## Supplementary file

## Changes in drought features at European level over the last 120 years

Monica Ionita<sup>1\*</sup> and Viorica Nagavciuc<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Alfred Wegner Institute Helmholtz Center for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Forest Biometrics Laboratory – Faculty of Forestry, "Stefan cel Mare" University of Suceava, Suceava, Romania

\* Corresponding author: Monica Ionita (<u>Monica.Ionita@awi.de</u>)

To analyzed if there are significant changes in the SPEI12, SPI12, scPDSI (Figure1 and Figure S2), PP, TT and PET (Figure S3) and the drought area (Figures 3 - 5) we have used the rank-based non-parametric Mann-Kendall (M-K) test and Spearman's Rho (Mann, 1945; Kendall, 1948), which are less sensitive to outliers than parametric statistics, were used. To avoid the influence of serial persistence on M-K test results, the modified M-K (MMK) trend test was used, using the computation algorithm discussed by Hamed and Rao (1998).

*Table S1.* Linear trends of the drought area for different drought types (moderate, severe and extreme) for SPEI12, SPI12 and scPDSI for the three analyzed regions: MED, CEU and NEU.

	SPEI12			SPI12			scPDSI		
	Moderate <sup>i)</sup>	Severe <sup>ii)</sup>	Extreme <sup>iii)</sup>	Moderate <sup>i)</sup>	Severe <sup>ii)</sup>	Extreme <sup>iii)</sup>	Moderate <sup>i)</sup>	Severe <sup>ii)</sup>	Extreme <sup>iii)</sup>
MED	<b>↑</b> *	^*	^*	Ţ	Ť	Ţ	^*	<b>↑</b> *	^*
CEU	^*	^*	^*	Ļ	↓	Ļ	^*	^*	^*
NEU	↓*	↓*	Ļ	↓*	→*	↓*	↓*	↓*	↓*

↑\* - indicates a significant positive trend (99% significance level);

↑ - indicates a positive, but not significant trend;

↓\*- indicates a significant negative trend (99% significance level);

 $\downarrow$  - indicates a negative, but not significant trend;

i) moderate drought (SPI/SPEI values between -1 and -1.5 and scPDSI values between -2 and -3); ii) severe drought (SPI/SPEI values between -1.5 and -2 and scPDSI values between -3 and -4); iii) extreme drought (SPI/SPEI values less than -2 and scPDSI values smaller than -4).



*Figure S1*. Spatial delimitation of the macro regions analyzed in this study: South Europe/ Mediterranean region (MED); Central Europe (CEU) and North Europe (NEU). Data source for the digital elevation model: (NOAA, 2009).



Figure S2. a) Linear trend of February SPEI3; b) as in a) but for SPI3; c) linear trend of May SPEI3; d) as in c) but for SPI3; e) linear trend of August SPEI3; f) as in e) but for SPI3; g) linear trend of SPEI3 November and h) as in g) but for SPI3. Stipples indicate statistically significant trends. Analyzed period 1902 - 2019. Units: zscores/ 118 years.

0.0 -0.4

-0.8

1.2

-16

b)

1.6

1.2

0.8 0.4

0.0

1.2

1.6

1.6 1.2

0.8

0.4 0.0

1.2

1.6

1.6

1.2

0.8 0.4

0.0

1.2

1.6

1.6

1.2

0.8 0.4

0.0

-0.4 -0.8

-1.2

-1.6



*Figure S3.* a) Linear trend of winter (DJF) potential evapotranspiration (PET); b) as in a) but for the winter (DJF) precipitation (PP); c) as in a) but for the winter (DJF) mean air temperature (TT); d) as in a) but for spring (MAM); e) as in b) but for spring (MAM); f) as in c) but for spring (MAM); g) as in a) but for summer (JJA); h) as in b) but for summer (JJA); i) as in c) but for summer (JJA); j) as in a) but for autumn (SON) and l) as in c) but for autumn (SON). Stipples indicate statistically significant trends. Analyzed period 1902 – 2019. Units: PET (mm/decade), PP (mm/decade) and TT (°C/decade).



*Figure S4.* Decadal frequency of drought duration for **moderate drought** (SPI12 between -1.0 and -1.5): a) 1902 – 1901; b) 1911 – 1920; c) 1921 – 1930; d) 1931 – 1940; e) 1941 – 1950; f) 1951 – 1960; g) 1961 – 1970; h) 1971 – 1980; i) 1981 – 1990; j) 1991 – 2000; k) 2001 – 2010 and l) 2011 – 2019. Units: number of months/period.



*Figure S5.* Decadal frequency of drought duration for **severe drought** (SPI12 between -1.51 and -2): a) 1902 – 1901; b) 1911 – 1920; c) 1921 – 1930; d) 1931 – 1940; e) 1941 – 1950; f) 1951 – 1960; g) 1961 – 1970; h) 1971 – 1980; i) 1981 – 1990; j) 1991 – 2000; k) 2001 – 2010 and l) 2011 – 2019. Units: number of months/period.



*Figure S6.* Decadal frequency of drought duration for **extreme drought** (SPI12<-2): a) 1902 – 1901; b) 1911 – 1920; c) 1921 – 1930; d) 1931 – 1940; e) 1941 – 1950; f) 1951 – 1960; g) 1961 – 1970; h) 1971 – 1980; i) 1981 – 1990; j) 1991 – 2000; k) 2001 – 2010 and l) 2011 – 2019. Units: number of months/period.



*Figure S7.* a) Time series of the annual precipitation (PP) averaged over MED; b) as in a) but for the potential evapotranspiration (PET); c) as in a) but for mean air temperature (TT) and d) as in a) but for SPEI12. The red line in a - d indicates the 21 years running mean.



*Figure S8.* a) Time series of the annual precipitation (PP) averaged over CEU; b) as in a) but for the potential evapotranspiration (PET); c) as in a) but for mean air temperature (TT) and d) as in a) but for SPEI12. The red line in a - d indicates the 21 years running mean.



*Figure S9.* a) Time series of the annual precipitation (PP) averaged over MED; b) as in a) but for the potential evapotranspiration (PET); c) as in a) but for mean air temperature (TT) and d) as in a) but for SPEI12. The red line in a - d indicates the 21 years running mean.



*Figure S10.* a) Occurrence of warm and dry events ( $TT_{75}/SPEI12_{25}$  – red dots), low precipitation and dry events ( $PP_{25}/SPEI12_{25}$  – green dots) and enhanced evaporation and dry events ( $PET_{75}/SPEI12_{25}$  – yellow dots) for MED area; b) as in a) but for CEU and c) as in a) but for NEU.  $TT_{75}/SPEI12_{25}$  indicates that we took into account the common years when the temperature was higher than the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile and SPEI12 was smaller that the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile and SPEI12\_{25} indicates that we took into account the common years when the precipitation was smaller that the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile and SPEI12 was smaller that the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile.

b)

c)



*Figure 11.* a) Occurrence of warm and dry events  $(TT_{90}/SPEI12_{10} - red dots)$ , low precipitation and dry events  $(PP_{10}/SPEI12_{10} - green dots)$  and enhanced evaporation and dry events  $(PET_{90}/SPEI12_{10} - yellow dots)$  for MED area; b) as in a) but for CEU and c) as in a) but for NEU.  $TT_{90}/SPEI12_{10}$  indicates that we took into account the common years when the temperature was higher than the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile and SPEI12 was smaller that the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile.  $PP_{10}/SPEI12_{10}$  indicates that we took into account the common years when the precipitation was smaller that the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile and SPEI12 was smaller that the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile.

b)

c)



Figure S12. Spatial evolution of the SPEI12 between November 1920 until January 1922.



*Figure S13.* The spatial extent and the year of record of the driest years, based on the monthly SPI12, over Europe. Analyzed period: 1902–2019.



Figure S14. Spatial evolution of the SPEI12 between October 2018 until December 2019.

## References

Hamed, K. H. & Rao, A. R. A modified Mann-Kendall trend test for autocorrelated data. *Journal of Hydrology* **204**, 182–196 (1998).

Kendall, M.G., 1948. Rank Correlation Methods. Griffin, London

Mann, H. B., 1945. Nonparametric tests against trend. Econometrica 13, 245-259.

NOAA: ETOPO1 1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model, Cent. NOAA Natl. Geophys. Data [online] Available from: https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/ (Accessed 18 October 2020), 2009