



1	The Effect of the Wenchuan and Lushan Earthquakes on the Size
2	Distribution of Earthquakes along the Longmenshan Fault
3	Chun Hui ^{1,2,3} , Changxiu Cheng ^{1,2,3,4*} , Shi Shen ^{1,2,3} , Peichao Gao ^{1,2,3} , Jin Chen ^{1,2} , Jing Yang ⁵ , Min
4	Zhao ^{1,2,3}
5	¹ Key Laboratory of Environmental Change and Natural Disaster, Beijing Normal University, China,
6	100875
7	² State Key Laboratory of Earth Surface Processes and Resource Ecology, Beijing Normal
8	University, China, 100875
9	³ Faculty of Geographical Science, Center for Geodata and Analysis, Beijing Normal University,
10	China, 100875
11	⁴ National Tibetan Plateau Data Center, Beijing, China, 100101
12	⁵ College of Data Science, Taiyuan University of Technology, China, 030024
13	
14	Corresponding author: Changxiu Cheng
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27 29	
20 29	
30	
31	
32	





33 Abstract

34 Changes in the stress state of faults and their surroundings is a highly plausible mechanism 35 explaining earthquakes interaction. These stress changes can impact the seismicity rate and the size 36 distribution of earthquakes. However, the effect of large earthquakes on the earthquake size 37 distribution along the Longmenshan fault has not been quantified. We evaluated the levels of the b38 value for the stable state before and after the large earthquakes on 12 May 2008 (Wenchuan, M_S 8.0) and 20 April 2013 (Lushan, M_S 7.0) along the Longmenshan fault. We found that after the 39 40 mainshocks, the size distribution of the subsequent earthquakes shifted toward relatively larger 41 events in the Wenchuan aftershock zone (b value decreased from 1.03 to 0.84), and generally 42 remained invariable in the Lushan aftershock zone (b value remained at 0.76). The time required 43 for the b value to return to stable states after both mainshocks were entirely consistent with the time 44 needed by the aftershock depth images to stop visibly changing. The result of the temporal variation 45 of b values show decreasing trends for the b value before both large earthquakes. Our results are available for assessing the potential seismic risk of the Longmenshan fault as a reference. 46

47

48 Keywords: *b* value; stable state; depth; Longmenshan fault

49 1 Introduction

Following the Wenchuan M_s 8.0 earthquake on 12 May 2008, the Longmenshan fault zone was struck by the 20 April 2013 M_s 7.0 Lushan earthquake. The Longmenshan fault zone is composed of several almost parallel thrust faults, forming a boundary fault between the Sichuan Basin and Tibetan Plateau, and controls the seismicity of the Longmenshan region (Fig. 1). The epicenters of the Wenchuan and Lushan earthquakes were approximately 87 km apart, and the focal mechanism of both events showed a thrust rupture (Jia et al., 2014).

According to the characteristics of the Wenchuan and Lushan earthquakes, whether the M_S 7.0 56 57 Lushan event was a strong aftershock of the MS 8.0 Wenchuan earthquake or a new and independent 58 event has been a topic of debate. For example, some researchers suggest that the two large 59 earthquakes were independent events. The reasons are as follows: (1) there is no overlapping area 60 between the Wenchuan and Lushan earthquake rupture zones (Zhang et al., 2013); (2) the Wenchuan 61 and Lushan earthquakes were generated in different faults in the Longmenshan fault zone (Li et al., 62 2014); (3) the rupture processes of the Wenchuan and Lushan earthquakes were different, and the aftershock zones of the two events were nearly 45 km apart (Du et al., 2013). Alternatively, some 63 64 scientists propose that the Wenchuan and Lushan earthquakes were a mainshock-aftershock 65 sequence and note that the Lushan event struck in an area where Coulomb stress was increased due 66 to the Wenchuan earthquake (Parsons and Segou, 2014; Zhu, 2016).

The controversy over the relationship between the Wenchuan and Lushan earthquakes highlights the complexity of earthquakes interaction in the Longmenshan fault zone. It is widely accepted that earthquakes interactions can be understood by identifying changes in static and dynamic stress states around faults (Toda et al., 2011; Wedmore et al., 2017; Verdecchia et al., 2018).





The most observable effect of this stress change is a significant increase in seismicity rate, which is generally considered an aftershock phenomenon (Kilb et al., 2002; Toda et al., 2012; Devries et al., 2018). Statistically, aftershock activity is classically described by $n(t) = K/(t + c)^p$, where n(t) is the number of aftershocks after time *t* and *K*, *c*, and *p* are constants that describe the aftershock productivity (Utsu et al., 1995). Ogata (1988,1998) described aftershock activity as a multigenerational branching process and proposed the epidemic-type aftershock sequence model, which is a stochastic point process model of self-exciting point processes.

78 Changes in stress can impact the seismicity rate and the frequency size distribution, which is 79 alternatively known as the frequency-magnitude distribution (FMD) (Gulia et al., 2018) or 80 Gutenberg-Richter (G-R) law (Gutenberg and Richter, 1944) and is expressed as logN=a-bM, where N is the number of events in a given time period with magnitude greater than M, a describes the 81 82 seismicity of a volume, and b is slope of the FMD. Previous studies showed that b values fall within 83 the range of 1.02 ± 0.03 on a large scale for a long time (Wech et al., 2010; El-Isa et al., 2014). For 84 regions on a smaller scale, the b values show a broad range of spatial and temporal variations. For 85 example, the b value ranged from 0.5 to 2.5 in the Andaman-Sumatra region and California 86 (Nuannin et al., 2005). Interpretation of the variation of b values is based on several factors, 87 including stress state (Amitrano, 2003; Goebel et al., 2013), focal depth (Spada et al., 2013), faulting style (Schorlemmer et al., 2005; Gulia et al. 2010), fluid pressure (Bachmann et al., 2012), and so 88 89 on.

90 The earthquake size distribution generally follows a power law, with a slope of b values, which 91 characterizes the relative occurrence of large and small events. A low b value indicates a larger 92 proportion of large earthquakes and vice versa. Zhao et al. (2008) compared the spatial footprint of 93 b values before and after the Wenchuan earthquake in the Longmenshan fault zone, and the results 94 showed that the b values tend to change from lower in the southern region to higher in the 95 northeastern region. The temporal change in b values before the Wenchuan M_S 8.0 earthquake 96 showed a decreasing trend (Zhang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2018), and the Lushan M_S 97 7.0 earthquake showed similar temporal change trends in b values (Zhao et al., 2020). These studies 98 focused on the variation trend of b values before and after the two large events along the 99 Longmenshan fault. However, the fundamental effect of the Wenchuan and Lushan earthquakes on 100 the size distribution of earthquakes along the Longmenshan fault has not been quantified, which 101 limits our understanding of how the apparent stress changes in the region affect the size distribution 102 of earthquakes.

In this study, we evaluated the spatiotemporal evolution of the *b* values along the Longmenshan fault in the past nearly 20 years. Moreover, we estimated the levels of the *b* value for the stable state before and after the Wenchuan and Lushan earthquakes and quantified the effects of the two large earthquakes on the size distribution of subsequent events at different times. In addition, the spatial evolution process of the deep seismogenic environment in the Wenchuan and Lushan aftershock zones in two and three dimensions was illustrated via spatial scanning and data fitting, which can be used to analyze the aftershock activity of the two large earthquakes.







110

111 Figure 1. The topographic and tectonic map of the Longmenshan fault zone and its surrounding region.

112 Blue beach ball represents the focal mechanism of the Wenchuan M_S 8.0 earthquake. Pink beach ball represent the

113 focal mechanism of the Lushan M_S 7.0 earthquake. Red circles represent epicenters of earthquakes ($M_S \ge 4$) from 1

114

January 2000 to 1 January 2019.

115 **2 Data and Postulates**

116 The earthquake catalog we used here was documented by the regional seismic network and 117 then verified by the China Earthquake Networks Center (CENC) along the Longmenshan fault 118 during the period from 1 January 2000 to 1 January 2019. It is a relatively complete catalog 119 containing the Wenchuan-Lushan earthquake sequence.

Figure 2 shows the magnitude-time distribution of earthquakes in the Wenchuan source region and Lushan source region. The locations of the earthquakes in this catalog were corrected for accuracy. Each event includes the time, location, magnitude and depth. Homogeneity of the catalog was iterated and optimized for subsequent research and analysis.

> 124 125

> 126

127









128 Stress change has been widely used to interpret the triggering of the mainshock-mainshock and 129 mainshock-aftershock events (Stein et al., 1997; Mallman and Zoback, 2007; Toda et al., 2011; 130 Delescluse et al., 2012; Durand et al., 2013; Sumy et al., 2014). However, the exact measurement 131 of stress states is difficult; thus, a relationship between the stress state and b value has been proposed (Zuniga and Wyss, 2001; Schorlemmer et al., 2005; Nanjo et al., 2012; Gulia et al., 2018). 132 133 Schorlemmer et al. (2005) demonstrated that the b value could be regarded as a stress indicator that 134 depends inversely on differential stress. Therefore, changes in the stress state on faults lead to the variation in b values, which is followed by time-dependent recovery. In general, the larger the 135 136 magnitude of the earthquake, the greater the stress changes and the larger the b value fluctuations. No event of magnitude larger than M 7.0 had been reported in the historical record of the 137 138 Longmenshan fault zone and the catalog we used in this paper contains more than 80,000 events 139 and only two large earthquakes greater than magnitude 6.5, that is, the Wenchuan $M_S 8.0$ and Lushan 140 M_S 7.0 earthquakes. Thus, these two events are mainly responsible for the apparent changes in stress 141 state along the Longmenshan fault zone in the past 20 years.

142Therefore, there are two postulates: first, without a large earthquake perturbation, the *b* value143will remain in a stable state with a small fluctuation range for a long time; second, after the144perturbation of a large earthquake, the *b* value may recover to another stable state. We evaluated the145levels of the *b* value for the stable state before and after these two large earthquakes in the study146region.

147 3 Methods

148 The main methods we used include estimation methods (MaxCurvature for the estimation of 149 the completeness magnitude; maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) for the *b* value estimation),





150 test methods (Akaike information criterion (AIC) for the variation trend of *b* values; the nonlinearity 151 index (NLIndex) for the linearity assessment of frequency-magnitude distribution) and kriging 152 interpolation to describe the spatial and temporal evolution images of aftershock focal depth.

153 3.1 Completeness magnitude (M_C) and b value estimation

The estimation of the completeness of earthquake catalogs is essential to the computation of b 154 values, and the lowest magnitude of all earthquakes that are reliably detected in a space-time volume 155 is defined as the completeness magnitude (M_C) (Woessner and Wiemer, 2005). The lower the M_C , 156 157 the higher the detection capability. Here, we use the MaxCurvature technique, which estimates the 158 M_C by locating the magnitude that is the highest frequency of events in the FMD. Mignan (2011) 159 showed that the MaxCurvature technique underestimates the M_C in cases involving gradually curved FMDs and postulated that this underestimation tendency arises from spatiotemporal heterogeneities 160 161 within the earthquake monitoring network. Therefore, we used the corrected MaxCurvature method 162 with a correction factor of +0.2 (Gulia and Wiemer, 2019), and the uncertainties were determined 163 by bootstrapping.

164 The least-squares method and maximum likelihood estimation are often used to calculate the 165 *b* value, and the latter approach is considered more stable. In this work, the maximum likelihood 166 estimation used to calculate the *b* value and its standard deviation (Aki, 1965; Utsu, 1965):

167
$$b = \frac{1}{\ln(10)(\overline{M} - M_c)}$$

168 where \overline{M} is the average magnitude of earthquakes with $M \ge M_C$; M_C is the cutoff magnitude. The 169 confidence limit of the *b* value is expressed as follows:

170
$$\sigma = \frac{b}{\sqrt{N}}$$

171 where N is the number of earthquake cases of the given sample.

172 3.2 Estimation of the frequency-magnitude distribution (FMD) extrapolation

The nonlinearity index (NLIndex) can be used to assess whether the extrapolation of a given
high-magnitude FMD is likely an overestimate or underestimate of the probable rates for large
events (Tormann et al., 2014).
Calculate the *b* value for all Mcut from Mcmin to the highest Mcut for which Nmin events
are still sampled.

Divide the standard deviation by the largest individual b value uncertainty for each
possible Mcut, and for each Mcut, this value is the NLIndex.

- 180 3) Divide the NLIndex for each Mcut by the number of estimated *b* values to weight the result181 by data density.
- 4) Find the minimum weighted NLIndex to find the best M_C that produces the most linear
 FMD fit.

184If NLIndex \leq 1, the FMD is regarded as linear, and if NLIndex > 1, the FMD is not linear. The185slope of Mcut is clearly positive or negative, respectively indicating that the FMD overestimates186or underestimates large *M* rates.

- 187 3.3 Akaike information criterion
- 188 To quantify the changing trend of *b* values, the *P* test was conducted for *b* values in two sample 189 windows based on the Akaike information criterion (AIC) (Akaike, 1974). Hypothesis 1: the *b*





190 values in the two sample windows are the same; Hypothesis 2: the *b* values in the two sample 191 windows are different, respectively represented as b_1 and b_2 . The hypothesis of the difference of the 192 AIC leads to the difference Δ AIC (Utsu,1992):

193
$$\Delta AIC = -2(N_1 + N_2)\ln(N_1 + N_2) + 2N_1\ln(N_1 + \frac{N_2b_1}{b_2}) + 2N_2\ln(N_2 + \frac{N_1b_2}{b_1}) - 2$$

194 where N_i is the numbers of events in the sample windows and b_i is *b* values in the sample windows. 195 P_b represents the probability that the events in the two sample windows come from the same

196 population and can be derived from the AIC as follows:

$$P_b = e^{(-\Delta A I C/2) - 2}$$

198 The *b* value in the sample window represents a significant change when $\Delta AIC \ge 2$ ($P_b \approx 0.05$) and is 199 highly significant when $\Delta AIC \ge 5$ ($P_b \approx 0.01$) (Utsu, 1999).

200

201 3.4 Kriging interpolation

Kriging interpolation is the most commonly used geostatistical approach for spatial 202 203 interpolation. With this method, a semivariogram is used to express the spatial relationship of the distance between samples. This technique depends on the spatial model between samples to predict 204 205 attribute values at unsampled locations (McGrath et al. 2004). As a widely used interpolation 206 method, kriging takes into account the distance between unknown positions and the sample 207 locations as well as the distance between sample locations, effectively reducing the interference of 208 clustering in samples on the accuracy of the interpolated estimates (Ha et al., 2014). We used the 209 kriging interpolation algorithm to produce maps incorporating anisotropy and underlying trends 210 from irregularly spaced data.

The exponential semivariance model with the smallest prediction errors was chosen over the Gaussian and spherical models for the spatial interpolation of focal depth data.

213 4 Results and Analysis

4.1 Completeness magnitude (M_C) and linearity assessment of frequency-magnitude distribution (FMD)

216 As shown in Fig. 3, the results of the corrected MaxCurvature method show that the M_C of the earthquake catalog used in this work is $M_c=1.5$. This result is consistent with the results of previous 217 218 studies on the Wenchuan earthquake zone (Huang, 2008; Shi et al., 2018). Fang et al. (2015) 219 described in detail the aftershock performance and analysis of the Lushan earthquake based on the 220 combined data from permanent and temporary seismic stations. They concluded that the minimum 221 complete magnitude was M=1.0. To unify the consistency of the M_C of the Wenchuan M_S 8.0 and 222 Lushan M_S 7.0 earthquakes in the Longmenshan fault zone, we selected events with magnitudes of 223 $M \ge M_C = 1.5.$

We performed a linearity check on FMD, and the results are shown in Fig. 4. The NLIndex (red) is shown for different cutoff magnitudes (upper inset) and the NLIndex ≤ 1 for all cut off magnitudes; thus, the linear FMD is accepted as the best M_C .







Figure 3. Frequency-magnitude distribution of the seismicity of the Wenchuan-Lushan sequence from



1 January 2000 to 1 January 2019

227 4.2 Time-space analysis of b values

Earthquake frequency will increase immediately within a short time after a large event and 228 229 may exceed the recording capacity of the seismic network. Before establishing the time-space series of b values with aftershocks, we should eliminate the events documented in the early catalog, which 230 231 is somewhat heterogeneous and incomplete in small events (Gulia and Wiemer, 2019). In this work, 232 the exclusion period depends on the magnitude of completeness over time. Therefore, we first 233 removed the events documented in the initial catalog within two months after the Wenchuan $M_S 8.0$ 234 and Lushan M_S 7.0 earthquakes, a period for which the data are highly incomplete. Then, we 235 calculated the spatiotemporal distributions of b values before and after two large events that occurred from 2000-2019 along the Longmenshan fault by selecting events with $M \ge M_C = 1.5$ and 236 237 using a time window and spatial grid to calculate the b values. In this computation, the window 238 lengths were set to at least 500 events in the Wenchuan aftershock zone and 200 events in the Lushan aftershock zone. Each window was moved forward by one event at a time. 239

240 Figures 5a and 5b display time series of the b value in source regions, and the overall change 241 trend conforms to our postulation that b values will undergo relatively significant changes in a 242 period of time before and after a large earthquake. Specifically, b values show a decreasing trend 243 before the occurrence of both large earthquakes in both zones. To ensure that this trend is statistically 244 significant, we quantitatively assessed the temporal variation in b values using the P parameter test 245 and selected three windows before the M_S 8.0 event (W_1 , W_2 and W_3) and the M_S 7.0 event (L_1 , L_2 , 246 and L_3). Window selection was based on the significance of changes in b values (Fig. 5a, 5b). The 247 results are shown in Table 1. The b value in the sample window has significantly changed when $\Delta AIC \ge 2$ ($P_b \approx 0.05$) (Utsu, 1999). Table 1 shows that the b values decreased before both large 248 249 earthquakes with statistically significant variations.

250 251

Table 1 Results of the P parameter test between windows

	1	
Windows	ΔAIC	P_b
$W_1 \& W_2$	3.8	0.02
$W_2 \& W_3$	3.2	0.03
$W_1 \& W_3$	19.9	6.4×10 ⁻⁶
$L_1 \& L_2$	2.6	0.03





$L_2 \& L_3$	4.6	0.01
$L_1 \& L_3$	20	5.9×10 ⁻⁶

After the Wenchuan M_S 8.0 event, the *b* values in the Wenchuan aftershock zone experienced a period of dramatic fluctuation (indicated by the pink shading lasting not more than one year) before gradually stabilizing within a small fluctuation range (Fig. 5a), which was similar to the range of *b* values in the third period (Fig. 5c, *b*=0.84). After the Lushan M_S 7.0 event, the *b* values in the Lushan aftershock zones increased rapidly and then slowly dropped to a stable state (Fig. 5b), which was similar to the FMD in the first period (Fig. 5d, *b*=0.76). As shown in Fig. 5b (red shading), the *b* value required less than ten months to return to a stable state.

The reference *b* values can be estimated for the background levels (for the period, b=1.03 in the Wenchuan aftershock zone and b=0.76 in the Lushan aftershock zone). When the perturbation effect of the mainshocks gradually decreases, the *b* values in the Lushan aftershock zone eventually return to the background level (b=0.76), whereas those in the Wenchuan aftershock zone drop below the background level (from 1.03 to 0.84). To date, there have been no earthquakes that have significantly changed the stability of the Longmenshan fault zone since the M_S 7.0 Lushan earthquake.

266 The temporal distribution of earthquakes also indicates the change in stress state of faults and 267 their surroundings. As shown in Fig. 2a, before the M_S 8.0 Wenchuan earthquake, the frequency of 268 events in the Wenchuan aftershock zone had been decreasing for a year since 2006, and only two 269 events greater than M_S 4 occurred during the period when b values were significantly decreasing 270 (Fig. 5a). However, earthquakes greater than $M_S 4$ struck the entire Wenchuan aftershock zone after 271 the M_S 8.0 event (Fig. 1). In addition, there were no strong aftershocks above magnitude 6.5 along 272 the faults, and only six events greater than M_S 4 occurred within two months after the mainshock. 273 These phenomena indicate that without the continuous perturbation of strong aftershock, the b value 274 gradually stabilized to the state mainly determined by the background earthquakes, which tended to 275 shift to larger events following the M_S 8.0 event in the Wenchuan aftershock zone.

After the M_S 8.0 Wenchuan earthquake, the Lushan aftershock zone also experienced a "seismic quiescence" of approximately two years, and only one event greater than M_S 4 occurred before the M_S 7.0 Lushan earthquake during the period when *b* values were significantly decreasing (Fig. 2b). Moreover, only a few events greater than M_S 4 occurred within two months after the mainshock; the subsequent events basically returned to the magnitude of the background earthquakes before the mainshock, which shows that the *b* values in the Lushan aftershock zone eventually returned to the background level (Fig. 5b).









285

286

287

288

289

290

Figure 5. Temporal and spatial analysis of the *b* values for the Wenchuan-Lushan sequence a, b, Temporal variation of *b* values for the Wenchuan and Lushan source regions. The dashed black lines represent the times of the M_S 8.0 (Wenchuan) and M_S 7.0 (Lushan) events, and the dashed blue lines show the background *b* values for the Wenchuan and Lushan source regions. The dashed red line represents the *b* value after the time of the M_S 7.0 event (Lushan) for the Wenchuan source region. The shaded regions represent the uncertainties in the *b* values. **c**, **d**, FMDs for the two aftershock zones in three different periods. **e**, **f**, **g**, Map showing the spatial footprint of *b* values for the Wenchuan aftershock zone in three different periods. **h**, **i**, **j**, Map showing the spatial

291footprint of *b* values for the Lushan aftershock zone in three different periods.292To analyze the spatial footprints of the changes in the *b* values, we divided the two study293regions into $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ grids, sampled the 300 events nearest to each grid node within radius of 30294km, and re-estimated the M_C in each node. For this purpose, we used a bootstrap approach to sample295the events 1000 times randomly. The spatial footprints of the changes in the *b* values are consistent





with the FMDs (Fig. 5c, 5d). Figures 5e-5g demonstrate the spatial variation in the *b* values
throughout the Wenchuan aftershock zone. Figures 5h-5j show the spatial variation in the *b* values
in the Lushan aftershock zone.

299 The b value in the southern part of the Wenchuan source region and Lushan source region was 300 lower than that in the northern part of the Wenchuan aftershock before the $M_S 8.0$ Wenchuan event 301 (Fig. 5e, 5h). This pattern is consistent with the characteristics of the Longmenshan fault, which is a strike-slip fault in the north and a thrust fault in the southern section. It is generally considered 302 303 that the b value is inversely proportional to stress, and the b values of different types of faults are as 304 follows: b(normal) > 1, $b(strike-slip) \sim 1$, and b(thrust) < 1 (Schorlemmer et al., 2005; Gulia et al. 305 2010). The conditions changed markedly after the $M_S 8.0$ Wenchuan event; the b values decreased in the Wenchuan source region and Lushan source region (Fig. 5f, 5i). This finding illustrates the 306 307 effect of the Wenchuan earthquakes on stress change along the Longmenshan fault. Figures 5g and 308 5j illustrate the stable state of the *b* value in the Longmenshan fault zone.

309 4.3 Evolution of images of aftershock activity depicted by focal depth

Spatial scanning was performed using events with depth data in the catalog, i.e., at a step size of 0.1° for both longitude and latitude. For all earthquakes in each $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ grid point, the average depth was used as the depth value of the grid point, and then kriging interpolation was applied to all the grids. We counted at least ten events in each grid node in the Wenchuan aftershock zone as samples (as well as five events for the Lushan aftershock zone) to prevent the average depth of grid points from being affected by too few events. The contour lines of depth distribution at different periods after the mainshock were obtained and superimposed with regional faults (Fig. 6, Fig. 7).

To illustrate the evolution of the deep seismogenic environment in the Wenchuan aftershock zone. Figure 6 shows the spatial evolution of the aftershock depth at one day, one week, one month, six months, one year and three years after the mainshock. The analysis shows that the focal depth of the Wenchuan aftershock zone spread along the direction of the fault. With Mianyang as the boundary, the aftershock zone can be divided into the southern section and the northern section. The depth distribution is generally deep in the southeast and shallow in the northwest, and the average aftershock focal depth is 10-15 km.

A comparison of the aftershock activity images depicted by the focal depth information shown in Fig. 6d and Fig. 6e revealed that the image formed one year after the mainshock did not show a significantly different pattern in the following two years. This finding indicates that after the mainshock, the aftershock frequency tends to be stable one year later, which means that the aftershock active period of the Wenchuan M_S 8.0 was less than one year.

329 Figure 7 shows the spatial evolution of aftershock depths at one day, one week, five months, 330 ten months, one year and three years after the mainshock in the Lushan aftershock zone. The analysis 331 shows that the focal depths of the Lushan aftershock are distributed around the fault, with the fault 332 as the boundary, with a trend of deep in the southeast and shallow in the northwest. Moreover, a 333 comparison of the regional aftershock activity images depicted by the focal depth information in 334 Fig. 7d and Fig. 7e revealed that the pattern of the image formed ten months after the mainshock presented limited changes in the following one year, which indicates that the aftershock frequency 335 336 tended to be stable ten months after the Lushan mainshock. Therefore, the aftershock active period 337 of the Lushan M_S 7.0 earthquake was less than ten months.







338 339

340

341

342

Figure 6. Spatial distribution of the focal depths of the aftershocks following the Wenchuan *Ms* 8.0 earthquake on the fault plane. Red lines represent the locations of faults. a One day after the mainshock; b 1 week after the mainshock; c 1 month after the mainshock; d 1 year after the mainshock; and e 3 years after the mainshock.







343 344

345

346

Figure 7. Spatial distribution of the focal depths of the aftershocks following the Lushan *Ms* 7.0 earthquake on the fault plane. Red lines represent the locations of faults. **a** One day after the mainshock; **b** 1 month after the mainshock; **c** 5 months after the mainshock; **d** 10 months after the mainshock; and **e** 2 years after the mainshock.





347 5 Discussion

Earthquake interaction can change the stress state of faults, which is reflected in both earthquake activity rate and earthquake size distribution. The relationship between stress state and b value can be used to quantify the effect of large earthquakes that can significantly change the stress states and, therefore, the earthquake size distribution. In contrast to previous studies on the Wenchuan and Lushan earthquakes, our paper focuses on a quantitative analysis and discussion of the effect of the Wenchuan M_S 8.0 and Lushan M_S 7.0 earthquakes on the size distribution of the earthquakes along the Longmenshan fault at different times.

355 Interpretation of the b value and its variability according to physical mechanisms has received 356 considerable attention and discussion. In most cases, the observation of spatial and temporal b value 357 variability can be caused by several factors: i) Process of estimation: homogeneity of catalog and 358 method of calculation can affect the results. All the data in this work are from the Longmenshan 359 fault and its surroundings, and each event includes the time, location and magnitude depth. The 360 maximum likelihood estimation and least-squares regression method (Aki, 1965; Utsu, 1965; 361 López-Pineda and Rebollar, 2005; Singh et al, 2011; Woessner et al. 2015; Shi et al., 2018, Li et al.,2018; Gulia and Wiemer, 2019) are used to estimate the b value and its uncertainty, but the latter 362 363 is excessively affected by the largest earthquake magnitude. Marzocchi et al. (2020) measured the 364 bias on b values caused by the magnitude binning and catalog incompleteness when the b value is 365 estimated by the maximum likelihood estimation and provided guidance to reduce the likelihood of being misled by b value variation. ii) Stress conditions: the b value and its variation represent stress 366 367 buildup and release. The differential stress is inversely dependent on the b value has been observed 368 in laboratory experiments (Varotsos et al., 2013; Sarlis et al., 2013) as well as in the field (Scholz, 369 2015; Rodríguez-Pérez and Zuñiga, 2018). The stress acting on a fault may control the variation in 370 the b value in space and time. Parsons et al. (2008) calculated the regional Coulomb stress changes 371 on major faults surrounding the rupture resulting from the Wenchuan M_S 8.0 and showed that 372 significant stress increased in the Lushan aftershock zone. Other studies obtained similar results 373 (Shan et al., 2013; Parsons and Segou, 2014; Zhu, 2016). The spatial variation in the b values 374 throughout the Lushan aftershock zone decreased after the $M_S 8.0$ mainshock (Fig. 5i), and the same 375 effect occurred in the southern part of the Wenchuan aftershock zone after the M_S 7.0 event (Fig. 376 5g). These finding suggest that the b value is negatively correlated with stress and indicate the effect 377 of the earthquakes interaction along the Longmenshan fault zone. iii) Crustal tectonics: the variation 378 in b value can be interpreted according to the tectonic characteristics, i.e., rock heterogeneity 379 (Gerstenberger et al., 2001), focal depth (Spada et al., 2013), pore pressure (Bachmann et al., 2012), 380 and fault types (Schorlemmer et al., 2005; Gulia et al. 2010). Previous studies have shown that the 381 b value in different types of faults is b(normal) > 1, $b(strike-slip) \sim 1$, and b(thrust) < 1 (Beauval and 382 Scotti, 2004; Ishibe et al., 2008; Gulia et al. 2010). As shown in the spatial footprints in Fig. 5, the 383 b value of the southern part of the Longmenshan fault zone is lower than that in the northern part. This pattern is consistent with the tectonic characteristics of the Longmenshan fault, which is a 384 strike-slip fault in the north and a thrust fault in the southern part (Hubbard and Shaw, 2009; Lei 385 386 and Zhao, 2009).

387 Stress changes seem to be a key factor that affects the *b* value and its variation. Excepting the 388 approach of estimation, all other factors are secondary because they are directly or indirectly





389 affected by the stress (El-Isa and Eaton, 2014). Therefore, the observed falls in the b values shown 390 in Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b was interpreted as changes in the related stress conditions, which could be 391 precursors to large earthquakes. However, these temporal variations may occur over a timescale 392 ranging from months to years, and the timeliness and effectiveness of this variability as an indicator 393 are difficult to guarantee. Additionally, there is usually an insufficient number of events to accurately 394 calculate the b value before large earthquakes. Gulia and Wiemer (2019) pointed out that the period following a moderate earthquake is rich in such data, with thousands of events occurring within a 395 396 short period. These events may allow real-time monitoring of the evolution of b values. The authors 397 claim that the probability of a larger earthquake following a moderate earthquake increases by 398 several orders of magnitude if the b value remains the same or drops significantly rather than 399 increases. However, Brodsky (2019) suggested that the observed pattern revealing the changes in b400 values is a statistical effect rather than deterministic and that researchers need more cases to test this 401 claim.

In general, thousands of aftershocks occur in the period following a large earthquake. Based on these abundant data, there are two typically common operational aftershock forecasting models used in aftershock hazard assessment, namely the short-term earthquake probability (STEP) model (Gerstenberger et al., 2005) and epidemic-type aftershock sequence model (Ogata, 1988, 1999). Gulia et al. (2018) reported that these models forecast a high probability for a repeat of the mainshock rupture and thus substantially overestimate the aftershock hazard. This paradox can be resolved by taking into account the stress changes and their effect on the earthquake size distribution.

409 Our results showed that the time series of the b value in the Longmenshan fault zone after the 410 mainshocks exhibited a period of significant fluctuation before returning to the stable state in both 411 the Wenchuan aftershock zone (one year) and the Lushan aftershock zone (ten months). Figure 6 412 and Figure 7 show that the time required for the b values to return to a stable state after both mainshocks was entirely consistent with the time required for the aftershock depth images to cease 413 changing visibly. The spatial footprints of the changes in the b value reveal that the southern part of 414 415 the Longmenshan fault zone is lower than the northern part. This finding demonstrates that the 416 Wenchuan and Lushan events did not change the pattern of higher stress in the southern part of the Longmenshan fault zone than in the northern part. However, the most obvious change is that after 417 418 the mainshock, the size distribution of the subsequent earthquakes in the Wenchuan source region 419 shifts toward relatively larger events (lower b values).

420 The Longmenshan fault zone began to develop in the Late Triassic, and severe tectonic 421 deformation occurred during the Indo-China and Himalayan movements, forming a combination of 422 thrust and strike-slip displacement (Lei and Zhao, 2009; Deng et al., 2012). Previous studies did not 423 comprehensively quantify the stable state for the Longmenshan fault zone before and after the two large events in a long time series (Zhao et al. 2008; Wang et al., 2014; Parsons and Segou, 2014; 424 425 Zhu, 2016; Liu et al., 2017, Shi et al., 2018, Li et al., 2018). The b value is a measurable indicator of earthquake size distribution within a specified region and period of time and is dependent on stress. 426 427 With our present results, we reported the temporal and spatial variation in b values before and after two big earthquakes and fitted the source depth in time and space to quantify the stress changes and 428 429 their effect on the earthquake size distribution in the Longmenshan fault zone.





430 6 Conclusions

431 Based on the tectonic characteristics and potential seismicity surrounding the aftershock zones of the Wenchuan M_S 8.0 and Lushan M_S 7.0 earthquakes, we studied the spatial and temporal 432 variation of b values in two source regions from 2000 to 2019. In addition, the spatial evolution 433 process of the deep seismogenic environment in the Wenchuan and Lushan aftershock zones was 434 435 drawn by spatial scanning and depth data fitting. 436 The results depict the decreasing trends of b values before the two large earthquakes in the 437 study region. Additionally, the b value in the Wenchuan aftershock zone took approximately one 438 year to enter a new stable state (b values ranging from 1.03 to 0.84), while the b value in the Lushan 439 aftershock zone took approximately ten months to return to its original stable state (b=0.76). 440 Moreover, the major aftershock active periods of the Wenchuan M_S 8.0 and Lushan M_S 7.0 441 earthquakes were less than one year and ten months, respectively, which are consistent with the time required for the b value to return to a stable state. The spatial footprints of the changes in the b442 values results reveal that the Wenchuan $M_S 8.0$ and Lushan $M_S 7.0$ events did not change the pattern 443 444 of high b values in the north and low b values in the south along the Longmenshan fault zone. We quantified the effect of the Wenchuan M_S 8.0 and Lushan M_S 7.0 earthquakes on the size 445 446 distribution of earthquakes along the Longmenshan fault. Future studies can focus on how to 447 quantify the effect of large earthquake size distribution across different tectonic regimes and apply 448 the findings in potential seismic risk assessment.

449 Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.
41771537) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. The authors thank
American Journal Experts (AJE) for polishing the language of this article. Datasets for this research
are available in these in-text data citation references: Shi et al. (2018),
[http://doi.org/10.6038/cjg2018M0024], Li et al. (2018), [http://doi.org/10.6038/cjg2018M0129]
and China Earthquake Networks Center (CENC), [http://www.cenc.ac.cn/].

456 Author Contributions

Conceptualization, C X.C. and S.S.; Data curation, J.C.; Funding acquisition, C X.C.;
Investigation, C.H. and S.S.; Methodology, C.H. and C X.C; Software and Code, C.H.; Manuscript
editing, C.H.; Manuscript revision, C X.C. and P C.G.; Supervision, C X.C.; Visualization, J.Y. and
M.Z.





461 Competing Interests

- 462 No conflicts of interest exist in the submission of this manuscript, and the manuscript is approved
- 463 by all authors for publication.

464 **References**

- Akaike, H.: A new look at the statistical model identification, Ieee Transactions on Automatic
 Control, AC19, 716-723, https://doi.org/10.1109/tac.1974.1100705, 1974.
- Aki, K.: Maximum likelihood estimate of b in the formula log N= a-bM and its confidence limits,
 Bull. Earthq. Res. Inst., Tokyo Univ., 43, 237-239, 1965.
- 469 Amitrano, D.: Brittle-ductile transition and associated seismicity: Experimental and numerical
 470 studies and relationship with the b value, Journal Of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, 108(B1),
 471 2044, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jb000680, 2003.
- Bachmann, C. E., Wiemer, S., Goertz-Allmann, B. P., and Woessner, J.: Influence of pore-pressure
 on the event-size distribution of induced earthquakes, Geophysical Research Letters, 39,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2012gl051480, 2012.
- Beauval, C. and Scotti, O.: Quantifying sensitivities of PSHA for France to earthquake catalog
 uncertainties, truncation of ground-motion variability, and magnitude limits, Bulletin Of the
 Seismological Society Of America, 94(5), 1579-1594, https://doi.org/10.1785/012003246, 2004..
- Brodsky, E. E.: Determining whether the worst earthquake has passed, Nature, 574, 185-186,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-02972-z, 2019.
- Delescluse, M., Chamot-Rooke, N., Cattin, R., Fleitout, L., Trubienko, O., and Vigny, C.: April 2012
 intra-oceanic seismicity off Sumatra boosted by the Banda-Aceh megathrust, Nature, 490(7419),
 240-244, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11520, 2012.
- Deng, B., Liu, S. G., Jansa, L., Cao, J. X., Cheng, Y., Li, Z. W., and Liu, S.: Sedimentary record of
 Late Triassic transpressional tectonics of the Longmenshan thrust belt, SW China, Journal Of Asian
 Earth Sciences, 48, 43-55, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2011.12.019, 2012.
- DeVries, P. M. R., Viegas, F., Wattenberg, M., and Meade, B. J.: Deep learning of aftershock patterns
 following large earthquakes, Nature, 560(7720), 632-634, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-0180438-y, 2018.
- Du, F., Long, F., Ruan, X., Yi, G. X., Gong, Y., Zhao, M., Zhang, Z. W., Qiao, H. Z., Wang, Z., and
 Wu, J.: The M7.0 Lushan earthquake and the relationship with the M8.0 Wenchuan earthquake in
 Sichuan, China, Chinese Journal Of Geophysics, 56(5), 1772-1783,





492 https://doi.org/10.6038/cjg20130535, 2013.

Durand, V., Bouchon, M., Karabulut, H., Marsan, D., and Schmittbuhl, J.: Link between Coulomb
stress changes and seismic activation in the eastern Marmara sea after the 1999, Izmit (Turkey),
earthquake, Journal Of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, 118(2), 681-688, https://doi.org/
10.1002/jgrb.50077, 2013.

El-Isa, Z. H. and Eaton, D. W.: Spatiotemporal variations in the b-value of earthquake magnitudefrequency distributions: Classification and causes, Tectonophysics, 615, 1-11,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.12.001, 2014.

500 Fang, L., Wu, J., Wang, W., Du, W., Su, J., Wang, C., Yang, T., and Cai, Y.: Aftershock Observation

and Analysis of the 2013 M-s 710 Lushan Earthquake, Seismological Research Letters, 86(4), 1135-

502 1142, https://doi.org/10.1785/0220140186, 2015.

Gerstenberger, M., Wiemer, S., and Giardini, D.: A systematic test of the hypothesis that the b value
varies with depth in California, Geophysical Research Letters, 28(1), 57-60,
https://doi.org/10.1029/2000gl012026, 2001.

Gerstenberger, M. C., Wiemer, S., Jones, L. M., and Reasenberg, P. A.: Real-time forecasts of
tomorrow's earthquakes in California, Nature, 435(7040), 328-331,
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03622, 2005.

Goebel, T. H. W., Schorlemmer, D., Becker, T. W., Dresen, G., and Sammis, C. G.: Acoustic
emissions document stress changes over many seismic cycles in stick-slip experiments, Geophysical

511 Research Letters, 40(10), 2049-2054, https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50507, 2013.

Gulia, L., Rinaldi, A. P., Tormann, T., Vannucci, G., Enescu, B., and Wiemer, S.: The Effect of a
Mainshock on the Size Distribution of the Aftershocks, Geophysical Research Letters, 45(24),
13277-13287, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018gl080619, 2018.

Gulia, L. and Wiemer, S.: The influence of tectonic regimes on the earthquake size distribution: A
case study for Italy, Geophysical Research Letters, 37, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010gl043066, 2010.

517 Gulia, L. and Wiemer, S.: Real-time discrimination of earthquake foreshocks and aftershocks,
518 Nature, 574(7777), 193-199, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1606-4, 2019.

519 Gutenberg, B. and Richter, C. F.: Frequency of earthquakes in California, Bulletin of the 520 Seismological society of America, 34(4), 185-188, 1944.

Ha, H., Olson, J. R., Bian, L., and Rogerson, P. A.: Analysis of Heavy Metal Sources in Soil Using
 Kriging Interpolation on Principal Components, Environmental Science & Technology, 48(9), 4999-

523 5007, https://doi.org/10.1021/es405083f, 2014.

524 Huang, Q.: Seismicity changes prior to the M(s)8.0 Wenchuan earthquake in Sichuan, China,





- Hubbard, J. and Shaw, J. H.: Uplift of the Longmen Shan and Tibetan plateau, and the 2008
 Wenchuan (M=7.9) earthquake, Nature, 458(7235), 194-197, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07837,
- 528 2009.
- 529 Jia, K., Zhou, S., Zhuang, J., and Jiang, C.: Possibility of the Independence between the 2013 Lushan
- 530 Earthquake and the 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake on Longmen Shan Fault, Sichuan, China,
- 531 Seismological Research Letters, 85(1), 60-67, https://doi.org/10.1785/0220130115, 2014.
- Kilb, D., Gomberg, J., and Bodin, P.: Aftershock triggering by complete Coulomb stress changes,
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 107(B4), https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jb000202,
 2002.
- Lei, J. and Zhao, D.: Structural heterogeneity of the Longmenshan fault zone and the mechanism of
 the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake (Ms 8.0), Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems, 10(10),
 https://doi.org/10.1020/2000gc002590.2000
- 537 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009gc002590, 2009.
- Li, L., Adhikari, L. B., Li, G., and Gao, F. W.: Characteristics of temporal-spatial distribution of the aftershocks of the 2008 M(s)8. 0 Wenchuan Earthquake, Chinese Journal Of Geophysics, 61(5),
- 540 1797-1805, https://doi.org/10.6038/cjg2018M0129, 2018.
- Li, Y. Q., Jia, D., Wang, M. M., Shaw, J. H., He, J. K., Lin, A. M., Xiong, L., and Rao, G.: Structural
 geometry of the source region for the 2013 Mw 6.6 Lushan earthquake: Implication for earthquake
 hazard assessment along the Longmen Shan, Earth And Planetary Science Letters, 390, 275-286,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.01.018, 2014.
- Liu, Y. B. and Pei, S. P.: Temporal and spatial variation of b-value before and after Wenchuan
 earthquake and its tectonic implication, Chinese Journal Of Geophysics, 60(6), 2104-2112,
 https://doi.org/10.6038/cjg20170607, 2017.
- 548 Lopez-Pineda, L. and Rebollar, C. J.: Source characteristics of the Mw 6.2 loreto earthquake of 12 549 March 2003 that occurred in a transform fault in the middle of the Gulf of California, Mexico, Society Of 419-430, 550 Bulletin Of the Seismological America, 95(2), 551 https://doi.org/10.1785/0120030227, 2005.
- Mallman, E. P. and Zoback, M. D.: Assessing elastic Coulomb stress transfer models using
 seismicity rates in southern California and southwestern Japan, Journal Of Geophysical ResearchSolid Earth, 112(B3), B03304, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005jb004076, 2007.
- Marzocchi, W., Spassiani, I., Stallone, A., and Taroni, M.: How to be fooled searching for significant
 variations of the b-value, Geophysical Journal International, 220(3), 1845-1856,
 https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz541, 2020.
- McGrath, D., Zhang, C. S., and Carton, O. T.: Geostatistical analyses and hazard assessment on soil
 lead in Silvermines area, Ireland, Environmental Pollution, 127(2), 239-248,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2003.07.002, 2004.
- 561 Mignan, A., Werner, M. J., Wiemer, S., Chen, C. C., and Wu, Y. M.: Bayesian Estimation of the





- Spatially Varying Completeness Magnitude of Earthquake Catalogs, Bulletin Of the Seismological
 Society Of America, 101(3), 1371-1385, https://doi.org/10.1785/0120100223, 2011.
- 564 Nanjo, K. Z., Hirata, N., Obara, K., and Kasahara, K.: Decade-scale decrease in b value prior to the
- 565 M9-class 2011 Tohoku and 2004 Sumatra quakes, Geophysical Research Letters, 39(20),
- 566 https://doi.org/10.1029/2012g1052997, 2012.
- Nuannin, P., Kulhanek, O., and Persson, L.: Spatial and temporal b value anomalies preceding the
 devastating off coast of NW Sumatra earthquake of December 26, 2004, Geophysical Research
- 569 Letters, 32(11), https://doi.org/10.1029/2005gl022679, 2005.
- 570 Ogata, Y.: Statistical models for earthquake occurrences and residual analysis for point processes, 571 Journal of the American Statistical association, 83(401), 9-27,
- 572 https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1988.10478560, 1988.
- Ogata, Y.: Space-time point-process models for earthquake occurrences, Annals Of the Institute Of
 Statistical Mathematics, 50(2), 379-402, https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1003403601725, 1998.

Parsons, T., Ji, C., and Kirby, E.: Stress changes from the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake and increased
hazard in the Sichuan basin, Nature, 454(7203), 509-510, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07177,
2008.

- Parsons, T. and Segou, M.: Stress, Distance, Magnitude, and Clustering Influences on the Success
 or Failure of an Aftershock Forecast: The 2013 M 6.6 Lushan Earthquake and Other Examples,
- 580 Seismological Research Letters, 85(1), 44-51, https://doi.org/10.1785/0220130100, 2014.
- Rodriguez-Perez, Q. and Ramon Zuniga, F.: Imaging b-value depth variations within the Cocos and
 Rivera plates at the Mexican subduction zone, Tectonophysics, 734, 33-43,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.03.019, 2018.
- Sarlis, N. V., Skordas, E. S., Varotsos, P. A., Nagao, T., Kamogawa, M., Tanaka, H., and Uyeda, S.:
 Minimum of the order parameter fluctuations of seismicity before major earthquakes in Japan,
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(34), 13734-13738,
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1312740110, 2013.
- Scholz, C. H.: On the stress dependence of the earthquake b value, Geophysical Research Letters,
 42(5), 1399-1402, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014gl062863, 2015.
- Schorlemmer, D., Wiemer, S., and Wyss, M.: Variations in earthquake-size distribution across
 different stress regimes, Nature, 437(7058), 539-542, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04094, 2005.
- 592 Shan, B., Xiong, X., Zheng, Y., Jin, B., Liu, C., Xie, Z., and Hsu, H.: Stress changes on major faults
- caused by 2013 Lushan earthquake and its relationship with 2008 Wenchuan earthquake, Science
- 594 China-Earth Sciences, 56(7), 1169-1176, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-013-4642-1, 2013.
- 595 Shi, H. X., Meng, L. Y., Zhang, X. M., Chang, Y., Yang, Z. T., Xie, W. Y., Hattori, K., and Han, P.:
- 596 Decrease in b value prior to the Wenchuan earthquake (M(s)8.0), Chinese Journal Of Geophysics,





- 597 61(5), 1874-1882, https://doi.org/10.6038/cjg2018M0024, 2018.
- 598 Singh, A. P., Mishra, O. P., Rastogi, B. K., and Kumar, D.: 3-D seismic structure of the Kachchh,
- Gujarat, and its implications for the earthquake hazard mitigation, Natural Hazards, 57(1), 83-105,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-010-9707-2, 2011.
- 601 Spada, M., Tormann, T., Wiemer, S., and Enescu, B.: Generic dependence of the frequency-size
- 602 distribution of earthquakes on depth and its relation to the strength profile of the crust, Geophysical
- 603 Research Letters, 40(4), 709-714, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012gl054198, 2013.
- Stein, R. S., Barka, A. A., and Dieterich, J. H.: Progressive failure on the North Anatolian fault since
 1939 by earthquake stress triggering, Geophysical Journal International, 128(3), 594-604,
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1997.tb05321.x, 1997.

Sumy, D. F., Cochran, E. S., Keranen, K. M., Wei, M., and Abers, G. A.: Observations of static
Coulomb stress triggering of the November 2011 M5.7 Oklahoma earthquake sequence, Journal Of
Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, 119(3), 1904-1923, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013jb010612,
2014.

- Toda, S., Stein, R. S., Beroza, G. C., and Marsan, D.: Aftershocks halted by static stress shadows,
 Nature Geoscience, 5(6), 410-413, https://doi.org/10.1038/Ngeo1465, 2012.
- Toda, S., Stein, R. S., and Lin, J.: Widespread seismicity excitation throughout central Japan
 following the 2011 M=9.0 Tohoku earthquake and its interpretation by Coulomb stress transfer,
 Combining Branch Letters 20(7) https://linearthquake.com/10.1020/2011.1047824.2011
- 615 Geophysical Research Letters, 38(7), https://doi.org/10.1029/2011gl047834, 2011.
- Tormann, T., Wiemer, S., and Mignan, A.: Systematic survey of high-resolution b value imaging
 along Californian faults: Inference on asperities, Journal Of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth,
 119(3), 2029-2054, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013jb010867, 2014.
- Utsu, T.: A method for determining the value of" b" in a formula log n= a-bM showing the
 magnitude-frequency relation for earthquakes, Geophys. Bull. Hokkaido Univ., 13, 99-103, 1965.
- Utsu, T.: Representation and analysis of the earthquake size distribution: A historical review and
 some new approaches, Pure And Applied Geophysics, 155, 509-535,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s000240050276, 1999.
- Utsu, T., Ogata, Y., and Matsuura, R. S.: The Centenary Of the Omori Formula for a Decay Law Of
 Aftershock Activity, Journal Of Physics Of the Earth, 43(1), 1-33, https://doi.org/
 10.4294/jpe1952.43.1, 1995.
- Varotsos, P. A., Sarlis, N. V., Skordas, E. S., and Lazaridou, M. S.: Seismic Electric Signals: An
 additional fact showing their physical interconnection with seismicity, Tectonophysics, 589, 116125, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.12.020, 2013.
- Verdecchia, A., Pace, B., Visini, F., Scotti, O., Peruzza, L., and Benedetti, L.: The Role of
 Viscoelastic Stress Transfer in Long-Term Earthquake Cascades: Insights After the Central Italy





632 2016-2017 Seismic Sequence, Tectonics, 37(10), 3411-3428, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018tc005110,
633 2018.

Wang, Y., Wang, F., Wang, M., Shen, Z.-K., and Wan, Y.: Coulomb Stress Change and Evolution
Induced by the 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake and its Delayed Triggering of the 2013 M-W 6.6 Lushan
Earthquake, Seismological Research Letters, 85(1), 52-59, https://doi.org/10.1785/0220130111,

637 2014.

Wech, A. G., Creager, K. C., Houston, H., and Vidale, J. E.: An earthquake-like magnitudefrequency distribution of slow slip in northern Cascadia, Geophysical Research Letters, 37(22),
https://doi.org/10.1029/2010gl044881, 2010.

Wedmore, L. N. J., Walker, J. P. F., Roberts, G. P., Sammonds, P. R., McCaffrey, K. J. W., and Cowie,
P. A.: A 667 year record of coseismic and interseismic Coulomb stress changes in central Italy
reveals the role of fault interaction in controlling irregular earthquake recurrence intervals, Journal
Of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, 122(7), 5691-5711, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017jb014054,
2017.

Woessner, J., Laurentiu, D., Giardini, D., Crowley, H., Cotton, F., Grunthal, G., Valensise, G.,
Arvidsson, R., Basili, R., Demircioglu, M. B., Hiemer, S., Meletti, C., Musson, R. W., Rovida, A.
N., Sesetyan, K., Stucchi, M., and Consortium, S.: The 2013 European Seismic Hazard Model: key
components and results, Bulletin Of Earthquake Engineering, 13(12), 3553-3596,
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-015-9795-1, 2015.

Woessner, J. and Wiemer, S.: Assessing the quality of earthquake catalogues: Estimating the
magnitude of completeness and its uncertainty, Bulletin Of the Seismological Society Of America,
95(2), 684-698, https://doi.org/10.1785/0120040007, 2005.

Zhang, S. J. and Zhou, S. Y.: Spatial and Temporal Variation of b-Values in Southwest China, Pure
And Applied Geophysics, 173(1), 85-96, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-015-1044-7, 2016.

656 Zhang, Y. Q., Dong, S. W., Hou, C. T., Shi, J. S., Wu, Z. H., Li, H. L., Sun, P., Liu, G., and Li, J.:

Seismogenic Structure of the April 20, 2013, Lushan Ms7 Earthquake in Sichuan, Acta Geol SinEngl, 87(3), 633-645, https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-6724.12075, 2013.

Zhao, B. J., Yang, G. L., Wang, J. P., and Dong, F. F.: Research on b-Values Based on Fault Buffers,
Pure And Applied Geophysics, 177(1), 71-80, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02163-x, 2020.

Chao, Y. Z. and Wu, Z. L.: Mapping the b-values along the Longmenshan fault zone before and after
the 12 May 2008, Wenchuan, China, M-S 8.0 earthquake, Natural Hazards And Earth System

663 Sciences, 8(6), 1375-1385, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-8-1375-2008, 2008.

Zhu, S.: Is the 2013 Lushan earthquake (Mw=6.6) a strong aftershock of the 2008 Wenchuan, China
mainshock (Mw=7.9)?, Journal Of Geodynamics, 99, 16-26,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2016.05.002, 2016.

667 Zuniga, F. R. and Wyss, M.: Most- and least-likely locations of large to great earthquakes along the





- 668 Pacific coast of Mexico estimated from local recurrence times based on b-values, Bulletin Of the
- 669 Seismological Society Of America, 91(6), 1717-1728, https://doi.org/10.1785/0120000303, 2001.
- 670