Hydrometeorological controls and social response for the 22 October 2019 catastrophic flash flood in Catalonia, north-eastern Spain

Arnau Amengual[1], Romu Romero[1], María Carmen Llasat[2], Alejandro Hermoso[3] and Montserrat Llasat-Botija[2]

[1]Grup de Meteorologia, Departament de Física, Universitat de les Illes Balears, Palma, Mallorca, Spain.

[2]GAMA, Departament de Física Aplicada, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

[3]Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, Department of Environmental Systems Science, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich, Switzerland

Correspondence to: Arnau Amengual (arnau.amengual@uib.es)

Abstract. On 22 October 2019, the Francolí river basin in Catalonia, north-eastern Spain, experienced a heavy precipitation event that resulted in a catastrophic flash flood, causing six fatalities. Few studies comprehensively address both the physical and human dimensions and their interrelations during extreme flash flooding. This research takes a step forward towards filling this gap in knowledge by examining the alignment among all these factors. The hydrometeorological factors are investigated using the new Triangle-based Regional Atmospheric Model, radar-derived precipitation estimates, post-flood field and gauge observations, and the Kinematic Local Excess Hydrological Model. The social dimension is assessed by examining the relationship between catchment dynamics and warning response times, and by quantifying human behaviour during the course of the flash flood through a post-event citizen science campaign. Results reveal that a persistent south-easterly airflow brought low level moisture and established convective instability in the region, while local orography was instrumental to trigger deep moist convection. A convective train promoted intense, copious and prolonged precipitation over the north-western catchment headwaters. Basin response was significantly modulated by the very dry initial soil moisture conditions. After the long-lasting rainfall, an acute burst of precipitation resulted in extreme flash flooding. Fast and abrupt increases in streamflow affect small spatial scales and leave limited time for the effective implementation of protective measures. The institutional organization-protection-prevention cycle unfolded at the spatial and temporal scales typically dominated by the meteorological rather than hydrological scales. Although the citizen science campaign reveals the effectiveness of the warnings in reaching the population living in the most affected areas, a significant proportion of the respondents expressed a lack of adequate information or was unfamiliar with their meaning. In addition, a majority of the interviewees did not perceive any significant threat to live or properties. In view of these results, this study identifies potential areas for improving social preparedness for similar natural hazards in the future.

1 Introduction

Flash floods are defined as floods with high peak discharges that are observed within 6 hours or less of the causative heavy rainfall and affect areas often limited to a few hundred square kilometres (Georgakakos, 1986; Marchi et al., 2010). In the Mediterranean region, flash floods are a highly destructive natural hazard in terms of economic and human losses. According to Llasat et al. (2010), 185 episodes were registered during the 1990-2006 period, resulting in a death toll of 4500 and damage exceeding 29 billion EUR. Petrucci et al. (2019) identified a total of 812 floods in Europe during the 1980-2018 period, resulting in 2466 fatalities. Most of flash flood events occurred in countries located in the Mediterranean region. The International Disaster Database (EM-DAT) of the Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters lists a total of 233 episodes related to riverine floods and flash floods in Southern Europe for the 1953-2023 period. These natural disasters caused a death toll of 3286 and adjusted-inflation economic damages close to 94.4 billion EUR (http://www.emdat.be/; last access 03 January 2024).

Besides being a region with a high frequency of flash flooding, the associated social risks are steadily increasing due to an enhanced vulnerability connected with human activities and global warming. On the one hand, intense economic activity and high population density in the Mediterranean coastal fringe increase the potential of flash flood-related casualties and damages. On the other hand, global warming is intensifying the hydrological cycle, resulting in an increase of heavy precipitation at regional and global scales (Groisman et al., 2005; Huntington, 2006; Beniston, 2009). Consequently, flash floods are expected to increase in frequency and severity, while the Mediterranean region has been identified as one of the hotspots for climate change impacts (Diffenbaugh and Giorgi, 2012; Paeth et al., 2017; Cramer et al., 2019; Tuel and Eltahir, 2020).

Mediterranean Spain is prone to flash floods during late summer and autumn, recurrently experiencing heavy precipitation episodes (HPEs). Several factors contribute to the occurrence of these flash flood–producing HPEs. Firstly, the relatively high sea surface temperature serves as a source of heat and moisture for the lower layers of the atmosphere. Secondly, the arrival of mid-level cold troughs promotes the advection of warm and moist air masses from the maritime environment, resulting in strong convective instability with high convective available potential energy (CAPE). Thirdly, the complex topography of the Spanish Mediterranean region plays a significant role in channelling low-level jets and initiating deep and

55 moist convection through mechanical uplift. The prominent orography anchors the convective systems, which are continuously fuelled by high moisture convergence. The combination of all these factors leads to the development of quasi-stationary HPEs that tend to persist over specific areas (Romero et al., 2000; Llasat et al., 2003; García-Herrera et al., 2005; Martín et al., 2007; Pastor et al., 2010; Hermoso et al., 2021).

Given the complex topography of coastal regions, numerous small-to-medium semi-arid basins are scattered along
60 the coastal fringe (Fig. 1). Most of these catchments are ephemeral in nature and are highly responsive to HPEs. Basin response occurs within few hours, reacting with an acute spatial and temporal variability to heavy precipitation. On the one hand, moist deep convection can trigger large rainfall rates and amounts, which inherently feature high heterogeneity in both space and time. On the other hand, thin soils, limited vegetation cover, steep slopes and urbanization can contribute to the generation of fast and high infiltration-excess runoff rates and surface flows. Following the long dry and warm summer, the occurrence of
65 extreme precipitation intensities and amounts can easily surpass the initially high soil infiltrabilities. The combination of all these factors can result in the sudden formation of flood bores, which rapidly route through normally dry river beds, leading to catastrophic effects downstream (Amengual et al., 2007 and 2015; Roca et al., 2009; Vennari et al., 2016; Martín-Vide and Llasat, 2018; Lorenzo-Lacruz et al., 2019).

Basin response to flash flooding is linked to drainage size and runoff triggering. As the catchment area decreases, the
70 time reduction in basin response implies that people are more exposed to flash flood-related risks: Individuals are not so protected by structural measurements and non-structural polices for defence become more crucial. Flash flood monitoring and forecasting build on the relationship between catchment and social response times (Creutin et al., 2009). When the catchment response time is larger than the social response time, hydrological and/or hydraulic models can provide forecasts at the required lead times. Otherwise, flash-flood forecasting and warning issuance rely on quantitative precipitation estimates (QPEs) derived
75 from radar observations and/or quantitative precipitation forecasts (QPFs) from high-resolution numerical weather prediction (NWP) models. QPEs-driven runoff simulations can provide forecasts with lead times of a few hours ahead, depending on hydrological response. Short-range NWP models coupled with hydrological models can extend the forecast lead times to 24–48 h (Cloke and Pappenberger, 2009; Hapuarachchi et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2020).

However, various factors external to flash flooding can influence the nature and extent of resulting damages. To reduce vulnerability, it is also necessary to explore the social dimension and examine human behaviour, perceptions and specific reactions during these natural hazards (Špitalar et al., 2014). Bodoque et al. (2016) assessed the social resilience level of a municipality in central Spain in response to flash floods. Interviewees exhibited both low risk perception and awareness levels regarding civil protection plans, limiting their effectiveness. Morss et al. (2016) conducted an investigation into flash flood forecast and warning communication, interpretation, and decision making, using data from a survey of the population in Boulder, Colorado. Respondents exhibited diverse perceptions and understandings of flash flood risks, with some harbouring misconceptions. These authors highlighted the multi-dimensional, situationally dependent meanings of flash flood alerts and emphasized the importance of evaluating interpretation and use of warning information, including alert terminology. They concluded that a more in-depth investigation into how different people perceive, interpret, and respond to hydrometeorological alerts is essential within realistic informational, social, and decision contexts.

Hence, it is crucial not only to provide timely forecasts and warnings grounded in a deeper understanding of the concurrent and interconnected underlying physical processes, but also to discern how individuals perceive flash flood risks. Understanding how people integrate and respond to different types of warning information is essential in decision-making. Public participation in assessing local risks in post-event flash flood scenarios, along with exploring the associated short-term social response, is particular important as a central point for societal adaptation. This knowledge can be used to formulate evidence-based recommendations for improving alerts, enabling individuals to more effectively assess risk and take fast and effective measures to protect themselves.

To gain insight into the hydrometeorological and social factors relevant to flash flooding in Mediterranean Spain, a case study is analysed. The event took place on 22 October 2019, with observations revealing a maximum 10-min rainfall accumulation of approximately 21.0 mm, and a total amount of 299.5 mm. Subsequent flash flooding devastated the upper Francolí basin in Catalonia, north-eastern Spain (Fig. 1). The event resulted in a death toll of six inside the Francolí basin, and the destruction of several dwellings and three bridges along the river. This flash flood was characterized by the significant amount of woody debris carried by the water, leading to the formation of large jams at various bridges along the river. The anomalous and short-lived peak flow caused by the collapse of a debris jam on one of the bridges produced two of the six

fatalities. The regional water authority is now warning flood planners about the potential for catastrophic floods in the valley
towns situated along vegetated, torrential basins, particularly if their narrow bridges are susceptible to woody debris (Martín-Vide et al., 2023).

The 22 October 2019 HPE serves as a prototype case for well-organized and quasi-stationary convective systems, which are often responsible for the most catastrophic flash floods in Mediterranean Spain. This study aims to examine the primary hydrometeorological factors that contributed to the unfolding of this extreme event. To achieve this goal, large-scale meteorological grid analyses, high-resolution NWP modelling, QPEs obtained from radar observations and automatic rain-gauge measurements, stream-gauge records, post-event field observations by Martín-Vide et al. (2023), and hydrological modelling are used. The specific objectives are to: (i) identify the leading physical mechanisms responsible for the onset and evolution of the convective systems; (ii) investigate the main features of the HPE over the Francolí catchment, and; (iii) assess basin response to the torrential rainfall. This study places particular emphasis on the social dimension during the course of the flash flood by examining the relationship between catchment dynamics and social response times. Additionally, it quantifies human behaviours, perceptions, and reactions. Few studies comprehensively address both the physical and human dimensions of catastrophic flash flooding. By examining the alignment among these factors, this research aims to take another step towards filling this knowledge gap, providing insights into the effectiveness of current social protocols in meeting the requirements of the population at risk. Furthermore, it seeks to identify potential areas for improving mitigation actions before these natural hazards.

2 Case study

2.1 The study area

2.1.1 Francolí catchment: an overview

The Francolí River is about 109 km, including tributaries until it reaches the Mediterranean Sea in Tarragona city, and the river basin covers an area of approximately 858 km^2 (Fig.1). The north-western part of the catchment is shaped by the Catalonian prelitoral mountainous ranges, reaching a maximum elevation close to 1200 m and featuring bed slopes of up to 2.0%. According to the Köppen–Geiger classification, the climate in the Francolí basin is classified as a warm-summer

Mediterranean climate (CSb; Chazarra-Bernabé et al., 2018). Precipitation is scarce, evapotranspiration is intense and there is marked seasonality of rainfall, often causing drought periods during summer. Annual mean rainfall is 565.4 mm, annual mean temperature is 16.1 ºC and annual mean actual evapotranspiration is 416.7 mm (Marquès et al., 2013).

The Francolí river basin is divided in three different geological units. The upper watershed settles over poorly resistant tertiary materials, including conglomerates, sandstones, marls, calcareous formations and dentritic deposits. Beneath much of this unit lies a plioquaternary dentritic aquifer, primarily composed of silts, sands and gravels. The western mountain ranges consist of Palaeozoic slate, granite, limestone, schists and dolomite formations, with predominantly thin and poorly developed lithosoils (Fig. 1; Barettino and Pujadas, 1992; Postigo et al., 2010; Sendròs et al., 2014). The middle and lower course of the Francolí river flows across a Miocene sedimentary basin, separated from the preceding unit by a network of normal faults. The prevalent sedimentary deposits consist of dentritic patterns and calcium carbonate, distributed according to an alluvial fan formation (Arrufat, 1972; Pujadas, 1994).

The Brugent river is the main left tributary feeding into the upper Francolí basin (Fig. 1). Its southern stretch traverses extensive karstified limestone, carbonate and dolomitic fractured bedrock, promoting high infiltration rates and facilitating the recharge of deep calcareous aquifers. In areas of exposed karst, surface drainage networks are typically underdeveloped, with runoff being scarce except during exceptional heavy rainfall events (Gunn, 2007; Bailly-Comte et al., 2009). During flood occurrences, the Brugent river's main channel flows through a large gravel fan at the confluence with the Francolí river (Roca et al., 2009).

The mountains sustain a deciduous forest, while pine trees dominate at lower elevations. In the river valley, clay loam and silt loam soils prevail, primarily supporting rainfed agriculture, with grapevines and cereals as the primary crops. Several small towns with populations exceeding 8.000 inhabitants are located on the valley plains of the upper basin, in close proximity to the river. As being hydraulically disconnected of the underlying aquifers, the Francolí river exhibits a highly irregular streamflow regime, reliant on rainfall. Low streamflow is a characteristic of the Francolí river which is modulated by significant inter-annual and seasonal variability of precipitation. Its mean annual streamflow is 1.2 m^3s^{-1}, yet it occasionally experiences hazardous flash floods. The most catastrophic episodes on record took place on 23 September 1874, 18-19 October 1930, and 10 October 1994 (Roca et al., 2009).

2.1.2 The observational networks

155   The Francolí river is monitored by the Catalan Water Agency (Agència Catalana de l'Aigua; ACA) at two cities: Montblanc and Tarragona, enclosing basin areas of 339.9 km^2 and 809.1 km^2, respectively. Raw streamflow data is collected by the automatic stream-gauge stations with a temporal resolution of 5-min (Fig. 1). However, the flood bore destroyed the stream-gauge in Montblanc, resulting in the unavailability of a complete time series of data for the 22 October 2019 episode. Automatic raw precipitation data is recorded by several agencies at different temporal frequencies across Catalonia.

160   The Catalan Meteorological Service (Servei Meteorològic de Catalunya; SMC) operates 172 pluviometers with a 10-min frequency. The Spanish Agency of Meteorology (Agencia Estatal de Meteorología; AEMET) has 62 rain-gauges with a 10-min temporal resolution in Catalonia. The Meteoprades amateur association maintains 17 stations with a 5-min time-step. Out of these 251 stations, 59 are located inside or very close to the Francolí catchment. Additionally, daily rainfall amounts are recorded by AEMET in 212 additional and independent rain gauges across Catalonia, 48 of them are situated inside or near

165   the basin. The region is also monitored by the SMC XRAD radar network, and the AEMET Doppler C-band weather radar. The AEMET radar is deployed in close proximity to Barcelona city, approximately 60 km away from the Francolí catchment, and it is used in this work (Fig. 1).

2.2 Synoptic situation

       Prior to the onset of the convective episode in Catalonia, the synoptic evolution was characterized by the presence of

170   an intense Potential Vorticity (PV) streamer progressing towards the Atlantic coast of the Iberian Peninsula at upper levels (Fig. 2a). The PV streamer reached values of more than 8 PVU (1 PVU $=10^6\,km^2\,s^{-1}\,kg^{-1}$) at 250 hPa. In correspondence with this upper-level dynamic structure, a deep trough with cold air could be identified at mid-tropospheric levels. During the following hours, the PV streamer tilted eastwards while eventually breaking from the main circulation and forming a closed centre over the southern half of the Iberian Peninsula on 23 October 00:00 UTC. Correspondingly, the mid-tropospheric

175   disturbance adopted the structure of a cut-off cyclone with a cold core (Fig. 2b). Previous studies have indicated that the forward flank of such PV streamers provides favourable regions for the development of deep moist convection (Doswell et al.,

1998; Schumann and Roebber, 2010). The presence of these upper-level anomalies not only contributes to the dynamical uplift through the advection of PV, but it also leads to the convective destabilization of the tropospheric column. This destabilization is achieved by the intrusion of cold air aloft, which sets up steep lapse rates within the domain of the trough.

180   At low levels, the synoptic situation was also highly supportive of the upward dynamical forcing and convective destabilization of the vertical profile, particularly along the Mediterranean coasts of Spain (Figs. 2c and d). The low-level thermal structure was characterized by the intrusion of cold air over the Iberian Peninsula, along with the upper-level PV streamer, together with the genesis of a marked temperature gradient towards the western Mediterranean.

Cyclogenesis took place over the Balearic Islands, that is, in the forward flank of the upper-level PV anomaly as it tilted and
185   closed. The circulation associated with the surface cyclone contributed to the strengthening of the thermal front and the advection of warm and moist Mediterranean air towards Catalonia. The evolution of this baroclinic structure at low levels was instrumental to promote upward vertical motion and the destabilization of the low-level air parcels. In addition, once the convective systems formed, this circulation pattern ensured a continuous supply of warm and moist air conveyed over the Mediterranean waters, which still feature high sea surface temperatures in autumn.

3 Methods

190

To comprehensively address both the physical and human dimensions, along with their interrelations during extreme flash flooding, this research is based on a comprehensive set of physical, numerical and social approaches, briefly introduced below (Fig. 3).

3.1 Mesoscale atmospheric modelling

195   Regarding the mesoscale numerical simulation of the HPE, it is applied the new Triangle-based Regional Atmospheric Model (TRAM) developed by the Group of Meteorology at the University of the Balearic Islands (Romero, 2023). The model is currently fully operational, providing regional forecasts at different resolutions; twice daily these forecasts are disseminated in the web (further information at https://meteo.uib.es/tram). Through primitive equation models like this one, suitable for all scales, it is possible to advance the understanding of the synoptic and mesoscale factors that were decisive for the genesis of
200   the catastrophic rainfall in this study case. Moreover, once validated, tools of this nature can serve as the foundation for future focused applications, such as driving operational runoff models that enable the anticipation of potential risks to infrastructure

and population (an objective of subsequent studies on the case). Key technical characteristics of TRAM are (see Romero 2023 for full details; and Romero et al. 2019 for an earlier 2D version of the model):

1. Dynamical Core: The model employs a classical non-hydrostatic fully-compressible version of Euler's equations for the atmosphere, predicting three velocity components and perturbations of Exner pressure and potential temperature. Although not in flux-form, this formulation is suitable for short- to medium-range weather predictions. No explicit filters are required to control numerical instabilities.

2. Spatial Representation: TRAM uses a mesh of equilateral triangles in the horizontal, with no staggering of variables. Horizontal advection avoids dimensional splitting and employs the Reconstruct-Evolve-Average (REA) strategy with the MC slope limiter (Leveque, 2002).

3. Vertical Coordinate: The classical height coordinate is used in the vertical with arbitrary stretching of computational levels, allowing higher resolution in the Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL). The fields are not staggered vertically, and a one-dimensional REA method is used for advection. A proper treatment of terrain slopes and bottom BCs allows to correctly incorporate the effects of the complex orography.

4. Time Integration: Time splitting is applied (Wicker and Skamarock, 1998), with a short time-step for fast terms (e.g., gravity waves ad acoustic modes) and a longer time-step for slow terms (e.g., advection). Second-order Runge-Kutta (RK2) is used for fast terms, and semi-implicit solving in the vertical relaxes the CFL stability condition.

5. Physical Parameterizations: TRAM is fully coupled with up to six water species, incorporating realistic parameterizations for cloud microphysics, cumulus convection, radiation, PBL processes, and surface fluxes; these parameterizations schemes are imported from the PSU/NCAR MM5 modelling system (Dudhia, 1993; Grell et al., 1995). Moist effects on pressure and thermodynamics are retained.

6. Earth Representation: Applications on the real Earth use the Lambert map projection, retaining all Coriolis and curvature terms in the equations.

The basic idea in the context of this study is to describe as accurately as possible the leading physical mechanisms responsible for the onset and evolution of the convective systems. Therefore, first, a good control or reference simulation -

under reasonable computational resources- was sought. Specifically, TRAM model was forced with large-scale analysis coming from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) and the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) and tested for different domain sizes, time horizons and vertical/horizontal resolutions, in order to optimize its performance in terms of the simulated rainfall (i.e. a closer resemblance to the radar-estimated pattern of Fig. 4b). In conclusion, selected TRAM simulations are forced with initial and lateral boundary conditions from the ERA5 grid reanalyses and are performed over the western Mediterranean domain shown in Figs. 5 and 6. The control simulation starts on 22 October 2019 at 00 UTC and extends for 48 h, concluding on 24 October 2019 00 UTC. In the vertical 61 computational levels are used, with a thickness increasing from 25 m near sea level to about 400 m in the upper troposphere (model top is located at 16 km). Horizontally, the resolution of the mesh –in terms of the side length of the triangular cells– are set at 4.5 km, with parameterized moist convection. Note that refereeing to a traditional square-based mesh, this resolution is equivalent to 3 km.

3.2 Quantitative precipitation estimates

QPEs are derived from the reflectivity volume scans of the Barcelona Doppler C-band radar of AEMET during the period of 22 to 24 October 2019 at 00:00 UTC (Fig. 1). The radar has a spatial resolution of 1 km in range and 0.8º in azimuth. A complete volume scan is conducted every 10 min, covering a maximum range of 240 km. As volume-scanning is affected by complex terrain, partial beam occlusion is amended by simulating the blocked percentage of beam power. The correction for partial beam occlusion is performed by numerically modelling the propagation of the radar beam over a high-resolution digital terrain model (Pellarin et al., 2002). Furthermore, the signal attenuation caused by heavy rainfall is corrected using the Mountain Reference Technique (Bouilloud et al., 2009). Finally, the quantitative rainfall estimates are obtained by applying the standard WSR-88D convective rainfall rate-reflectivity relationship. This approach relates the radar reflectivity factor Z and rainfall rate R, through the $Z=300R^{1/4}$ empirical relationship (Hunter, 1996; Fulton et al., 1998).

Due to the inherent uncertainties in radar quantitative precipitation estimation (e.g., Gochis et al., 2015), additional inaccuracies in the hourly cumulative rainfall and patterns are amended by using a dynamical fitting technique to the 59 automatic pluviometers from AEMET, Meteoprades and SMC (Fig. 4; Cole and Moore, 2008). To further ensure the reliability of the radar-derived precipitation estimates, verification is performed by comparing the 48-h radar-derived precipitation against

observations from the 48 independent AEMET daily pluviometers. The scatterplot between both databases shows a squared correlation coefficient of 0.89 over the selected area (Fig. 4). Overall, the QPEs exhibit a slight average underestimation of 2.8%.

3.3 Hydrological modelling

The event-based and fully-distributed Kinematic Local Excess Model (KLEM; Da Ros and Borga, 1997) is implemented to analyse hydrological response. KLEM takes into account for properties in topography, soil and vegetation. The Soil Conservation Service-Curve Number method (CN; USDA, 1986) is used to compute runoff from precipitation. The drainage network is identified using a threshold area procedure, which helps characterize both hillslope and channelized flow paths. The response of the drainage system is then described to represent runoff routing (Giannoni et al., 2003). The routing of surface and channel flows through the drainage structure is completely translational, relying on two invariant velocities along the hillslopes (v_h) and channels (v_c). In addition, the hydrological model also simulates baseflow by using a linear conceptual reservoir based on the Horton-Izzard equation (Moore and Bell, 2002). Runoff models, incorporating an infiltration equation and invariant flow velocities, have been also used for flash flood simulation by several researches (Zhang et al., 2001; Giannoni et al., 2003; Javier et al., 2007; Borga et al., 2007; Sangati et al., 2009). The evaluation of simulations presented in these studies supports the assumption that hydrologic models employing basin-constant channel celerity can effectively explain observed travel time distributions, particularly under high-flows conditions as observed in Pilgrim (1976). Furthermore, this outcome suggests the potential applicability of model results from this study to other investigations.

Landscape morphologies and soil properties are described by a 25-m grid size cell. Specifically, the laser imaging detection and ranging-derived digital elevation models are provided by the Spanish Geographic Institute; lithology information is obtained from the Spanish Geological and Mining Institute (Instituto Geológico y Minero de España; IGME, 2010); and land use maps are obtained from the CORINE land cover project (EEA, 2018). From these data, curve numbers are accordingly derived, set to dry antecedent moisture conditions (i.e., AMC I). KLEM is forced by the 10-min radar-derived QPEs from 22 to 24 September 00:00 UTC. The computational model time-step aligns with the 10-min radar observing frequency.

3.4 Socioeconomic information

Socioeconomic data regarding impacts is acquired from press news and payments disbursed by the Consorcio de Compensación de Seguros (CCS). The CSS is the Spanish entity responsible for compensating damages in case of natural hazards. Real-time monitoring of the early warning procedure is carried out by closely following the information issued by Civil Protection and the SMC via social networks. After the flash flood, a citizen campaign was developed to collect more information (Llasat-Botija et al., 2022). The FLOOD-UP FRANCOLÍ campaign consisted of a participatory citizen science process conducted in collaboration with the Museum of Rural Life of the Espluga de Francolí.

Witnesses were interviewed in order to: (i) collect detailed information for facilitating the reconstruction of the episode; (ii) identify the key impacts and adaptation behaviours and actions, and; (iii) determine the level of understanding of the issued alerts and the most effective communication channels. The campaign was additionally designed to contribute improving citizens' preparedness for future episodes by promoting participation and fostering shared reflection on the first-hand experience.

4 Analyses of the main hydrometeorological factors

4.1 Mesoscale processes and role of orography

Besides the control numerical simulation designed to identify the key mesoscale ingredients simultaneous to the development of the HPE, a complementary non-orographic numerical simulation is performed to assess whether local and regional orography played a crucial role in the unfolding and stationarity of torrential rainfall. Simulations involving the annulment of terrain height in the initial configuration of the domain have proven to be very useful in studying the effects of this boundary factor when it is suspected to be relevant (e.g. Alpert and Sholokhman, 2011). These effects can be both local (e.g., enhancing/suppressing rainfall over up/down-slopes) and remote (e.g., generating maritime depressions leeward of mountain ranges that aid in organizing atmospheric circulation and producing low-level convergences). In truth, a perturbed simulation of this kind wouldn't be entirely free from orographic influences, as the initial and lateral boundary fields logically retain their effects. However, after a few hours of spin-up during which the model adjusts to the new reality, it can be affirmed that the mechanisms liked to orography have practically disappeared.

Regarding the control or full simulation, a comprehensive set of mesoscale diagnostic products focusing on low levels

300   is shown in Figs. 5 and 6 for two representative times, 15:00 and 21:00 UTC on 22 October. Except for the storm relative

helicity (SRH) field, which would rather apply to the examination of severe weather environments (e.g., squall line or supercell

thunderstorm genesis), the rest of products emphasize the special characteristics of this HPE, regarding the triggering of the

convective precipitation systems and their efficient feeding –during several hours– with moist, unstable Mediterranean air.

Note that the SHR is a meteorological parameter used to assess the potential for rotating updrafts within a storm environment

305   (e.g. Markowski and Richardson, 2010). It quantifies the relative rotation of air near a storm, particularly in the lower

atmosphere. In this case study, the SRH is calculated by considering the wind speed and direction at different altitudes within

the 1000-700 hPa layers.

The surface wind fields confirm the impinging of a south-easterly flow towards the Catalonian precoastal orography

(Figs. 5a and 6a). Such direction is optimal for the mechanical uplift of surface parcels by the mountain slopes of Tarragona,

310   since its ridges are aligned parallel to the coastline (Romero et al., 1999). Broadly speaking, the impinging surface airflow is

the leading portion of a maritime low-level jet (LLJ) pattern that initiates towards the south-western coasts of Sardinia. This

LLJ tends to reinforce and shift northwards during the second half of 22 October, in association with the evolution of the

synoptic-scale system described in section 2.2. An additional feature of interest that enhances the low-level convergence in the

southern sector of Catalonia, where the convective systems developed and persisted several hours, is the north-westerly flow

315   channelled along the Ebro valley, which encounters –in the opposing direction– the abovementioned maritime flow. Although

this feature losses entity during night (Figs. 1 and 6a), the combined pattern of land and maritime flows and the corresponding

convergence over Tarragona and nearby sea persisted during the entire HPE.

The maritime flow against the coast maintains coherence through the low troposphere (see, for instance, the wind

field at 850 hPa in Figs. 5 and 6). As expected, this airflow brings plenty of moisture of Mediterranean origin towards the

320   Catalonian coast, as evidenced by the simulated values of vertically-integrated precipitable water above 40 mm. Since this

pattern persisted for several hours, the atmospheric circulation clearly favoured a continuous feeding of the precipitation

systems and its quasi-stationary character. That is, the so-called convective train effect: a successive development of convective

cells in a line lying over the same zone (Doswell et al., 1996).

Two basic ingredients for the development and maintenance of deep moist convection were: the (i) low-level water

325 vapour flux convergence, and; (ii) upward vertical motion. Both factors were synoptically-driven with a

mesoscale/topographically forced motion. However, an additional ingredient became crucial for the development and

maintenance of deep moist convection: ingestion of air parcels possessing convective or latent instability (e.g., Doswell et al.,

1998; Romero et al., 2000). The model-simulated CAPE (Figs. 5c and 6c) during the most intense phase of the episode,

confirms this important requirement of the synoptic/mesoscale environment: moderate to high values of CAPE existed on 22

330 October 2019 over the western Mediterranean Sea. These unstable vertical profiles were persistently advected towards the

coastlands of Catalonia.

In summary, the control simulation is apparently successful in capturing both the synoptic and mesoscale

processes/ingredients responsible for the onset, intensification, and maintenance of a slow-moving convective system over

south-western Catalonia. As a result, the model effectively simulates extreme precipitation rates in this region from the

335 afternoon of 22 October until early hours of next day (Figs. 5d and 6d). The same panels display the sea level pressure field

during the convective event, highlighting the important role of the western Mediterranean cyclogenesis and the rather slow

progression of the resulting low for the configuration of the abovementioned kinematic and thermodynamic ingredients.

Finally, it is repeatedly hypothesized that the topographically complex terrain of the affected area, and particularly

the arrangement of the precoastal mountain ridges in Tarragona, basically parallel to the coast, would ultimately have been the

340 critical element for the disproportionate rain totals and intensities over the Francolí catchment. This hypothesis is fully

confirmed when examining the results of a new simulation in which the terrain elevation is removed, while land/sea transition

and the rest of surface characteristics are maintained. In particular, the total precipitation amount during the event yielded by

this non-orographic simulation merely reaches 50 mm over the area of interest, in stark contrast with a maximum value above

300 mm in the control simulation (Fig. 7).

345 It should be noted that a general structure of heavy precipitation –oriented in the NW-SE direction and crossing the western

half of Catalonia– is still found in this perturbed simulation, highlighting the flood potential of the described meteorological

setting by itself.

4.2 Spatial and temporal organization of the HPE

According to the five automatic rain-gauges which recorded the highest rainfall amounts for the entire episode – varying from 240.4 mm to 299.5 mm– over the study region, the duration of total rainfall was of 21 h. A first organized convective band resulted in cumulative precipitation ranging from 50.3 mm to 72.3 mm between 02:00 and 14:00 UTC on 22 October (Fig. 8a). The maximum 10-min rainfall intensity varied between 28.5 mmh^{-1} and 42.0 mmh^{-1} during this period. Simultaneously with an hour rainfall hiatus inside the catchment, a persistent and elongated area of convection developed to the southwest and gradually moved north-eastward towards the basin.

From 16:00 to 20:00 UTC, this second convective band remained quasi-stationary over the western Francolí headwaters, leading to heavy rainfall that overwhelmed this area (Fig. 8b). The persistent convective systems resulted in bursts of rain, with a maximum 10-min rainfall rate of 124.8 mmh^{-1} and a 4-h accumulation of 193.4 mm. According to the selected pluviometers, the duration of 10-min precipitation intensities exceeding 20 mmh^{-1} ranged from 3.5 h to nearly 5 h, while the duration of 10-min rainfall rates above 50 mmh^{-1} varied between 0.3 h and 1.5 h. From 21:00 UTC, a third organized convective band moved across the watershed from south to north, causing a third wave of precipitation that lasted approximately 5 h (Fig. 8c). Cumulative precipitation ranged from 29.8 mm to 39.5 mm among the selected rain-gauges, with maximum 10-min rainfall rates ranging between 18.0 mmh^{-1} and 26.4 mmh^{-1}.

The 48-h radar-derived rainfall field confirms that the lifting caused by local topographic forcing played a crucial role in amplifying the maximum rainfall amounts over the north-western headwaters of the Francolí catchment (Fig. 4). Precipitation amounts exceeding 150 mm were confined to this particular area, with cumulative rainfall surpassing 300 mm in the highest mountainous reliefs. This spatial concentration of total precipitation is evident in terms of the areas where a given amount of rainfall was exceeded. The extent of the areas surpassing the 200-mm and 300-mm thresholds was approximately 110 km^2 and 25 km^2, respectively.

The main features of this HPE over the Francolí watershed are investigated by analysing the 10-min catchment average rainfall rates and the proportion of the drainage area affected by 10-min rainfall rates exceeding 20 mmh^{-1} and 50 mmh^{-1}. Both metrics are commonly used to describe rainfall conducive to flash flooding as they link the influence of rain and basin scales on the hydrological response (Zhang et al., 2001; Smith et al., 2002; Borga et al., 2007; ten Veldhuis et al., 2018).

Furthermore, the dependence between basin size and exceedance area above a specific set of rainfall amount thresholds, ranging from 50 mm to 400 mm, at 25 mm steps, is investigated. These thresholds represent the range of cumulative QPE within the Francolí basin for this HPE. Additionally, the drainage areas impacted by precipitation intensities surpassing 20 mmh^{-1} and 50 mmh^{-1} as well as their durations are explored. The basic idea is to further explore the spatial and temporal organization of the rainfall fields conducive to this extreme flooding (Fig. 9)

The catchment-area average total rainfall and maximum 10-min basin-area average precipitation rate during the passage of the three successive organized convective bands were: 33.9 mm and 9.1 mmh^{-1} from 02:00 to 14:00 UTC; 62.8 mm and 57.8 mmh^{-1} from 16:00 to 20:00 UTC, and; 31.8 mm and 15.6 mmh^{-1} from 20:00 UTC on 22 October to 02:00 UTC on 23 October. Interestingly enough, the exceedance drainage areas above the selected rain thresholds are connected by a strong logarithmic relationship (R^2=0.99; Fig. 9c). This suggests that, at least for this case study, the spatial organization of total rainfall amount could have served as a useful predictive proxy for identifying basin scales that were most likely to experience runoff triggering.

A striking feature of this HPE is that 10% of the basin size experienced rainfall intensities larger than 20 mmh^{-1} for more than 4 h, and precipitation rates higher than 50 mmh^{-1} for 1 h (Fig. 9d). Furthermore, 5% of the total catchment area was subjected to precipitation rates of 20 mmh^{-1} for almost 7 h, and 50 mmh^{-1} for 2.5 h. It is worth noting that the spatial and temporal scales of these rainfall rates also exhibit a logarithmic decrease up to 100 km^2 for this case study. For larger scales, the time decrease exhibits a tail with drainage extent (Fig. 9d). This behaviour can be expected if heavy and persistent rain is the result of a certain hierarchical organization of convective system. Specifically, short-lasting and small-sized convective cores with very high rainfall rates are embedded with more persistent convective clusters characterized by lower precipitation intensities, which, in turn, are embedded within even larger convective structures of even lower rainfall rates. If the hierarchical convective system organization is inverted, the spatial and temporal scales of deep and moist convective activity can result in a self-similar organization between maximum 30-min rainfall rates and total precipitation amounts over a region (Amengual, 2022). In the end, the hierarchy of a flash-flood producing storm is influenced by its spatial structure and temporal evolution, orographic enhancement and quasi-stationarity.

4.3 Flood response and water balance

4.3.1 Basin response

According to radar estimates, the catchment-average total rainfall amount was 129.9 mm, while the runoff ratio over the entire basin was remarkably small, of 0.1 (Table 1). The severe soil moisture deficit in the Francolí catchment was a result of the long, warm and dry summer typical of the Mediterranean climate. September 2019 experienced above-average temperatures and below-average rainfall, with total observed amounts within the basin being less than 35 mm. October was also warmer and drier than average, with maximum cumulative precipitation reaching only 20 mm until 20 October (SMC, 2019a and b).

During the passage of the first convective band (02:00-14:00 UTC), the rainfall rates and amounts were not large enough to result in infiltration-excess runoff generation. However, they did ameliorate the strong deficit in soil moisture content. The extreme precipitation intensities and amounts associated to the quasi-stationary convective systems (16:00-20:00 UTC) led to a paradigmatic case of fast infiltration-excess runoff generation. Specifically, from 18:50 to 19:40 UTC, the north-western Francolí basin suffered the most striking rainfall period, with a total catchment-area average precipitation of 34.3 mm and a maximum 10-min basin-area average rainfall rate of 57.8 mmh^{-1}. Furthermore, the maximum basin fraction covered by rainfall intensities greater than 20/50 mmh^{-1} was of 0.67/0.38, affecting drainage areas of 574.0/322.6 km^2, respectively (Figs. 9a and b). This very heavy rainfall burst, lasting for approximately an hour, produced a flash flood with catastrophic impacts over drainage areas ca 500 km^2.

The availability of automatic stream-gauge data is limited to a site near the mouth of the Francolí river, in Tarragona city (Table 1; Fig. 1). Unfortunately, the automatic flow station located in Montblanc city, which closes the upper Francolí catchment, was damaged by the flood bore. Before its destruction, the stream gauge recorded a sudden and significant increase in flow from 2.3 m^{3}s^{-1} at 20:10 UTC to 186.2 m^{3}s^{-1} at 20:15 UTC on 22 October. The Tarragona station observed a main peak discharge of 871.0 m^{3}s^{-1} at 22:30 UTC. Prior to this peak, a very minor peak of 75.4 m^{3}s^{-1} had been measured at 22:00 UTC. The rising limb of the hydrograph was exceptional steep, with discharge increasing from 65.6 m^{3}s^{-1} to 871.0 m^{3}s^{-1} in just 15 minutes (Fig. 10). That is, the discharge multiplied by more than 13 times. Furthermore, the peak discharge was more than 2900 times the daily mean baseflow on 21 October, which was 0.3 m^{3}s^{-1}, highlighting the dominant contribution of fast

superficial flow. In response to the last rainy period of precipitation, a secondary peak discharge of 212.3 m^{3}s^{-1} was registered on 23 October at 03:15 UTC.

Shortly after the occurrence of the flash flood, Martín-Vide et al. (2023) conducted a comprehensive field campaign
425 that involved post-flood field observations and interviews with local residents. Field work focused on documenting high watermarks and changes in channel geometry at various river sections along the upper Francolí watershed. Eyewitness accounts provided valuable information on the severity of the flood, the rates of stream rise, and the timing of peak discharges. Following hydraulic modelling, a range of plausible peak discharges values were estimated (Table 1). Variation in specific peak discharge with cumulative precipitation highlights the marked nonlinear nature of basin response. Furthermore, the abrupt
430 change in flood velocity between the towns of the Espluga de Francolí and Montblanc reflects the interaction of woody debris with the bridges along this river reach (for further technical details, the reader is referred to Martín-Vide et al., 2023).

4.3.2 Hydrological modelling

Hydrological response is further examined by implementing the KLEM model. Calibration efforts are focused in reproducing peak discharge, time-to-peak and runoff volume at the Tarragona river section where observations are available.
435 These features are primarily influenced by infiltration and surface flow velocities. Curve numbers represent an input data in this work and are kept invariant, as these are derived from field observations. The initial abstraction ratio is considered a calibration parameter in the infiltration process due to large soil retention capabilities. Large storativities are associated to the exceptionally low initial soil moisture content and to the recharge of deep aquifers through infiltration, percolation and transmission losses along the river beds. In this way, it is possible to correctly simulate the observed water balance (Table 1).
440 As channel flow velocity is determined from field estimations, the hillslope flow velocity is considered a calibration parameter to reproduce correctly the dynamical processes.

During the calibration tasks, the performance of the hydrological model is evaluated against the observed hydrograph by using different objective functions. In particular, the skill of the QPE-driven runoff simulation is evaluated by means of the Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency criterion (NSE; Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970) and the relative errors in peak discharge and total direct
445 runoff volume, expressed as a percentage. After calibration, KLEM adequately captures the overall basin response, exhibiting a high goodness-of-fit in terms of peak magnitude and timing (Tables 2 and 3; Fig. 10). The adequate performance of the

model can be partially attributed to the significant impact of the heavy rainfall burst between 18:50–19:40 UTC, which strongly modulated the basin response. However, the observed water balance is moderately overestimated, with an error slightly exceeding 20%. The peak discharge at the catchment outlet is slightly underestimated, with a deviation of -8.3%. These discrepancies in reproducing the overall observed hydrograph contribute to a NSE score of 0.7 (Table 3). The errors in simulating the water balance primarily stem from inaccuracies in reproducing the sharp rising limb of the observed hydrograph, resulting in an excessive initial runoff volume (Fig. 10). The Francolí watershed exhibited a combination of high abstractions and subsequent extreme infiltration-excess runoff rates.

Although calibration tasks are based on the observed flood hydrograph at the catchment outlet, 16 additional river sections have been included in KLEM to explore hydrological response at smaller drainage areas. These 17 control points include the river sections indicated in Table 1, which serve to validate model performance. Comparison of the numerical results for the peak flows and times-to-peak against the post-event field estimates at the surveyed river sections along the upper Francolí catchment confirms the quality of the control simulation in reproducing the hydrological response at smaller drainage areas (Table 4 and Fig. 12 in section 5). Even so, inaccuracies emerge at some river sections. A moderate overestimation of 11.4% is found in the simulated peak discharge at the Viern river section, when compared against the maximum value of the range of reconstructed peak discharges. This fact could be partly attributed to the strong geomorphological changes that affected the river beds along the upper basin. The overestimation in the simulated peak flow is more pronounced at the outlet of the Sec tributary, with a relative error of 64.9 % when compared against the maximum of the range of estimated peak flow values (Table 4, Fig. 1). The Sec tributary was characterized by the highest transmission losses along all the channel network of the Francolí river during the course of this flash flood event. Such specificities in geomorphology cannot be accurately accounted for when simulating the entire catchment with a single parameterization. In addition, the simulated peak discharges at the Espluga and Montblanc river sections are in the lower range of the reconstructed peak flow values. As aforementioned, an anomalous and short-lived peak flow resulted from a sudden release of a wood debris jam at bridge located upstream of Espluga town. This surge propagated downstream, while the wood debris also clogged several bridges between both cities. These transient-state and complex flow conditions cannot be accurately simulated by hydrological modelling, but they need the implementation of two-dimensional hydraulic modelling (Martín-Vide et al., 2023).

As QPEs are estimated with relatively good accuracy over the Francolí basin, it is unlikely that inaccuracies in the simulated water balance emerge from large errors in QPEs (Fig. 4). Instead, these imprecisions are more likely due to errors in accurately representing soil response to heavy rainfall. Nevertheless, the uncertainties in reproducing fine features of the

475   highly variable precipitation pattern need to be acknowledged due to the assumptions used in different correction procedures. Further research efforts in the future should be devoted to perform a sensitivity analysis of the hydrological response with respect to uncertainties in QPE estimates coming from different radar networks (i.e., AEMET and SMC) and by applying distinct correction procedures. Moreover, the SCS-CN infiltration scheme depends on antecedent precipitation for assessing initial soil moisture conditions. The hydrological model does not currently ingest soil moisture fields derived from global

480   models or satellite data, a potential enhancement that could reduce uncertainties in the initial conditions. Integrating more complex infiltration schemes into KLEM, with the capability to start the model by assimilating soil moisture fields from such data sources remains as a future research task.

4.3.3 Sensitivity tests

Three sensitivity tests are devised to evaluate the influence of different factors on the unfolding of the flash flood

485   (Table 5). The first sensitivity test (labelled as *test 1*) focuses on the role of soil moisture in hydrological response. In this simulation, only the rainfall that occurred from 16:00 UTC onwards is considered, disregarding the previous precipitation during the event. CNs are set to represent normal antecedent moisture conditions (AMC II), based on the SCS recommendation of 5-days total antecedent rainfall up to 53.3 mm for high evapotranspiration rates (Maidment, 1993). The second sensitivity test (labelled as *test 2*) assesses the effect of the early precipitation between 02:00 and 14:00 UTC, before the onset of the most

490   intense rainfall period. In this experiment, the precipitation during this period is also disregarded, while CNs remain the same as in the control simulation, representing AMC I. The third sensitivity test (labelled as *test 3*) examines the role of the heaviest rainfall rates from 18:50 to 19:40 UTC on basin response. In this experiment, the variability in 10-min rainfall during this temporal span is smoothed out by considering its temporal average. That is, instead of using the actual 10-min precipitation rates, a constant and averaged 10-min rainfall intensity of 33.9 mmh^{-1} is employed for the entire 18:50–19:40 UTC period.

495   Test 3 maintains the same CNs as in the control simulation and it preserves the total rainfall amount of the event. The remaining

KLEM parameters are kept invariant through the sensitivity experiments to ensure consistency with the control simulation (Table 2; Fig. 10).

The outcomes of the different sensitivity tests highlight the relative importance of each factor in modulating the overall basin response, quantified by the errors in reproducing the control simulation. Firstly, results indicate significant overestimations of the simulated peak discharge and total runoff volume for sensitivity test 1. Errors in peak discharge and direct runoff volume amount up to 32.0% and 91.7%, respectively, even when the catchment average cumulative precipitation during the event is smaller (Table 5; Fig. 11). This outcome would indicate that soil was far from saturation prior to the extreme precipitation intensities and amounts that triggered the 22 October 2019 flash flood. Secondly, sensitivity test 2 suggests the importance of the early rainy period between 02:00 and 14:00 UTC on 22 October. Disregarding this factor leads to significant underestimations of the simulated peak discharge and total runoff volume, with errors of -64.0% and -45.4 %, respectively (Table 5). This early precipitation stage was instrumental for the development of the catastrophic flash flood: it moistened the topsoil, resulting in decreased infiltration rates. As a result, it promoted large infiltration-excess runoff ratios as response to the subsequent extreme rainfall intensities and amounts. Finally, sensitivity test 3 would indicate that the rainfall intensities between 18:50–19:40 UTC played a fundamental role in triggering sudden and large infiltration-excess runoff rates. Disregarding rainfall variability leads to a high underestimation in peak discharge, with a relative error of -37.5%. Therefore, rainfall variability resulted in an enhanced and very narrow peak discharge, promoting a very flashy basin response (Table 5; Fig. 11).

This flash flood was primarily the result of the combination of a high precipitation volume, alleviating the acute soil water deficit, and extreme rainfall intensities that overwhelmed the reduced soil infiltrability. These findings suggest that runoff triggering was intimately connected to the spatial and temporal variability of the heaviest precipitation rates. That is, the acute infiltration-excess runoff generation was relatively short-lived, as the recession limb of the flood decreased very fast after the peak discharge. In fact, it took just one hour for the flow to recede to 50% of the maximum peak, which corresponds to the temporal span of the heaviest rainfall period (Fig. 10). The presence of a larger simulated than observed secondary maximum peak discharge in response to the last rainy period would suggest that losses persisted even after the onset of the catastrophic flash flood. In addition, the fast decrease in the recession limb suggests a relatively minor subsurface flow

5 Analyses of the catchment and social dynamics

5.1 Catchment response times

530   Field data and the control hydrological simulation support the observation that the Francolí basin experienced paroxysmal runoff and dynamic processes, characteristic of extreme flooding. According to the control simulation, the catchment average hillslope flow velocity was 0.25 ms^{-1}, while field estimates point out a channel averaged flow velocity of 4.5 ms^{-1} (Tables 1 and 2). These high superficial flow velocities were primarily a result of the steep hillslopes and river beds in the upper catchment. In addition, several studies have indicated that during extreme flash flooding, large amounts of sheet

535 flow generated on the hillslopes can concentrate in previously unchannelized areas, leading to increased water velocity (Smith et al., 2002; Borga el at., 2007). In this event, it seems that the extensive vegetation cover in the upper Francolí watershed contributed to slow down the overland flow by increasing surface roughness and providing resistance to flow. Previous studies examining similar extreme flash floods in mountainous catchments of Mediterranean Spain have estimated hillslope velocities comprised between 0.35 ms^{-1} and 0.40 ms^{-1} (Lorenzo-Lacruz et al., 2018; Amengual et al., 2022).

540   Undoubtedly, people responsible for risk management must cope with unusually short lead times when confronted with these sudden natural hazards in Mediterranean Spain. It is of the maximum interest to quantify the hydrological response times across drainage scales for the paradigmatic 22 October 2019 flash flood and to compare them with the timing of social response at different spatial scales. Comparing both response times could assist in developing more effective strategies for flood forecasting, early warning systems, and emergency response planning.

545   Catchment response time is influenced by drainage extent, runoff generation, and hillslope and channel network

routing. Lag time is a useful measure to characterize basin dynamics, and it can be defined as the temporal difference between

the centre of mass of the rainfall hyetograph (i.e., the rainfall centroid) and the timing of peak discharge (Smith et al., 2000;

Creutin et al., 2009). Lag times are computed by using observations, post-flood field estimates and the hydrological control

simulation. The rainfall hyetographs are computed for each drainage area enclosed by the 17 control river sections in the

550   hydrological model. To provide a reference, the lag times for the 22 October 2019 event are compared to the power-law

relationships established by Marchi et al. (2010) in their study on flash flood features across Europe (Fig. 12). These authors

empirically derived the following envelope curves, characterizing the lower limit of lag time, T_L (in h), versus basin area, A

(in km^2):

555     $$T_L = \begin{cases} 0.08 \cdot A^{0.55} & for\ A \leq 350\ km^2 \\ 0.003 \cdot A^{1.10} & for\ A > 350\ km^2 \end{cases}$$

Lag times were less than 2 h for basin areas up to 50 km^2, of 2.5–3 h for drainage extents ranging from 50 km^2 and

350 km^2, and of 3–3.5 h for catchment extensions between 375–810 km^2 (Fig. 12). Interestingly, lag times feature a relative

constant value of roughly 1.5 h for basin areas less than 15 km^2. Similarly, the response times remain relatively steady at about

560   1.8 h for drainage extents between 15 km^2 and 40 km^2. These outcomes suggest that these drainage areas experienced similar

rainfall severity and duration, resulting in comparable times-to-peak. Another noteworthy observation is the contrasting impact

of increasing drainage area on lag time. Basin extents larger than 350 km^2 are near the lower limit of the envelope curve, while

lag times for drainage areas smaller than 350 km^2 are well above the lower envelope bound (Fig. 12).

This behaviour can be attributed to the relatively delayed basin response to precipitation at small drainage scales due

565   to the large soil moisture replenishment and the effect of runoff threshold exceedance. The lower envelope limit of lag time

for watersheds smaller than 350 km^2 is determined by the occurrence of flash flooding in Continental Europe (Marchi et al.,

2010). According to these authors, these natural hazards unfold during the prevalent regime of short-duration and low-amount

rainfall events typically associated with summer storms and normal-to-wet soil initial conditions. Soils that are closer to

saturation exhibit a faster response to precipitation than compared to very dry soils, resulting in shorter lag times at small

570   scales. Nevertheless, mean residence time strongly relies on other ingredients: the distance between the catchment outlet and the geometrical centre of mass of the event runoff and the flow velocity (Woods and Sivalapan, 1999). The response times of the 22 October 2019 event for drainage sizes larger than 350 km^2 were strongly influenced by the collapse of a wood debris jam in a bridge between the Espluga and Montblanc towns. According to Martín-Vide et al. (2023), the sudden release resulted in a surge that travelled downstream –while attenuating– at a velocity of 10.2 ms^{-1}. This exceptional high channel velocity in

575   this river reach led to a sharp transition in lag time with increasing drainage size. As a result, the lag times for drainage areas larger than 350 km^2 lie on the lower limit of the envelope curve (Table 1; Fig. 12).

5.2 Social dynamics

5.2.1 Risk management, human response and aftermath

Creutin et al. (2009) categorized the management activities before flash flooding in three different types of actions:

580   information, organization, and protection. The information step involves collecting data and ensuring its quality and relevance through comparison with other inputs and involving several stakeholders. This phase initializes the warning cycle and aims to protect inhabitants and material goods through situation assessment. The organization stage synthetizes and analyses the gathered information, and leads to the implementation of structured responses in the form of pre-established defence plans. This step sets the stage of the subsequent protection phase, which concludes the cycle. The protection stage involves the

585   deployment of preventive safety measures. These authors also categorized human responses in three distinct groups, based on the scale and nature of the social group involved: Individual, communal and institutional. The term "individual" relates specifically to a single person or a small social entity, such as a family. The term "communal" is applicable to small groups of people, which may vary in organization, aimed at addressing emergencies. Examples include neighbourhood groups, voluntary associations and the population of small villages. "Institutional" refers to the public organisations, encompassing civil

590   protection services, regional meteorological offices or water management departments. For the case under study, Tables 6 and 7 show the types of management, actions and agents involved. Figure 13 provides a summary of the specific social response in the Conca de Barberà county, while Figure 14 relates the catchment dynamics with the institutional spatial and temporal scales over the affected municipalities and Catalonia. Recall that the counties along the Francolí river are: Garrigues, Conca de Barberà, Alt Camp and Tarragonès, from up to downstream (Fig. 1).

595   The information stage started on October 21, in response to forecasts of heavy rain, strong wind and sea waves by the

SMC. The regional meteorological office issued a warning, indicating a high probability of rainfall exceeding 100 mm in 24

hours, with certain areas expected to experience up to 200 mm, as well as intense rains of 20 mm within a 30-minute interval.

The SMC assigned the warning colour code as orange (Table 6; i.e. level 2 in Fig. 13) for the majority of the coastal counties

in Catalonia, encompassing an area exceeding 12000 km^2. The precoastal administrative divisions were designated as yellow

600   alert (i.e. level 1 in Fig. 13), covering a spatial extent slightly over 8600 km^2. The organizational step began around noon on

the same day when civil protection activated the pre-alert phase (i.e. level 1 in Fig. 13) of the Flood Management Plan of

Catalonia (INUNCAT). During this phase, civil protection notifies local and regional administrations, broadcasts informatory

and advisory warnings through the media and social networks, and coordinates the emergency response teams. Civil protection

shared a tweet emphasizing the following specific recommendations for individuals to take preventive actions: (i) drive

605   primarily on main routes and highways; (ii) reduce speed and maintain safe distances, and; (iii) avoid crossing any rivers,

streams or flooded areas.

On the afternoon of October 21, the risk management transitioned to the organization stage, as indicated by the red

colour in Fig. 13. The INUNCAT plan was upgraded to the alert level, denoted as level 2 in Fig. 13. This phase entails

systematic and preventive actions executed by relevant local and regional administrations. The alert phase of INUNCAT

610   usually continues as long as the situation can be effectively managed with the existing resources of the civil protection service,

and the impact on the population is either non-existent or reduced. Throughout the day, the population was mainly informed

from television (Fig. 13). Therefore, the institutional response in terms of the organization-protection-prevention cycle started

approximately 30 to 24 hours before the flow peak, in line with previous findings by Creutin et al. (2009).

During the alert phase of INUNCAT, the SMC carries out an uninterrupted weather surveillance and maintains

615   continuous communication with municipal stakeholders to monitor streamflow, assess traffic conditions and inform the

population. On October 22, the SMC continuously updated alerts due to the forecast of a medium-to-high probability of rainfall

surpassing 200 mm within a 24-h period. Consequently, the INUNCAT technical committee was convened in Barcelona and

some municipal emergency plans were activated. With respect to Conca de Barberà, the warning for rain intensity shifted to

orange, while the colour code for rainfall amounts in 24 hours remained yellow during the morning (Figs. 13 and 14). In the

early afternoon, the SMC expanded the orange and red (levels 2 and 3 in Fig. 13) warnings across Catalonia, spanning areas above 13000 and 7500 km^2, respectively. The yellow alert covered an extension of 8200 km^2 (Fig. 14).

The counties along the Francolí river maintained a low probability of exceeding 200 mm of rainfall within a 24-hour period. In fact, the Garrigues county remained under the yellow alert throughout the day, while the other administrative divisions were under an orange code (Fig. 14). The SMC warning levels did not reach the red warning in any of the counties crossed by the Francolí river, even though the corresponding thresholds were exceeded (Table 6). The heavy rains and the power outages prompted the population to adopt individual self-protection measures, such as returning home or moving to higher floors (Table 7; Fig. 13), despite they had not been officially notified by institutions advising against approaching the affected areas until the end of the day.

On October 22, at 21:30 UTC, INUNCAT entered the emergency phase in response to the severe incidents developing inside the Francolí catchment. The emergency stage involves several key actions, including: (i) the establishment of a central emergency committee; (ii) immediate mobilization of all relevant response teams and resources for rescue, evacuation and accommodation purposes; (iii) determination of the extent of flooding; (iv) extensive communication with the media to provide updates on the flood situation, meteorological conditions, road network status and alternative routes, and; (v) dissemination of information to the population, including instructions and recommendations for self-protection.

The overall episode caused 7 fatalities in Catalonia, 6 of which occurred inside the Francolí basin. The flash flood affected numerous riverside towns such as Vimbodí, Poblet, Montblanc and Vilaverd. Impacts were primarily due to the forceful flow of water carrying woody debris. One of the most affected municipalities was the Espluga de Francolí. Some riverside buildings such as a wine cellar and a restaurant were completely destroyed. Fortunately, both establishments were unoccupied and closed to the public at the time of their destruction. Additionally, numerous residences, roads, bridges, railways and other infrastructure suffered extensive damage. Flooding also affected lowlands, cultivated fields and the local manufacturing, especially the agrifood industry due to the destruction of crops and the loss of livestock. The CCS paid a total compensation of 44 million euros for the insured damages across Catalonia, 7.4 million euros of which corresponded to municipalities inside the Francolí watershed representing a 16.6% of the total amount.

On October 23, the emergency phase continued in the counties crossed by the Francolí river, due to the significant impacts that had been experienced (Fig. 14). The municipal emergence command centre was established in the Espluga de Francolí, with the presence of the president of the Government of Catalonia. Recovery tasks began, encompassing both institutional efforts as the search for missing persons, and communal actions like cleaning debris. The Association of Winegrowers of the Conca de Barberà DO (Designation of Origin) launched the first solidarity action to support the cellar that had been completely destroyed by the flash flood. This communal action culminated in the formation of a citizen platform called "Riuada Solidària" (i.e. "Solidarity Flood"; Table 7).

According to the insights from the FLOOD-UP FRANCOLÍ campaign, residents remembered that the most devastating period of destruction took place between 19:30 and 22:00 UTC on 22 October. This perception was attributed to the force of the water and the obstruction of the bridge's arch caused by the woody debris carried by the flood in the Espluga de Francolí. In this riverside town, a car carrying two elderly men and a driver along with his truck were swept away by the raging waters on this bridge. This incident took place between 20:00 and 20:30 UTC, resulting in the loss of all three occupants' lives. In Vilaverd, a bungalow was drifted, killing the two inhabitants around 21:00 UTC (Fig. 14). The sixth casualty was found in the Pobla de Mafumet (basin extent ca 750 km^2), a location further downstream from the drainage areas that experienced the most catastrophic consequences. The precise location and timing of this victim being swept away by the flood bore remain unknown.

5.2.2 Citizen perception

The citizen campaign has also allowed to evaluate the follow-up of the warning system. According to the eyewitnesses, the peak of precipitation occurred on October 22 between 18:00 and 20:00 UTC. More than half of the respondents received the warnings, with television being the most frequently mentioned media, followed by the City Council and social networks (including the Civil Protection and SMC accounts). All respondents reported an easy understanding of the warnings. Regarding the recommendations they received, most participants remembered being advised to avoid proximity to rivers and displacements. In general, they felt very well informed, although 33% of respondents indicated feeling uninformed. When asked about their understanding of the probability classification and warnings provided by the SMC (Table 6), 60% expressed a lack of comprehension. While over half of the participants considered the episode as exceptional, stating that "they

had never witnessed such severe floods before", a significant majority (66%) did not perceive any threat to their homes or properties. Furthermore, none of the participants reported feeling endangered in terms of their personal safety. These personal impressions align with previous findings by Creutin et al. (2009), who stated that rising water levels in rivers are the primary trigger for individual and community organization and protection actions on scales smaller than 100 km^2, rather than the information provided by public administrations. Institutional actions tend to apply at administrative scales ca 800 km^2 in average over Catalonia.

6. Discussion

6.1 Physical factors

As pointed out by Doswell et al. (1998), HPEs in the western Mediterranean region typically occur downstream of a significant cyclone aloft, although important structural and evolutionary differences are found among different cases. These HPEs usually occur in the autumn, since the Mediterranean Sea surface temperatures are still high from the summer heating while the onset of autumn increases the chances for strong synoptic forcing. At low levels, the advection of warm and moist Mediterranean air frequently interacts with local orography to produce long-lasting heavy rainfall. The 22 October 2019 HPE also developed in the overlapping area between the forward flank of an upper-level anomaly, and a lower-level warm and moist easterly jet with a large course over the Mediterranean Sea. These factors are common to other flash flood–producing HPEs episodes experienced in the Spanish Mediterranean region (Amengual et al., 2015; Hermoso et al., 2021).

The flash flood–producing HPE was the result of low-level and highly-unstable air fed by a continuous moisture supply and ascending rapidly through local orographic lifting. The result was disproportionate rainfall rates and accumulations, mainly concentrated in the north-western headwaters of the Francolí catchment. Local orography emerges, once again, as the differential feature leading to devastating flash floods in the Western Mediterranean region (Gaume et al., 2004; Delrieu et al., 2005; Borga et al., 2007; Zanon et al., 2010; Amengual et al., 2007 and 2017; Martinotti et al., 2017). The spatial concentration in rainfall within the Francolí catchment resulted in extreme flooding at drainage scales about 100 km^2. The consequences included important geomorphological changes as stream widening at the headwaters and a woody debris flood. The flood consisted essentially on extreme streamflow with large wood debris that clogged bridges and propagated the catastrophic

impacts downstream (Martín-Vide et al., 2023). As consequence of the limited spatial extent of the extreme rainfall rates and amounts that mainly affected a densely forested and relatively inhabited mountainous area, most of fatalities occurred in

695 relatively large drainage scales, ranging from 100 km^2 to 400 km^2. Other studies in similar catastrophic events in the Western Mediterranean region show that most of the flash flood-related fatalities occur at smaller basin scales. For instance, Ruin et al. (2008) reported that half of the 23 fatalities during the 8–9 September 2002 September 2002 episode, occurred on drainage areas around 10 km^2. And the 13 fatalities during the 9 October 2018 catastrophic flash flood in Mallorca, Spain, were in basin sizes less than 25 km^2 (Lorenzo-Lacruz et al., 2019).

700   Large soil retention capabilities strongly modulated catchment response, dampening flood peak and runoff volume with respect to rainfall amount, as confirmed by the first sensitivity test. These large abstractions were associated to the exceptionally low initial soil moisture content and to the recharge of deep aquifers through infiltration, percolation and transmission losses along the river beds. This feature in catchment response has also been documented in other catastrophic flash floods (Smith et al., 1996; Martín-Vide et al., 1999; Camarasa-Belmonte and Beltrán Segura, 2001; Gaume et al., 2003;

705 Delrieu et al., 2005; Borga et al., 2007; Zanon et al., 2010; Vennari et al., 2016; Amengual et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2019; Amengual, 2022). The second sensitivity test has highlighted the important role of the early precipitation stage by decreasing infiltration rates. This finding would support the hypothesis that until runoff thresholds were exceeded, massive generation of infiltration-excess runoff was not triggered, in line with previous findings by Gaume et al. (2004), Borga et al. (2007) and Amengual (2022) when examining catastrophic flooding in the Western Mediterranean. The third sensitivity test has illustrated

710 how rainfall variability during the heaviest precipitation period exacerbated the magnitude of the flood peak and modulated the flashy hydrograph shape. These outcomes align with previous findings by Castillo et al. (2003) when studying the role of antecedent soil water content in the runoff response of semi-arid catchments in Mediterranean Spain. These authors found that initial soil water content strongly controls runoff generation for low-to-medium rainfall rates. On the contrary, hydrological response is more uniform and rather independent on initial conditions when infiltration–excess runoff generation prevails

715 under heavy precipitation rates.

     The relative delay in runoff triggering resulted in hydrological response comparatively long for the drainage areas affected by the heaviest rainfall rates and amounts. This threshold-type hydrological behaviour led to a delayed, but then

sudden and massive runoff production. This delay in runoff triggering on very initial dry soils has been observed in other catastrophic flash floods across the Western Mediterranean region (Gaume et al., 2005; Borga et al, 2007; Amengual et al., 2022) and may lead to improvements in the management actions before these natural hazards as it will be discussed in the conclusions.

6.2 Social protocols and potential areas for improving preparedness

The catastrophic effects of the 22 October 2019 flash flood distributed over a spatial extent ca 500 km^2 and a time span of less than 3 h. The institutional organization-protection-prevention cycle developed at the spatial and temporal scales typically dominated by the meteorological factors. However, hydrometeorological monitoring and forecasting in such small drainage areas should rely on hydrologic and hydraulic models driven by high-resolution reliable rainfall fields, providing warnings with the shortest lead times as possible after the onset of the flash flood–producing HPEs. But errors in the initial and boundary atmospheric conditions, uncertainties in mesoscale model parameterizations and the complex and nonlinear nature of the processes involved in developing deep moist convection hinder the precise forecasting in location, intensity and timing of quasi-stationary HPEs triggering flash floods. In addition, small-scale extreme weather phenomena that initiate over the sea typically have significantly lower numerical predictability. Despite these challenges, three out of the four municipalities crossed by the Francolí river were under a high risk alert before 15:00 UTC on 22 October. The remaining administrative unit was classified under moderate danger.

Given the inherent limitations in predicting storm-scale features, operational forecasting strategies can benefit from the use of advanced short-range, convection-permitting ensemble prediction systems. In particular, producing disturbances at the scales explicitly resolved by convection-permitting NWP models has become a paramount task to address the rapid growth of errors associated with convective and mesoscale processes. Furthermore, there is a need for examining ensemble generation strategies that sample uncertainties associated with the formulations of physical processes using stochastic techniques in generating diversity. These systems combined with real-time data assimilation techniques and hydrological modelling may provide additional guidance in anticipating flash flood situations over the Spanish Mediterranean region. The use of advanced hydrometeorological ensemble prediction systems can be complemented by real-time and reliable QPEs driven hydrologic-

hydraulic forecasts. The implementation and application of all these techniques arise as promising future research areas to mitigate catastrophic flash flood impacts in the Spanish Mediterranean region.

Furthermore, the delay in runoff generation might provide an opportunity to expand the automatic observational networks to small basin scales. By incorporating real-time observations from rain- and stream-gauges located in the headwaters of mountainous catchments, it could become possible to enhance pre-existing alert systems and improve early warning capabilities. For instance, sound alarms could be installed along the river valley towns and integrated in the alert systems. These alarms could be activated in basis of monitoring predetermined rainfall threshold exceedance and sudden increases in discharge. The blending of all these approaches could provide civil protection with additional tools to implement anticipatory measures on the spatial and temporal scales over which flash floods develop.

It seems also urgent to revise urban planning and the management of flood-prone areas, as well as to enhance social awareness of the danger associated with flash flooding. Considering the severe aftermath of the flash flood, the citizen campaign corroborates the need for the population to improve their perception of the level of risk they may face. The very low stream flow throughout much of the year directly contributes to the limited awareness among local communities regarding the possibility of experiencing catastrophic flash floods. The population may be educated about the dormant state of rivers in arid and semi-arid basins, along with their paroxysmal dynamics to extreme and prolonged rainfall, as well as on how to interpret probabilistic alerts and understand the inherent scales and uncertainties related to weather forecasting. Furthermore, it might become essential to provide further guidance on how to act appropriately when facing different kinds of natural hazards. Initiatives such as awareness campaigns could be carried out in schools, in between prime-time radio and television programs, and by involving media influencers on various social networks. In addition, text messages could be sent to mobile phones in areas on alert, informing the population about the dangerous weather situation and providing recommendations to follow. All these efforts could help individuals to make informed decisions and take necessary actions to protect themselves from natural hazards.

7. Conclusions

765   The main observations from this study are:

- The formation of a slow-moving surface cyclone led to a set of key mesoscale ingredients that promoted the formation of a convective train. Specifically, the persistent impinging of a south-easterly Mediterranean flow advected warm and moist air towards the Catalonian precoastal topography. Local orography played a paramount role in organizing the mesoscale flow over Catalonia and acted as a convection-triggering mechanism. The continuous latent heat flux from the Mediterranean Sea moistened the low troposphere, enhancing convective instability and increasing rainfall efficiency.

- Extreme rainfall was markedly influenced by local orography: Values in excess of 200 mm focussed on the north-western mountain ridges of the upper Francolí basin, impacting an area ca 100 km^2. The exceedance drainage areas above a selected set of precipitation thresholds exhibited a robust logarithmic relationship for this particular episode. Recognizing the spatial scales of rainfall organization could serve as a valuable predictive indicator for identifying drainage scales most susceptible to sudden infiltration-excess runoff generation. This behaviour was also observed for 10-min rainfall rates exceeding 20/50 mmh^{-1} over drainage scales smaller than 100 km^2.

- The very dry initial soil conditions had a significant impact on catchment response, mitigating both flood peak and runoff volume with respect to rainfall amount. The substantial soil moisture deficit promoted a marked non-linearity in basin response to precipitation rates and amounts. The antecedent precipitation before the most intense rainfall period was also instrumental: While it did not result in runoff, it did moisten the topsoil and decrease infiltration rates, favouring the exceedance of runoff thresholds. The subsequent extreme precipitation intensities and amounts led to acute infiltration-excess runoff rates: Rainfall variability modulated the very flashy and short-lived extreme basin response.

- The relative delay in runoff onset resulted in hydrological responses comparatively long for basin scales up to 100 km^2: Lag times were well above the envelope curve characterizing the minimum lag times typically observed for flash

floods in Europe. The threshold-type hydrological behaviour resulted in a delayed but subsequently sudden and

substantial runoff production. The ensuing flood bore routed very fast, carrying a large amount of woody and

vegetation debris. The interaction of debris with several bridges along the river strongly impacted flood velocity. As

790   consequence, a stark transition in lag time occurred for basin scales larger than 350 km^2, lying on the lower bound of

the envelope curve.

- The institutional cycle of organization, protection and prevention developed at the spatial and temporal scales

  typically dominated by the meteorological factors. The SMC issued a risk warning for heavy rainfall at county scale

  up to 30 hours before the tragic flash flood occurred. Concurrently, civil protection activated the INUNCAT plan and

795   issued a warning with a set of recommendations for the population. Continuous updates to the warnings for

  municipalities at very high risk of heavy rainfall were disseminated through traditional media channels and social

  networks.

- The citizen science campaign confirms the effectiveness of the warnings disseminated by the SMC and Civil

  Protection in reaching a significant portion of the population. However, one-third of the respondents expressed a lack

800   of adequate information, and more than half were unfamiliar with the meanings associated with the different warning

  levels. Furthermore, two-thirds of the participants did not perceive any threat to their homes, premises or properties,

  and none of them felt their lives were at risk. Given the severe consequences of the flash flood, this information

  corroborates the need for the population to improve their perception of the level of risk they may face before extreme

  flash flooding.

805

Data availability

The primary data used in this study (stream-gauge, rain-gauge and weather radar data) can be obtained under request to the

Catalan Water Agency, the Catalan Meteorological Service and the Spanish Agency of Meteorology. Post-event data and

modelling results can be obtained under request to the authors.

Author contribution

AA, RR and MCL designed the research. AA drafted the paper. AA, RR, MCL, AH and MLB performed the formal analysis and wrote, edited and revised the paper. AA, RR, AH and MLB elaborated the figures.

Competing interests

The authors have the following competing interests: At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences.

Acknowledgements

Dr. Mario Parise, scientific editor of NHESS, and three anonymous reviewers are sincerely acknowledged for their time and valuable comments, which contributed to enhancing the quality of this manuscript. This work has been sponsored by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación - Agencia Estatal de Investigación through the TRAMPAS (PID2020-113036RB-I00/AEI/10.13039/50 1100011033) and C3RiskMed (PID2020-113638RB-C22/MICIN-AEI/10.13039/501100011033) research projects. The authors are grateful to AEMET, especially to Ramón Pascual and Gabriela Cuevas, for providing technical documentation and different meteorological observations related to this flash flood event. The authors are also grateful to Eduard Marimon from Meteoprades for supplying rainfall observations. The ACA and SMC agencies are acknowledged for providing other necessary data for conducting this research. The citizen campaign was supported by the AGORA project, funded by the ACA of Generalitat de Catalunya, and with the strong support of the Museu de la Vida Rural de l'Espluga de Francolí.

References

Alpert, P., and Sholokhman, T. (Eds.): Factor Separation in the atmosphere: applications and future prospects. Cambridge University Press, 2011.

Amengual, A., R. Romero, M. Gómez, A. Martín, and S. Alonso: A hydrometeorological modeling study of a flash-flood event over Catalonia, Spain. J. Hydrometeor., 8(3), 282-303, 2007.

Amengual, A., Homar, V., and Jaume, O.: Potential of a probabilistic hydrometeorological forecasting approach for the 28 September 2012 extreme flash flood in Murcia, Spain. Atmos. Res., 166, 10-23, 2015.

Amengual, A., Carrió, D. S., Ravazzani, G., and Homar, V.: A comparison of ensemble strategies for flash flood forecasting: The 12 october 2007 case study in Valencia, Spain. J. Hydrometeor., 18(4), 1143-1166, 2017.

Amengual, A.: Hydrometeorological analysis of the 12 and 13 September 2019 widespread flash flooding in eastern Spain. Nat. Haz. Earth Syst. Sci., 22(4), 1159-1179, 2022.

Arrufat, J. T.: Estudio hidrogeológico de la cuenca del Francolí. Cronología de las aguas subterráneas. Acta geológica hispánica, 138-142, 1972.

Bailly-Comte, V., Jourde, H., Pistre, S.: Conceptualization and classification of groundwater–surface water hydrodynamic interactions in karst watersheds. J. Hydrol. 376, 456–462, 2009.

Barettino, D. y Pujadas, J.: Programa I+D en Geología Ambiental. Estudio de avenidas en la cuenca alta del río Francolí (Tarragona). Mapas de peligrosidad por inundación. ITGE y Servei Geològic de Catalunya, 74 pp, 1992.

Bodoque, J. M., Amérigo, M., Díez-Herrero, A., García, J. A., Cortés, B., Ballesteros-Cánovas, J. A., and Olcina, J.: Improvement of resilience of urban areas by integrating social perception in flash-flood risk management. J. Hydrol., 541, 665-676, 2016.

Beniston, M.: Trends in joint quantiles of temperature and precipitation in Europe since 1901 and projected for 2100. Geophys. Res. Lett. 36, L07707, 2009.

Borga, M., P. Boscolo, F. Zanon, and M. Sangati: Hydrometeorological analysis of the 29 August 2003 flash flood in the Eastern Italian Alps. J. Hydrometeor., 8, 1049–1067, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM593.1, 2007.

Bouilloud, L., B. Delrieu, B. Boudevillain, M. Borga, and F. Zanon: Radar rainfall estimation for the post-event analysis of a Slovenian flash-flood case: Application of the Mountain Reference Technique at C-band frequency. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 13, 1349–1360, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-13-1349-2009 , 2009.

Camarasa-Belmonte, A. M., and Beltrán Segura, F.: Flood events in Mediterranean ephemeral streams (ramblas) in Valencia region, Spain. Catena, 45, 229–249, doi:10.1016/S0341-8162(01)00146-1, 2001.

Castillo, V. M., Gomez-Plaza, A., and Martínez-Mena, M.: The role of antecedent soil water content in the runoff response of semiarid catchments: a simulation approach. Journal of Hydrology, 284(1-4), 114-130, 2003.

Chazarra-Bernabé, A., Flórez-García, E., Peraza-Sánchez, B., Tohá-Rebull, T., Lorenzo-Mariño, B., Criado-Pinto, E., Moreno-García, J. V., Romero-Fresneda, R., and Botery-Fullat, R.: Mapas climáticos de España (1981–2010) y ETo (1996–2016), Ministerio de Transición Ecológica, Agencia Estatal de Meteorología, Madrid, Spain, http://www.aemet.es/ (last access: 10 January 2024), 2018.

Cloke, H. L. and Pappenberger, F.: Ensemble flood forecasting: A review. Journal of hydrology, 375(3-4), 613-626, 2009.

Cole, S., and R. Moore: Hydrological modelling using rain-gauge and radar-based estimators of areal rainfall. J. Hydrol., 358, 159–181, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.05.025, 2008.

Cramer, W., Guiot, J., Fader, M., Garrabou, J., Gattuso, J-P., Iglesias, A., Lange, M.A., Lionello, P., Llasat, M.C., Paz, S., Peñuelas, J., Snoussi, M., Toreti, A., Tsimplis, M.N., and Xoplaki, E.: Climate change and interconnected risks to sustainable development in the Mediterranean. Nature Climate Change 8:972-980, doi: 10.1038/s41558-018-0299-2, 2018.

Creutin, J. D., Borga, M., Lutoff, C., Scolobig, A., Ruin, I., and Créton-Cazanave, L.: Catchment dynamics and social response during flash floods: the potential of radar rainfall monitoring for warning procedures. Meteor. Applications, 16(1), 115-125, 2009

Da Ros, D. and Borga, M.: Use of digital elevation model data for the derivation of the geomorphological instantaneous unit hydrograph, Hydrol. Process., 11, 13–33, https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(199701)11:1<13::AID-HYP400> 3.0.CO ;2-M, 1997.

De Waele, J., Martina, M.L.V., Sanna, L., Cabras, S., Cossu, Q.A.: Flash flood hydrology in karstic terrain: Flumineddu Canyon, central-east Sardinia. Geomorphology 120, 162–173, 2010.

Delrieu, G. and coauthors: The catastrophic flash-flood event of 8–9 September 2002 in the Gard Region, France: a first case study for the Cévennes–Vivarais Mediterranean Hydrometeorological Observatory. J. Hydrometeor., 6(1), 34-52, 2005.

Doswell III, C. A., Brooks H., and Maddox, R.: Flash flood forecasting: An ingredient-based methodology. *Wea. Forecasting*, **11**, 560–581, 1996.

880   Doswell III, C. A., C. Ramis, R. Romero, and S. Alonso: A diagnostic study of three heavy precipitation episodes in the western Mediterranean region. *Wea. Forecasting*, **13**, 102-124, 1998.

Diffenbaugh, N. S., and Giorgi, F.: Climate change hotspots in the CMIP5 global climate model ensemble. Climatic Change, 114(3–4), 813–822, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0570-x, 2012

Dudhia, J.: A non-hydrostatic version of the Penn State/NCAR mesoscale model: validation tests and simulation of an Atlantic
885   cyclone and cold front. Mon. Weather Rev., 121, 1493–1513, 1993.

EEA, 2018: Corine Land Cover (CLC) 2018, Version 20b2. European Environment Agency, https://land.copernicus.eu/pan-european/corine-land-cover/clc2018.

Fulton, R., Breidenbach J., Seo D., Miller D., and O'Bannon T.: The WSR-88D rainfall algorithm. Wea. Forecasting, 13, 377–395, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0434(1998)013,0377: TWRA.2.0.CO;2, 1998.

890   Gaume, E., Livet, M., Desbordes, M., and Villeneuve, J. P.: Hydrological analysis of the river Aude, France, flash flood on 12 and 13 November 1999. J. Hydrol., 286(1-4), 135-154, 2004

García-Herrera, R., Barropedro, D., Hernández, E., Paredes, D., Correoso, J. F., and Prieto, L.: The 2001 mesoscale convective systems over iberia and the Balearic Islands. Meteor. and Atmos. Physics, 90, 225–243, doi:10.1007/s00703-005-0114-2, 2005.

895   Georgakakos, K. P.: On the design of national, real-time warning systems with capability for site-specific, flash-flood forecasts. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc, 67(10), 1233-1239, 1986

Giannoni, F., Smith, J. A., Zhang, Y., and Roth, G.: Hydrologic modeling of extreme floods using radar rainfall estimates, Adv. Water Resour., 26, 195–203, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1708(02)00091-X, 2003.

Gochis, D., and Coauthors: The great Colorado flood of September 2013. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 96, 1461–1487,
900   https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00241.1, 2015.

Groisman, P.Y., Knight, R.W., Easterling, D.R., Karl, T.R., Hegerl, G.C., Razuvaev, V.N.: Trends in intense precipitation in the climate record. J. Climate 18 (9), 1326–1350, 2005.

Grell, G., J. Dudhia and D. R. Stauffer: A description of the fifth-generation of the Penn State/NCARmesoscalemodel (MM5). NCAR Tech.NCAR/TN-398+STR, 1995.

905   Hapuarachchi, H. A. P., Wang, Q. J., and Pagano, T. C.: A review of advances in flash flood forecasting. Hydrol. processes, 25(18), 2771-2784, 2011.

Herman, H.K., Toran, L., White, W.B.: Threshold events in spring discharge: evidence from sediment and continuous water level measurement. J. Hydrol. 351, 98–106, 2008.

Gunn, J.: Contributory area definition for groundwater source protection and hazard mitigation in carbonate aquifers. In: Parise, 910   M., Gunn, J. (Eds.), Natural and Anthropogenic Hazards in Karst Areas: Recognition, Analysis, and Mitigation. Geological Society, London. 279, pp. 97–109, 2007.

Gutiérrez, F., Parise, M., De Waele, J. and Jourde, H.: A review on natural and human-induced geohazards and impacts in karst. Earth Science Reviews, vol. 138, p. 61-88, 2014.

Hermoso, A., Homar, V., and Amengual, A.: The sequence of heavy precipitation and flash flooding of 12 and 13 September 915   2019 in eastern Spain. Part I: Mesoscale diagnostic and sensitivity analysis of precipitation. J. Hydrometeor., 22(5), 1117-1138, 2021.

Hunter, S.: WSR-88D radar rainfall estimation: Capabilities, limitations and potential improvements. Natl. Wea. Dig., 20, 26–36, 1996

Huntington, T.G.: Evidence for intensification of the global water cycle: review and synthesis. J. Hydrol. 319, 83–95, 2006.

920   IGME: Mapa geológico de la Península Ibérica, Baleares y Canarias a escala 1:1.000.000. Instituto Geológico y Minero de España, Instituto Tecnológico Geominero de España, 2010. http://info.igme.es/cartografiadigital/geologica/Geologicos 1MMapa.aspx? Id5Geologico1000_(1994)#mapas.

Jourde, H., Lafare, A., Mazzilli, N., Belaud, G., Neppel, L., Doerfliger, N., Cernesson, F.: Flash flood mitigation as a positive consequence of anthropogenic forcings on the groundwater resource in a karst catchment. Environ. Earth Sci. 71, 573–583, 925   2014.

Javier, J.R.N., Smith, J.A., Meierdiercks, K.L., Baeck, M.L., Miller, A.J.: Flash flood forecasting for small urban watersheds in the Baltimore metropolitan region. Weather and Forecasting 22 (6), 1331–1344, 2007.

Leveque, R. J.: Finite volume methods for hyperbolic problems. Ed. Cambridge University Press, 558 pp., 2002

Llasat, M. D. C., Rigo, T., and Barriendos, M.: The 'Montserrat-2000'flash-flood event: a comparison with the floods that have occurred in the northeastern Iberian Peninsula since the 14th century. Int. J. Climatol., 23(4), 453-469, 2003

Llasat, M.C., Llasat-Botija, M., Prat, M.A., Porcú, F., Price, C., Mugnai, A., Lagouvardos, K., Kotroni, V., Katsanos, D., Michaelides, S., Yair, S., Savvidou, K., Nicolaides, K.: High impact floods and flash floods in Mediterranean countries: the flash preliminary database. Adv. Geosci. 23, 1–9, 2010.

Llasat-Botija, M., M.C. Llasat, S. Ruiz Navarro, C. Fernández Lopez: FLOOD-UP FRANCOLÍ. Retorn d'experiència col·lectiu de les inundacions d'octubre de 2019. VI Jornades sobre el Bosc de Poblet i Muntanyes de Prades, 2022.

Lorenzo-Lacruz, J., Amengual, A., Garcia, C., Morán-Tejeda, E., Homar, V., Maimó-Far, A., Hermoso, A., Ramis, C., and Romero, R.: Hydrometeorological reconstruction and geomorphological impact assessment of the October 2018 catastrophic flash flood at Sant Llorenç, Mallorca (Spain). Nat. Haz. Earth Syst. Sci., 19(11), 2597-2617, 2019

Maidment, D. R.: Handbook of hydrology, in: Vol. 9780070, McGraw-Hill, New York, p. 397323, ISBN 0070397325, 1993.

Markowski, P. and Richardson, Y.: Mesoscale meteorology in midlatitudes. Wiley-Blackwell, p. 407., 2010.

Marchi, L., Borga, M., Preciso, E., and Gaume, E.: Characterisation of selected extreme flash floods in Europe and implications for flood risk management. J. Hydrol., 394(1-2), 118-133, 2010.

Marquès, M., Bangash, R. F., Kumar, V., Sharp, R., and Schuhmacher, M.: The impact of climate change on water provision under a low flow regime: A case study of the ecosystems services in the Francoli river basin. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 263, 224-232, 2013.

Martín, A., Romero, R., Homar, V. De Luque, A., Alonso, S., Rigo, T., and Llasat, M. C.: Sensitivities of a flash flood event over Catalonia: a numerical analysis. Mon. wea. Rev., 135(2), 651-669, 2007.

Martín-Vide, J. P., D. Nierola, A. Bateman, A. Navarro, and E. Velasco: Runoff and sediment transport in a torrential ephemeral stream of the Mediterranean coast. J. Hydrol., 225, 118–129, 1999

Martín-Vide, J. P., Bateman, A., Berenguer, M. Ferrer-Boix, C., Amengual, A., Campillo, M., Corral, C., Llasat, M. C., Llasat-Botija, M., Gómez, S., Marín-Esteve, B., Prats-Puntí, A., Ruiz-Carulla, R., Sosa-Pérez, R: A flash flood with large woody debris clogged bridges. The 2019 event of Francolí River (NE Iberian Peninsula), J. Hydrol.: Regional Studies, 47, 101348, 2023.

Martinotti M.E., Pisano L., Marchesini I., Rossi M., Peruccacci S., Brunetti M.T., Melillo M., Amoruso G., Loiacono P.,

955   Vennari C., Vessia G., Trabace M., Parise M., and Guzzetti F.: Landslides, floods and sinkholes in a karst environment: the
1–6 September 2014 Gargano event, southern Italy. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, vol. 17, p. 467-480, 2017.

Morss, R. E., Mulder, K. J., Lazo, J. K., and Demuth, J. L.: How do people perceive, understand, and anticipate responding to
flash flood risks and warnings? Results from a public survey in Boulder, Colorado, USA. J. Hydrol., 541, 649-664, 2016.

Moore, R. J., and Bell, V. A.: Incorporation of groundwater losses and well level data in rainfall-runoff models illustrated

960   using the PDM, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 6, 25–38, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-6-25-2002, 2002.

Nash J.E. and Sutcliffe J.V.: River flow forecasting through conceptual models. Part I: A discussion of principles. J. Hydrol.
10(3): 282–290, 1970.

Nicótina, L., Alessi Celegon, E., Rinaldo, A., Marani, M.: On the impact of rainfall patterns on the hydrologic response. Water
Resources Research 44, W12401, 2008. doi:10.1029/2007WR006654.

965   Paeth, H., Vogt, G., Paxian, A., Hertig, E., Seubert, S., and Jacobeit, J.: Quantifying the evidence of climate change in the light
of uncertainty exemplified by the Mediterranean hot spot region. Global and Planetary Change, 151, 144–151,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2016.03.003, 2017.

Pastor, F., Gómez, I., and Estrela, M.: Numerical study of the October 2007 flash flood in the Valencia region (Eastern Spain):
The role of orography. Nat. Hazards and Earth Sys. Sci., 10, 1331–1345, doi:10.5194/nhess-10-1331-2010, 2010.

970   Pellarin, T., G. Delrieu, G. Saulnier, H. Andrieu, B. Vignal, and J. Creutin: Hydrologic visibility of weather radar systems
operating in mountainous regions: Case study for the Ardèche Catchment (France). J. Hydrometeor., 3, 539–555,
https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2002)003,0539:HVOWRS.2.0.CO;2, 2002.

Pilgrim, D.H.: Travel times and nonlinearity of flood runoff from tracer measurements on a small watershed. Water Resour.
Res. 12 (3), 487–496, 1976.

975   Postigo, C., de Alda, M. J. L., Barceló, D., Ginebreda, A., Garrido, T., and Fraile, J.: Analysis and occurrence of selected
medium to highly polar pesticides in groundwater of Catalonia (NE Spain): An approach based on on-line solid phase
extraction–liquid chromatography–electrospray-tandem mass spectrometry detection. J. Hydrol., 383(1-2), 83-92, 2010.

Pujadas , J.: Mapa de riscos d'inundació i riscos associats a la Riba, Riu Francolí. Cartografia de Riscos d'Inundació, Campanya 1994, http://hdl.handle.net/2445/170580, 1994.

980   Roca, M., Martín-Vide, J.P., Moreta, P.J.M. Modelling a torrential event in a river confluence. J. Hydrol., 364, 207-215, 2009.

Romero, R., G. Sumner, C. Ramis, and A. Genovés: A classification of the atmospheric circulation patterns producing significant daily rainfall in the Spanish Mediterranean area. Int. J. Climatol., **19**, 765-785, 1999.

Romero, R., C. A. Doswell III, and C. Ramis: Mesoscale numerical study of two cases of long-lived quasistationary convective systems over eastern Spain. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **128**, 3731-3751, 2000.

985   Romero, R., M. Vich, and C. Ramis: A pragmatic approach for the numerical prediction of meteotsunamis in Ciutadella harbour (Balearic Islands). Ocean Modelling, DOI 10.1016/j.ocemod.2019.101441, 2019.

Romero, R.: TRAM: A new nonhydrostatic fully compressible numerical model suited for all kinds of regional atmospheric predictions. Quart. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. , 2023. DOI 10.1002/qj.4639

Sangati, M. and Borga, M.: Influence of rainfall spatial resolution on flash flood modelling, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 9,
990   575–584, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-9-575-2009, 2009.

Sendròs, A., Diaz, Y., Himi, M., Tapias, J. C., Rivero, L., Font, X., and Casas, A.: An evaluation of aquifer vulnerability in two nitrate sensitive areas of Catalonia (NE Spain) based on electrical resistivity methods. Environ. earth sci., 71(1), 77-84, 2014.

Servei Meteorològic de Catalunya (SMC): Butlletí climàtic mensual. Setembre del 2019, 41 pp. Departament de Territori i
995   Sostenibilitat, Generalitat de Catalunya, Barcelona, 2019a.

Servei Meteorològic de Catalunya (SMC): Butlletí climàtic mensual. Octubre del 2019, 41 pp. Departament de Territori i Sostenibilitat, Generalitat de Catalunya, Barcelona, 2019b.

Smith, J. A., Baeck, M. L., Steiner, M., and Miller, A. J.: Catastrophic rainfall from an up-slope thunderstorm in the central Appalachians: The Rapidan storm of June 27, 1995. Water Res. Res., 32(10), 3099-3113, 1996.

1000   Smith, J. A., Baeck, M. L., Morrison, J. E., and Sturdevant-Rees, P.: Catastrophic rainfall and flooding in Texas. J. Hydrometeor., 1(1), 5-25, 2000.

Smith, J. A., M. L. Baeck, J. E. Morrison, and P. Sturdevant-Rees, D. F. Turner-Gillespie, and P. D. Bates: The regional hydrology of extreme floods in an urbanizing drainage basin. J. Hydrometeor., 3, 267–282, https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2002)003,0267:TRHOEF.2.0.CO;2, 2002.

1005 Smith, J. A., Baeck, M. L., Yang, L., Signell, J., Morin, E., and Goodrich, D. C.: The paroxysmal precipitation of the desert: Flash floods in the Southwestern United States. Water Resources Research, 55(12), 10218-10247, 2019.

Špitalar, M., Gourley, J. J., Lutoff, C., Kirstetter, P. E., Brilly, M., & Carr, N.: Analysis of flash flood parameters and human impacts in the US from 2006 to 2012. J. hydrol., 519, 863-870, 2014.

ten Veldhuis, M.-C., Z. Zhou, Y. Long, S. Liu, and J. Smith: The role of storm scale, position and movement in controlling

1010 urban flood response. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 22, 417–436, 2018, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-417-2018.

Tuel, A., and Eltahir, E. A.: Why is the Mediterranean a climate change hot spot? Journal of Climate, 33(14), 5829–5843https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0910.1, 2020.

USDA: Urban hydrology for small watersheds. USDA TR-55, 164 pp., 1986

Vennari C., Santangelo N., Santo A. and Parise M.,: A database on flash flood events in Campania, southern Italy, with an

1015 evaluation of their spatial and temporal distribution. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, vol. 16, p. 2485-2500, 2016.

Wicker, L. J., and W. C. Skamarock: A time-splitting scheme for the elastic equations incorporating second-order Runge-Kutta time differencing. Mon Wea. Rev., 126, 1992-1999, 1998.

Woods, R.A. and Sivapalan, M.. A synthesis of space–time variability in storm response: rainfall, runoff generation and routing. Water Resour. Res. 35 (8), 2469–2485, 1999.

1020 Wu, W., Emerton, R., Duan, Q., Wood, A. W., Wetterhall, F., Robertson, D. E.: Ensemble flood forecasting: Current status and future opportunities. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 7(3), e1432, 2020.

Zanon, F., Borga, M., Zoccatelli, D., Marchi, L., Gaume, E., Bonnifait, L., and Delrieu, G.: Hydrological analysis of a flash flood across a climatic and geologic gradient: The September 18, 2007 event in Western Slovenia. J. Hydrol., 394(1-2), 182-197, 2010.

1025 Zhang, Y., J. A. Smith, and M. L. Baeck: The hydrology and hydrometeorology of extreme floods in the Great Plains of eastern Nebraska. Adv. Water Resour., 24, 1037–1050, 2001.

TABLES

1030

River section	Area (km^2)	Total rainfall (mm)	Total runoff (mm)	Peak discharge (m^{3}s^{-1})	Specific peak discharge (m^{3}s^{-1}km^{-2})	Runoff ratio (−)	V$_c$ (ms^{-1})	Time of peak discharge (UTC)	Lag time (h)
1-Viern (headwaters)	7.1	370.3	–	40–110*	5.6–15.5	–	–	–	–
2-Viern	9.5	380.4	–	60–120*	6.3–12.6	–	–	–	–
3-Milans	26.6	341.2	–	115–360*	4.3–13.5	–	–	19:30*	1.8
4-Sec	38.8	224.6	–	90–110*	2.3–2.8	–	–	–	–
5-Espluga	97.3	242.5	–	500–775*	5.1–8.0	–	3.8–4.5*	19:50–20:15*	2.2–2.6
6-Montblanc	339.9	143.6	–	610–790*	2.0–2.3	–	10.2*	20:20–20:45*	1.7–2.1
7-Riba	449.0	151.5	–	740–870*	1.6–1.9	–	3.5*	21:00–21:30*	2.5–3.0
8-Tarragona	809.1	129.9	12.4	*871.0*	1.1	0.1	3.5*	*22:30*	3.5

Table 1 Main hydrometeorological features of the 22 October 2019 flash flood at the surveyed river sections. The total rainfall amount is derived from radar data and represents the area average value enclosed by each river section. The times of peak discharges correspond to October 22nd. Data marked with an asterisk (*) denote estimates based on field observations and hydraulic modelling conducted by Martín-Vide et al. (2023). Data in italics denote observations of the automatic stream-gauge.

1035

Basin	Gauge	CN (AMC I)	I$_a$ (mm)	S (mm)	V$_h$ (ms^{-1})	V$_c$ (ms^{-1})
Francolí	Tarragona	44.9 (11.6)	125.6 (66.7)	358.9 (190.5)	0.25	4.5

1040 **Table 2 KLEM parameters for infiltration and dynamical processes at the Tarragona river section. Curve numbers (CN), initial abstractions (I$_a$) and soil retention capacities (S) are expressed as area-averaged values, while their standard deviations are shown in brackets.**

1045

Basin	Gauge	Flow volume			Flow peak			NSE
		Observed (mm)	KLEM (mm)	Error (%)	Observed (m^3s^{-1})	KLEM (m^3s^{-1})	Error (%)	
Francolí	Tarragona							0.7
		12.4	15.1	21.5	871.0	798.8	-8.3	

Table 3 Observed and radar-driven simulated flow volume and peak discharge at the Tarragona river section. Model performance also shown in terms of the different skill scores. Negative values in relative errors denote model underestimation.

River section	Area (km^2)	Peak discharge (m^3s^{-1})	Simulated peak discharge (m^3s^{-1})	Time of peak discharge (UTC)	Simulated time of peak discharge (UTC)
1-Viern (headwaters)	7.1	40–110*	95.4	–	–
2-Viern	9.5	60–120*	133.7	–	–
3-Milans	26.6	115–360*	286.2	19:30*	19:30
4-Sec	38.8	90-110*	181.4	–	–
5-Espluga	97.3	500–775*	550.1	19:50–20:15*	20:00
6-Montblanc	339.9	610–790*	630.1	20:20–20:45*	20:30
7-Riba	449.0	740–870*	758.6	21:00–21:30*	21:00
8-Tarragona	809.1	*871.0*	798.8	*22:30*	22:40

Table 4 Comparison among data obtained from the hydrological control simulation and estimates based on field observations and hydraulic modelling conducted by Martín-Vide et al. (2023). Estimates are marked with an asterisk (*). Observations have been included for completeness (in italics).

Experiment	Analysed factor and accompanying assumption	Total rainfall (mm)	Flow volume			Flow peak			Time of peak discharge (UTC)
			Observed (mm)	KLEM (mm)	Error (%)	Observed (m^{3}s^{-1})	KLEM (m^{3}s^{-1})	Error (%)	KLEM
Control	–	129.9	12.4	15.1	21.5	871.0	798.8	-8.3	22:40
Sensitivity test 1	Soil moisture by setting AMC II conditions and disregarding early precipitation	95.9	12.4	23.8	91.7	871.0	1171.5	32.0	22:40
Sensitivity test 2	Early precipitation by disregarding it	95.9	12.4	6.8	-45.4	871.0	315.7	-64.0	22:40
Sensitivity test 3	Heaviest rainfall rates by assuming constant temporal variability	129.9	12.4	13.4	7.6	871.0	545.5	-37.5	22:50

Table 5 Observed and radar-driven simulated flow volume and peak discharge of Francolí River at the Tarragona river section for the control and test experiments. Negative values in relative errors denote model underestimation. Total rainfall amount is radar-derived and is expressed as the areal-averaged basin value. Time of peak discharge refers on 22 October 2019.

1070

1075

Risk	Colour code	Accumulated rainfall threshold (mm/24 h)	Intensity of precipitation threshold (mm/30 min)	Probability of occurrence	Numerical scale of risk
No	Green	−	−	−	0
Moderate	yellow	> 100	> 20	Low	1
				Medium	2
High	orange	> 100	> 20	High	3
		> 200	> 40	Low	4
Very high	red	> 200	> 40	Medium	5
				High	6

Table 6 Risk assessment and alert issuance according to the SMC

1080

1085

1090

1095

N.	Action	Individual	Community	Institutional
	Warnings by the Catalan Meteorological Service (SMC)			X
	Activation of the INUNCAT plan			X
1	Searching and following information about the event			X
2	Activation of the Municipal Emergency Plans			X
3	Meeting of the INUNCAT Technical Committee at the headquarters of the Ministry of Home Affairs			X
4	Some actions: return home, move to an upper floor,... (Power cuts)	X		
5	First actions of firefighters			X
6	Civil Protection asks the population to stay at home and issues self-protection advice			X
7	The Espluga de Francolí city council ask residents not to go to the affected areas			X
8	Recovery and cleaning tasks. Damages evaluation	X		
9	Collaboration in cleaning tasks, help in recovery of wine cellar bottles, etc.		X	
10	Cleaning tasks and search for missing people. Activation of the Forest Defence Groups (ADF) until October 26			X
11	Activation by the winegrowers' association of a campaign of solidarity through the sale of recovered wine bottles. On October 25, the citizen platform "Riuada Solidària" formed		X	
12	Constitution of Municipal Emergence Command Centre at the Espluga de Francolí			X
13	First visit of the President of the Government of Catalonia to the affected areas			X

Table 7 Types of actions and classification during the course of the flash flood in the Conca de Barberà county from 21 October 2019 08 UTC to 24 October 2019 00 UTC. The colour criteria are the same as those shown in Figure 13.

1100

FIGURES

1110   **Figure 1. (a) Main geographical features of north-eastern coast of Spain. The location of the Barcelona weather radar from Spanish Agency of Meteorology is shown as a red dot. The Francolí catchment is highlighted in thick black line. Radius of the radar circle is 100 km. The municipalities traversed by the Francolí river are also shown in red. (b) Main geographical features of the Francolí basin. The automatic rain-gauges are shown as white dots. Automatic stream-gauges are labelled and depicted as white squares. Daily pluviometers are illustrated as black dots. River sections are denoted as red circles and labelled downstream.**

1115

1120

1125

1130

Figure 2. European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts analyses for geopotential height (solid lines, in geopotential meters), temperature (dashed, in °C) at 500 hPa, and 250-hPa potential vorticity (PVU, shaded in blue) on: (a) 22 and, (b) 23 October 2019 00:00 UTC. European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts analysis for mean sea level pressure (solid lines, hPa) and temperature at 850 hPa (dashed, in °C) on: (c) 22 and, (d) 23 October 2019 00:00 UTC.

1135

1140

Figure 3. Procedure followed to analyse the physical and human dimensions and their interrelations during extreme flash flooding

1145

1150

1155

1160

Figure 4. (a) Scatterplot of the 48 h radar-derived rainfall estimates against observed accumulations by the daily Spanish Agency of Meteorology rain-gauge network over the selected region. (b) Spatial distribution of the 48 h accumulated radar-estimated
1165 **precipitation from 22 to 24 October 2019 at 00:00 UTC. The Francolí river catchment is highlighted with a thin black line. White squares stand for the automatic stream-gauges. White dots show the position of the automatic rain-gauges. Daily pluviometric stations are denoted by black dots.**

1170

1175

1180

Figure 5. Results of the control simulation at 15:00 UTC on 22 October 2019, showing: (a) surface wind field (vectors and speed in
1185 **m/s according to colour scale); (b) 850 hPa wind vectors and precipitable water in the tropospheric column (mm, according to colour**
scale); (c) storm relative helicity (m²/s², contour lines) and convective available potential energy (J/kg, according to colour scale);
and (d) sea level pressure (hPa, contour lines) and accumulated precipitation in previous 3 hours (mm, according to colour scale).

1190

1195

Figure 6. Results of the control simulation at 21:00 UTC on 22 October 2019, showing: (a) surface wind field (vectors and speed in m/s according to colour scale); (b) 850 hPa wind vectors and precipitable water in the tropospheric column (mm, according to colour scale); (c) storm relative helicity (m²/s², contour lines) and convective available potential energy (J/kg, according to colour scale); and (d) sea level pressure (hPa, contour lines) and accumulated precipitation in previous 3 hours (mm, according to colour scale).

1200

1205

1210

Figure 7. Comparison of the Triangle-based Regional Atmospheric Model simulated precipitation, accumulated in 24 h from 22 to 1215 **23 October 2019 at 06:00 UTC, between the (a) non-orographic and (b) full control simulations.**

1220

1225

1230

Figure 8. Spatial distribution of the accumulated radar-derived precipitation: (a) from 02:00 to 15:00 UTC on 22 October; (b) from
 15:00 to 20:00 UTC on 22 October and; (c) from 20:00 UTC on 22 October to 02:00 UTC on 23 October. The Francolí river catchment is outlined with a thin black line. White squares represent the locations of automatic stream-gauges. White dots indicate the positions of automatic rain-gauges. Daily pluviometric stations are marked by black dots.

1245

Figure 9. Time series from 00:00 UTC on 22 October to 06:00 UTC on 23 October showing the: (a) 10-min catchment-area average rainfall, and; (b) Fractional basin areas covered by 10-min precipitation rates >20 mmh^{-1} (blue bars) and >50 mmh^{-1} (red bars). (c)
1250 **Exceedance drainage extents above the indicated total precipitation thresholds, and; (d) Basin areas affected by 10-min rainfall rates >20 mmh^{-1} (blue dots) and >50 mmh^{-1} (red dots) and their durations.**

1255

1260

Figure 10. Observed and Kinematic Local Excess Model radar-driven discharge simulation for the 22 October flash flood at the Tarragona flow-gauge. Also shown are the 10-min catchment-area average rainfall amounts enclosed by this river section.

1265

1270

1275

Figure 11. Observed and radar-driven runoff simulations for the control and sensitivity test experiments and the 22 October flash flood at the Tarragona flow-gauge in the Francolí basin.

1280

Figure 12. Lag time versus drainage area for the 22 October 2019 flash flood event in the Francolí basin. Vertical bars represent uncertainties in the estimated lag times derived from the post-event field campaign. Also shown the power-law relationships after Marchi et al. (2010). Note that the term "observed", "estimated" or "simulated" lag-time indicates whether it has been computed from the stream-gauge measurements at the Tarragona river section, the post-event field estimations at the indicated river sections or the hydrological simulation, respectively.

Figure 13: Timeline of warnings issued by the Catalan Meteorological Service in the Conca de Barberà county from 21 October 08 UTC to 24 October 00 UTC. Light and dark orange bars denote accumulated precipitation and rainfall rate, respectively. On the right vertical axis, levels 1, 2, and 3 indicate moderate, high, and very high meteorological risk assessments by the Catalan Meteorological Service for these bars. The progression of activation phases in the Flood Management Plan of Catalonia is illustrated by the red bars. In this case, levels 1, 2 and 3 on the right vertical axis correspond to the pre-alert, alert and emergency stages, respectively. Social actions are also indicated, with colour representing management activities, and shape indicating human responses. The associated numbers align with specific actions detailed in Table 7. Additionally, the background vertical bars in blue showcase the evolution of 30-min rainfall accumulations in Espluga de Francolí.

1300

1305

1310

1315

1320

Figure 14. Catchment and warning spatial and temporal scales during the catastrophic flash flood of the Francolí River on October
1325   **22, 2019. The number of casualties, timing and extent of the most devastating period of destruction according to witnesses (grey shaded area in the rectangle surrounded by thick black lines) are also indicated. Triangles in varying shades of grey represent observed, estimated and simulated times of the peak discharges (T_p). Uncertainties in the estimated times-to-peak based on the post-event field campaign are shown as horizontal bars. Rainfall centroid refers to the time of the centre of mass of the rainfall hyetograph. The coloured lines above the horizontal lines of the municipalities crossed by the Francolí river correspond to the total**
1330   **spatial extension of the risk assessment. The dashed grey line denotes the whole spatial extension of Catalonia. Risk assessment and associated colour codes can be found in Table 6.**