

Answers to reviewer requests/comments

Dear editor,

We would like to thank you for the proposed corrections and re-submit the newly revised version (with indicated track changes and without) of our manuscript: **Earthquake-induced landslides in Haiti: analysis of seismotectonic and possible climatic influences (by Havenith et al., subm.)**.

Sincerely yours

Hans-Balder Havenith

Please, find below the answers to the different requests made by the editor's comments of our manuscript:

- line 168 : add a parenthesis after "measurement";

HBH: corrected.

- line 269, section 3.2 : it appears "strange" to start this paragraph with "in sub-section 4.2". Why not first describing the purpose of this section 3.2 with a sentence and then use sentences such as the ones in lines 360-361 to stress that results will be presented in sections 4.2 and 4.4 ?

HBH: right – was strange , we corrected it, but not exactly as you propose (we need this reference for introducing the 'equation' part. 'Section 4.2' was moved to the second sentence.

- lines 377 to 381 / line 576 : please check the font of the writing (it looks smaller);

HBH: right – corrected.

- line 936 : "combining" instead of "combing".

HBH : right – corrected.