COMMENTS 1

Authors: We are very grateful for the comments and suggestions to improve the study.

In this work the authors explore the feasibility of using water soil moisture (soil drought) as a warning index for vegetation drought. To this end they perform a study for two arid regions in Spain, for the period 2002-2019, with a 10-day temporal ad 250-500m spatial resolution. The conditional probability of the normalized Vegetation Condition Index (ZVCI) and Water Condition Index (ZWCI) with a 40-day lag is used to demonstrate that ZWCI can aid the prediction of vegetation anomalies ZVCI, particularly in the cooler months when vegetation growth is mainly driven by precipitation.

The paper is clear and well written, it employs simple and sound methodology, and I believe that it represents an important contribution to the field of study. Apart from correcting a few typos e.g. "(Appendix 1- PONER ALGO MAS?)" on line 110, I would suggest to the authors to increase the figure labels as they are very hard to read.

Authors: We have corrected the mistake and replaced the figures the labels and legends are hard to read.

Regarding the fact that both temperature and precipitation drive vegetation growth (as
discussed along the paper), is there a way that temperature anomalies could also be
taken into account explicitly in such a study?

Authors: We appreciate your suggestion. Indeed, we are carrying out other studies with precipitations. Studies with temperature could be the following research.