

Reply to reviewer:

Thank you so much for your meticulous reading of the revised manuscript and valuable suggestions, which we have incorporated in the text. There are two small exceptions and we would like to mention them briefly. One is the phrase 'consist in', which we have not changed to 'consist of'. In the first case, the notion of 'the essence of ... is...' is being conveyed (see <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/consist-in-sth>).

The second exception is L 462: it is here about proposing something (and hence no 'that').