Response to referee report for NHESS-2021-180

C#: Number of Comment, R#: Number of Response

In Comment - L# : Line Number of Existing manuscript

In Response - L# : Line number of revised manuscript

A. General Comments

A-C1) This MS aims to present a practical approach for quantitative assessment of beach erosion risk potential, induced by three common factors in coastal environments. These include reduction of sediment supply from updrift river, shoreline change due to construction of harbor breakwater, and erosion caused by high wave attack. The methodology outlined in the MS is rational and the analysis is supported by approximate formulae, empirical model and field data derived from arial photographs and beach survey for a case study at Bongpo-Cheonjin Beach in Korea. Overall, the content in this MS is well constructed and balanced with theory for quantitative assessment of beach erosion potential. It is therefore recommended for publication in the NHESS after minor revision, following, at least, the detailed suggestions listed under the original section and sub-section titles in part C below.

A-R1) We will revise the manuscript with detailed suggestions.

B. Specific Comments

B-C1) Title of the paper: This paper has produced a useful graph (e.g., Figure 14) for estimating the combined risk potential for beach erosion from three different sources discussed in the MS. Based on the content in this paper, please consider using an alternative title of "Quantitative assessment of risk potential of beach erosion due to coastal zone development.", instead of the original title: "Quantitative interpretation of".

B-R1) As suggested in the title of the paper, "interpretation" has been replaced with "assessment".

Title : "Quantitative assessment of risk potential of beach erosion due to coastal zone development."

B-C2) The key production of this paper — encroachment accumulation curve. This complex term has appeared many (about 10) times in 1. Introduction and 2. Beah Erosion Risk, also several times in Sect. 4. However, given the word "encroachment" is rarely used in beach erosion (e.g., encroachment of shoreline ?), and "accumulation" is not an explicit term because method to add up the components is unclear, and the adverse effect from different sources is combined, rather cumulative. Therefore, an alternative phrase called "combined erosion potential curve" is recommended. This term better reflects the title of this paper, as well as agrees better with the sub-section heading (Sect. 4.5) and the title of Table 3.

B-R2) The erosion risk potential (Figure 14 y-axis) shown in Section 4.5 is a value determined through the "encroachment accumulation curve" (Figure 14 curve) from the combined shoreline retreat (Figure 14 x-axis) value shown in Table 3. And the proposed "combined erosion potential curve" has a slightly different character. However, some of the opinions suggested by reviewer was accepted and the term "encroachment accumulation curve" we used was replaced with "erosion damage potential curve".

C. Technical Corrections & Detailed Suggestions

Abstract

C-Abstract-C1)

- L10: "amount of sand" \rightarrow "amount of sediment budget from updrift"
- L10-11: Three " ... changes in ..., changes in ..., and changes in..." in a short sentence! Please revise this repetitive expression!
- L12: "sand beach." \rightarrow "sandy beach."
- L12: "amount of sand is due to" \rightarrow "amount of sand budget is considered by"

C-Abstract-R1) We replaced the recommended words and replaced them with a more compact and concise sentence by reducing the repetition of the same word.

L10–12: "In this study, erosion risk potential analysis is first carried out independently for the effect of anthropogenic development in watersheds with decreasing sediment budget from river, on coastal land due to harbor breakwater construction, and in the coastal water due to high wave action."

C-Abstract-C2)

- L13–14: "main crest line at the breaking point." What is this?

C-Abstract-R2) The word was intended as 'wave crest base line' (see Fig. 4). However, the sentence containing the word was judged to be unnecessary and repeated, so the sentence was deleted.

C-Abstract-C3)

- L15–19: Please use the tense (present/past ?) consistently for all verbs ! C-Abstract-R3) We have revised abstract to the present tense consistently.

Key words

C-Key words-C1)

- L22: Most of the current keywords are not important nor relevant. Please reconsider and select appropriate ones from the context.

C-Key words-R1) Considering the topic and composition of the paper, the Keywords have been modified as follows; L18: *Beach erosion potential, Quantitative assessment, Coastal zone development, Beach Survey, Aerial photographs.*

1. Introduction

C-1-C1)

- L39: "... sand becomes smaller than..." \rightarrow "... sediment is less than..."
- L41: "due to wave field changes which generate transport of longshore sediment," \rightarrow "due to redistribution of longshore sediment transport,"
 - L42–44: "(2) a decrease in... under storm wave incidence." \rightarrow Please revise this long phrase!

C-1-R1) Since the following paragraphs provide detailed explanations of the three mechanisms, paragraphs L37-47 of the existing manuscript have been compactly revised as follows.

- L33–36: "Beach erosion may be caused by a decrease in the total amount of sediment input to a beach, by longshore redistribution of sediment due to construction of structures, and by sediment loss in cross-shore direction during high/storm waves. Although abundant independent research publications on beach erosion are available in the literatures for any one of the three physical processes, however, it is difficult to find a quantitative study that combines these three erosion mechanisms in a single paper."

C-1-C2)

- L48: "The beach maintains its current volume as the sediment budget is balanced." This is an awkward sentence! Something missing, or miss-use of "the"
- C-1-R2) The sentence was supplemented as follows.
 - L37: "A beach can retain its sediment when the sediment budget is balanced. "

C-Introduction-C3)

- L48–49: "... it is essential to analyze it by dividing it into..."? Too many "it" in a sentence! → Please revise!
- C-1-R3) The sentence was supplemented as follows.
 - L37–38: "Therefore, it is essential to analyze the sediment transport in both longshore and cross-shore directions, even within a littoral cell..."

C-1-C4)

- L50: "...discharge into or leaving in the littoral cell..." \rightarrow "...entering or leaving a littoral cell..." C-1-R4) The sentence was supplemented with the recommended expression (L39).

C-1-C5)

- L52 -53: "Therefore, the amount of sediment entering into the beach from the river and the amount of sediment leaving into the open sea by...should be interpreted...". → Please avoid repeating "the amount of sediment" and revise "...entering into the beach..." and "...leaving into..."!
- C-1-R5) The sentence was supplemented as follows.
 - L41 –42: "On the other hand, the amount of sediment supplied by a river and that lost into the open sea by the continuous wave action should be regarded as the main components in the sediment budget."

C-1-C6)

- L59: "...deposition in some areas, but at the same time, erosion in some areas." → Confusion ! Please use "accretion" rather "deposition" and revise these phrases !!
- C-1-R6) The sentence was supplemented as follows.
 - L48 –49: "Nevertheless, this results in updrift accretion, accompanying by downdrift erosion within the cell."

C-1-C7)

- L63: "... its utility in" \rightarrow "...its practicality in"
- L67: "...general sand beaches." \rightarrow "... most embayed beaches."
- L68: "...due to the wave, ..." \rightarrow "... due to high waves, ..."

C-1-R7) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L53, L56 & L57).

C-1-C8)

- L70–71: "estimating the erosion width by frequency..." Why is this??

C-1-R8) Kim (2021) reported that, due to the periodic high/storm waves, beach erosion also occurs periodically using GPS shoreline data. The sentence was supplemented to make it easier to understand as follows.

- L59 –61: "Recently, Kim (2021) proposes a method to estimate the erosion width by the frequency of high waves using statistical analysis of GPS shoreline observation data observed seasonally for more than 10 years."

C-1-C9)

- L74: "... beach erosion occurring in the beach according to the anthropogenic factors..." → "...beach erosion due to anthropogenic factors..."
- L75-76: "... to the area of the erosion zone that is damaged is introduced." → "... to the eroding area is introduced."

C-1-R9) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L64 & L65).

C-1-C10)

- L76: "..., a method of estimating the frequency...". "the frequency" for what

C-1-R10) "The frequency" is the occurrence of periodic high/storm waves. The sentence was supplemented as follows.

- L66: "the frequency of high/storm waves"

C-1-C11)

- L79: "... when the impact will be competed." \rightarrow "... when the estimated impact will be resulted." C-1-R11) The sentence was supplemented with the recommended expression (L69).

C-1-C12)

L80 & L93: " ... encroachment accumulation curve,..." ? → Please consider using " ... combined erosion potential curve,..." in the entire paper, because "erosion" is a most common word, rather "encroachment" is rarely used. In addition, "combined" is better than "accumulation". See also Sect.4.5 heading and Table 3 caption in the MS.

C-1-R12) The term "encroachment accumulation curve" we used was replaced with "erosion damage potential curve" (see B-R2) (L70 & L82).

C-1-C13)

- L80: "... the area of the encroached section of the beach..." → "...the area of the eroded beach section..."
- L83: "The erosion width is accomplished through three different planar area;..." → "The combined erosion width comprises: ..."
- L87: "... evenly affects..." \rightarrow "... uniformly distributed over..."
- L87–88 & L142 –143: "... except for a part of the deposition section due to shoreline deformation, ..."
 → "... except for the accretion due to shoreline reshaping,..."
- L96–97: "..., with results presented are graphically in Sect. 4." \rightarrow ", and the results are presented graphically in Sect. 4."

C-1-R13) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L70, L73, L76, L77 & L87).

2. Beach Erosion Risk

C-2-C1)

- L109: "... apply them to real fields..." \rightarrow "... apply them to field condition..."

C-2-R1) The sentence was supplemented as follows.

- L99: "...apply these methods to field condition..."

C-2-C2)

- L112: "...encroachment status ..." \rightarrow "... erosion status..."

C-2-R2) The sentence containing the word was judged to be unnecessary, so the sentence was deleted.

2.1 Definition of beach erosion risk

C-2.1-C1)

- L117: "... F as shown in the following equation," \rightarrow "... F given by,"
- L119 & L123: "... the right side of ..." \rightarrow "... the right-hand-side of ..."

C-2.1-R1) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L106 & L108).

2.2 Risk potential of beach erosion

C-2.2-C1)

- L134: "...previous two cases... for the last," \rightarrow "... first two cases... for the third case..."

C-2.2-R1) The sentence was supplemented as follows.

L123: "...last two cases ..."

C-2.2-C2)

L137, L140–141 & L144: " ... encroachment accumulation curve,..." → Please consider using " ... combined erosion potential curve,..." See explanation for L80 & L93.

C-2.2-R2) The term "encroachment accumulation curve" we used was replaced with "erosion damage potential curve" (see B-R2) (L126, L129 & L136).

C-2.2-C3)

- L139: "The following introduces the method of obtaining..." \rightarrow "The method is introduced in the following to obtaining..."

C-2.2-R3) The sentence was supplemented with the recommended expression (L128).

C-2.2-C4)

L151–L154, L159 & L165, in Figure 1 and caption & caption for Figure 2: "encroachment accumulation curve" → Use "combined erosion potential curve"

C-2.2-R4) The term "encroachment accumulation curve" we used was replaced with "erosion damage potential curve" (see B-R2) (L139-140, L144-145 & L151).

C-2.2-C5)

- L155, L157 & L158: "encroached ..." \rightarrow "eroded ..."

C-2.2-R5) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L140, L143 & L144).

2.3 Calculation process of the beach erosion risk

C-2.3-C1)

- L173: "encroachment accumulation curve" \rightarrow "combined erosion potential curve"

C-2.3-R1) The term "encroachment accumulation curve" we used was replaced with "erosion damage potential curve" (see B-R2) (L156).

C-2.3-C2)

- L173: "... is expressed as the following equation." \rightarrow "... is expressed by,"
- L177: "..., C_l hardly occurs, ..." \rightarrow "..., C_l would not occur, ..."
- L177: "... on the right side" \rightarrow "... on the right-hand-side"
- L178: "... is judged to be insignificant..." \rightarrow "...becomes insignificant..."

C-2.3-R2) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L157, L161-162).

3. Quantitative Interpolation

C-3-C1)

- L180: "3. Quantitative Interpretation" \rightarrow Revise it as "3. Quantitative Assessment"?

C-3-R1) The sentence was supplemented with the recommended expression (L164).

3.1 Sediment budget reduction potential

C-3.1-C1)

- L183–184: "... to the beach of the littoral cell..." \rightarrow "... to the beach within a littoral cell..."
- L186–188: "where... to the action of waves." \rightarrow to be shortened as "Where Q_{in} is the rate of sediment input from a river and Q_{out} is the rate of sediment loss into the sea due to wave action."
- L188–189: "If we express...is established:" \rightarrow to be shortened as "Expressing Q_{out} as a function of the loss rate K to a beach sediment volume V, the Eq. (7) becomes:"

C-3.1-R1) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L167, L170 & 172).

3.2 Longshore sediment deposition potential

C-3.2-C1)

- L207: "... due to wave field changes." → "... due to change in wave field arising from construction of a harbor breakwater."
- C-3.2-R1) The sentence was supplemented with the recommended expression (L190).

3.3 Cross-shore sediment retreat potential

C-3.3-C1)

L238–239: "Figure 6 shows...of shoreline position." → to revise as "Figure 6 shows the mean beach profile with a near constant depth of closure and the temporal variation of shoreline position for each beach profile."

C-3.3-R1) The sentence was supplemented with the recommended expression (L221-222).

4. Case Study for Bongpo-Cheonjin Beach

4.1 Study site description

C-4.1-C1)

- L273: "..., South Korea, has a small..." \rightarrow "..., South Korea, which has a small..."
- L275: "... the existence of a breakwater..." \rightarrow "...the existence of the breakwater..."
- L277–278: "... breakwaters of total 490 m..." → "...breakwater totaling 490 m..."

C-4.1-R1) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L254, L256 & L258).

4.2 Sediment budget reduction in the study area

C-4.2-C1)

- L298–299: "...results presented are graphically in Sect. 3.2 3.4." \rightarrow "... results are presented graphically in Sect. 4.2 4.4."
- L307–308: "The beach width extracted from the aerial photograph is the value obtained....by...by...of the shore..." → "From an aerial photograph, the value of beach width is obtained from dividing the beach area by the shoreline length..."
- L310: "...in the Bongpo-Cheonjin beach." → "...at Bongpo-Cheonjin beach."

C-4.2-R1) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L280, L288 & L291).

C-4.2-C2)

- L310-311: "It is judged that the range... within the erosion width." \rightarrow May we delete this sentence? **C-4.2-R2**) The sentence containing the word was judged to be unnecessary, so the sentence was deleted.

C-4.2-C1)

- L312 -313: "..., since 1979.11, ...beach area ...approximately 31,821 m² ...beach width...about 28.9 m." → "..., that since 1979.11 total beach area at Bongpo-Cheonjin has remained around 31,800 m², about the average of 31,821 m², or higher after 2005.05, except that between 1991.11 and 2005.05, whereas beach width has maintained about 28 m or more, except in 1997.06 when it was reduced to 26.6 m."
- L315: "...was also ignored as few." \rightarrow "...was also ignored.

C-4.2-R1) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L292-294 & L296).

4.3 Longshore sediment deposition potential caused by construction of harbor breakwater

C-4.3-C1)

- L317 –318: "As shown in Fig. 11, the beach width ... between 2000 and 2017" \rightarrow "As shown in Fig.

10, the beach width... after mid 2008 (by linear interpolation between 2005.05 and 2010.11)"

- L325: "...Ad is obtained..." \rightarrow "...Ad is then obtained..."
- L331: "...is estimated as..." \rightarrow "...is finally estimated as..."

C-4.3-R1) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L298-299, L306 & L312).

4.4 Cross-shore beach retreat due to high wave incidence

C-4.4-C1)

- L343: "from 5.57 m to 23.16 m (1 $yr \le Fe \le 100 yrs$)." \rightarrow "from 5.57 m to 23.16 m (1 $yr \le Fe \le 100 yrs$)." ? Use non-italicized units.

C-4.4-R1) The sentence was supplemented with the recommended expression (L324).

4.5 Erosion risk potential at Bongpo-Cheonjin Beach

C-4.5-C1)

L348–349: "... factor to the encroachment accumulation curve as given in Chapter 2. Figure 14 shows the encroachment accumulation curve according to..." → "... factor to the combined erosion potential

curve as given in Sect. 2. Figure 14 shows the combined erosion potential curve according to..." C-4.5-R1) The term "encroachment accumulation curve" we used was replaced with "erosion damage potential curve" (see B-R2). And The sentence was supplemented with the recommended expression (L329-330).

C-4.5-C2)

- L350: "Table 3 shows the encroachment aera..." \rightarrow "Table 3 shows the combined area..."
- L352–353 "... are evaluated by 0 and 17 m, ..." \rightarrow "... estimated are 0 and 17 m, ..."

C-4.4-R2) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L331 & L333).

C-4.5-C3)

- L356–357: "...using the encroachment accumulative curve, ..." → "...using the combined erosion potential curve, ..."
- L364, Figure 14 caption: "...using the encroachment accumulation curve...." \rightarrow "...using the combined erosion potential curve..."
- L367, Table 4 caption: "...using the encroachment accumulation curve." → "...using the combined erosion potential curve."

C-4.5-R3) The term "encroachment accumulation curve" we used was replaced with "erosion damage potential curve" (see **B-R2**) (L337-338, Figure 14 caption & Tabel 4 caption).

5. Discussion

C-5-C1)

- L371-373: "..., a risk potential was introduced as the meaning of risk when an equilibrium was reached for a long time, and a quantitative interpretation of risk potential was presented. This is, the risk potential, which is the planar area of the beach that can cause the maximum damage, is calculated excluding the continuous change of the shoreline with time scale." ?? Very confusing ?? → "..., a risk potential is defined as the extent of beach erosion or shoreline retreat caused by a specific environmental impact factor, such as reduction of updrift sediment supply, change in wave field due to harbor breakwater construction, and effect of high wave attack."
- L374: "... it takes time for erosion to reach equilibrium state." → "...it takes time for an eroded beach to regain its new equilibrium status."

- L374–375: "... to properly understand the temporal change, it is required to identify more relevant coefficient depending on the target beach." → "... to understand the temporal beach change, all relevant data must be thoroughly analysed, as well as the scale of the beach erosion from each contributing factor versus time, because the combined erosion potential may not be resulted as a simple arithmetic sum. For example, that induced by high waves occurs only sporadically, whereas those from other two factors are continuous, as illustrated in Figure 16."
- L375–376: "Figure 16 shows the approximate time scale difference in term of beach width according to three different erosion occurrence elements." → "This figure compares the relative time scale and magnitude of erosion for the three contributing components examined in this study."
- L378, Figure 16 caption: "Time scale difference according to erosion occurrence elements." →
 "Relationship between time scale and magnitude of erosion for each of the three contributing components of risk potential."

C-5-R1) Compared to the existing sentences, the recommended sentences have been converted to be more concise and readable for readers to understand. The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L347-354 & L356-357).

C-5-C2)

- L379: "First, shoreline retreat due to..." \rightarrow "First, shoreline retreat W_c due to..."
- L381: "loss rate K_d lost to offshore and the decrease rate α of the sand flowing into the beach, which are variables representing the" \rightarrow "loss rate K_c to offshore and the decrease rate α of sediment supply to the beach, which are a function of the"

C-5-R2) In the existing manuscript, terms and corresponding symbols were omitted, so they were added (L358 & L360).

C-5-C2)

- L384: "where the sand loss rate K_c is given as a constant value," \rightarrow "where K_c is a constant,"

C-5-R2) The sentence was supplemented as follows.

- L363: "where the sand loss rate K_c is a constant value, ..."

C-5-C3)

- L385: "Equation (20) shows that the...." \rightarrow "Equation (22) indicates that ..."
- L386: "... or more equilibrium when..." \rightarrow "... or more of the equilibrium state when..."
- L396–397: "...over 20~40 days per a storm event. ..." \rightarrow "... over 20~40 days after each storm."
- L397: "Shoreline retreats when high wave incidence and it recovers again when the wave us extinguished." \rightarrow "Shoreline retreats during storm/high waves and it recovers after storm wanes."

C-5-R3) In the existing manuscript, terms and corresponding symbols were omitted, so they were added (L364-365 & L375-376).

C-5-C4)

- L398: "...converging to wave energy ..." \rightarrow "...converging to swell wave energy..."

C-5-R4) The sentence was supplemented as follows.

- L377: "...exists between the location of the shoreline and swell wave energy in field observation."

C-5-C5)

- L400: "expressed as the following ODE equation..." \rightarrow "expressed by an ODE equation..."
- L402: "Here, K_e is the beach recovery factor, and E_b is the wave energy at the breaking point. And a is a beach ..." \rightarrow "Here, K_e is a beach recovery factor, E_b is the wave energy at the breaking point, a is a beach..."

C-5-R5) In the existing manuscript, terms and corresponding symbols were omitted, so they were added (L378 & L381).

C-5-C6)

- L403–404: "And another factor b, which is proposed by Yates et al. (2009), has little effect, so it is excluded from Eq. (24)." → You may delete this sentence because factor b does not appear in Eq. (24).
- C-5-R6) The sentence was judged to be unnecessary as you recommended, so the sentence was deleted.

C-5-C7)

L404–406: "... If only the value of the beach recovery factor K_e, which has a unique value for each beach with different characteristics, is known, the temporal change of the shoreline according to wave energy can be estimated using Eq. (24)." → "...When the value of K_e, which is unique for each beach, is known, the temporal change of shoreline can be estimated from Eq. (24) for given wave energy."

C-5-R7) The sentence was supplemented with the recommended expression (L382-383).

6. Concluding Remarks

C-6-C1)

- L412: "... (1989). It is often caused by wave field changes..." → "... (1989), resulting from wave field changes..."
- L414: "...was estimated..." \rightarrow "...is estimated..."

C-6-R1) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L389-390).

C-6-C2)

- L415-417: "...from the encroachment accumulation curve that accumulates the area to be damaged by...of the buffer section based on the average shoreline. Where the planar beach erosion potential obtained in advance is required to evaluate each consequence components." → May be shortened as "...from the combined erosion potential curve that represents the risk of beach erosion (area and/or shoreline) from a local mean shoreline."
- L418–419: "by multiplying the consequence and frequency. The frequency for ..." → "from multiplying the predicted risk potential by the frequency of occurrence for each contributing component. For instance, the frequency for ..."

C-6-R2) The term "encroachment accumulation curve" we used was replaced with "erosion damage potential curve" (see **B-R2**). And The sentence was supplemented with the recommended expression (L392-394).

C-6-C3)

- L420–424: "Through the case analysis....Korea, in which...was recently conducted, the feasibility of methodology presented in this study was reviewed and the major risks of erosion were quantitatively identified. It was interpreted using a series of aerial photographs taken from 1972 to 2017 and survey data obtained from the erosion rating project started in 2010." → "From the case study... Korea, where...has recently been carried out, the applicability of the methodology presented in this study is satisfactorily verified, supported by a series of aerial photographs taken from 1972 to 2017 and beach survey data commenced in 2010."
- L424–425: "As a result, no dam was built in the watershed of the target beach, small-scale weirs were constructed, so the...was judged to be insignificant enough to be difficult to quantitatively express." →
 "Following the construction of several small weirs, rather a large dam, in the watershed for the Bongpo-Cheonjin Beach, the ...has become insignificant, hence W_c ≈ 0."
- L425–426: "In addition, the longshore sediment deposition potential was evaluated as 17 m after...by
 40 m." → "In addition, an estimated of 17 m is assigned to longshore sediment deposition potential (Wd), after... by 40 m."

- L427–430: "...retreat potential was evaluated as the value with the range from 5.57 m to 19.75 m (1 yr \leq Fe \leq 30 yrs). Therefore, if the shoreline retreat which is the sum of individual components is applied to the encroachment accumulation curve, the risk potential is obtained as the value with the range from 20.9 m² to 4969.4 m2 (see Fig. 16 and Table 4)." \rightarrow ""...retreat potential (W_e) was estimated within the range from 5.57 m to 19.75 m for the frequency of occurrence (1 yr \leq Fe \leq 30 yrs). Applying the total shoreline retreat that represents the sum of ($W_c + W_d + W_e$) to the combined erosion potential curve, it yields the total eroded beach area ranging from 20.9 m² to 4969.4 m² (see Fig. 14 and Table 4).".
- L430: "This means that erosion damage to 4,969.4 m² area eroded at least once every 30 years can occur, requiring" → "This implies that beach area totaling 4,969.4 m² might be eroded once every 30 years, thus requiring...".
- C-6-R3) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L397-405).

C-6-C4)

- L432-433: "The erosion risk potential was calculated by applying the standard deviation of 5.5m obtained from the shoreline survey data. As a result, therefore, the peak risk potential of 357.54 m^2 occurred at 5 years recurrence." \rightarrow "Using the standard deviation of 5.5 m obtained from beach survey data for Bongpo Cheonjin Beach, Fig. 14 shows $W_e = 13.57 \text{ m}$, $W_t = 30.57 \text{ m}$ and $C_{5yrs} = 1,787.7 \text{ m}^2$ for the high waves with 5-year recurrency.
- L433–434: "When the risk assessment method of this study is applied, therefore, it is possible..." \rightarrow "Upon applying the risk assessment method presented in this paper, it is possible ...".

C-6-R4) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L407-409).

C-6-C5)

- L437–438: "The methodology proposed here enables the academic and quantitative identification of beach erosion risk and can help devise..." → "Moreover, the proposed methodology is helpful not only for assessing beach erosion risk quantitatively but also for devising...".
- L438–440: "Although the case analysis of this study is limited, it is necessary to examine the feasibility of the proposed method by steadily applying it to...." → "Further research is recommended in applying the methodology described in this paper to beaches suffering severe erosion, so that this method can be improved and benefit other coastal communities from applying it."
- C-6-R5) The sentences were supplemented with the recommended expression (L412-415).