

Response

Part I: Additional private note

We are very grateful to all the reviewers and editorial team for your comments and your time for reviewing our manuscript. All the grammatical mistakes, abbreviation etc. have been modified as per your comments. Some response to your comments are as follows:

Line 77

Comment 1: MHRA has not been defined

Response: Full form of MHRA is added

Line 145

Comment 2: Are you missing the name of the river here? Or its in general

Response: Thank you for pointing it out. The line has been modified for better clarity.

Line 190

Comment 3: "and many more" written is unclear

Response: Modification is done.

Line 205-206

Comment 4: This is not clear; the length of the questionnaires should be dictated by the need to cover all essential parts? Or something else should be dictate the length?

Response: Thank you for highlighting it. Modification has been done for more clarity.

Line 205-214

Comment 5: It is very complicated to put all of this in one sentence. I would suggest to structure it like (1) ... (2)..

Response: Thank you for your suggestion. I appreciate it. Based on your suggestion, modification is done.

Line 289, 322, 332, 339, 377 and 383

Comment 6: For all of these, the format should be: "refer to Arya 2006 for ...", this applies also to line 289, 322, 332, 339, 377 and 383

Response: Thank you so much for this insightful suggestion. The mentioned lines are modified.

Line 329

Comment 7: Citation should be given here.

Response: Thank you for pointing it out. Citation added as per the suggestion

Line 335

Comment 8: There is no need to state the title of the paper, just give the citation. "is shown in kumar et at (2016)

Response: Modification is done as per the suggestion.

Line 342

Comment 9: This should give the citation

Response: Citation added

Line 409-410

Comment 10: SVA and DVA have not been defined

Response: Thank you for highlighting it, as per suggestion, the full form of SVA and DVA is added.

Line 571

Comment 11: It is not clear what “other” refers to here. You can either leave it out or say the proposed or new multi hazard survey.

Response: Thank you for pointing it out. The Modification is done by removing “other”.

Line 598-599

Comment 12: these don’t need to be capitalized.

Response: the modification is done as per your suggestion.

Line 632

Comment 13: this has not been defined

Response: Full form NSRA is added as per your suggestion.

Line 643

Comment 14: I think that many people won’t know the word “almirah”.

Response: Almirah is changed with “cabinets”

Line 696

Comment 15: @Research is being undertaken@ sounds like ongoing work to further develop the survey form but it seems that here you are describing the results of this study. If that is the case, then it is repetitive, and I would suggest to remove this sentence.

Response: I agree with you. As per your suggestion, I removed that sentence.

I would like to thank you for correcting all the grammatical mistakes. All the identified grammatical mistakes are corrected in the submitted revised manuscript (march 2023)

Part II: Notification to the authors during file validation

1. Coloured or marked text in *.pdf manuscript file is not allowed. Please provide a clean version of *.pdf manuscript file (with black text) with the next revision.

Response: Thank you so much for the information. Black text version is submitted in this revised manuscript submission.

2. Please note that single tables` panels with their own captions are not possible in the final version. Please adapt them according to our guidelines:<https://www.natural-hazards-and-earth-system-sciences.net/submission.html> (section "Figures & Tables").

Response: I have gone to the guidelines provided. I have updated the figure 3 by removing caption from the image.

3. Regarding the figure 7: for the next revision, please check if your figures containing photos require a copyright statement/image credit and add it to the figures (or captions) (https://publications.copernicus.org/for_authors/manuscript_preparation.html#figurestable -> Reproduction and reuse of figures and tables). If these figures were entirely created by the authors, there is no need to add a copyright statement or credit. In that case it is important that you confirm this explicitly by email.

Response: Figure 7 are the photos clicked by author 1

4. Your tables contain coloured cells or/and coloured values. Please note that this will not be possible in the final revised version of the paper due to HTML conversion of the paper. When revising the final

version, you can use footnotes or italic/bold font.

Response: Thank you for the information.

5. Supplement has been removed, as it did not contain additional materials, but figures from the manuscript. Please note that you will be asked to provide these materials at other stages of the review process.

Response: Thank you for the information. Yes, I will provide these materials whenever asked.