

Thank you for the work you have done in addressing the second round reviewers. In light of the reviews provided by the two reviewers, your reply and track changes document, I will ask Reviewer 2 for a last round of revision. On my side, I also have some minor comments:

Thank you for your review and helpful comments to improve our study. We hope our responses given below marked in red as well as our changes in the manuscript have helped to address these issues.

Comments

Line 15: You state before that the main objective of the article is to "investigate the influence of different data sources coming from observations and models as well as the choice of copula on extreme water level estimates". The first sentence presenting your result is discussing a different topic. I believe that reorganizing the second part abstract would be beneficial.

Thank you for your comment, we agree it could be misunderstood and adjusted the abstract accordingly.

Figure 2: The content is fine, although the design could be improved.

Thank you for your comment, we adjusted the figure hopefully with an improved design.

Figure 3/4: Please choose between [] and () for units.

Thank you for your comment, we adjusted the figures accordingly.

General: Just replacing the word "risk" by "hazard" does not work everywhere. Example, line 271, "the hazard of flooding" would read better as "the flood hazard"

Thank you for your comment, we adjusted the manuscript accordingly.