Assessing tropical cyclone compound flood risk using hydrodynamic modelling: a case study in Haikou City, China
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Abstract The co-occurrence of storm tide and rainstorm during tropical cyclones (TCs) can lead to compound flooding in low-lying coastal regions. The assessment of TC compound flood risk can provide vital insight for research on coastal flooding prevention. This study investigates TC compound flooding by constructing a storm surge model and overland flooding model using Delft3D Flexible Mesh (DFM), illustrating the serious consequences from the perspective of storm tide. Based on the probability distribution of storm tide, this study regards TC1415 as the 100-year event, TC6311 as the 50-year event, TC8616 as the 25-year event, TC8007 as the 10-year event, and TC7109 as the 5-year event. The results indicate that the coastal area is a major floodplain, primarily due to storm tide, with the inundation severity positively correlated with the height of the storm tide. For 100-year TC event, the inundation area with a depth above 1.0 m increases by approximately 2.5 times when compared with 5-year TC event. Comparing single-driven flood (storm tide flooding and rainstorm inundation) and compound flood hazards shows that simply accumulating every single-driven flood hazard to define the compound flood hazard may cause underestimation. For future research on compound flooding, the copula function can be adopted to investigate the joint occurrence of storm tide and rainstorm to reveal the severity of extreme TC flood hazards.
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1 Introduction

Flood hazards, especially those happening during tropical cyclones (TCs), have become the most devastating and expensive natural hazards of coastal cities (Patricola and Wehner, 2018; van Oldenborgh et al., 2017; Hallegatte et al., 2013; Adelekan, 2011). Storm tides brought on by TCs can lead to coastal flooding, and rainstorms occurring during TCs can lead to urban inundation. The simultaneous or consecutive occurrence of storm tide and rainstorm in time and/or space can lead to compound flooding (Gori et al., 2020b; Wahl et al., 2015; Leonard et al., 2014). In the past decade, many compound flood hazards occurred in coastal regions worldwide due to TCs, such as Typhoon Irma (2017) in Jacksonville and Typhoon Lekima on China’s southeast coast. An extremely destructive flood event in Houston-Galveston during Hurricane Harvey (2017) was confirmed to be a compound flood hazard (Huang et al., 2021). It was caused by land-derived runoff (mainly considered to be rainfall) and ocean-derived forcing (mainly considered to be storm tide) (Valle-Levinson et al., 2020). The coastal region suffered a major economic loss of more than 125 billion dollars from Harvey. Thus, it is important to investigate the compound flood risk during TCs to comprehend flood hazards in coastal cities better.

The projection of future climate change indicates that TCs will occur more frequently with greater intensity. Accordingly, the likelihood of the co-occurrence of storm tide and rainstorm will increase drastically (Keellings and Hernández Ayala, 2019; Marsooli et al., 2019; Emanuel, 2017; Lin et al., 2012), which may cause more extreme compound flood hazards (Bevacqua et al., 2019; Rasmussen et al., 2017; Wahl et al., 2015; Milly et al., 2002). Due to global warming, sea-level rise, land subsidence, and urban expansion, coastal cities are confronted with the critical threat of TC compound flooding (Yin et al., 2021, 2020; Wang and Tan, 2021; Hsiao et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2018). Recent studies evaluated compound flood risk at the regional scale (Fang et al., 2020; Bevacqua et al., 2019; Hendry et al., 2019; Budiyono et al., 2016; Wahl et al., 2015). Wahl et al. (2015) assessed the risk of compound flooding from rainfall and storm surge in major US cities. Bevacqua et al. (2019) estimated the probability of compound flooding from precipitation and storm surge in Europe. Both studies showed that there would be an increase in compound flood risk in coastal cities in the future. A study conducted by Fang et al. (2020) investigated the compound flood potential from precipitation and storm surge in coastal China, indicating that low-latitude (<30°N) coastal areas in southeast China are more prone to compound flood hazards from storm tide and rainfall during TCs.

Only several urban-scale studies on compound flooding have been carried out in China (He et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2018; Yin et al., 2016). Lian et al. (2013) investigated the joint impact of rainfall and tidal level on flood risk in Fuzhou City. Xu et al. (2014) analyzed the joint probability of rainfall and storm tide under changing environment, concluding that the probability
of compound flooding would increase by more than 300% in Fuzhou. Lian et al. (2017) identified
the major hazard-causing factors of compound flooding and classified the floodplains into tidal,
hydrological, and transition zones in Haikou City. Although studies such as these have investigated
the joint risk of hazard-causing factors in compound floods, they seldom pay attention to the
compound flooding that occurs during TCs.

Most studies concerned with compound flooding rely on historical data, which contains information
on hourly storm tide and daily rainfall (Yum et al., 2021; Fang et al., 2020; Zellou and Rahali, 2019;
Wu et al., 2018; Lian et al., 2017). The recorded data is often used to investigate the statistical
correlation between flood drivers (Xu et al., 2019, 2014; Xu et al., 2018; Lian et al., 2013). For
example, based on the recorded storm tide from 49 tide gauges and daily precipitation from 4890
rainfall stations in Australia, Zheng et al. (2013) quantified the dependence between rainfall and
storm surge to investigate flood risk in coastal zones. However, for many coastal regions in the
world, it is difficult to obtain sufficient recorded data that can be used to analyze the mechanism of
TC compound flooding from storm tide and rainfall. An alternative approach is applying a
hydrodynamic model to simulate storm tides (Gori et al., 2020a). For example, Yin et al. (2021)
constructed a storm surge model to simulate the storm tide derived from 5000 synthetic TCs to
estimate TC-induced coastal flood inundation.

Hydrodynamic models can also be employed to simulate flood events (Bevacqua et al., 2019; Zellou
and Rahali, 2019; Kumbier et al., 2018). It is an effective method to model the flood extent and
inundation depth, and this method has generally been applied in research on single-driven flood
hazards (Wang et al., 2018, 2012; Yin et al., 2013). Recently, many studies have used hydrodynamic
models to simulate compound flood events driven by historical TC events or synthetic TC scenarios
(Bilskie et al., 2021; Orton et al., 2020; Santiago-Collazo et al., 2019; Shen et al., 2019). Gori et al.
(2020b) constructed a coupled framework of three models to simulate storm surges and compound
flood events. This method has the advantage of observing the spatiotemporal dynamics of rainfall
and storm surges during TCs (Gori et al., 2020b; Orton et al., 2020). However, assessing the
compound flood risk by constructing a coupled model is not commonly used in current studies on
compound flood hazards, mainly because the simulation of compound flooding involves multiple
driving condition settings and requires combining multiple physics-based models.

Delft3D Flexible Mesh (DFM), developed by Deltares, Netherland, has been widely applied to build
storm-surge numerical models for research on storm surge because of its capability of simulating
2D and 3D shallow water flow (De Goede, 2020). It integrates Delft3D-FLOW model suites and
uses flexible unstructured grids, convenient for partial grid refinement (Deltares, 2018). A recent
study on compound flooding utilized this model to simulate storm surges for characterizing extreme
sea levels, investigating the probability of compound floods from precipitation and storm surge in
Europe (Bevacqua et al., 2019). Meijer and Hutten (2018) developed a 2D urban model with DFM for the downtown area of Shanghai. The results indicated that DFM was capable of modeling rainfall-runoff and could be used to construct urban flood models. Therefore, it is feasible to simulate storm surge and rainfall-runoff based on DFM to assess compound flooding.

This study investigates the compound effect of flooding from storm tide and rainstorm during TCs to understand compound flooding in Haikou better. We set up a storm surge model and overland flooding model based on the DFM model to simulate the floodplain under TC events. We select 66 TC events that influenced Haikou to explore the probability distribution of storm tide, further selecting 5 TC events that correspond to the 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year return periods, respectively. The risk of rainstorm inundation, storm tide flooding, and compound flooding are quantitively assessed and compared based on the simulation results under different return periods. The conclusions drawn from this study can provide insight into mitigating compound flood risk in coastal areas.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that applies a coupled model by DFM to assess TC compound flood risk in Haikou. The objectives of this study include (1) investigating the probability of storm tide during TCs by modelling TCs influenced Haikou; (2) quantifying the compound effects of rainfall and storm surge under TC events of different return periods; (3) assessing and comparing the flood severity of rainstorm inundation, storm tide flooding, and compound flooding.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the background information about the study area and data requirements. Section 3 describes the model configuration and explains how TCs that influenced Haikou were selected. The method of how to assess the compound flood risk is also in this section. Model verification and the analysis of probability distribution of storm tide are reported and discussed in Section 4. The assessment and comparison of rainstorm inundation, storm tide flooding, and compound flooding are also discussed in this section. Finally, conclusions are given in Section 5.

2 Materials

2.1 Study area

Haikou is located in the north of Hainan Island, China, where the geographical position is relatively independent (Figure 1). The coastal area of Haikou is low and flat. In particular, the elevation of downstream plain and areas along Nandu River (in Figure 1) is less than 3.0m. Haikou is frequently affected by TCs and rainstorms from June to October. The annual rainfall is around 1660 mm. Storm tide flooding caused by TCs is one of the main natural hazards in Haikou, roughly three storm surges
have occurred in Haikou every year in recent decades. The combination of storm tide and rainstorm will increase the probability of extreme compound flooding, posing a threat to social infrastructure and urban traffic in Haikou. For example, during Typhoon Kalmaegi (2014), a total of 219.8 mm (24h) of precipitation were produced and the highest tide level reached 4.3 m in Haikou. The occurrence of heavy rainfall and strong storm tide caused serious compound flooding with a 220-million-dollar economic loss. Under the changing environment, Haikou will face greater compound flooding risks and challenges from TCs, storm surges, and rainstorms in the future.

Figure 1. The geographic location of tide stations and Nandu river in Haikou, and the basic geographic information of Haikou.

2.2 Data

The geographic and meteorological data of the study area were systematically collected in this study (Table 1). The topographic map of the study area was provided by Hainan Emergency Management Department, and the bathymetry data of South China Sea and Beibu Bay was obtained from General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO). The spatial resolution of the topographic map is 5 m, and the bathymetry data is 500 m. The meteorological data includes historical TC track data and daily rainfall data from 1960 to 2017. The historical TC track data containing the TCs location (latitude and longitude), two-minute mean maximum sustained wind (MSW; m/s), and minimum pressure (hPa) near the TC center, was provided by Shanghai Typhoon Institute of China Meteorological Administration. The daily rainfall data of Haikou was downloaded from the CMA website (http://data.cma.cn/), and can be transferred to hourly rainfall by interpolation for inundation simulation (Ye et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2013). The annual river discharges at Longtang hydrological station from 1960 to 2020 were provided by Hainan Hydrology and Water Resources Survey Bureau.
Table 1. Data profile of this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic data</td>
<td>DEM, Haikou</td>
<td>2018, 5 m</td>
<td>Department of Emergency Management of Hainan Province</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEM, bathymetry</td>
<td>2019, 500 m</td>
<td><a href="https://www.gebco.net">https://www.gebco.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meteorological data</td>
<td>TC tracks</td>
<td>1949-2019, 3 hourly</td>
<td>Shanghai Typhoon Institute of China Meteorological Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td>1960-2020, daily</td>
<td>Hainan Hydrology and Water Resources Survey Bureau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Methods

3.1 Model configuration and validation methods

Delft3D Flexible Mesh (DFM), developed by Deltares in 2011, is a practical unstructured shallow water flow calculation model (De Goede, 2020). It can be used for ocean hydrodynamic and surface runoff numerical simulations (Kumbier et al., 2018; Meijer and Hutten, 2018). In this study, the DFM model was established to calculate the hydraulic boundary conditions needed to estimate the overland flow boundary and simulate the overland inundation during the TCs period (Gori et al., 2020b).

3.1.1 Storm surge model

The calculation domain of the storm surge model covers Hainan Province, the South East Sea, and Beibu Bay, and roughly ranges from 15 to 24.5°N and 105.5 to 118.5°E (Figure 1). The minimum mesh grid size is 100 m, and the maximum mesh grid size is 12000 m. The astronomical tide is simulated by importing the phase and amplitude of tidal constituents (Q1, P1, O1, K1, N2, M2, S2, and K2) extracted from the global tidal model (TPXO8.0). A built-in module in Delft3D WES (Wind Enhance Scheme) module is employed to calculate the TCs wind field according to Holland formula (Holland, 1980). We use the statistical measures RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) and $R^2$ to evaluate the model performance of simulated tide (Kumbier et al., 2018; Skinner et al., 2015). The storm surge model is validated against measured astronomical tides and storm tides (astronomical tide plus storm surge). Storm tide series (TC1415, “Kalmaegi”) at Xiuying gauge station were collected from Haikou Municipal Water Authority to validate this model. For the validation of astronomical tide, we also collected astronomical tide for TC1415 from Xiuying and Naozhoudao tide gauge station. All tide levels were recorded every hour (from 00:00 on September 15, 2014 to 00:00 on September 17, 2014).
3.1.2 Overland flooding model

The overland flooding model combines regular and irregular triangular mesh. This model is a surface runoff numerical model and the mesh grid resolution is set as 50 m. The high-resolution topography of study area is imported in the model, and it can roughly reflect the effect of seawall. The average annual discharge (165.81 m³/s) at Longtang hydrological station is calculated as the upstream boundary condition. In this model, the storm tide series extracted from the storm surge model serve as the coastal boundary conditions. This model is validated against the measured inundation area and depth. We collect the inundation data of TC1415 and conduct fieldwork in Haikou to validate this model. The overland inundation model can be approximately validated by comparing the inundation map of TC1415 with measured inundation area and depth.

3.2 TCs influencing Haikou

The TCs that pass through the region (18-22°N, 109-113°E) and stay over 24 hours have an apparent effect on Haikou (Ding, 1999; Wang, 1998; He, 1988). According to this, we analyze historical TC tracks and give the priority to the TC that passing between latitudes 18°N and 22°N and longitudes 109°E and 113°E. TC tracks lasting less than 24 hours in this region are removed in this study. Therefore, 66 TCs from 1960 to 2017 are selected in this study (Figure 2), and we construct typhoon wind fields and simulate the storm tide of these TCs. Each TC event has a code, for example, the ninth typhoon in 1963 is coded as TC6309.

Figure 2. Location map for the study area. Purple dot indicates the location of Haikou. Grey colored lines indicate major historical TC tracks within the region. Blue box indicates the selection region (18-22°N, 109-113°E)
3.3 Compound flooding assessment

In this study, we investigate the probability distribution of storm tides to assess compound flood hazards. Based on the storm surge model, the storm tide series of 66 TCs are simulated. The highest storm tides during TCs are used to calculate the probability distribution function at Xiuying tide gauge station.

Exploring the storm tide distribution can offer comprehension of the probability of compound flood hazards from storm surge. Extreme Value Distribution (EVD) is widely applied to investigate storm tide probability distribution (Yum et al., 2021). We assume that the storm tide fits either Gumbel or Weibull extreme value functions, then calculate their function fitting parameters. We compare the goodness-of-fit of two distribution functions (Gumbel, Weibull) with Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test. K-S test is an appropriate method to explore the distribution of continuous random variables, and can be used to select the best fitting distribution function. According to the storm tide distribution, we can achieve tide levels at different probabilities (P). We replace P with storm tide return periods (T), which equals to 1/P, to investigate the possibility of an extreme storm tide. The corresponding TC events in 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year return periods can be found to compare the compound flood hazards with different storm tides.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Model validation

We use TC1415 to verify the astronomical tide and storm tide of the storm surge model. In the validation of astronomical tide, we use the predicted astronomical tide at two gauge stations; Naozhoudao (Zhanjiang, Guangdong) and Xiuying (Haikou, Hainan). The calculation results show that the RMSE is 0.18 m and 0.14 m for Naozhoudao and Xiuying gauge station, the $R^2$ of both Naozhoudao and Xiuying gauge station are 0.91. Figure 3(a) and (b) depict simulated and predicted water level at Xiuying and Naozhoudao gauge station. The curves of simulated astronomical tide at the two stations fit observed tide level points well. Thus, this model has a good ability to simulate astronomical tides. In the validation of storm tide, we add the wind field of TC1415 in the model and only use the observed tide level at Xiuying gauge station for validation (Figure 3(c)). The calculation of RMSE is 0.34 and $R^2$ is 0.83. It can be seen from Figure 3(c) that the curve of simulated storm tide is consistent with the observation, and the highest storm tide is well simulated.
Figure 3. The simulation results of astronomical tide and storm tide compared to measured tide levels. (a. astronomical tide at Xiuying gauge station. b. astronomical tide at Naozhoudao gauge station. c. storm tide at Xiuying gauge station. Black lines indicate the simulated tide level, red asterisk points indicate measured tide level.)

Tide levels along the coastline extracted from the storm surge model serve as coastal boundary conditions for the overland flooding model. We utilize the TC1415 event also to validate the overland flooding model. Comparing the simulation of compound flooding with the measured inundation of roads during TC1415 (Kuang, 2014), the main inundation area in the simulation is coincident with the flooded roads (Figure 4). Furthermore, the distribution of simulated inundation area is also consistent with the actual flood distribution. Hence this overland flooding model has a good capacity for modelling and demonstrating TC flood hazards.
Figure 4. Spatial extent of simulated and measured inundation area and depth during TC1415.

4.2 Storm tide probability distribution

Xiuying gauge station is selected as a representative location to examine the probability exceedance of TC storm tide. Storm tide return period is calculated based on the maximum storm tide in the past 58 years simulated for 66 TCs. The results of K-S test show that the D-value and P-value of GUM are 0.0615 and 0.9995, while the D-value and P-value of WEI are 0.0769 and 0.9876. Thus, the Gumbel extreme value (GEV) distribution function can fit TC storm tide better. Figure 5 shows that GEV fits storm tide well, presenting the corresponding TCs under different return periods. Red circles represent the maximum storm tide from the 66 TCs in the past. The solid line represents estimation of the GEV fitting.

Figure 5. Storm tide at Xiuying gauge station as a function of return period based on GEV fitting (solid line).
Table 2 shows the corresponding TC events and their highest storm tide and accumulated rainfall under different return periods. TC1415, with the highest storm tide, is considered a 100-year event. In order to investigate the compound effects of storm tide and rainstorm on the overland inundation, TCs with higher accumulated rainfall are selected. As a result, TC6311, TC8616, TC8007, and TC7109 are assigned to 50, 25, 10, and 5 years based on GEV fitting, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Return period</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Water level (m)</th>
<th>Rainfall (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5Y</td>
<td>TC7109</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>137.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10Y</td>
<td>TC8007</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>196.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25Y</td>
<td>TC8616</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>128.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50Y</td>
<td>TC6311</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>191.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100Y</td>
<td>TC1415</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>219.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Compound flooding assessment in different storm tide return periods

Figure 6 presents the compound flood inundation maps under 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year return period. For 5-year inundation map, the major inundation area is distributed along the Jiangdong New Area and Xinbu Island on the northeast coast. The inundation area with sporadic distribution is caused by rainfall in the inland urban area. As return periods increase, Haidian Island, north Longhua district and northwest Xiuying district begin to have serious flood extents, and the compound flooding severity of Jiangdong New Area and Xinbu Island increases. For 100-year return period, the inundation depth regions are above 1.0 m, and the floodplain depth is above 3.0 m in most of Jiangdong New Area. Regions with inundation depth below 0.05 m are not evaluated in this study due to their low risk.
Figure 6. The compound flood inundation maps under different return period (a. 5-year event, b. 10-year event, c. 25-year event, d. 50-year event, and e. 100-year event).

Table 3 indicates the inundation depth and area under different return periods. In 100-year TC event, the total inundation area is 12613 ha, and the inundation area between 0-0.5 m and 1.0-2.0 m accounts for 29.4% and 31.1%, respectively. The inundation area between 0.5-1.0 m and 2.0-3.0 m accounts for a total of 32.7%. For the other TC events, the inundation depth at a range of 0-0.5 m and 1.0-2.0 m has the most inundation area. For a 100-year TC event, the inundation area with a depth above 1.0 m increases by approximately 2.5 times compared with a 5-year TC event.

Table 3. Inundation depth and area under different return periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flooding depth(m)</th>
<th>Flooding area( ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 – 0.5</td>
<td>2139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 – 1.0</td>
<td>1349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 – 2.0</td>
<td>1884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 – 3.0</td>
<td>818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3.0</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Quantitative comparison single-driven flood hazard and compound flood hazard

Figure 7 illustrates the maps of rainstorm inundation and storm tide flooding under different return periods. In each rainfall scenario, the overall inundation depth is below 1.0 m, while in each storm...
tide scenario, the overall inundation depth is above 1.0 m. When comparing the rainstorm inundation map and storm tide flooding map in the same TC event, it is obvious that the storm tide flooding is significantly worse than rainstorm inundation.

Figure 7. The inundation maps of rainstorm and storm flooding under different return periods.

Figure 8 compares the overall inundation area of rainstorm, storm tide, and compound flooding under different return periods. The inundation area of compound flooding exceeds the inundation area of rainstorm inundation and storm tide flooding in each TC event. Thus, compound flood hazards can have more serious consequences than rainstorm and storm flooding (Bevacqua et al., 2019; Wahl et al., 2015a; Zscheischler et al., 2018). Moreover, it can be seen from Figure 8 that compound flooding has more inundation area than the accumulation of rainstorm and storm tide flooding under different return periods. For example, in the TC6311 scenario, the total inundation area of compound flooding is 11462 ha, exceeding the sum of rainstorm inundation and storm tide flooding, which is 10616 ha. Therefore, compound flood hazards are more destructive than the combination of single-driven flood hazards, and have a certain amplification effect (Fang et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2019).
Figure 8. The comparison of the overall inundation area of rainstorm, storm flooding, and compound flooding in each TC event.

However, storm tide and rainstorm are the driving factors in a compound flood hazard (Hsiao et al., 2021; Fang et al., 2020; Bevacqua et al., 2019). This study investigates the compound effect of flood hazards by studying the probability distribution of highest storm tides during TCs. Many studies have confirmed that rainfall and storm surge have statistically positive dependence (Wu et al., 2018; Wahl et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2014; Lian et al., 2013b). Hence, it is of practical significance to reveal compound flood risk considering the statistical dependence of rainfall and storm surge. Copula is a kind of function connecting joint distributions and marginal distributions (Lin-Ye et al., 2016). Zhang et al. (2021) calculated the overtopping occurrence by determining the correlations between tidal levels and wave heights based on copula function. In recent years, copula function has been confirmed to model and describe the dependence between flood variables and express compound flood risk (Zellou and Rahali, 2019). Xu et al. (2019) employed copula function to investigate the bivariate return period of compounding rainfall and storm tide events, finding that the joint probability analysis can reveal more adequate and comprehensive risk about compound events than univariate analysis. Therefore, in future works, we will adopt the copula function to investigate the joint occurrence of rainfall and storm surge during TCs, further assessing extreme compound flooding severity.

5 Conclusions

This study applies a coupled methodology of combining storm surge model and overland flooding model to investigate the compound effect of flood hazards during TCs. We simulate and assess compound floods under different return periods of storm tides. The results show that storm tide is the key driving factor of compound flood inundation in Haikou, and tide level decides the inundation
extent. When quantitatively comparing compound flooding with rainstorm inundation and storm flooding, we find that it is more destructive than single-driven flood hazards, and the compound effect exceeds the accumulated effects of single-driven floods. The co-occurrence of heavy rainfall and strong storm surge in extreme TCs could intensify compound flood inundation. Simply accumulating every single-driven flood hazard to define compound flooding may cause underestimation.

In this study, we selected the typical TC scenarios based on storm tide probability distribution. The high storm tide has been confirmed to be the main driving factor of flooding in previous studies (Xu et al., 2019, 2018; Lian et al., 2017). Considering that rainfall is also the driving factor of TC compound flooding, we will focus on the joint probability distribution of rainfall and storm tide in future research. Although this study is limited to Haikou City, we confirmed that it is available for other coastal cities to adopt the methodology of coupling two hydrodynamic models to quantitatively assessing compound flooding risks. It can conveniently capture the dynamic of rainfall and storm surge, and directly observe the change of inundation area to display the effect of rainfall and storm surge in compound events. For future research on extreme TC compound flooding, climate change factors should be taken into consideration, such as sea level rise and land subsidence, and copula function can be applied to study the statistical dependence between heavy rainfall and strong storm surge under the changing environment to reveal extreme flood risk in coastal cities.
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