Yoon, J.-H., Artale, V., Navarra, A., Alpert, P., Li, L.Z.X.: Mediterranean water cycle
- changes: transition to drier 21st century conditions in observations and CMIP3 simulations. Environ. Res. Lett. 3,
 044001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/3/4/044001, 2008.
- 1477 Martens, B., Miralles, D.G., Lievens, H., van der Schalie, R., de Jeu, R.A.M., Fernández-Prieto, D., Beck, H.E.,
- 1478 Dorigo, W.A., Verhoest, N.E.C. GLEAM v3: satellite-based land evaporation and root-zone soil moisture.
 1479 Geoscientific Model Development 10, 1903–1925. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-1903-2017, 2017.
- Martínez-Fernández, J., González-Zamora, A., Sánchez, N., Gumuzzio, A., Herrero-Jiménez, C.M.: Satellite soil
 moisture for agricultural drought monitoring: Assessment of the SMOS derived Soil Water Deficit Index. Remote
 Sens. Environ. 177, 277–286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.02.064, 2016.
- 1483 Marx, A., Kumar, R., Thober, S., Rakovec, O., Wanders, N., Zink, M., Wood, E.F., Pan, M., Sheffield, J.,
- 1484 Samaniego, L.: Climate change alters low flows in Europe under global warming of 1.5, 2, and 3 °C. Hydrol. Earth
- 1485 Syst. Sci. 22, 1017–1032. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-1017-2018, 2018.
- Mathbout, S., Lopez-Bustins, J.A., Royé, D., Martin-Vide, J.: Mediterranean-Scale Drought: Regional Datasets
 for Exceptional Meteorological Drought Events during 1975–2019. Atmosphere 12, 941.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12080941, 2021.
- McKee, T.B., Doesken, N.J., Kleist, J.: THE RELATIONSHIP OF DROUGHT FREQUENCY AND DURATION
 TO TIME SCALES 6, 1993.
- 1491 Mendes, M.P., Rodriguez-Galiano, V., Aragones, D.: Evaluating the BFAST method to detect and characterise
- 1492 changing trends in water time series: A case study on the impact of droughts on the Mediterranean climate. Sci.
- 1493 Total Environ. 846, 157428. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157428, 2022.
- 1494 Mendicino, G., Senatore, A., Versace, P.: A Groundwater Resource Index (GRI) for drought monitoring and
- forecasting in a mediterranean climate. J. Hydrol. 357, 282–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.05.005,
 2008.
- 1497 Mesbahzadeh, T., Mirakbari, M., Mohseni Saravi, M., Soleimani Sardoo, F., Miglietta, M.M.: Meteorological
- 1498 drought analysis using copula theory and drought indicators under climate change scenarios (RCP). Meteorol.
 1499 Appl. 27, e1856. https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1856, 2020.
- 1500 Michaelides, S., Karacostas, T., Sánchez, J.L., Retalis, A., Pytharoulis, I., Homar, V., Romero, R., Zanis, P.,
- 1501 Giannakopoulos, C., Bühl, J., Ansmann, A., Merino, A., Melcón, P., Lagouvardos, K., Kotroni, V., Bruggeman,
- 1502 A., López-Moreno, J.I., Berthet, C., Katragkou, E., Tymvios, F., Hadjimitsis, D.G., Mamouri, R.-E., Nisantzi, A.:
- 1503 Reviews and perspectives of high impact atmospheric processes in the Mediterranean. Atmospheric Res., High

- 1504 Impact Atmospheric Processes in the Mediterranean 208, 4–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.11.022,
 1505 2018.
- Milano, M., Ruelland, D., Dezetter, A., Fabre, J., Ardoin-Bardin, S., Servat, E.: Modeling the current and future
 capacity of water resources to meet water demands in the Ebro basin. J. Hydrol. 500, 114–126.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.07.010, 2013.
- 1509 Mimeau, L., Tramblay, Y., Brocca, L., Massari, C., Camici, S., Finaud-Guyot, P.: Modeling the response of soil
- 1510 moisture to climate variability in the Mediterranean region. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 25, 653-669.
- 1511 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-653-2021, 2021.
- Miralles, D.G., Gash, J.H., Holmes, T.R.H., de Jeu, R.A.M., Dolman, A.J.: Global canopy interception from
 satellite observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 115.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013530, 2010.
- 1515 Mishra, A. K., & Singh, V. P.: A review of drought concepts. Journal of Hydrology, 391(1-2), 202-216, 2010.
- 1516 Mishra, A.K., Singh, V.P.: Drought modeling A review. J. Hydrol. 403, 157–175.
 1517 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.03.049, 2011.
- Mo, K.C., Lettenmaier, D.P.: Heat wave flash droughts in decline. Geophys. Res. Lett. 42, 2823–2829.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL064018, 2015.
- 1520 Mohammed, S., Elbeltagi, A., Bashir, B., Alsafadi, K., Alsilibe, F., Alsalman, A., Zeraatpisheh, M., Széles, A.,
- Harsányi, E.: A comparative analysis of data mining techniques for agricultural and hydrological drought
 prediction in the eastern Mediterranean. Comput. Electron. Agric. 197, 106925.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.106925, 2022.
- 1524 Mohanty, B.P., Cosh, M.H., Lakshmi, V., Montzka, C.: Soil Moisture Remote Sensing: State-of-the-Science.
- 1525 Vadose Zone J. 16, vzj2016.10.0105. https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2016.10.0105, 2017.
- 1526 Mokhtarzad, M., Eskandari, F., Jamshidi Vanjani, N., Arabasadi, A.: Drought forecasting by ANN, ANFIS, and
- 1527 SVM and comparison of the models. Environ. Earth Sci. 76, 729. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-7064-0,
 1528 2017.
- Morid, S., Smakhtin, V., Bagherzadeh, K.: Drought forecasting using artificial neural networks and time series of
 drought indices. Int. J. Climatol. 27, 2103–2111. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1498, 2007.
- 1531 Mortuza, M.R., Moges, E., Demissie, Y., Li, H.-Y.: Historical and future drought in Bangladesh using copula-
- based bivariate regional frequency analysis. Theor Appl Climatol 135, 855–871. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-
- **1533** 018-2407-7, 2019.
- 1534 Myronidis, D., Stathis, D., Ioannou, K., Fotakis, D.: An Integration of Statistics Temporal Methods to Track the
- 1535 Effect of Drought in a Shallow Mediterranean Lake. Water Resour. Manag. 26, 4587–4605.
 1536 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-012-0169-z, 2012.
- 1537 Nalbantis, I.: Evaluation of a hydrological drought index, 2008.
- Nalbantis, I., Tsakiris, G.: Assessment of Hydrological Drought Revisited. Water Resour. Manag. 23, 881–897.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-008-9305-1, 2009.
- 1540 Narasimhan, B., Srinivasan, R.: Development and evaluation of Soil Moisture Deficit Index (SMDI) and
- 1541 Evapotranspiration Deficit Index (ETDI) for agricultural drought monitoring. Agric. For. Meteorol. 133, 69–88.
- 1542 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2005.07.012, 2005.
- 1543 Nelsen, R.B.: An Introduction to Copulas, Springer Series in Statistics. Springer New York, 2007.

- 1544 Oleson, K., Dai, Y., Bonan, B., Bosilovichm, M., Dickinson, R., Dirmeyer, P., Hoffman, F., Houser, P., Levis, S.,
- 1545 Niu, G.-Y.: Technical description of the community land model (CLM), 2004.
- 1546 Ozga-Zielinski, B., Ciupak, M., Adamowski, J., Khalil, B., Malard, J.: Snow-melt flood frequency analysis by
- 1547 means of copula based 2D probability distributions for the Narew River in Poland. Journal of Hydrology: Regional
- 1548 Studies 6, 26–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2016.02.001, 2016.
- 1549 Özger, M., Başakın, E.E., Ekmekcioğlu, Ö., Hacısüleyman, V.: Comparison of wavelet and empirical mode
- 1550 decomposition hybrid models in drought prediction. Comput. Electron. Agric. 179, 105851.
- 1551 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105851, 2020.
- 1552 Pablos, M., Martínez-Fernández, J., Sánchez, N., González-Zamora, Á.: Temporal and Spatial Comparison of
- 1553 Agricultural Drought Indices from Moderate Resolution Satellite Soil Moisture Data over Northwest Spain.
- 1554 Remote Sens. 9, 1168. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9111168, 2017.
- 1555 Palmer, T.N., Alessandri, A., Andersen, U., Cantelaube, P., Davey, M., Delécluse, P., Déqué, M., Diez, E., Doblas-
- 1556 Reyes, F.J., Feddersen, H.: Development of a European multimodel ensemble system for seasonal-to-interannual
- 1557 prediction (DEMETER). Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 85, 853–872, 2004.
- 1558 Palmer, T. N., Doblas-Reyes, F. J., Hagedorn, R., & Weisheimer, A.: Toward seamless prediction: Calibration of
- climate change projections using seasonal forecasts. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 89(4), 459-470, 2008.
- Palmer, W.C.: Keeping Track of Crop Moisture Conditions, Nationwide: The New Crop Moisture Index.
 Weatherwise 21, 156–161. https://doi.org/10.1080/00431672.1968.9932814, 1968.
- 1563 Palmer, W.C.: Meteorological Drought. U.S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau, 1965.
- 1564 Papadopoulos, C., Spiliotis, M., Gkiougkis, I., Pliakas, F., Papadopoulos, B.: Fuzzy linear regression analysis for
- 1565 groundwater response to meteorological drought in the aquifer system of Xanthi plain, NE Greece. Journal of
- 1566 Hydroinformatics 23, 1112–1129. https://doi.org/10.2166/hydro.2021.025, 2021.
- 1567 Papaioannou, G., Loukas, A., Vasiliades, L., Aronica, G.T.: Flood inundation mapping sensitivity to riverine
- spatial resolution and modelling approach. Nat. Hazards 83, 117–132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-016-23821, 2016.
- Pappenberger, F., Beven, K.J.: Ignorance is bliss: Or seven reasons not to use uncertainty analysis. Water resources
 research 42, 2006.
- 1572 Parker, T., Gallant, A., Hobbins, M., Hoffmann, D.: Flash drought in Australia and its relationship to evaporative
- 1573 demand. Environ. Res. Lett. 16, 064033, 2021.
- 1574 Paulo, A.A., Pereira, L.S.: Prediction of SPI Drought Class Transitions Using Markov Chains. Water Resour.
- 1575 Manag. 21, 1813–1827. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-006-9129-9, 2007.
- 1576 Paulo, A.A., Rosa, R.D., Pereira, L.S.: Climate trends and behaviour of drought indices based on precipitation and
- evapotranspiration in Portugal. Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. 12, 1481–1491. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-121481-2012, 2012.
- 1579 Paz, S., Tourre, Y.M., Planton, S.: North Africa-West Asia (NAWA) sea-level pressure patterns and their linkages
- 1580 with the Eastern Mediterranean (EM) climate. Geophys. Res. Lett. 30. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL017862
- 1581 Peng, Y., 2021. Decadal-centennial hydroclimate variability over eastern China during the last millennium:
- 1582 Results from the product of Paleo Hydrodynamics Data Assimilation: 过去千年中国东部年代际-百年尺度干湿

- **1583** 变化特征:基于古水文动力同化数据. Atmospheric Ocean. Sci. Lett. 14, 100038.
- 1584 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aosl.2021.100038, 2003.
- 1585 Planton, S., Lionello, P., Vincenzo, A., Aznar, R., Carrillo, A., Colin, J., Congedi, L., Dubois, C., Elizalde, A.,
- 1586 Gualdi, S., Hertig, E., Jacobeit, J., Jorda, G., Li, L., Mariotti, A., Piani, C., Ruti, P., Sanchez-Gomez, E., Sannino,
- 1587 G., Sevault, F., Somot, S., Tsimplis, M.: The climate of the Mediterranean region in future climate projections,
- 1588 The climate of the Mediterranean Region. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-416042-2.00008-2, 2012.
- 1589 Pontes Filho, J.D., Souza Filho, F. de A., Martins, E.S.P.R., Studart, T.M. de C.: Copula-Based Multivariate 1590 Analysis of the 2012-2018 Drought in Northeast Brazil. Frequency Water 12. 834. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12030834, 2020. 1591
- Prabhakar, K., Rama, S.V.: Implications of Regional Droughts and Transboundary Drought Risks on Drought
 Monitoring and Early Warning: A Review. Climate 10, 124. https://doi.org/10.3390/cli10090124, 2022.
- 1594 Prodhan, F.A., Zhang, J., Hasan, S.S., Pangali Sharma, T.P., Mohana, H.P.: A review of machine learning methods
- 1595 for drought hazard monitoring and forecasting: Current research trends, challenges, and future research directions.
- 1596 Environ. Model. Softw. 149, 105327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2022.105327, 2022.
- Pulwarty, S. R., Sivakumar, M.V.K.: Information systems in a changing climate: Early warnings and drought risk
 management. Weather and Climate Extremes, High Level Meeting on National Drought Policy 3, 14–21.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2014.03.005, 2014.
- 1600 Rafiei-Sardooi, E., Mohseni-Saravi, M., Barkhori, S., Azareh, A., Choubin, B., Jafari-Shalamzar, M.: Drought
 1601 modeling: a comparative study between time series and neuro-fuzzy approaches. Arab. J. Geosci. 11, 487.
 1602 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-018-3835-5, 2018.
- 1603 Rahali, H., Elaryf, S., Amar, H., Zellou, B. Integrated Ensemble Weight of Evidence and Logistic Regression for
- 1604 Potential Groundwater Mapping: An Application to the Northern Piedmont of High Atlas Mountains (Morocco),
- 1605 Recent Advances in Environmental Science from the Euro-Mediterranean and Surrounding Regions (2nd Edition),
- 1606 Environmental Science and Engineering. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 1703–1710.
 1607 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51210-1 270, 2021.
- 1608 Redolat, D., Monjo, R., Lopez-Bustins, J.A., Martin-Vide, J.: Upper-Level Mediterranean Oscillation index and
 1609 seasonal variability of rainfall and temperature. Theor. Appl. Climatol. 135, 1059–1077.
 1610 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-018-2424-6, 2019.
- 1611 Rhee, J., Im, J.: Meteorological drought forecasting for ungauged areas based on machine learning: Using long1612 range climate forecast and remote sensing data. Agric. For. Meteorol. 237–238, 105–122.
 1613 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.02.011, 2017.
- 1614 Ribeiro, A.F.S., Pires, C.A.L.: Seasonal drought predictability in Portugal using statistical-dynamical techniques.
- 1615 Phys. Chem. Earth Parts ABC, 3rd International Conference on Ecohydrology, Soil and Climate Change,
- 1616 EcoHCC'14 94, 155–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2015.04.003, 2016.
- 1617 Ricard, D., Ducrocq, V., Auger, L.: A Climatology of the Mesoscale Environment Associated with Heavily
- 1618 Precipitating Events over a Northwestern Mediterranean Area. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology
- 1619 51, 468–488. https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-11-017.1, 2012.
- 1620 Rodrigo-Comino, J., Senciales-González, J.M., Yu, Y., Salvati, L., Giménez-Morera, A., Cerdà, A,: Long-term
- 1621 changes in rainfed olive production, rainfall and farmer's income in Bailén (Jaén, Spain). Euro-Mediterr J Environ
- 1622 Integr 6, 58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41207-021-00268-1, 2021.

- 1623 Rodrigues, M., Cunill Camprubí, À., Balaguer-Romano, R., Coco Megía, C.J., Castañares, F., Ruffault, J.,
- Fernandes, P.M., Resco de Dios, V.: Drivers and implications of the extreme 2022 wildfire season in Southwest
 Europe. Sci. Total Environ. 859, 160320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.160320, 2023.
- Ruffault, J., Martin-StPaul, N.K., Duffet, C., Goge, F., Mouillot, F.: Projecting future drought in Mediterranean
 forests: bias correction of climate models matters! Theor. Appl. Climatol. 117, 113–122.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-013-0992-z, 2014.
- 1629 Russo, A., Gouveia, C.M., Dutra, E., Soares, P.M.M., Trigo, R.M.: The synergy between drought and extremely
- 1630 hot summers in the Mediterranean. Environ. Res. Lett. 14, 014011. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaf09e,
- **1631** 2019.
- 1632 Ruti, P.M., Somot, S., Giorgi, F., Dubois, C., Flaounas, E., Obermann, A., Dell'Aquila, A., Pisacane, G., Harzallah,
- 1633 A., Lombardi, E., Ahrens, B., Akhtar, N., Alias, A., Arsouze, T., Aznar, R., Bastin, S., Bartholy, J., Béranger, K.,
- 1634 Beuvier, J., Bouffies-Cloché, S., Brauch, J., Cabos, W., Calmanti, S., Calvet, J.-C., Carillo, A., Conte, D., Coppola,
- 1635 E., Djurdjevic, V., Drobinski, P., Elizalde-Arellano, A., Gaertner, M., Galàn, P., Gallardo, C., Gualdi, S.,
- 1636 Goncalves, M., Jorba, O., Jordà, G., L'Heveder, B., Lebeaupin-Brossier, C., Li, L., Liguori, G., Lionello, P.,
- 1637 Maciàs, D., Nabat, P., Önol, B., Raikovic, B., Ramage, K., Sevault, F., Sannino, G., Struglia, M.V., Sanna, A.,
- 1638 Torma, C., Vervatis, V.: Med-CORDEX Initiative for Mediterranean Climate Studies. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.
- **1639** 97, 1187–1208. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00176.1, 2016.
- 1640 Sadeghi, M., Nguyen, P., Naeini, M.R., Hsu, K., Braithwaite, D., Sorooshian, S.: PERSIANN-CCS-CDR, a 3-
- hourly 0.04 global precipitation climate data record for heavy precipitation studies. Scientific data 8, 157, 2021.
- 1642 Sanchis-Ibor, C., Molle, F., Kuper, M., 2020. Chapter 4 Irrigation and water governance, in: Zribi, M., Brocca,
- 1643 L., Tramblay, Y., Molle, F. (Eds.), Water Resources in the Mediterranean Region. Elsevier, pp. 77–106.
- 1644 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818086-0.00004-2
- 1645 Santamouris, M.: Cooling the cities - A review of reflective and green roof mitigation technologies to fight heat 1646 island and improve comfort urban environments. 103, 682–703. in Solar Energy 1647 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2012.07.003, 2014.
- Santos, J.F., Portela, M.M., Pulido-Calvo, I., 2014. Spring drought prediction based on winter NAO and global
 SST in Portugal. Hydrol. Process. 28, 1009–1024. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9641
- Saltelli, A., Ratto, M., Andres, T., Campolongo, F., Cariboni, J., Gatelli, D., Saisana, M., Tarantola, S.: Global
 sensitivity analysis: the primer. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- Salvadori, G., De Michele, C.: Frequency analysis via copulas: Theoretical aspects and applications to
 hydrological events. Water Resources Research 40. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004WR003133, 2004.
- 1654 Satour, N., Raji, O., El Moçayd, N., Kacimi, I., Kassou, N.: Spatialized flood resilience measurement in rapidly
- urbanized coastal areas with a complex semi-arid environment in northern Morocco. Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.
- **1656** 21, 1101–1118. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-21-1101-2021, 2021.
- Saunders, M.A., Qian, B.: Seasonal predictability of the winter NAO from north Atlantic sea surface temperatures.
 Geophysical Research Letters 29, 6-1-6-4. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL014952, 2002.
- 1659 Savu, C., Trede, M.: Hierarchies of Archimedean copulas. Quant. Finance 10, 295–304.
 1660 https://doi.org/10.1080/14697680902821733, 2010.
- 1661 Scanlon, B.R., Keese, K.E., Flint, A.L., Flint, L.E., Gaye, C.B., Edmunds, W.M., Simmers, I.: Global synthesis of
- 1662 groundwater recharge in semiarid and arid regions. Hydrological Processes: An International Journal 20, 3335-
- **1663** 3370, 2006.

- Schepen, A., Wang, Q.J., Everingham, Y.: Calibration, Bridging, and Merging to Improve GCM Seasonal
 Temperature Forecasts in Australia. Mon. Weather Rev. 144, 2421–2441. https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-150384.1, 2016.
- Schepen, A., Wang, Q.J., Robertson, D.E.: Seasonal Forecasts of Australian Rainfall through Calibration and
 Bridging of Coupled GCM Outputs. Mon. Weather Rev. 142, 1758–1770. https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-1300248.1, 2014.
- 1670 Seifi, A., Ehteram, M., Soroush, F., Torabi Haghighi, A.: Multi-model ensemble prediction of pan evaporation
- based on the Copula Bayesian Model Averaging approach. Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell. 114, 105124.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2022.105124, 2022.
- Seneviratne, S.I., Donat, M.G., Pitman, A.J., Knutti, R., Wilby, R.L.: Allowable CO2 emissions based on regional
 and impact-related climate targets. Nature 529, 477–483. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16542, 2016.
- 1675 Seneviratne, S. I., Nicholls, N., Easterling, D., Goodess, C. M., Kanae, S., Kossin, J., ... & Zhang, X.: Changes in
- 1676 climate extremes and their impacts on the natural physical environment. In Managing the Risks of Extreme Events
- and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation (pp. 109-230). Cambridge University Press., 2012.
- Serinaldi, F., Bonaccorso, B., Cancelliere, A., Grimaldi, S.: Probabilistic characterization of drought properties
 through copulas. Recent Dev. Stat. Tools Hydrol. Appl. 34, 596–605. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2008.09.004
- Shabbar, A., Skinner, W.: Summer Drought Patterns in Canada and the Relationship toGlobal Sea Surface
 Temperatures. Journal of Climate 17, 2866–2880. https://doi.org/10.1175/15200442(2004)017%3C2866:SDPICA%3E2.0.CO;2, 2004.
- 1683 Shahzaman, M., Zhu, W., Bilal, M., Habtemicheal, B.A., Mustafa, F., Arshad, M., Ullah, I., Ishfaq, S., Iqbal, R.,
- 1684 2021a. Remote Sensing Indices for Spatial Monitoring of Agricultural Drought in South Asian Countries. Remote
- 1685 Sens. 13, 2059. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13112059, 2009.
- 1686 Shahzaman, M., Zhu, W., Ullah, I., Mustafa, F., Bilal, M., Ishfaq, S., Nisar, S., Arshad, M., Iqbal, R., Aslam,
- 1687 R.W.: Comparison of multi-year reanalysis, models, and satellite remote sensing products for agricultural drought
- 1688 monitoring over south asian countries. Remote Sens. 13, 3294, 2021.
- Sharma, A., Wasko, C., Lettenmaier, D.P.: If precipitation extremes are increasing, why aren't floods? Water
 resources research 54, 8545–8551, 2018.
- 1691 Sheffield, J., & Wood, E. F.: Drought: Past problems and future scenarios. Routledge, 2011.
- 1692 Shi, C., Xie, Z., Qian, H., Liang, M., Yang, X.: China land soil moisture EnKF data assimilation based on satellite
- 1693 remote sensing data. Sci. China Earth Sci. 54, 1430–1440, 2011.
- Shukla, S., Wood, A.W.: Use of a standardized runoff index for characterizing hydrologic drought. Geophys. Res.
 Lett. 35. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL032487, 2008.
- 1696 Sklar, M. : Fonctions de repartition an dimensions et leurs marges. Publ Inst Stat. Univ Paris 8, 229–231, 1959.
- 1697 Slater, L., Arnal, L., Boucher, M.-A., Chang, A.Y.-Y., Moulds, S., Murphy, C., Nearing, G., Shalev, G., Shen, C.,
- 1698 Speight, L., Villarini, G., Wilby, R.L., Wood, A., Zappa, M.: Hybrid forecasting: using statistics and machine
- learning to integrate predictions from dynamical models. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss. 1–35.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2022-334, 2022.
- 1701 Sousa, P.M., Trigo, R.M., Aizpurua, P., Nieto, R., Gimeno, L., Garcia-Herrera, R.: Trends and extremes of drought
- indices throughout the 20th century in the Mediterranean. Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. 11, 33–51.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-11-33-2011, 2011.

- 1704 Stagge, J.H., Tallaksen, L.M., Xu, C.Y., Lanen, H.A.J.V.: Standardized precipitation-evapotranspiration index
- (SPEI): Sensitivity to potential evapotranspiration model and parameters. Presented at the Hydrology in aChanging World, pp. 367–373, 2014.
- 1700 Changing world, pp. 307-373, 2014.
- 1707 Steiger, N.J., Smerdon, J.E.: A pseudoproxy assessment of data assimilation for reconstructing the atmosphere-
- 1708 ocean dynamics of hydroclimate extremes. Clim. Past 13, 1435–1449. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-13-1435-2017,
 1709 2017.
- Steiger, N.J., Smerdon, J.E., Cook, E.R., Cook, B.I.: A reconstruction of global hydroclimate and dynamical
 variables over the Common Era. Sci. Data 5, 180086. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.86, 2018.
- 1712 Strazzo, S., Collins, D.C., Schepen, A., Wang, Q.J., Becker, E., Jia, L.: Application of a Hybrid Statistical-
- 1713 Dynamical System to Seasonal Prediction of North American Temperature and Precipitation. Mon. Weather Rev.
- 1714 147, 607–625. https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-18-0156.1, 2019.
- 1715 Sutanto, S.J., Wetterhall, F., Lanen, H.A.J.V.: Hydrological drought forecasts outperform meteorological drought
- 1716 forecasts. Environ. Res. Lett. 15, 084010. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab8b13, 2020.
- 1717 Svoboda, M., Hayes, M., Wood, D.: Standardized Precipitation Index: User Guide. Drought Mitig. Cent. Fac. Publ.
- 1718 Tang, Q., Zhang, X., Duan, Q., Huang, S., Yuan, X., Cui, H., Li, Z., Liu, X., 2016. Hydrological monitoring and
- seasonal forecasting: Progress and perspectives. J. Geogr. Sci. 26, 904–920. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11442-0161306-z, 2012.
- 1721 Tatlhego, M., Chiarelli, D.D., Rulli, M.C., D'Odorico, P.: The value generated by irrigation in the command areas 1722 of new agricultural dams in Africa. Agric. Water Manag. 264, 107517. 1723 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2022.107517, 2022.
- 1724 Tatli, H.: Detecting persistence of meteorological drought via the Hurst exponent. Meteorol. Appl. 22, 763–769.
- 1725 https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1519, 2015.
- 1726 Tian, M., Fan, H., Xiong, Z., Li, L.: Data-driven ensemble model for probabilistic prediction of debris-flow volume
- using Bayesian model averaging. Bull. Eng. Geol. Environ. 82, 34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10064-022-03050-x
- 1728 Tigkas, D., Tsakiris, G., 2015. Early Estimation of Drought Impacts on Rainfed Wheat Yield in Mediterranean
- 1729 Climate. Environ. Process. 2, 97–114. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40710-014-0052-4, 2023.
- 1730 Torres-Vázquez, M.Á., Halifa-Marín, A., Montávez, J.P., Turco, M.: High resolution monitoring and probabilistic
- 1731 prediction of meteorological drought in a Mediterranean environment. Weather and Climate Extremes 40, 100558.
- 1732 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2023.100558, 2023.
- 1733 Tosunoglu, F., Can, I.: Application of copulas for regional bivariate frequency analysis of meteorological droughts
- 1734 in Turkey. Nat. Hazards 82, 1457–1477. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-016-2253-9, 2016.
- 1735 Tramblay, Y., Koutroulis, A., Samaniego, L., Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Volaire, F., Boone, A., Le Page, M., Llasat,
- 1736 M.C., Albergel, C., Burak, S., Cailleret, M., Kalin, K.C., Davi, H., Dupuy, J.-L., Greve, P., Grillakis, M., Hanich,
- 1737 L., Jarlan, L., Martin-StPaul, N., Martínez-Vilalta, J., Mouillot, F., Pulido-Velazquez, D., Quintana-Seguí, P.,
- 1738 Renard, D., Turco, M., Türkeş, M., Trigo, R., Vidal, J.-P., Vilagrosa, A., Zribi, M., Polcher, J.: Challenges for
- 1739 drought assessment in the Mediterranean region under future climate scenarios. Earth-Sci. Rev. 210, 103348.
- 1740 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103348, 2020.
- 1741 Trenberth, K. E., Dai, A., Van Der Schrier, G., Jones, P. D., Barichivich, J., Briffa, K. R., & Sheffield, J.: Global
- warming and changes in drought. Nature Climate Change, 4(1), 17-22, 2014.

- 1743 Troin, M., Arsenault, R., Wood, A.W., Brissette, F., Martel, J.-L.: Generating Ensemble Streamflow Forecasts: A
- 1744 Review of Methods and Approaches Over the Past 40 Years. Water Resources Research 57, e2020WR028392.
- 1745 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR028392, 2021.
- 1746 Tsakiris, G., Vangelis, H.: Establishing a drought index incorporating evapotranspiration, 2005.
- 1747 Tuel, A., El Moçayd, N., Evaluating extreme precipitation in gridded datasets with a novel station database in
- 1748 Morocco. Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-023-02437-w, 2023.
- 1749 Tuel, A., Eltahir, E. A. B.: Why Is the Mediterranean a Climate Change Hot Spot? J. Clim. 33, 5829–5843.
- 1750 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0910.1, 2020.
- Tuel, A., Kang, S. & Eltahir, E.A.B.: Understanding climate change over the southwestern Mediterranean using
 high-resolution simulations. Clim Dyn 56, 985–1001. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-020-05516-8, 2021.
- 1753 Turco, M., von Hardenberg, J., AghaKouchak, A., Llasat, M. C., Provenzale, A., & Trigo, R. M.: On the key role
- of droughts in the dynamics of summer fires in Mediterranean Europe. Scientific Reports, 7(1), 1–10.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-00116-9, 2017a.
- 1756 Turco, M., Ceglar, A., Prodhomme, C., Soret, A., Toreti, A., Francisco, J.D.-R.: Summer drought predictability
- 1757 over Europe: empirical versus dynamical forecasts. Environ. Res. Lett. 12, 084006. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-
- 1758 9326/aa7859, 2017b.
- Ulbrich, U., Christoph, M.: A shift of the NAO and increasing storm track activity over Europe due to
 anthropogenic greenhouse gas forcing. Clim. Dyn. 15, 551–559. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003820050299, 1999.
- 1761 Valentini, R., Matteucci, G., Dolman, A.J., Schulze, E.-D., Rebmann, C., Moors, E.J., Granier, A., Gross, P.,
- 1762 Jensen, N.O., Pilegaard, K., Lindroth, A., Grelle, A., Bernhofer, C., Grünwald, T., Aubinet, M., Ceulemans, R.,
- 1763 Kowalski, A.S., Vesala, T., Rannik, Ü., Berbigier, P., Loustau, D., Guðmundsson, J., Thorgeirsson, H., Ibrom, A.,
- 1764 Morgenstern, K., Clement, R., Moncrieff, J., Montagnani, L., Minerbi, S., Jarvis, P.G.: Respiration as the main
- determinant of carbon balance in European forests. Nature 404, 861–865. https://doi.org/10.1038/35009084.,
 2000.
- 1767 Van Loon, A.F., Laaha, G.: Hydrological drought severity explained by climate and catchment characteristics. J.
- Hydrol., Drought processes, modeling, and mitigation 526, 3–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.10.059,
 2015.
- 1770 Vasiliades, L., Loukas, A.: Hydrological response to meteorological drought using the Palmer drought indices in
- 1771 Thessaly, Greece. Desalination, Issue 1: Water Resources Management: New Approaches and Technologies 237,
- 1772 3–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2007.12.019, 2009.
- 1773 Vicente-Serrano, Sergio M., Beguería, S., López-Moreno, J.I.: A Multiscalar Drought Index Sensitive to Global
- Warming: The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index. J. Clim. 23, 1696–1718.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2909.1, 2010a.
- 1776 Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Beguería, S., López-Moreno, J.I., Angulo, M., Kenawy, A.E.: A New Global 0.5° Gridded
- 1777 Dataset (1901–2006) of a Multiscalar Drought Index: Comparison with Current Drought Index Datasets Based on
- the Palmer Drought Severity Index. J. Hydrometeorol. 11, 1033–1043. https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JHM1224.1,
- **1779** 2010b.
- 1780 Vicente-Serrano, S.M., López-Moreno, J.I., Lorenzo-Lacruz, J., Kenawy, A.E., Azorin-Molina, C., Morán-Tejeda,
- 1781 E., Pasho, E., Zabalza, J., Beguería, S., Angulo-Martínez, M.: The NAO Impact on Droughts in the Mediterranean
- 1782 Region, in: Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Trigo, R.M. (Eds.), Hydrological, Socioeconomic and Ecological Impacts of

- the North Atlantic Oscillation in the Mediterranean Region, Advances in Global Change Research. Springer
 Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 23–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-1372-7 3, 2011.
- 1785 Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Aguilar, E., Martínez, R., Martín-Hernández, N., Azorin-Molina, C., Sanchez-Lorenzo,
- 1786 A., El Kenawy, A., Tomás-Burguera, M., Moran-Tejeda, E., López-Moreno, J.I., Revuelto, J., Beguería, S., Nieto,
- 1787 J.J., Drumond, A., Gimeno, L., Nieto, R.: The complex influence of ENSO on droughts in Ecuador. Clim Dyn 48,
- **1788** 405–427. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3082-y, 2017.
- 1789 Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Quiring, S.M., Peña-Gallardo, M., Yuan, S., Domínguez-Castro, F.: A review of
- 1790 environmental droughts: Increased risk under global warming? Earth-Sci. Rev. 201, 102953.
- 1791 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102953, 2020.
- Vogel, J., Paton, E., Aich, V., Bronstert, A.: Increasing compound warm spells and droughts in the Mediterranean
 Basin. Weather Clim. Extrem. 32, 100312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2021.100312, 2021.
- 1794 Vrugt, J.A., Diks, C.G.H., Gupta, H.V., Bouten, W., Verstraten, J.M.: Improved treatment of uncertainty in
- 1795 hydrologic modeling: Combining the strengths of global optimization and data assimilation: TREATMENT OF
- 1796 UNCERTAINTY IN HYDROLOGIC MODELING. Water Resour. Res. 41.
- 1797 https://doi.org/10.1029/2004WR003059, 2005.
- 1798 Wanders, N., Wood, E.F.: Improved sub-seasonal meteorological forecast skill using weighted multi-model
- 1799 ensemble simulations. Environ. Res. Lett. 11, 094007. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/9/094007, 2016.
- 1800 Wells, N., Goddard, S., Hayes, M.J.: A Self-Calibrating Palmer Drought Severity Index. J. Clim. 17, 2335–2351.
- 1801 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2004)017<2335:ASPDSI>2.0.CO;2, 2004.
- 1802 Wilby, R., Charles, S., Zorita, E., Timbal, B., Whetton, P., Mearns, L.: Guidelines for Use of Climate Scenarios
 1803 Developed from Statistical Downscaling Methods 28, 2004.
- Wilby, R. L., Wigley, T. M. L., Conway, D., Jones, P. D., Hewitson, B. C., Main, J., & Wilks, D. S.: Statistical
 downscaling of general circulation model output: A comparison of methods. Water Resources Research, 38(10),
 95-1, 2002.
- Wilby, R.L., Wigley, T., Conway, D., Jones, P., Hewitson, B., Main, J., Wilks, D.: Statistical downscaling of
 general circulation model output: A comparison of methods. Water resources research 34, 2995–3008, 1998.
- 1809 Wilhite, D.: Drought management and policy: Changing the paradigm from crisis to risk management. European
 1810 Water 60, 181–187, 2017.
- 1811 Wilhite, D.A., Glantz, M.H.: Understanding the Drought Phenomenon: The Role of Definitions. WATER Int. 17,1812 1985.
- 1813 Wood, A.W., Maurer, E.P., Kumar, A., Lettenmaier, D.P.: Long-range experimental hydrologic forecasting for 1814 the eastern United States. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 107, ACL 6-1-ACL 6-15. 1815 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000659, 2002.
- 1816 Wood, E.F., Schubert, S.D., Wood, A.W., Peters-Lidard, C.D., Mo, K.C., Mariotti, A., Pulwarty, R.S: Prospects
- 1817 for Advancing Drought Understanding, Monitoring, and Prediction. J. Hydrometeorol. 16, 1636–1657.
 1818 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-14-0164.1, 2015.
- 1819 Xu, K., Yang, D., Xu, X., Lei, H.: Copula based drought frequency analysis considering the spatio-temporal
- 1820
 variability
 in
 Southwest
 China.
 Journal
 of
 Hydrology
 527,
 630–640.

 1821
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.05.030, 2015.
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 <t

- 1822 Xu, L., Abbaszadeh, P., Moradkhani, H., Chen, N., Zhang, X.: Continental drought monitoring using satellite soil
 1823 moisture, data assimilation and an integrated drought index. Remote Sens. Environ. 250, 112028.
 1824 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112028, 2020.
- 1825 Xu, L., Chen, N., Zhang, X., Chen, Z.: An evaluation of statistical, NMME and hybrid models for drought
 1826 prediction in China. J. Hydrol. 566, 235–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.09.020, 2018.
- Yevjevich, V.M.: Objective approach to definitions and investigations of continental hydrologic droughts, An.,1828 1967.
- 1829 Yilmaz, O.S., Acar, U., Sanli, F.B., Gulgen, F., Ates, A.M.: Mapping burn severity and monitoring CO content in
- 1830 Türkiye's 2021 Wildfires, using Sentinel-2 and Sentinel-5P satellite data on the GEE platform. Earth Sci. Inform.
- 1831 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12145-023-00933-9, 2023.
- 1832 Yoo, C., Im, J., Park, J., & Noh, H. J.: Drought forecasting using an integration of wavelet analysis and kernel-
- 1833 based extreme learning machine. Journal of Hydrology, 531(Part 3), 1031-1040.
 1834 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.10.067, 2015.
- 1835 Yuan, X., Ma, Z., Pan, M., Shi, C.: Microwave remote sensing of short-term droughts during crop growing seasons.
- 1836 Geophys. Res. Lett. 42, 4394–4401, 2015.
- 1837 Zarei, A., Mahmoudi, M.: Ability Assessment of the Stationary and Cyclostationary Time Series Models to Predict
- 1838 Drought Indices. Water Resour. Manag. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-020-02710-5, 2020.
- 1839 Zellou, B., Rahali, H.: Assessment of the joint impact of extreme rainfall and storm surge on the risk of flooding
- 1840 in a coastal area. Journal of Hydrology 569, 647–665. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.12.028, 2019.
- 1841 Zeng, H., Wu, B., Zhang, M., Zhang, N., Elnashar, A., Zhu, L., Zhu, W., Wu, F., Yan, N., Liu, W.: Dryland
- 1842 ecosystem dynamic change and its drivers in Mediterranean region. Current Opinion in Environmental
 1843 Sustainability, The dryland social-ecological systems in changing environments 48, 59–67.
 1844 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2020.10.013, 2021.
- 1845 Zhang, G.P.: Time series forecasting using a hybrid ARIMA and neural network model. Neurocomputing 50, 159–
 1846 175. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-2312(01)00702-0, 2003.
- 1847 Zhang, J., Mu, Q., Huang, J.: Assessing the remotely sensed Drought Severity Index for agricultural drought
 1848 monitoring and impact analysis in North China. Ecol. Indic. 63, 296–309, 2016.
- 1849 Zhang, Z., Lai, H., Wang, F., Feng, K., Qi, Q., Li, Y.: Spatial-Temporal Patterns and Propagation Dynamics of
- 1850 Ecological Drought in the North China Plain. Water 14, 1542. https://doi.org/10.3390/w14101542, 2022.

Reference	Inputs	Outputs	Methods	Time scale	Study area	Drought type	Study period
(Bouznad et al., 2021)	Precipitation, temperature, and ET	Aridity index, SPI, NDVI	ARIMA, SARIMA	Monthly, annual	Algeria	Meteorological	Baseline 1985–2014 Future 2015–2024
(Achite et al., 2022)	Monthly precipitation	SPI12, SRI12	ARIMA, SARIMA	Annual	Algeria	Meteorological, hydrological	1972–2018
(Al Sayah et al., 2021)	LANDSAT imageries at a 3-year interval, and meteorological indicators	MFI, BGI, VHI, VCI, TCI, NDWI, NDVI	ARIMA/SA RIMA	Annual	Lebanon	Meteorological, hydrological and agricultural	1990–2018
(Tatli, 2015)	IPCC observed precipitation	PDSI	Hurst exponent, Mann - Kendall test	Monthly	Turkey	Meteorological	1966–2010
(Pablos et al., 2017)	LST, NDVI Satellite SM data (SMOS BEC L4 and MODIS SR) and In Situ SM Data	SWDI, SMADI, SMDI, SWetDI, AWD CMI	POD; POFD; FAR; FB	Weekly	Spain	Agricultural	2010- 2016
(Hadri et al., 2021)	NDVI ; Rainfall	SPI, SWI	The Mann- Kendall and Sen's slope	Seasonal	Morocc o	Meteorological, agricultural	2008-2017
(Ben Abdelmalek and Nouiri, 2020)	Monthly rainfall series in 16 main meteorological stations	SPI, RDI, Annual PET	Mann - Kendall test, Weighted Inverse Distance interpolation	Annual	Tunisia	Meteorological, agricultural	1973–2016
(Karabulut, 2015)	Precipitation	SPI	Cumulative Deviation Curve	Monthly, seasonal, annual	Turkey	Meteorological	1975–2010
(Jiménez- Donaire et al., 2020)	Rainfall, soil moisture, and vegetation (NDVI)	SPI, NDVIA SMAI	Combined Drought Indicator	Monthly, seasonal, annual	Spain	Agricultural	2003–2013
(Ben Mhenni et al., 2021)	SM (SOTER); MedCORDEX daily grided reanalysis of meteorological data; NOAA weekly NDVI	SPI, SPEI, PDSI, and Wp	Lag- correlation analysis	Seasonal, annual	Tunisia	Meteorological, agricultural	1982–2011
(Derdous et al., 2021)	Rainfall	SPI	the Mann– Kendal, Sen's slope estimator, and the Pettitt test;	Monthly, seasonal, annual	Algeria	Meteorological	1936 –2008

Table 1 Main studies using the Time series model to forecast drought in the MedR.

(Mendes et	Precipitation,	SPI14	BFAST	Seasonal	Portugal	Hydrological	1978-2020
al., 2022)	water level in						
	reservoirs						

1854 Table 2 Main studies using regression analysis to forecast drought in the MedR

Reference	Inputs	Outputs	Methods	Time scale	Study area	Drought type	Study period
(Sousa et al., 2011)	Monthly rainfall SST, NAO	PDSI, scPDSI	Calibrated Stepwise Regression	Monthly, seasonal, annual	MedR	Meteorological	1901–2000
(Papadopoulos et al., 2021)	Monthly precipitation	SPI, RDI	Fuzzy linear regression analysis	Monthly, seasonal, annual	Greece	Meteorological	1996–2016
(Martínez- Fernández et al., 2016)	In situ hourly SM, daily rainfall, daily PET, and SMOS data	SWDI	PTF; linear regression	Weekly, Seasonal	Spain	Agricultural	2010–2014
(Tigkas and Tsakiris, 2015)	Monthly rainfall; average monthly mean, max, and min temperature	PET, RDI	Multiple regression models	Monthly, seasonal, annual	Greece	Agricultural	47-50 years

1855

Table 3 Main studies using Artificial Intelligence Models to forecast drought in the MedR.

Reference	Inputs	Outputs	Methods	Time scale	Study area	Drought type	Study period
(Mohammed et al., 2022)	Precipitation	SPI	BG, RSS, RT, and RF	Monthly, seasonal, annual	Syria	Agricultural, Hydrological	1946-2005
(Di Nunno et al., 2021)	Precipitation and discharge		NARX neural networks	Seasonal	Italy	Hydrological	1997-2020
(El Aissaoui et al., 2021)	Monthly average precipitation; Monthly min/max air temperature; MARH; MMSR	SPI, SPEI	SVR1: linear; SVR2: Polynomial; SVR3: RBF; SVR4: sigmoid	Monthly	Могоссо	Meteorological	1979–2013
(Achour et al., 2020)	Monthly rainfall data	SPI	TFPWcu; ANN	Monthly, seasonal and annual	Algeria	Meteorological	1960–2010

(El Alaoui El Fels et al., 2020)	Monthly rainfall amount	SPI	PCA, Frequency analysis, ANN	Monthly, annual	Morocco	Meteorological	1970–2017
(El Ibrahimi and Baali, 2018)	Observed SPI	Predicted SPI	ANFIS; ANN- MLP; SVR, ANN, WA-ANFIS WA-SVR, WA-ANN- MLP	Monthly, seasonal, annual	Morocco	Meteorological	1978–2014
(Djerbouai and Souag- Gamane, 2016)	Historical monthly rainfall	SPI	ARIMA, SARIMA, WA-ANN	Monthly, seasonal, annual	Algeria	Meteorological	1936–2008
(Myronidis et al., 2012)	Monthly precipitation Monthly in- situ measurements of water lake levels	SPI	ARIMA- ANN	Annual and seasonal	Greece	Meteorological	1973–2008
(Danandeh Mehr et al., 2022)	Rainfall and temperature time series	SPEI-3 and SPEI-6	CNN-LSTM, genetic programming, ANN, LSTM and CNN	Monthly	Turkey	Meteorological	1971–2016
(Başakın et al., 2021)	Monthly sc- PDSI	Predicted sc-PDSI	ANFIS, EMD-ANFIS	Monthly, seasonal,	Turkey	Meteorological	1900–2016
(Özger et al., 2020)	Monthly sc- PDSI	Predicted sc-PDSI	EMD, WD, ANFIS, SVM, WD- ANFIS, EMD- ANFIS, WD- SVM,	Monthly, seasonal	Turkey	Meteorological	1900–2016

Table 4 Main studies using Joint Probability Models to forecast drought in the MedR.

Reference	Inputs	Outputs	Methods	Time scale	Study area	Drought type	Study period
(Bouabdelli et al., 2020)	Monthly precipitation, temperature 9 GCMs of CMIP5	SPI12, SDI6	Copula theory, Hydrological modeling using GR2M	Seasonal, annual	Algeria	Meteorological, Hydrological	Baseline: 1941–2011, Future: 2021–2100
(Bonaccorso et al., 2015)	NAO; areal monthly precipitation series;	SPI	DCTP (SPI, NAO)	Monthly, seasonal	Sicily, Italy	Meteorological	1921–2008
(Serinaldi et al., 2009)	Mean areal precipitation, aggregated at 6 months	SPI; joint return periods of drought	Probabilistic analysis of drought characteristics using Copula	Seasonal	Italy	Meteorological	1921–2003
(Hamdi et al., 2016)	Daily streamflow data,	The joint probabilities and bivariate	Two- dimensional copula model;	Annual	Tunisia	Hydrological	1966–2008

		return periods	the threshold level method				
(Esit and YUCE, 2022)	Monthly precipitation	SPI	Two- dimensional copula model	Seasonal	Turkey	Meteorological	1963–2016
(Tosunoglu and Can, 2016)	Monthly rainfall series	SPI; probabilistic properties of droughts	Two- dimensional copula model	Monthly	Turkey	Meteorological	1966–2006

Table 5 Main studies using Markov Chains Model to forecast drought in the MedR.

Reference	Inputs	Outputs	Methods	Time scale	Study area	Drought type	Study period
(Habibi et al., 2018)	Annual precipitation from 65 meteorological stations	SPI	Markov chain models, DI and 11 time series models (GMB, GBMAJ, APARCH, AR1, AR2, ARCH, ARMA, EGARCH, GARCH, MA1, MA2)	Annual	Algeria	Meteorological	1960– 2010
(Paulo and Pereira, 2007 <u>)</u>	67-year averages of monthly precipitation	SPI	Non- homogeneous and homogeneous Markovian modeling	Monthly, seasonal, annual	Portugal	Meteorological	1931/32 - 1998/99
<u>(</u> Lazri et al., 2015)	Annual precipitation maps from meteorological satellite data; 219 rain gauges and radar precipitation	SPI	Markov chain model; Transition probability matrix	Annual	Algeria	Meteorological	2005– 2010
(Nalbantis and Tsakiris, 2009)	Monthly Precipitation, monthly streamflow	SPI, SDI	Non-stationary Markov chain	Monthly, seasonal, annual	Greece	Hydrological	1970–71 to 1999– 2000.
(Akyuz et al., 2012)	Observed annual streamflow	Probabilities and return periods of droughts	First-order Markov chain model, second- order Markov chain model	Annual	Turkey, New work, Sweden	Hydrological	1938– 2005
(Cancelliere et al., 2007)	Monthly Precipitation in 43 precipitation stations	SPI	Markov chain model	Seasonal, annual	Sicily, Italy	Meteorological	1921– 2003

Table 6 Main studies using dynamical models to forecast drought in the MedR.

Reference	Inputs	Outputs	Methods	Time scale	Study area	Drought type	Study period
							<u> </u>

(Elkharrim and Bahi, 2014)	Historical precipitation; HadCM3(month ly precipitation and temperature); Observed GHCN v3; NCEP and NCAR reanalysis	SPI	ASD	Seasonal and annual	Morocco	Meteorological	Baselin e 1961- 2010 Future 2014- 2099
(Marx et al., 2018)	GCMs: GFDL- ESM2M, HadGEM2-ES, IPSL-CM5A- LR, MIROC- ESM-CHEM, NorESM1-M		Hydrologica l models: mHM, Noah-MP, and PCR- GLOBWB	Annual	Europe	Meteorological and hydrological	Baselin e 1971– 2000
(Vasiliades and Loukas, 2009)	Observed runoff	PDSI, Weighted PDSI, PHDI and the moisture anomaly Z- index; runoff and soil moisture	monthly UTHBAL conceptual water balance model	Monthly	Greece	Meteorological and hydrological	1960– 2002
(Brouziyne et al., 2020)	CNRM-CM5 (RCP4.5, RCP8.5); GLDAS 25 km reanalysis data; Observed daily rainfall and temperature (max and min) series	SPI-12; SDI-12; Monthly runoff, rainfall; Future water yield.	Hydrologica l model SWAT;	Annual	Morocco	Meteorological, Hydrological	Baselin e 1985- 2005; Future 2030- 2050 and 2080- 2100
(Mendicino et al., 2008)	Monthly precipitation, temperature, SPI, NDVI	GRI	A water balance model	Seasonal , annual	Italy	Meteorological and Hydrological	1959– 2006
(Dubrovský et al., 2014)	Monthly and daily precipitation and temperature outputs from 16 GCMs simulations (IPCC-AR4)	PDSI, Z- index	Multi-GCM forecast	Seasonal	MedR	Meteorological	Baselin e 1961– 1990; Future 2070– 2100
(Ruffault et al., 2014)	Daily precipitation, temperature and global radiation from ARPEGE- Climate model Version 4; Historical observations from SAFRAN dataset	Maps of summer precipitations , number of wet days in summer and drought intensity	Water balance model, quantile mapping/ anomaly method	Annual seasonal	France	Agricultural, Hydrological	Baselin e 1961– 1990 Future 2071– 2100

Table 7 Main studies using hybrid statistical-dynamical models to forecast drought in the MedR.

Reference	Inputs	Outputs	Methods	Time scale	Study area	Drought type	Study period
(Ribeiro and Pires, 2016)	UKMO operational forecasting system	SPI3	MLR	Seasonal, annual	Portugal	Meteorological, agricultural, and hydrological	1987– 2003





Figure 3 Pie chart showing the proportion of use of indices in the surveyed studies in MedR (Tables 1-7) for different
 drought types.





Figure 4 Box and whiskers plot showing the performance of drought prediction models denoted by the coefficient of
 determination (R²) for the surveyed studies in MedR. The lower box shows the 25th percentile, the upper box shows the

1890 75 percentile and the median (50th percentile) is represented by the black line inside the box. The whiskers show the

extent to the minimum and maximum values within 1.5 times the interquartile range (IQR) from the box.



- 1893 Figure 5 Spatial and temporal scales of drought forecasting studies in the MedR with pie chart indicating the percentage
- 1894 of use of drought forecasting method: statistical, dynamical and hybrid-statistical models for each spatio-temporal scale.