

Dear Editors and Reviewer:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "A novel prediction method for shallow landslides in loess areas: A case study of the 2013 "7.25" Tianshui sliding-flow landslide in Gansu province, China" (ID: nhess-2022-135). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The authors upload the both file ([one is revised with tracking word and the other is revised without tracking word](#)). The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as flowing:

Responds to the comments:

Your paper is ready for publication, however, I suggest some technical improvements to Fig. 1, Fig. 2, and Fig. 17. They are not aligned with the high-quality-style standard of our journal and in general, of a Q1 journal: legend and scale bars need to better standardized and with a suitable proportional "view", the name "legend" is not necessary. I suggest to consider as comparative example figures that usually appear in our journal or Nature/Science journal.

[Responds: It is very good suggestion, thanks very much. The authors have revised the figure 1, 2 and 17 to improve the figure quality standard according to nhess journal or Nature/Science journal. Please see the figure 1, 2 and 17.](#)

Jiqnzi Zhuang, Jianbing Peng, Chenhui Du, Yi Zhu and Jiaxu Kong
jqzhuang@chd.edu.cn