

Dear reviewer,

We appreciate the time and efforts that you put into the review of our paper. We have revised the manuscript based on your comments. Thank you for making our manuscript clearer and more relevant to the scientific community.

Comment: Make sure that Table 1 also includes macroseismic intensities expressed in Roman numerals as in the text.

Answer: We have corrected the comments.

Table 1. Comparison of the ratio of areas with different intensities (based on the MSK-64 macroseismic scale) between two seismic hazard maps, one considering ground conditions and the other not

	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
Seismic hazard map	31,1%	26,8%	31,8%	9,3%	
Seismic hazard map with consideration of ground conditions	16,2%	39,5%	27,1%	10,7%	6,5%

Comment: In Figure 7, it is not clear whether the seismic intensity is macroseismic, in which case you should also use Roman numerals.

Answer: We have corrected the comments.




Fig. 7: Graph of changes in the average degree of damage to individual mudbrick houses depending on the seismic intensity according to different authors.

Comment: Author's permission is required for changes in Figures 2 and 3.

Answer: We received permission from the authors for the changes in Figure 2. The author of the 3rd picture is us