First Application of the Integrated Karst Aquifer Vulnerability (IKAV) method. Potential and Actual vulnerability in Yucatan, Mexico

Miguel Moreno-Gómez[1], Carolina Martínez-Salvador[2], Rudolf Liedl[3], Catalin Stefan[1] and Julia Pacheco[4]

[1]Research Group INOWAS, Department of Hydrosciences, Technische Universität Dresden, 01069 Dresden, Germany
[2]Engineering Institute, National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM), 04510, Mexico City, Mexico
[3]Institute of Groundwater Management, Department of Hydrosciences, Technische Universität Dresden, 01069 Dresden, Germany
[4]Department of Environmental Engineering, Yucatan Autonomous University (Retired), 97203 Merida, Mexico

Correspondence to: Catalin Stefan (Catalin.Stefan@tu-dresden.de)

Abstract. Groundwater vulnerability maps are important decision support tools for water resources protection against pollution and helpful to minimize environmental damage. However, these tools carry a high subjectivity along the multiple steps taken for the development of such maps. Additionally, the theoretical model on which they are based does not consider other important parameters, such as pollutant concentration or pollutant residence time in a given section of the aquifer, solely focusing on the theoretical travel time of a pollutant particle from a release point towards a target. In this work, an integrated methodology for the evaluation of potential (intrinsic) and actual vulnerability is presented. This integrated method, named IKAV, was developed after the analysis of several study cases around the world and the application of multiple intrinsic groundwater vulnerability methods in a selected study area. Also, a solute transport model served as the basis to define additional parameters for vulnerability analysis for areas severely affected by anthropogenic practices. However, the focus of the model must not be mistaken with hazards and risk mapping. A defined workflow and several criteria for parameters and attributes selection, rating and weighting, and vulnerability classification are here presented. The first application of the IKAV method was carried out in the Yucatan karst, demonstrating to be a reliable method for vulnerability estimation. Results demonstrated the scope of the IKAV method in highlighting important regional conditions, minimizing the subjectivity, and expanding the analysis of vulnerability.

1 Introduction

Since the introduction of the groundwater vulnerability concept by Albinet (1970), several redefinitions and subclassifications for this concept have been continuously proposed. The current groundwater vulnerability conceptual model evaluates the geological, hydrological, and hydrogeological characteristics of a given area by their ability to transport a pollutant particle from a release point towards a specific location in the aquifer (Zwahlen, 2003). The general process to estimate this sectional aquifer vulnerability then follows a release-pathway-target model (Goldscheider, 2005). With focus on the natural

1

characteristics along the pathway, such as soils, vegetation, lithology, and slope, among others, the travel time of a theoretical and immutable pollutant particle is estimated. This "intrinsic" (natural) vulnerability does not consider the possible changes that a pollutant particle can experience along the pathway; when such changes are also evaluated, the vulnerability analysis is then referred to as "specific" (Vrba and Zaporožec, 1994). However, the extensive cost to obtain data and carry out field work

35 to evaluate the possible changes of a given pollutant along the pathway, turn specific vulnerability analysis less applicable in comparison with intrinsic vulnerability maps.

Given the high heterogeneity and anisotropy of karst, groundwater vulnerability methods for porous aquifers are not efficient to estimate karst aquifer vulnerability. According to literature, EPIK is the first proposed method to evaluate vulnerability of karst aquifers with the aim to define protection areas and to fulfil Swiss water regulations (Dörfliger et al., 1999). The EPIK,

40 the DRASTIC (Aller et al., 1987), and the European framework (Zwahlen, 2003) are the basis for multiple vulnerability methods for karst that have arisen during the last two decades (Iván and Mádl-Szőnyi, 2017; Parise et al., 2018). The recurrent appearance of new methods and/or adaptations to estimate groundwater vulnerability in karst exhibits the complexity of such systems. The existence of multiple methodologies following the same purpose complicates the selection of an appropriate method to be applied for a given karst area. Application of several methods over the same region usually displays a considerable

45 mismatch among final vulnerability classifications (Gogu et al., 2003; Ravbar and Goldscheider, 2009; Marín et al., 2012; Stevanović, 2015; Kavousi et al., 2018). However, recent studies have demonstrated that, under some regional conditions, outcomes from multiple vulnerability methods can display a remarkable agreement in vulnerability classification; nevertheless, a high correlation among methods must never be taken as a confirmation for reliability (Moreno-Gómez, 2021).

In general, two main problems are directly related to current methodologies to estimate intrinsic vulnerability: the high

50 subjectivity and the uncertainty of the current conceptual model. For the former, several factors influence the high subjectivity along the steps to estimate vulnerability such as personal interpretations, the use of dissimilar standards, inconsistent parameters and attributes, and discretional rates and weights (Figure 1). Regarding the latter, the sole evaluation of the theoretical travel time of an immutable pollutant particle can severely mislead the vulnerability analysis and decisions based on it; this problematic has been pointed out by the COST Action 620 final report (Zwahlen, 2003). Therefore, the reliability

55 of the intrinsic vulnerability analysis is arguable for karst aquifers that are already affected by anthropogenic activities.

Figure 1: Steps subject to discrepancy from Point Count System Methods (PCSM) evaluating vulnerability.

Given the high heterogeneity of karst, either locally, regionally, or continentally, standardization of parameters (including attributes, rates, and weights) utilized to estimate intrinsic groundwater vulnerability is a very complex task (Ford and Williams, 2007; Parise et al., 2015). However, a standardized process can help to minimize the subjectivity of the current conceptual model. From previous studies with the aim to develop an integrated karst aquifer vulnerability approach, important considerations have been highlighted for maps selection, parameters filtering, attributes discretization, and rating schemes (Moreno-Gómez et al., 2018, 2019; Martínez-Salvador et al., 2019; Moreno-Gómez, 2021).

Nowadays, the anthropogenic stress that karst aquifers around the world are experiencing is undeniable. Pollution generated by agricultural practices, cattle raising, wastewater disposal, and dumping sites, among others, have already affected karst groundwater quality in some regions (Parise et al., 2004; Pronk et al., 2007; Ravbar and Kovacic, 2015). On the other hand, large wellfields, with considerable water extraction volumes, can diverge groundwater flow from its natural course. This increases the uncertainty of current intrinsic vulnerability methods (either for source or resource estimations) with regard to their application on already anthropogenically-affected karst areas. In order to evaluate current vulnerability scenarios, solute transport models can be beneficial additional criteria to enhance the role of vulnerability maps as decision support tools (Martínez-Salvador, 2018; Martínez-Salvador et al., 2019).

With the aim of solving the aforementioned problems and enhancing the scope of groundwater vulnerability as a decision support tool, the Integrated Karst Aquifer Vulnerability (IKAV) method is here presented as the groundwork for further vulnerability studies. This integrated strategy was developed based on three research steps: 1) the detailed and critical analysis of numerous applications of current intrinsic vulnerability methods around the world; 2) the application and comparison of eight selected methods on a karst study area; and 3) the application and evaluation of a transport model in a severely polluted karst region to determine additional criteria for vulnerability estimation. The state of Yucatan, an important karst region located in Mexico, was selected to carry out steps 2 and 3. The IKAV method demonstrates the necessity to expand the vulnerability analysis beyond the 2-dimentional "intrinsic" scheme and the importance of considering the current vulnerability scenario of the evaluated aquifer. This work presents the first application of IKAV; the study area is the Mexican state of Yucatan.

2 Study area

The state of Yucatan (39,500 km^2) is located in the northern part of the Yucatan Peninsula, a transboundary limestone platform (approximately 160,000 km^2) covering parts of Mexico, Guatemala, and Belize (Figure 2a). According to Weidie (1985), the Peninsula is formed by limestone, dolomites, and evaporites with thicknesses reaching up to 1,500 m. Rocks at the surface correspond to northward sequences from the Upper Cretaceous (Paleogene period) to Holocene (Quaternary period) epochs (Butterlin, 1958; Bonet and Butterlin, 1962; López-Ramos, 1975). The Yucatan Peninsula is classified as a well-developed karst given the existence of systems of solutional conduits of considerable diameter in the sub-surface, extending in the range of kilometres (Bauer-Gottwein et al., 2011). The Yucatan state (hereinafter Yucatan) has four hydrogeological regions: Coastal Area, Inner Cenote Ring, Central Plain, and Valleys and Hills. Yucatan presents interesting characteristics such as high doline

90   (cenote) density areas, regional faults, and a nearly flat topography for most of the state (Figure 2b); other important
characteristics of Yucatan, such as the shallow water table, soil distribution, the spatial precipitation pattern, and lithology are
visually displayed in Appendix A.

The nearly flat topography and the considerable secondary porosity do not allow surface streams to generate, making diffuse
infiltration dominant in Yucatan (Figure 2c). For a more detailed information regarding the characteristics of Yucatan, the
95   works of Bonet and Butterlin (1962), Doehring and Butler (1974), Lesser (1976), Pope et al. (1991), (1993), Hildebrand et al.
(1995), and Lugo-Hubp and García (1999) are highly recommended.

Figure 2: The Yucatan Peninsula's characteristics. In a) the Peninsula limits, geology, and regional faults; in b) Yucatan's elevation and dolines location; in c) a cross section of Yucatan displaying the nearly flat topography.

110   Yucatan is divided into 106 municipalities of variable extension and population density (Figure 3a). An important area in
Yucatan is the Merida Metropolitan area (MMA), located in the Inner Cenote Ring region and composed by six municipalities
(Figure 3b). This highly urbanized area with around 1.1 million inhabitants (approximately 52% of Yucatan's population)
presents several environmental problems related to fast urbanization and the practices derived from it (Pacheco and Cabrera,
1997; Marín et al., 2000; Pacheco et al., 2002; González-Herrera et al., 2014; Rojas-Fabro et al., 2015). It is estimated that
115   more than 55% of the water extraction for human consumption takes place in the MMA (Figure 3c). Wastewater volumes are
estimated as 75 to 80% of the extracted water for human consumption. With approximately 80% of the population of the MMA
utilizing artisanal septic tanks, which are permeable, a pollution plume has been generated from the continuous leaking of
waste water towards the aquifer(Graniel et al., 1999; Marín et al., 2000). The pollution plume has turned the upper 20 metres
of the aquifer below the city of Merida unsuitable for human consumption (L. Marín, personal communication, July 2017).

4

Figure 3: Administrative and anthropogenic characteristics in Yucatan. In a), the hydrogeological division and population density by municipality; in b), the MMA and the main urban areas; in c), comparative of water consumption for the MMA and the rest of Yucatan.

The continuous disposal of untreated waste water into the aquifer, the use of nitrogen-rich fertilizers used in agriculture, and large-scale pig farming has put Yucatan under a severe nitrate (NO_3^-) pollution scenario (Pacheco and Cabrera, 1997; Pacheco et al., 2001, 2002; Drucker et al., 2003; Pacheco-Ávila et al., 2004b; Delgado et al., 2010). Given that intrinsic vulnerability solely evaluates the probable advection of a theoretical pollutant, no other characteristics reflecting the current vulnerable state of the aquifer (or water extraction wells) are analysed. In the Yucatan karst, as well as many other already affected karst areas, the inclusion of additional parameters for vulnerability estimation is critical.

3 The IKAV method

The proposed IKAV method aims to minimize the subjectivity of the current process to estimate intrinsic resource vulnerability and to provide additional parameters, such as pollutant concentration from solute transport, to enhance the vulnerability analysis. Given that intrinsic vulnerability evaluates an "IF" condition (vulnerability under an incidental pollution scenario)

5

while solute transport aims to simulate a current vulnerable scenario, IKAV is presented as a complementary analysis of these two conditions; potential vulnerability (IKAV-P) and actual vulnerability (IKAV-A) maps are proposed. IKAV is based on
135   three interconnected principles to be fulfilled: infiltration distinctive, regionalization, and representative activity/pollutant (Figure 4).

Figure 4: The proposed principles for an integrated evaluation of vulnerability.

In the infiltration distinctive principle, the objective is to define the goal of the analysis, settling either a point infiltration or
140   diffuse infiltration condition; this selection dictates how some parameters will be evaluated. For example, if a point infiltration scenario is going to be investigated, high slopes and fine-textured soils will represent a more vulnerable condition due to their runoff generation capacity; however, these intrinsic characteristics will have an opposite role in vulnerability for diffuse infiltration conditions.

Regionalization settles the individual ranges for the selected parameters; here it is critical how the rates will be assigned to
145   attributes in congruence with the criteria from the infiltration distinctive procedure. This step aims to evaluate vulnerability according to existing conditions in a given study area, avoiding the indirect evaluation of external characteristics proposed by current methodologies but not present in the area of interest. The link of this principle with the infiltration distinctive principle relies on the rating scheme of some parameters and their further influence to be represented by weights.

The representative pollution principle defines the contaminant to be evaluated in correspondence with anthropogenic activities
150   carried out in a given study area. The representative pollutant, or the activity from which it is derived, is directly linked to the infiltration condition. For example, the use of fertilizer in hillside farming could represent a more vulnerable condition for a swallow hole catchment than sewage leakage occurring in the same area.

In addition of these principles, a group of multiple criteria is proposed in order to minimize the high subjectivity and the discretional definition of vulnerability classes for the IKAV-P. This additional criterion is also beneficial for the establishment
155   of vulnerability classes for the IKAV-A, according to the permissible maximum of the studied pollutant or the purpose of the extracted water at a given point of the aquifer (e.g., human consumption or irrigation).

IKAV-P is proposed as a multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) following a rating-weighting system. As proposed by several authors, this type of analysis must evaluate attributes following certain characteristics for their classification (Carver, 1991; Heywood et al., 1995; Malczewski, 1999, 2006). Therefore, with focus on karst vulnerability, the attributes must be:

160

• Measurable – Given the fact that it is challenging to measure some attributes in karst areas, such as the degree of karstification, statistical tools can help to better define notable differences in the study area.

• Non redundant – According to current intrinsic vulnerability methods, some parameters can be defined utilizing the same base map (e.g., karstification and epikarst development from karst surface features according to EPIK). Inclusion of multiple parameters derived from the same evaluation process must be avoided to prevent over/under vulnerability estimations.

165

• Minimal – Considering that some degree of subjectivity is inherently attached to each evaluated characteristic, the use of an extensive number of parameters can complicate the process. Therefore, the number of evaluated parameters must be kept as minimal as possible, only considering the relevant ones to characterize and evaluate specific karst features.

170

• Availability – the objective must be achieved with the available data from the region. Under conditions of low-resolution data, such data must be excluded, even if it has a significant influence on the objective.

175 From the analysis of multiple study cases around the world and the application of eight intrinsic groundwater vulnerability methodologies in the Yucatan karst (see Moreno-Gómez et al., 2018, 2019), it was possible to analyse and redefine additional criteria to be added to the previous aspects. In order to improve the intrinsic vulnerability analysis with the IKAV-P, the following attributes' criteria must also be considered:

180 • Variable - Given that the goal of potential intrinsic vulnerability (or any other MCDA) is to provide a range of conditions to evaluate their relevance for a given objective, a map layer displaying homogeneity will have no purpose for the research. Although a homogeneous map layer could be useful when comparing vulnerability maps of different areas, homogeneous layers must be excluded from the analysis to achieve a regionalization principle.

185 • Unambiguous – The objective must be clear and well defined. Either for point or diffuse infiltration, the characteristics influencing such processes must be considered separately; this means the assessing performance of an individual analysis for each scenario, avoiding the use of "rest of the area" conditions.

• Distinctive - The influence of some parameters is different for point and diffuse infiltration, therefore, rates and weights
190 assigned to individual parameters must be evaluated according to the objective. This is clear for slope and soil texture, the
influence of which must be evaluated as oppositional for each scenario.

• Territorial – The number of attributes, their evaluation, and rating must be solely dependent upon the local or regional
characteristics but following a rating pattern linked to the objective. Attributes proposed by other methods but not present in
195 the study area must be avoided as vulnerability indicators.

IKAV-A is proposed based on solute transport modelling to represent an approximation of a current pollution scenario. By
taking the most influential anthropogenic activities, a representative pollutant can be utilized for a better interpretation of
resource (or source) vulnerability by pollution levels (permissible maximum). Activities affecting groundwater quality in karst
200 areas are variable, as well as the pollutants derived from such activities. Therefore, several IKAV-A maps can be produced
according to the regional anthropogenic activities. The definition of actual vulnerability rates will depend on the type of
pollutant, regional regulations, and the purpose of a given extraction well. Having defined the three principles of IKAV and a
general criterion for map layer selection (including rating and discretization), a workflow is presented as the groundwork to
evaluate an integrated groundwater vulnerability (Figure 5).

205

215 **Figure 5: Summarized workflow for the estimation of an integrated vulnerability (potential and actual vulnerability). Modified after Moreno-Gómez (2021).**

The process is considered as an improved guideline to obtain a potential (intrinsic) groundwater vulnerability map with the
addition of an actual vulnerability map from solute transport based on the regional anthropogenic activities. For IKAV-P, the
data utilized to generate the necessary map layers was obtained from public sources (see Appendix B). For IKAV-A, a solute
220 transport model of the MMA, presented by Martínez-Salvador et al. (2019), was utilized with slight modifications.

8

4 Application of the IKAV

4.1 IKAV-P

Following the previously presented criteria in order to fulfil the IKAV principles, IKAV-P was tested in Yucatan. Given the Yucatan hydrogeological characteristics, the infiltration scenario was regionally defined as diffuse due to the low relief of the
225   area and the high fissuring conditions not allowing surface streams to generate. Therefore, the vulnerability analysis and the rating of attributes strictly followed a vertical advection point of view. A filtering process for the available data, according to the attribute's selection criteria, helped to exclude parameters not contributing to the analysis. Yucatan's lithology is considered to be homogeneous (limestone) at a regional scale; therefore, no significant differences can be evaluated for our purposes, with the lithology map excluded from the process. Having defined a regionally diffuse infiltration condition, high slopes represent
230   the most protective attribute from the topographic parameter. Nevertheless, given the low variability displayed by the slope map (see appendix A) the slope parameter was also excluded. Vegetation is commonly associated with infiltration conditions and its influence on runoff generation (see EPIK, COP, PI, and the Slovene approach). Having defined a diffuse infiltration condition for our study area, vegetation maps were excluded from the analysis. Similarly, due to the lack of a data regarding the spatial distribution of recharge, this parameter was also excluded from the IKAV-P but included as a boundary condition
235   in the IKAV-A.

Given the lack of indirect data in Yucatan, such as spring flow, doline density maps can be used as representative of karstification, epikarst, or aquifer development. However, given the fact that the use of three map layers derived from doline density could lead to over-/under-estimations, doline density was selected to generally represent a karstification map (Figure 6a); fissuring density maps were excluded from the analysis due to their similar discretization with those from doline density
240   (see Appendix A). Given the contrasting depths at which groundwater can be found in Yucatan, the thickness of the unsaturated zone was selected as a vulnerability parameter. The attributes discretization of this parameter was carried out to highlight the shallowness of the water table in the flat plain and its deeper location in the Valleys and Hills region (Figure 6b). Soils were evaluated based on clay content percentage for the relationship between hydraulic conductivity (K) and fine particles content in soils (Figure 6c). This evaluation of soils as vulnerability parameter is more sensible and serves to avoid the subjectivity
245   derived from the multiple standards commonly applied to classify vulnerability from soil texture. For this work, it was decided not to include soil thickness as part of the analysis, given the extension of the study area and the low spatial resolution of data from boreholes. Arbitrarily, an inverse relationship was defined for rating purposes: the lower the rating, the higher the vulnerability.

Figure 6: Selected map layers and attributes' rates according to the proposed criteria for IKAV-P. In a), doline density as representative of karstification (K); in b), depth to groundwater as representative of the vadose zone (VZ); in c), soil clay content as representative of the overlying protection (S).

In total, three parameters, representing a notable variability, were selected from the data filtering step to represent karstification, vadose zone, and soils. In order to avoid misrepresentations from the usually applied discretional approach and to fulfil the regionalization rule, the attributes classification was performed statistically. The Jenks classification method (natural breaks) was utilized for this purpose; this method minimizes the variance within a given attribute and maximize the variance between them (Jenks, 1967). Parameters' attributes were rated solely in reference to vertical advection (infiltration distinctive rule) allowing pollutants to migrate from the surface to the water table. The ranges assigned by the Jenks classification intent to fulfil the regionalization rule; hence, these ranges are only applicable for our study area (Table 1).

Table 1: Selected map layers after the criteria filtering process proposed by the IKAV.

Map layers	Parameters		Attributes			
Karstification (K)	Doline density	Range	> 4 per km^2	2 - 4 per km^2	< 2 per km^2	-
		Rating	1	2	3	-
Vadose zone (VZ)	Depth to groundwater	Range	< 20 m	21 - 30 m	31 – 100 m	> 100 m
		Rating	1	2	3	4
Soils (S)	Clay content	Range	<15%	16 - 30%	31 - 40%	> 40%
		Rating	1	2	3	4

260

10

Regionally, the most vulnerable attributes are: high doline density areas, a shallow water table, and soils with low clay content. Previous tests of IKAV-P indicate that a correlative rating system is beneficial for a statistical classification of the vulnerability index and determine vulnerability classes. After the classification of attributes and the assignment of rates, a procedure to define the importance of each map layer was carried out. The analytical hierarchy process (Saaty, 2008), one of the several methods utilized for MCDA, was selected to determine the ranking or importance of each parameter for IKAV-P. After the standardization of the pair-wise comparison matrix, the eigen values (priority) were obtained (Table 2).

Table 2: Analytical hierarchy process (AHP) and eigenvalues for the selected map layers.

IKAV-P AHP			
	K	VZ	S
K	1	1	5
VZ	1	1	3
S	0.2	0.33	1
Sum	2.2	2.33	9

IKAV-P AHP normalized			
	K	VZ	S	Priority (weight)
K	0.455	0.429	0.556	0.480
VZ	0.455	0.429	0.333	0.405
S	0.091	0.142	0.111	0.115
Sum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

With the consistency index (CI) being lower than the random index (RI), the weights were accepted. The final vulnerability index for the Yucatan case was then defined by Eq. 1:

$$Index = (K \times 0.480) + (VZ \times 0.405) + (S \times 0.115), \tag{1}$$

where K, VZ and S correspond to the karstification, vadose zone, and soils map layers respectively; numbers inside parentheses are the weights calculated from the AHP.

4.2 IKAV-A

For the development of IKAV-A, a previously presented solute transport model was slightly modified. The conceptual model's setup defines the main urban area from each municipality as an infiltration basin for pollution (Martínez-Salvador et al., 2019). Four large well fields (JAPAY I to IV) supplying water for Merida city were defined as stressors of the aquifer. Piezometric data was obtained from six monitoring wells from the metropolitan monitoring network to serve for the calibration process. Precipitation values for monthly and yearly averages were obtained from historic datasets publicly available from the CLICOM-CICESE website (SMN, 2017). As boundary conditions, recharge was estimated from precipitation by the application of the APLIS methodology (Martínez-Salvador, 2018); recharge was sub-divided as: coastal, metropolitan, and

rest of the area. Neumann conditions were settled for eastern and western boundaries and Dirichlet conditions for the southern and northern (sea level) boundaries (Figure 7).

Figure 7: Conceptual model of the MMA.

The sub-surface was defined with four layers, one of them representing a preferential flow layer; this was defined according to drilling works for monitoring wells indicating cavities (Figure 8). The model was run in MODFLOW 2005 (Harbaugh, 2005) utilizing the graphical user interface (GUI) ModelMuse version 3.10.0.0 (Winston, 2009).

Figure 8: Layer discretization for the MMA subsurface. Modified after Martínez-Salvador et al. (2019).

In order to simulate the turbulent conditions of the preferential layer, the Conduit Flow Process (CFP) package for MODFLOW 2005 was utilized in mode 2 (Shoemaker et al., 2008). After calibration, horizontal hydraulic conductivities (Kx and Ky) were

defined as 1, 0.5, 1.115 and 1 m/s for the up to bottom layers; vertical hydraulic conductivity (Kz) was defined homogeneously as 1.115 m/s. The multi-species solute transport model MT3DS was utilized to simulate advection and dispersion (Zheng and

295   Wang, 1999); given the anthropogenic impact in the MMA, NO_3^- was selected as species. The initial NO_3^- concentration was settled as 80 mg/L in order to approximate previously reported concentrations in the Merida sub-surface (Pacheco-Ávila et al., 2004a; Rojas-Fabro et al., 2015). The contaminant load was settled as continuous for the major urban settlements of the MMA as an attempt to study the effect of the constant leaking of wastewater from septic tanks that actually occurs in the MMA. Additionally, 130 extraction wells were included solely as representative given the lack of data regarding their extraction

300   volumes and operational times. For more detailed information regarding the model setup, considerations, and calibration the work of Martínez-Salvador et al. (2019) is highly recommended.

In order to define degrees of vulnerability, the permissible maximum of NO_3^- for drinking water was used as a base; according to Mexican standards, this value is settled as 45 mg/L (Diario Oficial de la Federación, 2000). Taking this as a base to determine highly vulnerable water sources, the subsequent vulnerability classes were defined according to previous water quality

305   monitoring campaigns performed in Yucatan (Pacheco and Cabrera, 1997; Pacheco, 2003; Pacheco-Ávila et al., 2004b; Pérez-Ceballos, 2004; Rojas-Fabro et al., 2015). Given that NO_3^- concentrations can occur naturally, concentrations below 9 mg/L were defined as a low vulnerable condition (Table 3). Although natural occurrence of NO_3^- is dependent on characteristics such as geology and soils, the proposed value for low vulnerability approximates the estimates for non-inhabited areas in Yucatan as presented by Pacheco and Cabrera (1997).

310   **Table 3: Proposed classification for IKAV-A in the MMA case.**

| Nitrate concentration classification	
mg/L	Actual vulnerability
0*	Very low
1 to 9	Low
10 to 22	Moderate
23 to 45	High
> 45	Very high

* Indicate no influence of the pollution source.

5 Results and discussion

5.1 IKAV-P

The IKAV-P map indicates that areas of high doline density, suggesting advanced karstification, are the most vulnerable under an incidental pollution scenario in agreement to European methods previously tested in Yucatan (see Moreno-Gómez et al.

315   (2018), (2019)). However, IKAV-P also classifies the near coastal areas for very high vulnerability (VHV) where very shallow water tables, fissuring, and sands are present. Despite karstification representing the most influential characteristic, given its assigned weight, a distinctive arrangement of some characteristics (coarse soils and a shallow water table) also indicates a

13

VHV regional condition. A vulnerability reduction pattern, from high vulnerability (HV) to moderate vulnerability (MV), is displayed in relation to an increment of the vadose zone in the Inner Cenote Ring and the Central Plain areas; in the Valleys
320 and Hills region, the considerable depth of the unsaturated zone promoted a low vulnerability (LV) class. This manifests a consistent interpretation of this coastal aquifer in relation to the contrasting conditions with respect to the flat plain and the southern hill area; both are important regional conditions that were not highlighted by the previously applied intrinsic groundwater vulnerability methods (see Appendix C).


325 **Figure 9: The IKAV-P map.**

Despite the weight assigned to the soil map being the lowest, it exhibits an important role under different conditions. Soils are depicted as protective when its clay content is above 30%. In the southern area of the Inner Cenote Ring, a clay-rich soil promotes said area for moderate vulnerability, despite the shallow water table and fissuring. Similarly, in the south-eastern Central Plain, clay-rich soils provide some protection in areas were karstification is at its maximum. Soils are the main
330 promotor for very low vulnerability (VLV) in the Valleys and Hills area where karstification is low and the water table is found at its deepest. In general, results from IKAV-P display percentual vulnerability classes as 30.5, 32.1, 27.2, 7 and 3.3 for VHV, HV, MV, LV, and VLV, respectively.

4.2. IKAV-A

Results from the solute transport model indicate that pollution moves northward, following the regional groundwater flow.
335 Due to the fact that Merida city is the most populated urban area, representing an elevated number of septic tanks leaking wastewater into the aquifer, the effect of the plume in the northern area of the city is more pronounced in comparison with other urban areas. Model layers 1 and 2 (upper and lower epikarst) present similarities on the spatial distribution of NO_3^- concentration, however, model layer 2 is more representative for the IKAV-A source vulnerability given the large number of

14

extraction wells located at this depth (Figure 10a). The constant leaking of wastewater from septic tanks has already affected

340   the underlying aquifer in the MMA, however, results indicate that NO_3^- concentrations decrease with depth, not representing
an immediate threat for extraction wells fields located at these depths (Figure 10b and Figure 10c).

Figure 10: IKAV-A source vulnerability maps for a 60 years simulation (13th stress period). In a), b) and c), the IKAV-A for the lower epikarst, preferential, and rest of the aquifer layers, respectively; in d), the combined IKAV-P (resource) and IKAV-A (source)
345   **for the MMA.**

Comparing results from IKAV-P for the MMA, it is can be clearly seen that no further discretization of vulnerability is possible from the intrinsic characteristics of Yucatan and the data spatial resolution (Figure 10d). Nevertheless, the IKAV-A provides important insights and an additional parameter (pollutant concentration) to expand the analysis in order to improve decisions regarding protection and corrective strategies (Table 4).

Table 4. Actual vulnerability of extraction wells for water supply.

Actual vulnerability (source)		
Vulnerability class	Wells	Percentage
Very high vulnerability	14	6.8
High vulnerability	10	4.8
Moderate vulnerability	6	2.8
Low vulnerability	109	52.4
Very low vulnerability	69	33.2

Although approximately 85% of the extraction wells are classified as low vulnerable, 11% could be severely affected by NO_3^- concentrations higher than the permissible maximum for drinking water. According to the estimated number of inhabitants, who receive water supply from these highly vulnerable wells, approximately 10% of the MMA population ($\approx$ 100,000 inhabitants) can be considered under risk given the simulated NO_3^- concentrations at these extraction points.

Due to the lack of tracer test data, IKAV-P was compared by spatial correlation with the regional intrinsic vulnerability method IVAKY (Aguilar-Duarte et al., 2016). Given that IVAKY categorizes vulnerability into six classes (the additional class is "Extreme vulnerability"), this class was merged with the VHV category for comparative purposes (Figure 11a). Despite the considerable differences in the number of used parameters, attributes, rating schemes, and assigned weights, IKAV-P and IVAKY show a very similar percentual tendency to classify vulnerability in the Yucatan karst (Figure 11b). The percentual similarity is not a definitive indication of spatial relationship, therefore, to investigate the spatial correlation in vulnerability classes between IVAKY and IKAV-P an overlapping process was carried out utilizing ArcGis tools. A remarkable total correlation, above 50%, is displayed by IKAV-P and IVAKY; this correspondence in the assignation of vulnerabilities is outstanding given the fact that the best correlated European methods displayed less than 30% of agreement with the IVAKY method (see maps in appendix C). With these results, the plausibility of the IKAV-P method, to display potential vulnerability in accordance with the principles of regionalization and infiltration scenario, was demonstrated.

Figure 11: Validation of IKAP-P. In a), the intrinsic groundwater vulnerability map from the method IVAKY, digitalized from Aguilar-Duarte et al. (2016). In b), comparative of stacked vulnerability percentages with IKAV-P and European methods previously applied in Yucatan; in c), the cumulative spatial correlation of vulnerability from IKAV-P and other methods previously applied in Yucatan.

Unfortunately, no water quality data was available to validate outcomes from IKAV-A, however, results are consistent with previous studies and water sampling campaigns that took place in Merida and peripheral shallow wells; outcomes from the IKAV-A are, to some degree, consistent with the previous studies (see Pacheco et al. (2001), Pacheco-Ávila et al. (2004a), Pacheco (2004), and Rojas-Fabro et al. (2015), among others). In general, the Merida sub-surface experiences a continuous pollution condition, in which the upper aquifer layers seem to have a permanently high NO_3^- concentration. The pollution plume generated in Merida moves northward according to the natural groundwater flow, temporarily increasing NO_3^- levels in northern areas during the high-rainfall season. In the case of other cities, the pollution seems to be locally generated, with highly transient NO_3^- concentration levels; the temporal NO_3^- variability, reported by preceding water sampling campaigns, is also demonstrated by the model. The increment of NO_3^- levels can be associated with a flush effect, incrementing the pollution in the north of Merida, therefore, the seasonal variations of the representative pollutant should also be considered in further protection strategies.

17

385　The empirical interpretation of IKAV-P showed a better approximation of the natural conditions in the Yucatan karst when compared with results from well-established European methodologies previously applied in the same area. By following the steps proposed by the IKAV method, it was possible to obtain a representative potential vulnerability map, highlighting the regional characteristics and their influence on the migration of accidental pollution from the surface to the water table. Regionally, a combination of sands, shallow water table and fracturing also represent a VHV condition, despite the infiltration

390　scenario in Yucatan settled as diffuse. On the other hand, the most protective natural characteristics in the region are those found in the Valleys and Hills area where high clay content soils, the deepest groundwater table, and a low fracturing are the dominant natural characteristics. It is important to note, that these contrasting conditions were not highlighted by any of the previous European methods applied in Yucatan.

　　IKAV provides a general guideline to estimate groundwater vulnerability from a regional point of view, but allows for a

395　necessary flexibility to fulfil the principles and the criteria presented previously. Flexibility is always necessary since interpretations can vary according to data, infiltration scenario, pollutant type, and objective. The combined evaluation of potential and actual vulnerability is demonstrated to be necessary for vulnerability as a decision support tool for karst areas already affected by anthropogenic practices. Therefore, the IKAV can provide an enhanced analysis, beneficial for decision support and the development of strategies for protective, preventative, and corrective measures.

400　**6 Conclusion**

　　Given the necessity to minimize the subjectivity of current intrinsic karst groundwater vulnerability methods and to expand the analysis of vulnerability including the anthropogenic influence, the IKAV method is proposed. Following a defined criterion for map layer selection and filtering, a correlative rating system, and a set of principles to be fulfilled, a potential (intrinsic) vulnerability map can be obtained; this procedure aims to minimize the subjectivity and to present a vulnerability

405　map in accordance with the characteristics of the region being evaluated. By quantifying solute transport emerging from anthropogenic activities, the actual vulnerability of the aquifer (or water sources) can be estimated, expanding the decision scope of vulnerability maps as a decision support tool. Some important contributions of the IKAV for vulnerability studies are understood to be as follows:

410　• The over-/under-estimation of the vulnerability outcomes is reduced by performing individual analyses for point and diffuse infiltration conditions (infiltration distinctive principle).

　　• Independent of the number of parameters or attributes, a correlative rating system is favourable for further vulnerability classification.

415

18

• The vulnerability index partition is not discretional, but dependent on statistical distribution, allowing a better representation of the study area's characteristics (regionalization principle).

• Vulnerability results are more consistent with regional characteristics when the attributes display a pronounced variability (regionalization principle).

• The evaluation of the anthropogenic influence via solute transport enhances the vulnerability analysis, depicting conditions not displayed by intrinsic methods (representative pollutant principle).

• Actual vulnerability maps can represent individual aquifer layers, providing additional criteria for cost/benefit judgements.

• The IKAV method is not only a vulnerability indicator, it is capable of revealing possible solutions for endangered water sources.

In conclusion, the IKAV method is an improved scheme to estimate potential groundwater vulnerability, integrating solute transport to evaluate an aquifer's current state of vulnerability. The IKAV method reduces the inevitable subjectivity of other vulnerability methods by proposing a workflow with well-defined principles, rules, and systemic attributes evaluation criteria. The IKAV-P map provides decisive insights for protective-preventive procedures and the IKAV-A map focuses on presently vulnerable sections of the aquifer in order to implement corrective actions and maintain optimal groundwater quality. The IKAV was developed considering how parameters, attributes, and values can be assigned to highlight regional intrinsic differences according to infiltration scenarios (regionalization and infiltration distinctive rules). IKAV could be applied for different karst areas inasmuch as the proposed steps and considerations to develop vulnerability maps are followed. This integrated methodology can be taken as the groundwork to expand further vulnerability studies and their role as a decision support tools.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.M-G.; methodology, M.M-G.; validation, M.M-G.; formal analysis, M.M-G. and C.M-S.; investigation, M.M-G.; data curation, M.M-G.; writing—original draft preparation, M.M-G; writing—review and editing, M.M-G., C.M-S., R.L., C.S. and J.P.; visualization, M.M-G. and C.M-S.; supervision, R.L., C.S. and J.P.; funding acquisition, M.M-G.

450 Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

Appendix A

Figure A1: Regional characteristics of the Yucatan karst. In a), major fissures; in b), the nearly flat conditions of the central plain;
455 **in c), the shallow water tables for most of the state and, d), the spatial variability of precipitation. Fissuring map generated from geological datasets from INEGI, (1984); Slope map generated from the digital elevation model ASTER-GDEM version 3, resolution of 30 m from NASA, METI, AIST, Japan Spacesystems and U.S./Japan ASTER Science Team, (2019); Water table elevation map generated from digitalized contour maps from SARH, (1989); precipitation map generated from precipitation data from the CLICOM web platform SMN (2017).**

460

465

Table B1: Data gathered for the development of previous vulnerability maps in Yucatan. Reproduced from Moreno-Gómez et al. (2019).

Map layers	DRISTPi	KARSTIC	RISKE	SA	PaPRIKa	COP	PI	EPIK	Base data
Soil thickness			X	X	X	X	X	X	Borehole data [a]
Soil texture	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		Borehole data [a]; edaphology maps [a]
Lithology	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		Lithology maps [a]
Fracturing	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		Fissures map [a]
Unsaturated zone (depth)	X	X		X	X	X	X		DEM [b]; water table [a]
Epikarst		X			X		X	X	Dolines map [a]
Confinement				X		X			Literature review
Slope	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	DEM [b]
Vegetation				X		X	X	X	Land use and vegetation maps [a]
Karstification		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Dolines/fissure maps [a]
Rainfall volume				X		X			25 years historic data [c]
Rainfall intensity				X		X			25 years historic data [c]
Recharge	X	X					X		Precipitation [c]
Surface features							X		Dolines and fissures maps [a]
Effective field capacity							X		Settled with minimum values
Hydraulic conductivity (soil)							X		Borehole data [a], Saxton equations
Hydraulic Conductivity (aquifer)		X							No applicable
Rock reservoir		X	X		X				No applicable

[a] Data publicly available at http://www.beta.inegi.org.mx/ (Vector maps at 1:50,000 scale).

[b] Digital Elevation Model (ASTER GDEM, 30 metres resolution) from https://www.earthexplorer.usgs.gov/.

[c] Data publicly available at http://clicom-mex.cicese.mx/.

470

475

Figure C1: Vulnerability maps from methods previously applied in Yucatan. From a) to f) EPIK, PI, DRISTPi, KARSTIC, RISKE, and the Slovene Approach respectively. Maps reproduced from Moreno-Gómez (2021).

References

480 Aguilar-Duarte, Y., Bautista, F., Mendoza, M. E., Frausto, O., Ihl, T., and Delgado, C.: IVAKY: índice de la vulnerabilidad del acuífero kárstico yucateco a la contaminación [IVAKY: vulnerability index to pollution of the Yucatecan karst aquifer], Revista Mexicana de Ingeniería Química, 15, 913–933, 2016.

Albinet, M.: Les cartes de vulnérabilité des nappes d'eau souterraine á la pollution [Maps of groundwater vulnerability to pollution], Bulletin du Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières (BRGM), Orléans, France, 1970.

485 Aller, L., Bennett, T., Lehr, J. H., Petty, R. J., and Hackett, G.: DRASTIC: A standardized system for evaluating ground water pollution potential using hydrogeologic settings, Report EPA/600/2-87/035. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Dublin, USA, 1987.

Bauer-Gottwein, P., Gondwe, B. R., Charvet, G., Marín, L. E., Rebolledo-Vieyra, M., and Merediz-Alonso, G.: Review: the Yucatan Peninsula karst aquifer, Mexico, Hydrogeology Journal, 19, 507–524, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-010-0699-5, 490 2011.

Bonet, F. and Butterlin, J.: Stratigraphy of the northern part of the Yucatan Peninsula, in: Guide book. Field trip to Peninsula of Yucatan, New Orleans Geological Society, New Orleans, USA, 52–57, 1962.

Butterlin, J.: Reconocimiento geologico preliminar del territorio de Quintana Roo. [Preliminary geological reconnaissance of the Quintana Roo territory]., Asociación Mexicana de Geólogos Petroleros (AMGP), DF, Mexico, 1958.

495 Carver, S. J.: Integrating multi-criteria evaluation with geographical information systems, International Journal of Geographical Information System, 5, 321–339, https://doi.org/10.1080/02693799108927858, 1991.

Delgado, C., Pacheco, J., Cabrera, A., Batllori, E., Orellana, R., and Bautista, F.: Quality of groundwater for irrigation in tropical karst environment: The case of Yucatan, Mexico, Agricultural Water Management, 97, 1423–1433, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2010.04.006, 2010.

500 Diario Oficial de la Federación: Modificacion de la Norma NOM-127-SSA1-1994: Límites permisibles de calidad y tratamientos a que debe de someterse el agua para su potabilización [Modification of the NOM-127-SSA1-1994 Standard: Permissible quality limits and treatments to which the water must be subjected for its purification], NOM, 127-SSA1, 73, 2000.

Doehring, D. O. and Butler, J. H.: Hydrogeologic constraints on Yucatán's development, Science, 186, 591–595, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.186.4164.591, 1974.

505 Dörfliger, N., Jeannin, P.-Y., and Zwahlen, F.: Water vulnerability assessment in karst environments: a new method of defining protection areas using a multi-attribute approach and GIS tools (EPIK method), Environmental Geology, 39, 165–176, https://doi.org/10.1007/s002540050446, 1999.

Drucker, A. G., Escalante-Semerena, R., Gómez-González, V., and Magaña-Rueda, S.: La industria porcina en Yucatán: un análisis de la generación de aguas residuales [Pig farming industry in Yucatan: an analysis of wastewater generation], 510 Problemas del Desarrollo, 34, 105–124, https://doi.org/10.22201/iiec.20078951e.2003.135.7505, 2003.

Ford, D. C. and Williams, P. D.: Karst hydrogeology and geomorphology, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 562 pp., 2007.

Gogu, R. C., Hallet, V., and Dassargues, A.: Comparison of aquifer vulnerability assessment techniques. Application to the Néblon river basin (Belgium), Environmental Geology, 44, 881–892, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-003-0842-x, 2003.

Goldscheider, N.: Karst groundwater vulnerability mapping: application of a new method in the Swabian Alb, Germany, Hydrogeology Journal, 13, 555–564, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-003-0291-3, 2005.

González-Herrera, R., Martínez-Santibañez, E., Pacheco-Avila, J., and Cabrera-Sansores, A.: Leaching and dilution of fertilizers in the Yucatan karstic aquifer, Environmental Earth Sciences, 72, 2879–2886, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-014-3192-y, 2014.

Graniel, C. E., Morris, L. B., and Carrillo-Rivera, J. J.: Effects of urbanization on groundwater resources of Merida, Yucatan, Mexico, Environmental Geology, 37, 303–312, https://doi.org/10.1007/s002540050388, 1999.

Harbaugh, A. W.: MODFLOW-2005, the US Geological Survey modular ground-water model: the ground-water flow process, US Geological Survey, Reston, USA, 2005.

Heywood, I., Oliver, J., and Tomlinson, S.: Building an exploratory multi-criteria modelling environment for spatial decision support, in: Fischer P. (Ed). Innovations in GIS. Selected papers from the Second National Conference on GIS Research UK, vol. 2, Taylor & Francis, London, UK, 127–136, 1995.

Hildebrand, A. R., Pilkington, M., Connors, M., Ortíz-Alemán, C., and Chávez, R. E.: Size and structure of the Chicxulub crater revealed by horizontal gravity gradients and cenotes, Nature, 376, 415, https://doi.org/10.1038/376415a0, 1995.

INEGI: Conjunto de datos vectoriales geológicos serie I [Geology vector data set series I], Instituto Nacional de Estadistica y Geografia (web portal), Mexico, 1984.

Iván, V. and Mádl-Szőnyi, J.: State of the art of karst vulnerability assessment: overview, evaluation and outlook, Environmental Earth Sciences, 76, 112, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-6422-2, 2017.

Jenks, G. F.: The Data Model Concept in Statistical Mapping, International Yearbook of Cartography, 7, 186–190, 1967.

Kavousi, A., Javadi, S., Kardan Moghadam, H., and Mirarabi, A.: Evaluation of Water Resources Exploitation in a Karst Region Using Intrinsic Vulnerability Assessment, Water Harvesting Research, 3, 53–68, https://doi.org/10.22077/JWHR.2019.1055, 2018.

Lesser, J. M.: Resumen del estudio hidrogeológico e hidrogeoquímico de la Peninsula de Yucatán [Summary of the hydrogeological and hydrochemical study of the Yucatan Peninsula], Secretaria de Recursos Hidraulicos (SARH), DF, Mexico, 1976.

López-Ramos, E.: Geological summary of the Yucatan Peninsula, in: Nair, A. & Stehli F. G. (Eds). The Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean, vol. III, Springer, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, 257–282, 1975.

Lugo-Hubp, J. and García, M.: El relieve de la península de Yucatán [The Yucatan Peninsula relief], in: Atlas de procesos territoriales de Yucatán, Facultad de Arquitectura. Universidad Autonoma de Yucatan (UADY), Merida, Mexico, 159–162, 1999.

Malczewski, J.: GIS and multicriteria decision analysis, Wiley, New York, USA, 392 pp., 1999.

Malczewski, J.: GIS-based multicriteria decision analysis: a survey of the literature, International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 20, 703–726, https://doi.org/10.1080/13658810600661508, 2006.

Marín, A., Dörfliger, N., and Andreo, B.: Comparative application of two methods (COP and PaPRIKa) for groundwater vulnerability mapping in Mediterranean karst aquifers (France and Spain), Environmental Earth Sciences, 65, 2407–2421, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-011-1056-2, 2012.

550   Marín, L. E., Steinich, B., Pacheco, J., and Escolero, O. A.: Hydrogeology of a contaminated sole-source karst aquifer, Mérida, Yucatán, Mexico, Geofísica Internacional, 39, 359–365, 2000.

Martínez-Salvador, C.: Estimation of pollutant residence time and its inclusion in vulnerability assessment approaches for the Yucatan karst system in Mexico, Master thesis, Joint Master Programme in Groundwater and Global Change - Impacts and Adaptation (GroundwatCH), Dresden, Germany, 84 pp., 2018.

555   Martínez-Salvador, C., Moreno-Gómez, M., and Liedl, R.: Estimating pollutant residence time and NO3 concentrations in the Yucatan karst aquifer; considerations for an integrated karst aquifer vulnerability methodology, Water, 11, https://doi.org/10.3390/w11071431, 2019.

Moreno-Gómez, M.: Development of an Integrated Methodology to Estimate Groundwater Vulnerability to Pollution in Karst Areas, 1st ed., Eigenverlag des Forums für Abfallwirtschaft und Altlasten e.V., Dresden, Germany, 181 pp., 2021.

560   Moreno-Gómez, M., Pacheco, J., Liedl, R., and Stefan, C.: Evaluating the applicability of European karst vulnerability assessment methods to the Yucatan karst, Mexico, Environmental Earth Sciences, 77, 682, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-018-7869-5, 2018.

Moreno-Gómez, M., Martínez-Salvador, C., Moulahoum, A.-W., Liedl, R., Stefan, C., and Pacheco, J.: First Steps into an Integrated Karst Aquifer Vulnerability Approach (IKAV). Intrinsic Groundwater Vulnerability Analysis of the Yucatan Karst, 565   Mexico, Water, 11, 1610, https://doi.org/10.3390/w11081610, 2019.

NASA, METI, AIST, Japan Spacesystems and U.S./Japan ASTER Science Team: ASTER Global Digital Elevation Model V003 [data set], NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC, 2019.

Pacheco, J.: Determinación y prueba de un índice de contaminación por nitratos en el acuífero cárstico de Yucatán, México [Determination and testing of a nitrate contamination index in the Yucatan krast aquifer, Mexico], Merida, Yucatan, Mexico, 570   2003.

Pacheco, J.: Delimitación de una zona de reserva hidrogeológica para el abastecimiento de agua potable a la ciudad de Mérida [Delimitation of a hydrogeological reserve area for the supply of drinking water to the city of Mérida], Sistema de Investigación Justo Sierra del CONACYT, Merida, Yucatan, Mexico, 2004.

Pacheco, J. and Cabrera, A.: Groundwater contamination by nitrates in the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico, Hydrogeology Journal, 575   5, 47–53, https://doi.org/10.1007/s100400050113, 1997.

Pacheco, J., Marín, L., Cabrera, A., Steinich, B., and Escolero, O.: Nitrate temporal and spatial patterns in 12 water-supply wells, Yucatan, Mexico, Environmental Geology, 40, 708–715, https://doi.org/10.1007/s002540000180, 2001.

Pacheco, J., Cabrera, A., Steinich, B., Frías, J., Coronado, V., and Vázquez, J.: Efecto de la aplicación agrícola de la excreta porcina en la calidad del agua subterránea [Effect of agricultural application of porcine excreta on groundwater quality], 580   Ingeniería, 6, 7–17, 2002.

Pacheco-Ávila, J., Calderón-Rocher, L., and Cabrera-Sansores, A.: Delineación de la zona de protección hidrogeológica para el campo de pozos de la planta Mérida I, en la ciudad de Mérida, Yucatán, México [Delineation of the hydrogeological protection zone for the well field "Mérida I", in the city of Mérida, Yucatán, México], Ingeniería, 8, 7–16, 2004a.

25

Pacheco-Ávila, J., Cabrera-Sansores, A., and Pérez-Ceballos, R.: Diagnóstico de la calidad del agua subterránea en los sistemas municipales de abastecimiento en el Estado de Yucatán, México [Diagnosis of groundwater quality from municipal supply systems in the State of Yucatan, Mexico], Ingeniería, 8, 165–179, 2004b.

Parise, M., Qiriazi, P., and Sala, S.: Natural and anthropogenic hazards in karst areas of Albania, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 4, 569–581, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-4-569-2004, 2004.

Parise, M., Ravbar, N., Živanović, V., Mikszewski, A., Kresic, N., Mádl-Szőnyi, J., and Kukurić, N.: Hazards in karst and managing water resources quality, in: Karst aquifers—Characterization and engineering, Springer, 601–687, 2015.

Parise, M., Gabrovsek, F., Kaufmann, G., and Ravbar, N.: Recent advances in karst research: from theory to fieldwork and applications, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 466, 1–24, 2018.

Pérez-Ceballos, R.: Vulnerabilidad del agua subterránea a la contaminación de nitratos en el estado de Yucatán [Groundwater vulnerability to Nitrate pollution in the Yucatan State], Master thesis, Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, Merida, Yucatan, Mexico, 94 pp., 2004.

Pope, K. O., Ocampo, A. C., and Duller, C. E.: Mexican site for K/T impact crater?, Nature, 351, 105–105, https://doi.org/10.1038/351105a0, 1991.

Pope, K. O., Ocampo, A. C., and Duller, C. E.: Surficial geology of the Chicxulub impact crater, Yucatan, Mexico, Earth, Moon, and Planets, 63, 93–104, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00575099, 1993.

Pronk, M., Goldscheider, N., and Zopfi, J.: Particle-size distribution as indicator for fecal bacteria contamination of drinking water from karst springs, Environmental Science & Technology, 41, 8400–8405, https://doi.org/10.1021/es071976f, 2007.

Ravbar, N. and Goldscheider, N.: Comparative application of four methods of groundwater vulnerability mapping in a Slovene karst catchment, Hydrogeology Journal, 17, 725–733, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-008-0368-0, 2009.

Ravbar, N. and Kovacic, G.: Vulnerability and protection aspects of some Dinaric karst aquifers: a synthesis, Environmental Earth Sciences, 74, 129–141, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-014-3945-7, 2015.

Rojas-Fabro, A. Y., Pacheco-Ávila, J. G., Esteller-Alberich, M. V., Cabrera-Sansores, S. A., and Camargo-Valero, M. A.: Spatial distribution of nitrate health risk associated with groundwater use as drinking water in Merida, Mexico, Applied Geography, 65, 49–57, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2015.10.004, 2015.

Saaty, T. L.: Decision making with the analytic hierarchy process, International Journal of Services Sciences, 1, 83–98, https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSSci.2008.01759, 2008.

SARH: Sinópsis Geohidrológica del Estado de Yucatán [Geohydrologic synopsis of the State of Yucatan], Secretaría de Agricultura y Recursos Hidráulicos. Subsecretaría de Infraestructura Hidráulica. Dirección General de Administración y Control de Sistemas Hidrológicos, Mexico, D.F, 1989.

SMN: Datos climáticos diarios del CLICOM del SMN a través de su plataforma web del CICESE [Daily climate data from the CLICOM of the SMN through its CICESE web platform]: http://clicom-mex.cicese.mx, last access: 6 October 2017.

Shoemaker, W. B., Kuniansky, E. L., Birk, S., Bauer, S., and Swain, E. D.: Documentation of a conduit flow process (CFP) for MODFLOW-2005, US Geological Survey, Reston, USA, 2008.

Stevanović, Z.: Characterization of Karst Aquifer, in: Stevanović Z. (Ed). Karst aquifers-characterization and engineering, Springer International Publishing, 692, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12850-4_3, 2015.

620   Vrba, J. and Zaporožec, A.: Guidebook on Mapping Groundwater Vulnerability, Heise, Hannover, Germany, 131 pp., 1994.

Weidie, A. E.: Geology of Yucatan Platform, in: Ward W. C., Weidie A. E. & Back,W. (Eds). Geology and hydrogeology of the Yucatan and Quaternary geology of northeastern Yucatan Peninsula, New Orleans Geological Society, New Orleans, USA, 1–19, 1985.

Winston, R. B.: ModelMuse: a graphical user interface for MODFLOW-2005 and PHAST, US Geological Survey, Reston,
625   USA, 2009.

Zheng, C. and Wang, P. P.: MT3DMS: a modular three-dimensional multispecies transport model for simulation of advection, dispersion, and chemical reactions of contaminants in groundwater systems; documentation and user's guide, University of Alabama. Department of Geological Sciences. Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (USA), Alabama, USA, 1999.

630   Zwahlen, F. (Ed).: EUR 20912 — COST 620 — Vulnerability and risk mapping for the protection of carbonate (karst) aquifers, European Commission, Directorate-General for Research, Brussels, Belgium, 2003.

635