Estimating Return Intervals for Extreme Climate Conditions Related to Winter Disasters and Livestock Mortality in Mongolia

Masahiko Haraguchi[1,2], Nicole Davi[3,4], Mukund Palat Rao[4,5,6], Caroline Leland[4], Masataka Watanabe[7], Upmanu Lall[2,8]

5 [1] Research Institute for Humanity and Nature, Kyoto, 6038047, Japan
[2] Columbia Water Center, Columbia University, New York, 10027, USA
[3] Department of Environmental Science, William Paterson University, Wayne, NJ, USA
[4] Tree-Ring Laboratory, Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, Palisades, NY, USA
[5] Cooperative Programs for the Advancement of Earth System Science, University Corporation for Atmospheric Research,
10 Boulder, CO 80301, USA.
[6] Department of Plant Science, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, United States
[7] Research and Development Initiative, Chuo University, Tokyo, 1128551, Japan
[8] Department of Earth and Environmental Engineering, Columbia University, New York, 10027, USA

Correspondence to: Masahiko Haraguchi (mh2905@columbia.edu)

15 **Abstract.** Mass livestock mortality events during severe winters, a phenomenon that Mongolians call dzud, cause the country significant socioeconomic problems. Dzud is an example of a compound event, meaning that multiple climatic and social drivers contribute to the risk of occurrence. Existing studies argue that the frequency and intensity of dzud are rising due to the combined effects of climate change and variability, most notably summer drought and severe winter conditions, on top of socioeconomic dynamics such as overgrazing. Summer droughts are a precondition for dzud because scarce grasses
20 cause malnutrition, which in turn makes livestock more vulnerable to harsh winter conditions. However, these studies typically look at a short time frame (i.e., after 1940); few have investigated either the risk or the recurrence of dzud over a century-scale climate record. This study aims to fill the gaps in technical knowledge about the recurrence probability of dzud by estimating the return levels of relevant climatic variables: summer drought conditions and winter minimum temperature. We divide the country into three regions (Northwest, Southwest, and East Mongolia) based on the mortality
25 index at the soum (county) level. For droughts, our study uses as a proxy the tree-ring reconstructed Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) for three regions between 1700-2013. For winter severity, our study uses observational data of winter minimum temperature after 1901 while inferring winter minimum temperature in Mongolia from instrumental data in Siberia that extends to the early 19th century. The Generalized Extreme Value (i.e., the statistical method to infer the probability of very rare or extreme events) shows that the return levels of drought conditions are changing over time, with variability
30 increasing for all the regions. Winter severity, however, is constant. The median 100-year return levels of the winter minimum temperature in Mongolia have been, over the past 300 years, -26.08°C for the Southwest, -27.99°C for the Northwest, and -25.31°C for the East. This study thus suggests that continued summer drought would lead to increased vulnerability and malnutrition. Here, we link meteorological characteristics to socioeconomic impacts related to livestock populations and draws attention to the need for livestock index insurance.

1 Introduction

1.1 Backgrounds

Mass livestock mortality induced by dry summers followed by unusually cold and/or snowy winters, known as dzud, causes problems for pastoral herding and the economy in Mongolia.[1] A total of 20 million livestock died of climate extremes from 2000-2002, and 2009-2010 (Rao et al., 2015). In the 2009-2010 dzud alone, approximately 20% of the country's livestock population died, which affected 769,000 people, 28% of the population in Mongolia .

Dzud is a compound hazard (Field, 2012), encompassing drought, heavy snowfall, extreme cold and windstorms. Dzud can cause mass livestock mortality, which leads to severe socioeconomic consequences such as unemployment, poverty, and mass migration from rural to urban areas (Dagvadorj et al., 2009; Kakinuma et al., 2019). The causes of dzud are complex. Increased population of livestock along with other land use   changes such as urbanization and mining are viewed as a major cause of the decline in pasture quality in the region (Bat-Oyun et al., 2016; Berger et al., 2013; Hilker et al., 2014). Along with other socio-economic factors, such as overgrazing, livestock mortality is caused and exacerbated by the following climate factors: summer drought, heavy snow, and high winds in concurrence with extreme cold winter temperature (Morinaga et al., 2003). Livestock mortality is strongly associated with winter (November – February) temperatures and prior summer (July – September) droughts and precipitation (Tachiiri et al., 2008; Rao et al., 2015). For example, Rao et al. (2015) showed that the model based on winter temperature, summer drought, summer precipitation, and summer potential evapotranspiration explains 48.4% of the entire variability of mortality. Extreme cold temperature as well as exposure to storms or high winds cause livestock to freeze to death while heavy snow, ice or drought, prevent livestock from grazing and accessing fodder, which results in weakening immune system response and starvation (Fernandez-Gimenez et al., 2012; Rao et al., 2015; Begzsuren et al., 2004; Morinaga et al., 2003). In addition to extreme winter temperature and snowfall, summer drought is an important driver because droughts deteriorate grazing and prevent livestock from surviving during severe winters (Tachiiri et al., 2008; Rao et al., 2015; Begzsuren et al., 2004). For example, the climate factors that contributed to the dzud in 1999-2002 and 2009-2010 were summer drought followed by extreme cold and snowfall in winter (Field, 2012). In this case, summer drought is regarded as a preconditioning factor for the dzud as a compound event (Zscheischler et al., 2020).

Understanding mechanisms and impacts of dzud and climate extremes has wider implications for sustainability in rangelands, which account for 50% of Earth's land surface, where 40% of the world's populations reside (Fernandez-Gimenez et al., 2012; Reynolds et al., 2007). A better understanding of the climate drivers of dzud and extreme events is also critical for preventive and responsive measures, such as weather index insurance. Weather index insurance recently became widely available, and its indemnities are paid based on realizations of a weather index such as rainfall and temperature that are expected to be highly correlated with actual losses, rather than on actual losses experienced by the policyholder (Barnett and Mahul, 2007). The index-based livestock insurance program (IBLIP) was institutionalized in 2014 to respond to the

---

[1] Dzud is Russian way of notation, and it is locally written as "zud" in Mongolia.

extreme climate disasters by the Government of Mongolia with help from the World Bank (Skees and Enkh-Amgalan, 2002; Mahul et al., 2015; Mahul and Skees, 2007).

70 Few studies have performed risk analysis of dzud using long-term climate data. One reason for this is that there are few long-term instrumental records of climate in the region, and the records that do exist are often not continuous and contain missing data. Though historical documents record the occurrence of dzud from the 19th century , changes in climate in Mongolia have been observed in instrumental records only since 1940 (Batima et al., 2005). Additionally studies concluded that the frequency of dzud has increased since 1950 (Fernandez-Gimenez et al., 2012; Middleton et al., 2015) and that it is expected to increase with future climatic changes (Bayasgalan et al., 2009). Natsagdorj (2001) shows that the trends of drought and

75 the dzud index, estimated by normalized monthly temperature and precipitation, are increasing. However, these studies are based on observational data of dzud, which are available only from about 1940. It is critical to extend the time horizon in order to improve the reliability of the return period estimation of catastrophic dzud. Long-term climate proxies, such as tree rings, have the potential to do so by deriving recurrence periods of dzud and climate extremes, especially to improve index insurance products (Bell et al., 2013). Yet, one of the challenges of improving the reliability of recurrence estimations is the

80 lack of scientific understanding of the historical trends of past climate events due to the short meteorological record (Mahul and Stutley, 2010; Mcsharry, 2014; Rao et al., 2015).

To improve risk analysis of dzud, the investigation of extreme distributions of climate extremes is critical. D'arrigo et al. (2001) inferred using millennial length tree-ring data that temperatures in Mongolia in the late 1990s and early 2000s were extraordinarily. Based on well-calibrated and verified millennial-length tree-ring reconstruction of summer temperatures,

85 Davi et al. (2015) and Davi et al. (2021) show that the recent warming trend since the 1990s is anomalous in the long-term context in Mongolia. In addition, Davi et al. (2010) conducted spectral analysis to discover the periodicity of droughts in Mongolia by using tree-ring based reconstructed Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI). However, these studies do not estimate distributions of extreme climatic events or improve the reliability of the estimation of return periods of dzud for risk analysis. Here, we use the term "risk analysis" to refer to the analysis of the probability of an extreme event whose

90 consequences could be substantial (Rootzén and Katz, 2013), but not the analysis where risk refers to the combination of the probability of an event and its associated expected losses.

1.2 Objectives of the study

The objective of this study is to conduct risk analysis for the climatic variables that cause dzud, namely summer drought followed by extreme cold temperature and snowfall, in Mongolia while attempting to improve the reliability of the return

95 period estimation of dzud utilizing tree-ring proxies and historical data on climatic variables. The study also explores the implications of the risk analysis and return period estimation for index insurance using tree-ring data. To address these objectives, we posed the following research question:

- ***How can the reliability of the return period estimation of climate extremes be improved?***

100 There are two important climatic variables to predict dzud: summer drought conditions and winter temperatures (Lall et al., 2016; Rao et al., 2015). Notably, this study estimates return periods of extreme drought conditions, by using tree-ring based reconstructed PDSI from the Monsoon Asia Drought Atlas or MADA (Cook et al., 2010). It also estimates return periods of extreme cold temperatures in Mongolia. Since temperature data in Mongolia is only available from the early- to-mid 20th century, we simulate them from meteorological data in neighboring Siberia, which has records that extend back to the late

105 1800s, through a statistical model presented here. Tree-ring based temperature reconstructions in the region are typically limited to the growing season and do not capture winter temperatures.

In Mongolia, the term "dzud" refers to high livestock mortality (Fernandez-Gimenez et al., 2012; Morinaga et al., 2003), however, we use climate variables to determine risk rather than mortality because mortality assumes that the size of the population does not matter. In fact, changes in livestock populations also matter since they can also be related to changes in

110 socio-economic factors, such as shortage of food supply, which can be related to non-climate factors. Other socio-economic factors also determine livestock herding loss, including the total number of animals and the density per square kilometer. These numbers drastically increased after a transition to private ownership in 1990s (Douglas A. Johnson, 2006; Rao et al., 2015; Reading et al., 2006). The increased livestock population results in overgrazing and degradation of the grassland, which resulted in a decrease in the grassland carrying capacity and a high mortality rate (Bat-Oyun et al., 2016; Berger et al.,

115 2013; Hilker et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2013).

In order to estimate a return level of an extreme climate event, extreme value theory (EVT) can be useful (Cheng et al., 2014; Katz et al., 2002). EVT informs us how to extrapolate a rare event which has not been experienced for a long time from existing observational data with a short record. This enables us to formulate a risk management strategy by deriving a distribution of extreme climate events and estimating a possible extreme value for the future. There are two main approaches

120 in EVT: The block maximum approach and the threshold approach, which will be described in Data and Methodology. The objectives of this study were to;

- **1. Estimate return periods of extreme drought conditions by using reconstructed PDSI based on extreme value theories.**

- **2. Estimate return periods of extreme cold temperatures in Mongolia by using long instrumental data from**
125 **Siberia.**

Conventionally, in estimating return periods, a stationarity process is assumed. Here, we consider the extension of the record by explicit dependence on climate proxies. Of course, this gives us a stationary return period, which is useful for risk assessment and writing a parametric insurance policy. However, we also examine how the return periods may change over time due to slowly and systematically changing climate conditions, persistence in the PDSI, or other climate records.

130 Exploring the nonstationary approach to return period and risk opens "many opportunities" (Salas & Obeysekera, 2014). This has the advantage of reducing the bias in the near-term projection, assessment of the return period, and recurrence interval associated with the event. Given this information, either the parametric insurance could be repriced up or down, or preparatory actions could be undertaken.

The study also explores the utility of using long-term climate proxies in the context of index insurance. In general, the index
135 used for index insurance must be scientifically objective and easily measurable. Though the Index-Based Livestock
Insurance Program (IBLIP) in Mongolia uses mortality rate as the index, this study will explore if climate proxies have the
potential to improve the design of the IBLIP.

2 Data and Methodology

2.1 Data and Preliminary Analysis

140 ### 2.2.1 Tree-ring Reconstructed PDSI

Data

PDSI is a standardized index that ranges from -10 (dry) and +10 (wet) based on a water balance model, accounting for
precipitation, evaporation, and soil moisture storage (Cook et al., 2010; Dai et al., 2004; Palmer, 1965). In this study, tree-
ring reconstructed PDSI values from 1700 to 2013 are taken from Monsoon Asia Drought Atlas (MADA) (Cook et al.,
145 2010). MADA is a seasonally resolved gridded spatial reconstruction of drought and pluvials in monsoon Asia over the last
700 years, derived from a network of tree-ring chronologies (Cook et al., 2010). The benefit of using the three regional
clusters is to capture smaller-scale regional details of known droughts because it is based only on the chronologies identified
from the principal component analysis (Cook et al., 2010). The MADA can also reveal the occurrence and severity of
previously unknown monsoon droughts (Cook et al., 2010). We consider three regions (Northwest, Southwest, and East
150 Mongolia) in Mongolia based on clusters proposed by Kaheil and Lall (Figure 1). These clusters are based on the mortality
data at the soum (county) level from 1972 to 2010, using hierarchical clustering, which were adjusted with the spatial
patterns of the Mongolian topography, climate zones, and mean precipitation in growing seasons. It is reasonable to use
these clusters because the objective of the study is to improve risk analysis of Dzud and mortality of livestock in Mongolia.

155

Figure 1: Spatial Clusters of Mortality Index based on 1972-2010 soum level mortality indices. Source: Lall and Kaheil (2011).

Preliminary Analysis

160 The correlation in PDSI values from 1700 to 2013 between three clusters is shown in Table 1. The Mann-Kendall trend test is used to examine the trends of the PDSI data (Kendall, 1948; Mann, 1945). The Mann-Kendall test shows that there are no monotonic trends in the PDSI data for all clusters (Table 1). Yet, times series of tree-ring reconstructed PDSI by clusters show that there is significant centennial- scale variability, which is important to consider since they suggest that there are persistent regimes that can last for decades to century time scales (Figure 2 (a)-(c)). Though these may occur randomly or

165 reflect systematic cyclical behavior, their consideration in a risk management strategy is critical.

Table 1: Correlations coefficients of PDSI values from 1700 to 2013 between the three clusters

	Pearson Correlation Coefficients	Mann-Kendall value
Southwest and Northwest	0.78	0.0004
Southwest and East	0.50	0.0002
Northwest and East	0.69	-0.0026

Figure 2: Time series of tree-ring reconstructed PDSI in (a) the Southwest, (b) the Northwest, and (c) East clusters . The horizontal line represents the estimated line of the regression of PDSI on year, and the red curve represents a lowess smooth of the data.

The autocorrelation function (ACF) and Partial ACF of all the regions show that there are significant autocorrelations in the PDSI data in all clusters (in Figure S1 and S2). The development of a time series simulation model that uses these long lead correlations would help inform the risk analysis associated with the persistent regimes identified earlier. Thus, Autoregressive–Moving-Average (ARMA) models with different orders are evaluated based on the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), which can account for fitting errors for the Bayesian conditional mechanism of models (Akaike, 1979; Burnham and Anderson, 2004). The order of the best ARIMA models in each cluster is (3,0,0) for the Southwest, (1,0,2) for the Northwest, and (1,0,0) for the East. These ARIMA models will be used later to forecast the effective return periods of droughts.

2.2.2 Climate variables

Models that use climate variables as covariates are explored for developing a nonstationary risk model. These data are summarized in Table 2. We use high-resolution gridded datasets at Climate Research Unit (CRU) at University of East Anglia for monthly temperature, and summer and winter precipitation for the three clusters (Harris et al., 2014). All the gridded points within each cluster are averaged. We also used average monthly temperature data from instrumental records in Siberia, including Irkutsk (1882-2011), Minusinsk (1886- 2011), and Ulan Ude (1895-1989).

We also use the Arctic Oscillation (AO) index, which comes from two sources: the Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). The two records were

scaled to be merged into one record (e.g. Kaheil and Lall, 2011)). Finally, please note that though dry conditions of PDSI is negative, all the analyzed PDSI values below are presented in reversed values because the used R package, extReme (Gilleland and Katz, 2016), will capture the maximum values.

Table 2: List of data analyzed in this study

	Types	Periods	Regions	Source
Tree-ring reconstructed PDSI data	534 grid point reconstructions on a 2.5x2.5° grid	1700 – 2013	Southwest, Northwest, And East Mongolia	Cook et al (2010)
Monthly temperature	High-resolution gridded climate datasets	1901 - 2014	Southwest, Northwest, And East Mongolia	World Meteorological Organization
Monthly minimum temperature	High-resolution gridded climate datasets	1901 – 2014	Southwest, Northwest, And East Mongolia	World Meteorological Organization
Monthly temperature in Irkutsk, Siberia	Instrumental climate data	Sept. 1820 - June 2016	- 52.27N, 104.32E. 469.0m (prob: 490m) - WMO station code: 30710 IRKUTSK	GHCN-M v3.3.0.20160703
Monthly temperature in Ulan-UDE , Siberia	Instrumental climate data	Aug. 1886 - Dec. 1990	- 51.83N, 107.60E, 515.0m (prob: 641m) - WMO station code: 30823 ULAN-UDE	GHCN-M v3.3.0.20160703
Monthly temperature in Minusinsk, Siberia	Instrumental data	Jan. 1886 - June 2016.	- 53.70N, 91.70E, 254.0m (prob: 369m) - WMO station code: 29866 MINUSINSK	GHCN-M v3.3.0.20160703
Summer and Winter precipitation	High-resolution gridded datasets	1901 – 2014	Southwest, Northwest, And East Mongolia	CRU
AO – Index		1903 - 2010		Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO) and National Oceanic and

				Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

2.2 Methodology

Extreme Value Analysis (EVA) is utilized in this study. In EVA, the distribution of many variables can be stabilized so that their extreme values asymptotically follow specific distribution functions (Coles et al., 2001). There are two primary ways to analyze extreme data. The first approach, the so- called block maxima approach, reduces the data by taking maxima of long blocks data, such as annual maxima (Coles et al., 2001). The Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) distribution function is fitted to maxima of block data, as given by

$$G(z) = \exp\left[-\left\{1 + \varepsilon(\frac{z - \mu}{\sigma})\right\}_{+}^{-/\varepsilon}\right] \qquad (1)$$

where

$$y_+ = \max\{y, 0\}, \sigma > 0, and -\infty < \mu, \varepsilon < \infty.$$

Equation (1) enclose three types of distribution function depending on the sign of the shape parameter ε. The Fréchet distribution function is for $\varepsilon > 0$ while the upper bounded Weibull distribution function is for $\varepsilon < 0$(Gilleland and Katz, 2016). The Gumbel type is obtained in the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$, which results in

$$G(z) = \exp\left[-exp\left[-\left\{\frac{z - \mu}{\sigma}\right\}\right]\right], -\infty < z < \infty \qquad (2)$$

The second approach, the so-called threshold excess approach, is to analyze excesses over a high threshold (Coles et al., 2001). The Generalized Pareto Distribution (GPD) has a theoretical justification for fitting to the threshold excess approach (Gilleland and Katz, 2016), as given by

$$H(x) = 1 - \left[1 + \varepsilon\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma_\mu}\right)\right]_+^{-1/\varepsilon} \qquad (3)$$

where μ is a high threshold, $x > \mu$, scale parameter $\sigma_\mu > 0$ and shape parameter $-\infty < \varepsilon < \infty$. The shape parameter ε determines three types of distribution functions: heavy-tailed Pareto when $\varepsilon > 0$, upper bounded Beta when $\varepsilon < 0$, and the exponential is obtained by taking the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$, which gives

$$H(x) = 1 - e^{-(x - \mu)/\sigma} \qquad (4)$$

The extreme value models can be applied in the presence of temporal dependence (Coles et al., 2001), as given below:

$$Zt \sim GEV\ (\mu(t), \sigma(t), \varepsilon(t)) \qquad (5)$$

Where

$$\mu(t) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \alpha_2 t^2 + .. + \alpha_n t^n$$

$$\sigma(t) = \exp\ (\beta_0 + \beta_1 t + .. + \beta_n t^n)$$

$$\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_0, & t \le t_0 \\ \varepsilon_1, & t > t_0 \end{cases}$$

By examining the times series of the PDSI values and winter minimum temperature, we can enhance the understanding of how return periods of droughts, and extreme cold weather have changed over time. The best GEV and GPD models are selected based on Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) and BIC (Katz, 2013). Also, it is examined in diagnostic plots whether the best GEV and GPD models are reasonably fit to distributions or not.

3 Main Results and Discussion

3.1 Return Periods of Droughts Using Tree-ring Reconstructed PDSI data

In this section, to find the best model to predict a drought condition with the extended time, GEV and GP distributions are fit to the tree-ring reconstructed PDSI values for approximately 300 years, from 1700 to 2013. Specifically, in order to estimate return periods of extreme drought conditions, tree-ring based reconstructed PDSI and extreme value theories are used. Block maximum approach by using GEV distributions and threshold approach by using GPDs will be used while checking the stationarity of the data. If it is not stationary, the non-stationary extreme value technique will be used.

The procedure is implemented as follows:

1. Fit GEV distributions to the tree-ring reconstructed PDSI values, allowing for non-stationarity by making μ, σ, and/ or ε a function of time.

2. Fit GEV distributions to the tree-ring reconstructed PDSI values using climate variables (AO index, summer precipitation, snow, and minimum temperatures).

3. Evaluate models based on BIC.

4. Using the best GEV model, return periods are estimated.

5. The above procedure is repeated for GPDs fit to the tree-ring reconstructed PDSI values.

3.1.1 Fitting GEV to the Tree-Ring Reconstructed PDSI for Return Period Estimation

We construct two types of models: (1) stationary and nonstationary extreme value models, and (2) nonstationary models using climatic variables as covariates. First, we consider polynomial models in time of the order of 0 to 2 for both the location and scale parameters of the GEV distribution, resulting in seven models to be tested, including the stationary model, for each region. In addition, autoregressive (AR) models are examined. The models are evaluated based on the BIC (Table 3). The best GEV models and its maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) with 95% confidence intervals are as follows (Table 3, Figure 3):

- Southwest: the model with a constant in the location parameter and temporally linear model in the scale parameter; the AR (3) model:

  μ = −0.42; σ = 0.95 + 0.002t; ε = −0.23. (BIC = 1045).

$\mu = -0.39 + 0.36 PDSI_{t-3}$; $\sigma = 1.19$; $\varepsilon = -0.29$. (BIC = 1005).

- Northwest: the model with a constant both in the location and scale parameters; AR (3) model:

  $\mu = -0.67$; $\sigma = 1.68$; $\varepsilon = -0.25$; (BIC = 1241).

  $\mu = -0.57 + 0.50 PDSI_{t-3}$; $\sigma = 1.47$; $\varepsilon = -0.27$. (BIC = 1146).

- East: the model with a constant both in the location and scale parameters; AR (1) model:

  $\mu = -0.93$; $\sigma = 1.65$; $\varepsilon = -0.31$; (BIC = 1212).

  $\mu = -0.55 + 0.62 PDSI_{t-1}$; $\sigma = 1.25$; $\varepsilon = -0.22$. (BIC = 1064).

Table 3: BIC values for stationary and non-stationary GEV models fitted to the tree-ring reconstructed PDSI values.

BIC	Stationary model	Non-stationary model						AR model
		L=1,S=0	L=0,S=1	L=1,S=1	L=2,S=1	L=1,S=2	L=2,S=2	
Southwest	1049	1053	**1045**	1048	1050	1053	1056	1005 AR(3)
Northwest	**1241**	1246	1246	1252	1242	1252	1248	1146 AR(3)
East	**1212**	1248	1218	1216	1217	1222	1222	1064 AR(1)

Note: L stands for the location parameters, S stands for the scale parameters. 0 means a constant

in the parameter, 1 is temporally linear, and 2 is temporally quadratic for each parameter.

255

Figure 3: 95% Confidence intervals of parameters based on the normal approximation for each parameter. Also numerical values are listed in Table S.1.

These results suggest that in the long run, a stationary model for PDSI in Mongolia may be appropriate. Only the Southwest has nonstationarity in the scale parameter, and this could be a real feature or an artifact of the non-constant reconstruction variance from the tree ring reconstruction algorithm.

Next, we estimate parameters of the GEV distribution functions fit to the PDSI values by including other climate variables such as AO index, summer precipitation, snow, and minimum temperatures as covariates from 1903 to 2010. Summer precipitation is a mean of May to August of a previous year, while snow is mean of values from November of a previous year to February of the year (thus, we use data starting 1903 though the data itself exists since 1901). The minimum temperature is a minimum value from November of a previous year to October of the year. The GEV models with the lowest BIC for each cluster and MLEs with the 95% confidence intervals are as follows (Table 4 and Figure 4):

- Southwest: *Precipitation* data as a linear covariate in the location parameter:

  $\mu = 3.63 - 0.14 Precipitation$; $\sigma = 1.12$; $\varepsilon = -0.21$. (BIC = 358).

- Northwest: *Precipitation* data as a linear covariate in the location parameter and *snow* data as a linear covariate in the scale parameter.

  $\mu = 6.25 - 0.15 Precipitation$; $\sigma = 2.38 - 0.31 snow$; $\varepsilon = -0.07$. (BIC = 380).

- East: *Precipitation* data as a linear covariate in the location parameter.

275 $\qquad \mu = 5.09 - 0.13 Precipitation;\ \sigma = 1.48;\ \varepsilon = -0.24.\ (\text{BIC} = 380).$

In the GEV models, climate variables (precipitation and snow) are important covariates for the extreme values of the PDSI values and improve the model performance (Table 3). These climate variables have no inter-year dependence that is significant based on ARIMA, and hence there is no memory in these variables and the best model is stationary model. Consequently, no near-term forecast is feasible.

280 **Table 4: BIC values in estimated GEV models fitted to the PDSI values using the climate variables from 1903 to 2010.**

		Scale				
Southwest						
		Constant	AO	Snow	Tmin	Precip
Location	Constant	392	397	397	394	391
	Linear trend	390	393	393	394	393
	Quadratic trend	387	390	391	387	390
	AO	397	401	401	397	393
	Snow	397	401	401	398	395
	Tmin	396	401	401	396	395
	Precip	**358**	361	362	362	362
Northwest						
		Constant	AO	Snow	Tmin	Precip
Location	Constant	430	434	433	433	432
	Linear trend	433	437	437	437	436
	Quadratic trend	427	431	431	429	425
	AO	434	438	437	437	437
	Snow	434	438	437	437	437
	Tmin	433	437	437	436	436
	Precip	384	388	**380**	387	387
East						
		Constant	AO	Snow	Tmin	Precip
Location	Constant	439	437	444	443	440
	Linear trend	441	446	445	446	445
	Quadratic trend	440	445	445	445	443
	AO	444	448	448	448	445
	Snow	439	444	442	443	441
	Tmin	444	448	448	448	444
	Precip	**416**	418	418	420	419

Figure 4: 95% Confidence intervals of parameters, using other climate variables based on the normal approximation. Also numerical values are listed in Table S.2.

285

The time series of effective return periods of 100-year events for the GEV distribution functions fitted to the PDSI using the climate variables are shown in the Southwest, Northwest, and East from 1903 to 2010 (Figure 5). This shows that variabilities of return periods of 100-year events of the PDSI values become larger over time in all the regions. Before 1940, the variabilities are small possibly because the instrumental data records began in 1940's. Even after 1940's, it also shows

290 that the magnitude of 100-year events has increased in the last half of the data series. A PDSI value of 3 used to be a 100 year event around 1920. Yet, around the beginning of the 21st century, it has increased to be between 4 and 5. However, considerable inter-annual and decadal variability is evident.

295 **Figure 5: Estimated effective return levels of a 100-years event from the GEV distribution function fitted the PDSI values in the Southwest over 1903 to 2010 with precipitation data as a linear covariate in the location parameter. Variabilities of return periods of 100-year events of the PDSI values become larger over time in all the regions. The blue horizontal line is the mean of the effective return levels while the red one is its median. Please note that the vertical axis is shown by the reversed values of PDSI values, meaning that a positive value is a drought condition.**

300

The relationship between significant climate covariates and reversed reconstructed PDSI values based on the best GEV models for each return period of 10, 50, and 100 years events are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7. This shows that less precipitation leads to higher reversed reconstructed PDSI values, meaning more likelihood of droughts. Consequently, with this model, future projections of precipitation could be helpful to predict drought severity and frequency.

305

Figure 6: Relationship between precipitation and reversed reconstructed PDSI values in the Southwest (left) and the East (right) based on the best GEV model. Since the PDSI values are reversed, the positive values mean drought conditions. The red, blue and green lines are 10 year, 50 year, and 100 year events. This shows that less precipitation leads to higher reversed reconstructed PDSI values, meaning more likelihood of droughts .

Figure 7: Relationship between precipitation, snow and reversed reconstructed PDSI values in the Northwest based on the best GEV model. Since the PDSI values are reversed, the positive values mean drought conditions. The x axis is precipitation, the y-axis is snow, and the z-axis is reversed reconstructed PDSI values. The right cube is for 10-year events, the central is for 50-year events, and the right is for 100-year events. Since the best GEV model contains precipitation and snow as covariates, the model for the Northwest is cubic. This shows that less precipitation leads to higher reversed reconstructed PDSI values, meaning more likelihood of droughts.

3.1.2 Fitting GPD to the Tree-Ring Reconstructed PDSI for the Return Periods Estimation

To fit a GPD, a threshold needs to be selected. We selected a threshold of 1.0 (please see the appendix A for the detailed explanation of how we chosen the threshold). GPDs are fit to the tree-ring reconstructed PDSI values from 1700 C.E. as both stationary and non-stationary models (Table 5). The model of stationarity is best in terms of BIC for all clusters.

Table 5: BIC for non-stationary models in the scale parameters of GPD models fitted to the tree-ring reconstructed PDSI from 1700 for each clusters.

BIC	Constant	Linear in time	Quadratic in time
Southwest	**97.00**	100.30	104.40
Northwest	**184.69**	188.37	188.41
East	**143.49**	145.01	148.25

325

The likelihood ratio test shows similar results. The likelihood ratio between temporal linear and stationary models shows that the p-value is 0.24. The likelihood ratio test between temporal quadratic and stationarity model shows 0.49 of p-values. Both results show that the subset models do not improve significantly. These results confirm that PDSI values a stationary model is appropriate.

330 Being similar to the GEV cases, we analyze the other climate variables after 1903. Table 6 shows that the best model of GPD is the one with a constant in the scale parameters in terms of BIC for all clusters. MLEs estimated by the best GPD models are shown in Figure 8. The table shows that for catastrophic droughts, climate variables are not a significant covariate, although the differences in BIC values in the Southwest and Northwest between the ones with constants and with AO index are small. The estimated effective return periods based on these best GPD models are listed in Table 7.

335 **Table 6: BIC values for different GPD models fitted to the tree-ring reconstructed PDSI values from 1903 with climate variables for all clusters**

Predictors in the scale parameters	Constant	AO	Snow	Tmin	Precip
Northwest	**30.21**	31.37	32.16	32.20	31.96
Southwest	**50.38**	50.82	53.00	52.09	52.76
East	**65.49**	68.84	68.62	68.86	67.80

Figure 8: 95% Confidence intervals of parameters, using other climate variables based on the normal approximation.
Also numerical values are listed in Table S.3

Table 7: Effective return levels of 10, 50, and 100 year events of the PDSI values, based on the best GPD models.
(Actual PDSI values are negative of these values).

	10 year event	50 year event	100 year event
Southwest	3.82	4.08	4.17
Northwest	4.68	4.75	4.76
East	3.85	3.87	3.87

Results Based on GEV and GPD Models

In this section, we fitted the GEV and GPD distribution functions to the PDSI values. Results are the following:

- All the results show that the PDSI values will follow the distributions with $\varepsilon<0$, namely the Weibull distribution for the GEV models and the upper-bounded Beta distribution for the GPD models.

- For the Southwest, the non-stationary models performed better if we look at GEV without a threshold. However, with a threshold of 1 for the GPDs, the stationary models perform better than the non-stationary models, which indicate that all trends in reconstructed PDSI values are influenced by small events, not by extreme events; i.e. extreme events are stationary. For both the Northwest and East, stationary models performed better for both the GEV and GPD models.

- Compared to the models with constants in the parameters, the GEV model with the climate variables are better in terms of the BIC value. Therefore, establishing a relationship between drought conditions and climate variables, particularly precipitation and snow, is useful in understanding the dynamics that determine dry conditions. However, compared to the models with constants in the scale parameters, the GPD models with the climate variables don't lead to the improvement of the model performance. Hence, the climate variables are not so useful for understanding the catastrophic dry conditions.

- In terms of BIC, the models of a GPD fitted to tree-ring reconstructed PDSI values show better performance than the GEV models.

- Because of the third point, the effective return periods based on the GEV models change with the climate variables. In contrast, the effective return levels based on the GPD models are constant: for example, a 100-year event is the PDSI value of -4.17 for the Southwest, -4.76 for the Northwest, and -3.87 for the East.

3.2 Simulating Annual Minimum Temperature in Mongolia Using Siberia Data

First, winter temperatures in Mongolia will be simulated by using instrumental temperature data from Siberia (in Section 3.2). By using the simulated winter temperature in Mongolia, return periods of extreme cold temperature during winters will be estimated in Section 3.3.

Instrumental winter temperature data in Mongolia is limited before 1950. Thus, we attempt to estimate the Mongolia data from longer records from Siberia. The procedure was implemented as follows:

    1. Conduct correlation analysis between Siberia and Mongolia data to select which station data are informative for temperature in Mongolia.

    2. Impute missing data of instrumental data in Siberia

    3. Fit a GEV and GPD to the winter minimum temperature in Mongolia with the Siberia data

    4. Simulate winter minimum temperature of Mongolia from Siberia data based on the best GEV model.

    5. Calculate effective return periods of 10, 50, and 100 years from the simulated winter minimum temperature of Mongolia.

First, correlation analysis is conducted to see which station data in Siberia is useful for Mongolia data. Temperature data in both Mongolia and Siberia is monthly data. Thus, to remove the seasonality, we use minimum temperature and average temperature during the winter time (October to April). Data are taken for the common periods when all the points have data (i.e. between 1901 – 1990). Irkutsk data alone is used since it alone shows significant correlations (Results of Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients and scatter plots are shown respectively in Table S.4, Figure S.5 and Figure S.6 in the appendix.) We also check the ACF of residuals between data from Irkutsk, Siberia and winter average temperature of each cluster, and find out that there is no significant ACF structures between these data (Figure S.7).

385   Next, we checked the structures of missing data from Irkutsk. Some years are missing all monthly records. We impute
Irkutsk's data with pattern matching methods, which is equivalent to k-nearest neighbors, by Gibbs sampling using
predictive mean matching method (Van Buuren and Groothuis-Oudshoorn, 2011).

Using winter minimum temperature from the Irkutsk data in Siberia ($Tmin_{Irkutsk}$) as a covariate, we fit the Mongolia winter
minimum temperature ($Tmin_{mongolia}$) based on the GEV and GPD models.

390   **3.2.1 Fitting GEV to the Winter Minimum Temperature in Mongolia**

The results for GEV models based on BIC are shown in Table 8. Models with Siberia data both in the location and scale
parameter are the lowest BIC for the Southwest and Northwest. For the East, the one with Siberia data in the location
parameter and constant in the scale parameter shows the lowest BIC (Table 8). The best models for each region are shown
in Figure 9 and in the following:

$$H\left(Tmin_{mongolia}\right) = 1 - \left[1 + \varepsilon\left(\frac{Tmin_{mongolia} - \mu}{\sigma_\mu}\right)\right]_+^{-1/\varepsilon} \tag{6}$$

$$Zt\sim GEV\left(\mu(t), \sigma(t), \varepsilon(t)\right) \tag{7}$$

395   where

$\mu(Tmin_{Irkutsk}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * Tmin_{Irkutsk}$

$\sigma(Tmin_{Irkutsk}) = \exp(\beta_3 + \beta_4 * Tmin_{Irkutsk})$

$\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_0, & t \le t_0 \\ \varepsilon_1, & t > t_0 \end{cases}$

Southwest: $\mu = 11.80 + 0.39 Tmin_{Irkutsk}$; $\sigma = 1.90$; $\varepsilon = -0.25$.

400   Northwest: $\mu = 12.67 + 0.52 Tmin_{Irkutsk}$; $\sigma = exp(0.35 + 0.06 Tmin_{Irkutsk})$; $\varepsilon = -0.18$.

East: $\mu = 10.20 + 0.48 Tmin_{Irkutsk}$; $\sigma = 1.40$; $\varepsilon = -0.38$.

Table 8: BIC values for GEV models using Irkutsk data for 3 clusters

	Stationary	Location= $Tmin_{Irkutsk}$, scale=1	Location=1, scale= $Tmin_{Irkutsk}$	Location=$Tmin_{Irkutsk}$, scale= $Tmin_{Irkutsk}$
Southwest	527.40	**494.45**	528.98	497.40
Northwest	537.04	467.87	532.89	**467.74**
East	495.48	**403.36**	846.02	901.64

Figure 9: **Estimated parameters based on the best GEV model fitted to the winter minimum temperature in Southwest using Irkutsk data. Numerical values are listed in Table S.5.**

3.2.2 Fitting GPD to the Winter Minimum Temperature in Mongolia

For GPD, we select 20 (-20 degrees in reality) as a threshold. In this case, the one with the Irkutsk's data in the scale parameter has the lowest BICs for all clusters as Table 9 shows.

410

Table 9: BIC values of GPD models using Irkutsk data for 3 clusters

	Stationary	Scale = $Tmin_{Irkutsk}$
Southwest	242.00	**236.00**
Northwest	503.92	**479.89**
East	203.52	**180.15**

Figure 10: **Estimated parameters based on the best GPD model fitted to the winter minimum temperature in**
415 **Southwest using Irkutsk data. Numerical values are listed in Table S.6.**

3.2.3 Results based on GEV and GPD models

In this section, we fitted the GEV and GPD distribution functions to the winter minimum temperature in Mongolia. The
results are as follows:

- All the results show that the winter minimum temperature will follow the distributions with $\varepsilon < 0$, namely the
420   Weibull distribution for GEV and the upper-bounded Beta distribution.
- Based on BIC, GPD models show better performance in both Southwest and East regions, while the GED models
    show better performance in Northwest.

3.3 Return Periods of the Winter Minimum Temperature in Mongolia Simulated from Siberia Data

Next, we simulate the Mongolia winter minimum temperature based on data from Irkutsk Siberia for 197 years using the
425 parameters estimated by the best GEV model. We use the GEV model because the winter minimum temperature data is a
single extreme value and that the GEV model is suitable for maxima and minima of block data. Then, using this simulated
Mongolia winter minimum temperatures, we estimate the 90% confidence intervals of return levels of 10, 50 and 100 year
events for each cluster (**Figure 11**). The median of 100 year return levels are -26.08, -27.99, and -25.31 Celsius degrees for
the Southwest, Northwest, and East.

430

Figure 11: Density plots of 10, 50, and 100-year return levels of the winter minimum temperatures in the Southwest, Northwest, and East of Mongolia with 90% confidence intervals. The data is simulated 100 times from the Siberia data. For example, the plots show that the median of 100 year return levels are -26.08, -27.99, and -25.31 Celsius degrees for the Southwest, Northwest, and East.

435 **4 Conclusions**

Meteorological data in Mongolia is limited in length with many missing values. Therefore, we utilize longer records from paleoclimate proxy data and meteorological data from neighboring Siberia. This study attempts to improve risk estimation for dzud in Mongolia. Based on extreme value theory, this study derives fitted distributions for drought and winter extreme cold conditions. The study also improves the estimation of return periods of extreme drought conditions and winter

440 temperature, using tree-ring reconstructed self-calibrated PDSI, and station records from Mongolia and Siberia.

GEV models without a threshold show that there is a non-stationarity trend in tree-ring reconstructed PDSI data in the Southwest, while there is a stationarity trend in PDSI in both the Northwest and East. However, the threshold approach indicates that extreme events in reconstructed PDSI values are stationary, indicating that catastrophic drought conditions are stationary for the last 300 years.

445 The study estimated the extreme distributions of drought and winter minimum temperatures in Mongolia. The PDSI values follow the distributions with ε<0, namely the Weibull distribution for the GEV models and the upper-bounded Beta distribution for the GPD models. Also, the results of the study show that the winter minimum temperature follow the distributions with ε<0, namely the Weibull distribution for GEV and the upper-bounded Beta distribution. These estimated distributions can be used to improve the risk calculations for livestock index insurance in Mongolia.

450 Based on the results of our GEV fitted to the PDSI values, we show that climate variables, such as precipitation and snow, are important covariates for the extreme values of the reconstructed PDSI values. However, for catastrophic drought events, climate variables are not significant covariates based on the results of the GPD model fitted to the PDSI values.

The GEV model also shows that the return levels of drought conditions are changing over time and variability is increasing for all the regions. Yet, based on GPD, the return levels of drought conditions are constant: for example, the actual values of

455   the PDSI for the 100-year events are: -4.17 for the Southwest, -4.76 for the Northwest, and -3.87 for the East. The median of 100-year return levels of the winter minimum temperature in Mongolia is -26.08 Celsius degrees for the Southwest, -27.99 Celsius degrees for the Northwest, and -25.31 Celsius degrees for the East.

This study improves the return period estimation of droughts and winter minimum temperature. Summer drought and winter temperature are important predictors for livestock mortality since they explain 48.4% of the total variability in the mortality 460   data, along with summer precipitation and summer potential evapotranspiration (Rao et al., 2015). Therefore, this long-term estimation of return periods of these significant predictors can be used to improve risk analysis of high livestock mortality in order to prepare for the winter catastrophes through early warning systems and index insurance. Particularly, the estimation of extreme value distributions and return levels has the potential to improve livestock index insurance, which is implemented in Mongolia by the Government of Mongolia with the help of the World Bank (Mahul et al., 2015). Furthermore, the results 465   of this study increase understanding of how extreme climatic events in arid regions, which are sensitive to anthropogenic climate change, are changing. The urgent needs to improve resilience of the society to this winter disaster is even more unequivocal.

Data Availability Statement

470   All relevant and publicly available data will be shared via a public data repository if the paper is accepted for publication; data sources are clearly specified throughout the paper.

Author contributions

MH, ND, MW, and UL designed the research. MH conducted data analysis. MR and CL prepared the dataset. MH prepared the manuscript with contributions and feedback from all co-authors.

475   **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have not conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

ND and MR were supported by NSF OPP #1737788. MW's work was supported by "Development of Innovative Green Technology and MRV Method for JCM (Joint Credit Mechanism) in Mongolia", which was funded by the Ministry of the 480   Environment, Japan from 2014-2020..

Reference

Mongolia: Severe Winter—Dzud. (Jun 2010) [dataset],

Mongolia : State of the Environment 2002.: http://www.rrcap.ait.asia/Publications/mongolia soe.pdf, last access: 8/25.

Climate Research Unit. (n.d.). CRU ts3.23. [dataset],

485 Akaike, H.: A Bayesian extension of the minimum AIC procedure of autoregressive model fitting, Biometrika, 66, 237-242, 1979.

Barnett, B. J. and Mahul, O.: Weather index insurance for agriculture and rural areas in lower-income countries, American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 89, 1241-1247, 2007.

Bat-Oyun, T., Shinoda, M., Cheng, Y., and Purevdorj, Y.: Effects of grazing and precipitation variability on vegetation dynamics in a Mongolian dry steppe, Journal of Plant Ecology, 9, 508-519, 2016.

490 Batima, P., Natsagdorj, L., Gombluudev, P., and Erdenetsetseg, B.: Observed climate change in Mongolia, Assess Imp Adapt Clim Change Work Pap, 12, 1-26, 2005.

Bayasgalan, B., Mijiddorj, R., Gombluudev, P., Oyunbaatar, D., Bayasgalan, M., Tas, A., Narantuya, T., and Molomjamts, L.: Climate change and sustainable livelihood of rural people in Mongolia, The adaptation continuum: groundwork for the future. ETC Foundation, Leusden, 193-213, 2009.

495 Begzsuren, S., Ellis, J. E., Ojima, D. S., Coughenour, M. B., and Chuluun, T.: Livestock responses to droughts and severe winter weather in the Gobi Three Beauty National Park, Mongolia, Journal of Arid environments, 59, 785-796, 2004.

Bell, A. R., Osgood, D. E., Cook, B. I., Anchukaitis, K. J., McCarney, G. R., Greene, A. M., Buckley, B. M., and Cook, E. R.: Paleoclimate histories improve access and sustainability in index insurance programs, Global environmental change, 23, 774-781, 2013.

Berger, J., Buuveibaatar, B., and Mishra, C.: Globalization of the cashmere market and the decline of large mammals in Central Asia, 500 Conservation Biology, 27, 679-689, 2013.

Burnham, K. P. and Anderson, D. R.: Multimodel inference: understanding AIC and BIC in model selection, Sociological methods & research, 33, 261-304, 2004.

Cheng, L., AghaKouchak, A., Gilleland, E., and Katz, R. W.: Non-stationary extreme value analysis in a changing climate, Climatic change, 127, 353-369, 2014.

505 Coles, S., Bawa, J., Trenner, L., and Dorazio, P.: An introduction to statistical modeling of extreme values, Springer2001.

Cook, E. R., Anchukaitis, K. J., Buckley, B. M., D'Arrigo, R. D., Jacoby, G. C., and Wright, W. E.: Asian monsoon failure and megadrought during the last millennium, science, 328, 486-489, 2010.

D'Arrigo, R., Jacoby, G., Frank, D., Pederson, N., Cook, E., Buckley, B., Nachin, B., Mijiddorj, R., and Dugarjav, C.: 1738 years of Mongolian temperature variability inferred from a tree‐ring width chronology of Siberian pine, Geophysical Research Letters, 28, 543-510 546, 2001.

Dagvadorj, D., Natsagdorj, L., Dorjpurev, J., and Namkhainyam, B.: Mongolia assessment report on climate change 2009, Ministry of Nature, Environment and Tourism, Ulaanbaatar, 2, 34-46, 2009.

Dai, A., Trenberth, K. E., and Qian, T.: A global dataset of Palmer Drought Severity Index for 1870–2002: Relationship with soil moisture and effects of surface warming, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 5, 1117-1130, 2004.

515 Davi, N., Jacoby, G., Fang, K., Li, J., D'Arrigo, R., Baatarbileg, N., and Robinson, D.: Reconstructing drought variability for Mongolia based on a large‐scale tree ring network: 1520‐1993, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 115, 2010.

Davi, N. K., D'Arrigo, R., Jacoby, G., Cook, E. R., Anchukaitis, K., Nachin, B., Rao, M. P., and Leland, C.: A long-term context (931–2005 CE) for rapid warming over Central Asia, Quaternary Science Reviews, 121, 89-97, 2015.

Davi, N. K., Rao, M., Wilson, R., Andreu‐Hayles, L., Oelkers, R., D'Arrigo, R., Nachin, B., Buckley, B., Pederson, N., and Leland, C.: 520 Accelerated Recent Warming and Temperature Variability over the Past Eight Centuries in the Central Asian Altai from Blue Intensity in Tree Rings, Geophysical Research Letters, e2021GL092933, 2021.

Douglas A. Johnson, D. P. S., Daniel Miller and Daalkhaijav Damiran: Mongolian rangelands in transition, Sécheresse, 17, 133-141, 2006.

Fernandez-Gimenez, M. E., Batkhishig, B., and Batbuyan, B.: Cross-boundary and cross-level dynamics increase vulnerability to severe winter disasters (dzud) in Mongolia, Global Environmental Change, 22, 836-851, 2012.

525 Field, C. B.: Special Report on Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation: Summary for Policymakers: a Report of Working Groups I and II of the IPCC, Published for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change2012.

Gilleland, E. and Katz, R. W.: extRemes 2.0: An extreme value analysis package in R, Journal of Statistical Software, 72, 1-39, 2016.

Harris, I., Jones, P. D., Osborn, T. J., and Lister, D. H.: Updated high‐resolution grids of monthly climatic observations–the CRU TS3. 10 Dataset, International journal of climatology, 34, 623-642, 2014.

530 Hilker, T., Natsagdorj, E., Waring, R. H., Lyapustin, A., and Wang, Y.: Satellite observed widespread decline in Mongolian grasslands largely due to overgrazing, Global Change Biology, 20, 418-428, 2014.

Kakinuma, K., Yanagawa, A., Sasaki, T., Rao, M. P., and Kanae, S.: Socio-ecological interactions in a changing climate: a review of the Mongolian pastoral system, Sustainability, 11, 5883, 2019.

Katz, R. W.: Statistical methods for nonstationary extremes, in: Extremes in a changing climate, Springer, 15-37, 2013.

535 Katz, R. W., Parlange, M. B., and Naveau, P.: Statistics of extremes in hydrology, Advances in water resources, 25, 1287-1304, 2002.
Kendall, M. G.: Rank correlation methods, 1948.
Lall, U. and Kaheil, Y.: Investigation of climate imapct on mongolia livestock mortality, 2011.
Lall, U., Devineni, N., and Kaheil, Y.: An empirical, nonparametric simulator for multivariate random variables with differing marginal densities and nonlinear dependence with hydroclimatic applications, Risk Analysis, 36, 57-73, 2016.
540 Liu, J., Hull, V., Batistella, M., DeFries, R., Dietz, T., Fu, F., Hertel, T. W., Izaurralde, R. C., Lambin, E. F., and Li, S.: Framing sustainability in a telecoupled world, Ecology and Society, 18, 2013.
Mahul, O. and Skees, J. R.: Managing agricultural risk at the country level: The case of index-based livestock insurance in Mongolia, World Bank Policy Research Working Paper, 2007.
Mahul, O. and Stutley, C. J.: Government support to agricultural insurance: challenges and options for developing countries, World Bank
545 Publications2010.
Mahul, O., Belete, N., and Goodland, A.: Innovations in insuring the poor: Index-based livestock insurance in Mongolia, 2015.
Mann, H. B.: Nonparametric tests against trend, Econometrica: Journal of the econometric society, 245-259, 1945.
McSharry, P.: The role of scientific modelling and insurance in providing innovative solutions for managing the risk of natural disasters, in: Reducing Disaster: Early Warning Systems For Climate Change, Springer, 325-338, 2014.
550 Middleton, N., Rueff, H., Sternberg, T., Batbuyan, B., and Thomas, D.: Explaining spatial variations in climate hazard impacts in western Mongolia, Landscape Ecology, 30, 91-107, 2015.
Morinaga, Y., Tian, S. F., and Shinoda, M.: Winter snow anomaly and atmospheric circulation in Mongolia, International Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 23, 1627-1636, 2003.
Natsagdorj, L.: Some aspects of assessment of the dzud phenomena, Papers in Meteorology and Hydrology, 23, 3-18, 2001.
555 Palmer, W. C.: Meteorological drought (Vol. 30). Washington, DC, USA, US Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau, 1965.
Rao, M. P., Davi, N. K., D D'Arrigo, R., Skees, J., Nachin, B., Leland, C., Lyon, B., Wang, S.-Y., and Byambasuren, O.: Dzuds, droughts, and livestock mortality in Mongolia, Environmental Research Letters, 10, 074012, 2015.
Reading, R. P., Bedunah, D. J., and Amgalanbaatar, S.: Conserving biodiversity on Mongolian rangelands: implications for protected area development and pastoral uses, In: Bedunah, Donald J., McArthur, E. Durant, and Fernandez-Gimenez, Maria, comps. 2006. Rangelands
560 of Central Asia: Proceedings of the Conference on Transformations, Issues, and Future Challenges. 2004 January 27; Salt Lake City, UT. Proceeding RMRS-P-39. Fort Collins, CO: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. p. 1-17,
Reynolds, J. F., Smith, D. M. S., Lambin, E. F., Turner, B., Mortimore, M., Batterbury, S. P., Downing, T. E., Dowlatabadi, H., Fernández, R. J., and Herrick, J. E.: Global desertification: building a science for dryland development, science, 316, 847-851, 2007.
Rootzén, H. and Katz, R. W.: Design life level: quantifying risk in a changing climate, Water Resources Research, 49, 5964-5972, 2013.
565 Skees, J. R. and Enkh-Amgalan, A.: Examining the feasibility of livestock insurance in Mongolia, World Bank Publications2002.
Tachiiri, K., Shinoda, M., Klinkenberg, B., and Morinaga, Y.: Assessing Mongolian snow disaster risk using livestock and satellite data, Journal of Arid Environments, 72, 2251-2263, 2008.
Van Buuren, S. and Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K.: mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in R, Journal of statistical software, 45, 1-67, 2011.
570 World Meteorological Organization: Climate Explorer [dataset],
Zscheischler, J., Martius, O., Westra, S., Bevacqua, E., Raymond, C., Horton, R. M., van den Hurk, B., AghaKouchak, A., Jézéquel, A., and Mahecha, M. D.: A typology of compound weather and climate events, Nature reviews earth & environment, 1, 333-347, 2020.