Assessing local impacts of the A.D. 1700 Cascadia earthquake and tsunami using tree ring growth histories: A case study in South Beach, Oregon, U.S.A.
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Abstract. We present a spatially focused investigation of the disturbance history of an old-growth Douglass fir stand in South Beach, Oregon for possible growth effects due to tsunami inundation caused by the A.D. 1700 Cascadia subduction zone earthquake. A high-resolution model of the 1700 tsunami run-up heights at South Beach, assuming an “L” sized earthquake, is also presented to better estimate the inundation levels several kilometers inland at the old-growth site. This tsunami model indicates the South Beach fir stand would have been subjected to local inundation depths from 0-10 m. Growth chronologies collected from the fir stand shows several trees experienced significant growth reductions before, during and several years after 1700, consistent with the tsunami inundation estimates. The +/- 1-3 year timing of the South Beach disturbances are also consistent with disturbances previously observed at a Washington state coastal forest ~220 km to the north. Additional comparison of the South Beach chronologies with regional chronologies across Oregon indicates the South Beach stand growth was significantly and unusually lower in 1700. Moreover, the 1700 South Beach growth reductions were not the largest over the 110-year tree chronology at this location, with other disturbances likely caused by other climate drivers (e.g. drought or windstorms). Our study represents a first step in using tree growth history to ground-truth tsunami inundation models by providing site specific physical evidence.

1. Introduction

Recent catastrophic tsunami inundation events along the Sumatra and Japanese coasts have shown tsunamis can have a devastating effect on coastal forests and overall coastal geomorphology [Kathiresan and Rajendran, 2005; Udo et al., 2012; Lopez Caceres et al., 2018]. In addition to the tsunami, ground motion caused by the megathrust earthquake can cause significant forest disturbance by toppling trees, damaging root systems, severing limbs and crowns, inducing damaging landslides, or altering the hydrology of a stand, among other potential effects [e.g. Shephard and Jacoby, 1989]. These disturbances appear in the tree-ring record of surviving trees as sudden suppression events (when there is damage), or growth events in the case of reduced competition from adjacent damaged trees.
Here we present a spatially focused investigation of the disturbance history of an old-growth forest in South Beach, Oregon (Figure 1). We also present a new, high resolution model of the 1700 tsunami run-up heights at South Beach to better estimate the inundation levels at the site of the old-growth forest. Our goal is to use tree-growth to ground-truth the tsunami impacts and inundation levels as well as for insights into the degree of shaking caused by the 1700 magnitude 9.0 Cascadia Subduction Zone earthquake [Satake et al., 2003; Witter et al., 2011].

Interestingly, direct evidence of seismic shaking (liquefaction, landslides, etc) from the 1700 megathrust earthquake is relatively rare along the Oregon coast. This is thought to be due to the high rainfall and water erosion rates in the Pacific Northwest which removes liquefaction evidence in coastal estuaries, and makes landslides in the coast range difficult to identify [Yeats, 2004; LaHusen et al., 2020]. Models of shaking and ground motion along the Oregon coast during the 1700 Cascadia earthquake indicate it should have been violent and widespread [WDNR, 2012], and it seems plausible that evidence of this shaking might be recorded in the ring widths of trees along the coast.

Very little tree-ring work has been conducted along the Oregon coast; the vast majority of tree-ring research in the Pacific Northwest has entailed climate reconstructions from high-elevation sites in the Cascade Mountains and Olympic Peninsula where competitive effects are low. We sampled a mesic old-growth forest near the Pacific coast where competitive effects are high. Significant disturbances from the 1700 earthquake and tsunami should substantially alter radial growth patterns as some trees are damaged or killed and resources are redistributed to survivors. Alternatively, the tsunami may cause physical damage to trees resulting in growth reductions. The goal of this study is to investigate whether these disturbances are observable in the few remaining old-growth forests along the coast of Oregon. Thus we chose a site where good inundation models exist, and there is significant public concern about tsunami impacts because of the presence of a large population and municipal infrastructure.

2.0 Evidence for Megathrust Earthquakes and Tsunamis

On 26 January at 9:00PM in the year 1700 A.D., a large earthquake occurred on the Cascadia Subduction Zone, the boundary between the Pacific and North American plates along the coasts of California, Oregon, Washington, and British Columbia [Satake et al., 2003]. The earthquake created a tsunami with 10-12 m run-up heights that struck the Pacific Northwest and propagated across the Pacific to Japan [Atwater, 1992; Satake, et al, 2003; Goldfinger et al., 2003]. The 1700 earthquake is estimated to have most likely been a moment magnitude (Mw) 9.0, with between 13-21 m of coseismic slip on an offshore fault 1100 km long [Satake et al., 2003; Witter et al., 2011]. The 1700 earthquake was preceded by an earthquake in 960 A.D. (740 yr interval) and another in 750 A.D. (210 yr interval), with three additional subduction events before these that comprise a recent cluster of 6 megathrust events over the past 1500 yrs.
During the 1700 Cascadia earthquake, ground motion and peak ground acceleration (PGA), are modeled to have ranged from ~0.5-1.2 g along the Oregon coast [WDNR, 2012]. Thus ground motion shaking during the 1700 earthquake should have been violent and widespread.

The exact timing of the earthquake was estimated by calculating the travel time for an unexplained tsunami that struck Japan on 26 January 1700 [Satake et al., 2003; Atwater, 2006]. Radiocarbon dating was used to show the earthquake occurred in 1700, where the radiocarbon dates were derived from the remnants of many trees drowned by coincident subsidence, and surviving trees recorded the earthquake’s date by anomalous changes in ring width or anatomy of their annual rings [Atwater and Yamaguchi, 1991; Jacoby et al., 1997]. As a result of subsidence, some coastal forests dropped below sea level and were flooded. Boles and root masses of these trees still remain and can be found from northern Oregon to southern Washington. Aligning tree-ring growth patterns of the living trees with those of the flooded, dead trees consistently showed that the last year of growth was 1699, indicating the earthquake occurred between October 1699 and April 1700 [Yamaguchi et al., 1997]. This tree-ring and dating evidence for coastal disturbance is indeed compelling, however the evidence was derived from trees along just 100 km of coastal southern Washington-northern Oregon, or ~5% of the coastline expected to be affected by a Cascadia megathrust earthquake.

Additionally, a coastal-wide inventory of liquefaction features associated with the 1700 earthquake found no features along the Oregon coast, despite numerous exposures of clean sand deposits that must be susceptible to liquefaction, even at low levels of seismic shaking [Obermeier and Dickenson, 2000]. The locations for these field studies in Oregon were also sites where evidence for great Holocene subduction earthquakes (in the form of crustal subsidence) have been identified [Nelson et al., 1995]. The only liquefaction features identified to date (and thus direct evidence of seismic shaking) were found along the Columbia River 35-50 km east of the coast, and these indicate moderate shaking intensity of 0.2-0.35 g [Obermeier and Dickenson, 2000].

### 3.0 Model of A.D. 1700 Tsunami

As a first step in estimating tree disturbance in South Beach, we produced a model of tsunami inundation level and expected flow speed for the 1700 earthquake based on estimates of size, location, displacement and coastal subsidence [Figures 2a,b,c; Witter et al, 2011]. Thus the modeled run-up height of the 1700 tsunami can be used as a basis to investigate possible impacts along the coast and estuaries of South Beach. Figures 2a,b show the model results of tsunami inundation level and flow speed for South Beach assuming the “L” or large sized earthquake (Mw 9.0) for the A.D. 1700 event [Wei, 2017]. The L model assumes a finite-fault source with maximum vertical coseismic displacement of 15.2 m and subsidence of ~1.03 m at South Beach [Figure 2c; Witter et al., 2011]. The Witter et al. (2011) coseismic subsidence estimate differs slightly from the Satake et al (2003)
because it is based on coseismic slip from turbidite records [Goldfinger, 2011], and includes a rupture model with slip partition into a splay fault in the accretionary wedge. The earthquake source duration was not taken into account in the model.

Two models were used to compute the tsunami inundation levels and flow speed [Wei, 2017] based on four-level telescoped model grids at the spatial resolutions of 1 arc min (~1.8 km), 12 arc sec (~360 m), 2 arc sec (~60 m), and 1/6 arc sec (~5 m). The MOST model [Titov and Gonzalez, 1997] is based on the shallow-water wave equations and uses the estimates of coseismic slip to account for deep-water wave propagation. The MOST model then provides the boundary conditions computed from the level-1 grid (Figure 2a) for a Boussinesq model [Zhou et al., 2011], which takes into account frequency dispersion when computing the nearshore wave-propagation field and onshore tsunami inundation in level-2, 3, and 4 grids (Figure 2b shows the coverage of level-4 grid). The digital-elevation and bathymetric grid of Newport-South Beach in level 4 used in the tsunami inundation models has a spatial resolution of 1/6 arc sec (~5 m). It is derived from the Digital Elevation Model provided by the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI). This dataset contains lidar data based on DOGAMI Lidar Data Quadrangles for Toledo South, Newport North, and Newport South. The horizontal datum is WGS 84. The vertical datum is NAVD 1988, and it is then converted to Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) level, which is the vertical datum in our tsunami inundation models. MHHW is 2.317 m above the NAVD 1988, and 1.185 m above Mean Sea Level (MSL) at Newport according to the datum information at the National Ocean Service (NOS) tide gauge at South Beach. Typically when performing hazard assessments, Mean High Water (MHW) or MHHW is assumed over the entire duration of tsunami [Wei, 2017], and using MHHW as the vertical datum usually gives a more conservative estimate of the tsunami impact. In the present study, we prefer to use MHHW, instead of the actual tidal level, as our model reference level due to: 1) the uncertainty of the time of the event, which is based on estimates from Japanese records (Satake et al. 1996), and could vary over a window of 1-2 hours; 2) the uncertainty of the earthquake/tsunami source; and 3) the uncertainty in the amount of sea level change, which is >0.5 m over the past 300 years based on a rate of 1.77 mm annual increase. The impact of these uncertainties on the model could overshadow the difference between MHHW and the actual tidal level, and adds an additional level of uncertainty to the model results. A Manning’s coefficient of friction of 0.03 is uniformly applied for both the land and ocean components of the tsunami propagation model. To more realistically estimate the tsunami impact produced by the 1700 event, we removed the two jetties at the entrance of Yaquina Bay from the model DEMs, which leads to greater tsunami inundation levels and impact at South Beach. The tsunami model results discussed hereafter are based on the revised DEMs without the jetties.

The tsunami inundation model presented here indicates the “L” earthquake, with the co-seismic subsidence taken into account, would produce a tsunami that could inundate South Beach to tsunami water levels up to 17 m (Figure 2a), and inundation depths up to 16 m (Figure 3a,b). The height of the water level at the western section of Mike Miller
Park is generally between 12–15 m, and reduces to between 9–12 m on the eastern side. It is important to note that “tsunami water level” is a term used to describe the elevation reached by seawater measured relative to a stated datum (MHHW herein). Whereas “inundation depth” refers to the local water depth, or height of the tsunami above the ground after taking into account the co-seismic subsidence at a specific location, as shown in Figure 2c. However, there is significant variation in the topography of South Beach, and several areas are predicted to experience a range of inundation depths much less than the 16 m maximum. For example, the model shows the amount of inundation decreases eastward of the beach, and the location of the old-growth Douglas Fir stand at Mike Miller State Park in South Beach may be subjected to a range of inundation depth from negligible to as much as 10 m (Figure 3b). Moreover, the South Beach stand would likely have been subjected to flow velocities between 2–10 m s$^{-1}$ (Figure 2B). These velocities are lower than most of the westward portions of the South Beach Peninsula because the stand is located on topography that can be up to 10 m higher elevation than most of the westward terrain. Nevertheless, it would seem these tsunami current velocities would be high enough to cause significant damage to the South Beach trees, through the large mass and momentum of this volume of sea water, that would be observable in the tree growth.

Lastly, it is worth noting that the “L” earthquake tsunami model presented here also involves the activation of splay faults in the overriding plate above the subduction zone. Motion on these splay faults introduce a larger co-seismic subsidence along the coastline, and therefore represent a more extreme inundation scenario for the A.D. 1700 event than previous models. Based on the turbidites records reported by Goldfinger et al. (2011), the “L” and larger earthquake scenarios occurred four times in the past 10,000 years, and thus is referred as a 2,500-year event, although the general earthquake size class and associated time interval for an “L” event is estimated to be 800 years by Witter et al. (2011).

### 4.0 Impacts of Earthquakes and Tsunamis Inundation on Tree Growth

#### 4.1 Earthquake induced ring growth disturbance

Although there is evidence for only moderate levels of ground shaking in coastal Oregon and Washington following the 1700 earthquake [Obermeier and Dickenson, 2000], ground motion during large earthquakes has been shown to cause significant forest disturbance in other earthquake prone regions. As previously mentioned, these earthquake-induced disturbances are caused by felling or damaging trees, inducing local landslides, or altering the stand’s water access [Jacoby et al., 1997]. Trees that survive these disturbances can have a tree-ring record that shows both sudden growth suppression events, or even sudden positive growth events because of possible reduced competition from nearby damaged trees. Moreover, pulses in tree recruitment may follow a large earthquake as young trees colonize gaps left by damaged over-story individuals [Jacoby et al., 1997].
Trees can respond both directly and indirectly to the effects of large earthquakes. Indirect responses can occur due to coseismic environmental changes. For example, Fuller (1912) noted trees died from flooding during the 1811-1812 New Madrid earthquakes. Wallace and LaMarche (1979) found coast redwoods (Sequoia sempervirens) and Douglas-firs (Pseudotsuga menziesii) tilted by the 1906 San Andreas Fault earthquake had reaction wood growth starting in 1907. Meisling and Sieh (1980) reported the January 1857 Ft. Tejon earthquake caused conifers to lose their crowns, which reduced ring widths that took many years to return to pre-earthquake growth rates. Jacoby and Ulan (1983) showed the September 1899 Alaska earthquake caused near shore Sitka spruces (Picea sitchensis) to increase growth because coseismic uplift moved the shoreline, resulting in less exposure to wind, salt spray, and rootzone erosion. As for direct responses to earthquake impacts, Jacoby et al., (1988) analyzed conifer tree-ring samples near the epicenter of the 1812 San Juan Capistrano earthquake. A total of nine on-fault trees showed drastic growth reductions in 1813, requiring decades to return to pre-disturbance growth rates. Similarly, Shephard and Jacoby (1989) showed that the 1964 Alaskan earthquake, which caused ~4 m of coseismic uplift, initially induced growth reduction in Sitka Spruces, but the trees eventually responded with wide reaction wood rings in the following years to regain upright positions.

4.2 Tsunami induced tree-ring growth disturbance

Just as trees located near epicenters of large earthquakes can be disturbed and show growth changes from intense shaking and ground displacement, inundation of a coastal forest by a large tsunami should also have a significant impact. Catastrophic tsunami inundation events along the Sumatra and Japanese coasts showed tsunamis have a devastating effect on coastal forests, damaging trees and severely eroded and alter the beach and estuary geomorphology [e.g. Kathiresan and Rajendran, 2005; Udo et al., 2012; Lopez Caceres et al., 2018]. It is expected that inundation by a tsunami would cause significant ring-growth reduction due to physical impact from the wave, prolonged exposure to salt-water, and from tsunami debris that would also physically impact the tree.

In the U.S. Pacific Northwest, when the A.D. 1700 co-seismic tree-ring growth disturbance is considered, it is largely of trees killed by inundation attributed to co-seismic subsidence [e.g. Atwater and Yamaguchi, 1991]. However, Jacoby et al., [1997] were able to find trees that pre-dated the 1700 Cascadia earthquake and survived subsidence and inundation, which is analogous to the tree-ring growth scenario we observed in South Beach, Oregon.

Jacoby et al. [1997] collected cores from 33 living Sitka spruce trees that were established earlier than 1700 (i.e. at least 300 years old) that stand along the western Columbia River between Washington and Oregon (~220 km north of South Beach). While 15 of these trees show some evidence of disturbance at 1700, 5 trees showed no disturbance and the remaining 14 could be in either category. There were both unusual decreases and increases in ring-width in disturbed trees. Disturbed trees also showed water-logging and increasing numbers of traumatic resin canals at 1700
(sap-conducting tubes formed by altered cells), but only 2 show reaction wood formation in response to co-seismic tilting or flooding. All trees also display a wide range in the years that ring-width changes begin, with clear decline in growth occurring as early as 1698 and as late as 1702 up to 1706.

Thus the exact timing of tree-ring disturbances due to an earthquake and the resulting ground motion, coastal land subsidence and tsunami inundation can vary within a few years around the event date. This is because tree growth can be affected by several climatological/meteorological factors, including droughts, cold/heat stress, fires, and windstorms and even insect infestations. However, comparison of coastal growth rings with other regional sites can be used to control for these climate/weather disturbance impacts. Thus, despite this temporal variability, Jacoby et al (1997) conclude the subduction earthquake/subsidence event occurred between the growing seasons of 1699 and 1700. Thus it seems likely a combination of the effects from earthquake ground motion, coastal land subsidence, and rapid inundation by several meters of fast moving sea water can be observed in the variation of ring growth chronologies from trees within the impact zone.

5.0 Tree Ring Growth Chronologies from South Beach, Oregon

In an attempt to further quantify the widespread effects of the A.D. 1700 earthquake, we obtained tree-ring records from a stand of old-growth Douglas fir trees (*P*seudotsuga menziesii) whose ages pre-date 1700 (Figure 4a,b). The stand is located in an Oregon State Park in South Beach, Oregon, roughly 600 m east of Highway 101 (Figure 3a,b). Old growth trees of 300+ years of age are rare along the Oregon coast, thus this stand of trees within the inundation zone presented a unique opportunity to search for direct physical evidence of the impact of a Cascadia Subduction zone earthquake and tsunami inundation in a populated area where tsunami models indicate significant inundation levels and run-up heights.

To ground-truth the model of the A.D. 1700 tsunami, we collected tree cores at breast height from 37 dominant or codominant old-growth trees at the South Beach site using a 32” increment borer. Two cores were collected from each tree, after which cores were mounted, sanded with increasingly fine lapping film, and cross-dated (Phipps 1985). Each core was then measured using a Velmex TA Tree-Ring Measuring device to the nearest 0.001mm (Velmex, Inc. Bloomfield, NY). Cross-dating was then statistically verified using the program COFECHA [Holmes 1983]. A master growth-increment chronology was then developed by detrending each measurement time series using a negative exponential or regression functions to retain as much low-frequency variability as possible as well as a second chronology developed using 50-year 50% frequency-cutoff cubic spline to highlight interdecadal to interannual growth variability. All chronology construction was performed using the program ARSTAN Cook and Krusic 2005]. Figure 3a,b shows the location of the Douglas Fir trees sampled for this study in relation to the modeled tsunami
run-up heights for South Beach. Of all the trees sampled, a total of twelve cores from eight trees pre-dated 1700 (Figure 4b).

As noted, the tsunami inundation model presented here (Figure 2a,b) indicates the “L” earthquake would produce a tsunami that could inundate the lowlands of South Beach to inundation depths up to 18 m. However, the Douglas fir old-growth stand that is the subject of this study lies on, and along the western edge, of two parallel north-south striking topographic highs (likely paleo-dune ridge lines). The tsunami model presented here indicates that while many of the trees in this area may have experienced as much as ~10 m of inundation depth, several trees are also on high ground and may have experienced much less, or even zero, inundation.

Tree-ring data detrended using negative exponential functions did not reveal major stand-wide releases or suppressions around 1700 (data not shown) nor did data detrended using the 50-year spline functions. Detailed examination of the growth-ring samples indicates that although individual cores have below-average growth, and one experiences what could be interpreted as a post-1700 growth release, variability around 1700 is not necessarily exceptional in the longer-term context of the ~310 year history of the dataset (Figure 4a,b). Indeed, there are at least 5 other growth reductions in the record that are the same magnitude or larger than the disturbance at A.D. 1700. Most notably, there are large suppressions observed beginning around 1691 and again in 1739 and 1745 (Figure 4a). The 1739 reduction has been observed in other old-growth stand chronologies throughout Cascadia and may be due to a significant climatological event such as a drought [Carroll et al. 2005, 2014].

5.1 Control Sites in Western Oregon Cascades and Coast Range

To better detect unusual growth anomalies around 1700, we compared the South Beach Douglas fir tree-ring data to two other Douglas-fir data sets from the Oregon Coast Range and western Cascade Mountains, which would have experienced similar climate conditions but not tsunami inundation. The first of these was an old-growth stand on Marys Peak (~46 km east of South Beach) in the central Coast Range and Browder Creek located ~160 km east in the western Cascade Mountains [Black et al. 2015; Figure 5a,b]. The other was a chronology generated from dead-sampled trees in lakes in the western and central Oregon Coast Range. These lakes had formed when landslides impounded streams, and the preserved drowned trees were then used to establish the date of lake formation, and thus the landslide event [Struble et al. 2020]. Eight lakes had a combined number of 15 trees (and 31 sets of measurements) that pre-dated 1700 and were used to generate a second “control” chronology. As with Mike Miller trees, all measurement time series in the control datasets were detrended with 50-year splines.
When compared to the control sites, South Beach tree growth is significantly lower than the lakes or Marys Peak in 1700 (t-test of p < 0.001). Moreover, the lakes trees and Marys Peak trees do not significantly differ in growth in 1700, suggesting the South Beach Douglas fir growth is unusually low across sites with similar climatic histories and sensitivities.

6.0 Discussion

Analysis of tree-ring data presented here indicates there is a reduction in Douglas-fir tree growth at a site associated with the 1700 Cascadia Subduction Zone earthquake and tsunami in South Beach Oregon relative to other inland, sites in the Oregon coast range. The growth reduction is not outside the range of variability, as illustrated by other much more severe suppressions over the 310 year South Beach chronology (Figure 5a,b). However, there is at least a subtle growth reduction that deviates from other nearby locations.

The tsunami inundation model presented here, which assumes the “L” or large sized earthquake (Mw 9.0) for the 1700 event [Wei, 2017] shows the resulting tsunami would have inundated the South Beach Douglas-fir stand. The growth reduction in Douglas-fir at South Beach, while not absolute conclusive evidence, is at least consistent with such an event and with both the magnitude and multi-year time period of growth reductions observed by Jacoby et al (1997) along the Columbia River ~220 km to the north.

Although tree rings and various geologic lines of evidence have been useful in establishing the date of the last Cascadia earthquake, there is still some question as to the degree of peak ground motion associated with the event. As previously discussed, a coastal-wide inventory of liquefaction features associated with the 1700 CSZ event indicate only moderate levels of ground shaking in coastal Oregon and Washington [Obermeier and Dickenson, 2000]. Mapping seismically induced landslides in the Oregon coast range is potentially another means to assess levels and distribution of seismic shaking impacts from the 1700 CSZ event, given large-magnitude earthquakes in mountainous regions around the world typically trigger thousands of landslides, and slope failures constitute a significant proportion of the damage associated with these events [Stuble et al. 2020]. Recent studies have demonstrated the utility of dendrochronology to date the ages of landslides in these settings [Stuble et al., 2020]. However, despite the Oregon coast range exhibiting thousands of landslides, none have been conclusively associated with the 1700 subduction earthquake, and despite proximity to the megathrust rupture, most deep-seated landslides in the Oregon Coast Range were triggered by rainfall [LaHusan et al., 2020]. Thus a continued search for physical evidence of tsunami inundation, earthquake shaking, and co-seismic landslides is needed to refine expectations of the inundation as well as intensity and distribution of ground shaking during future Cascadia megathrust earthquakes.
7.0 Summary
We presented a series of tree-ring data from an old-growth Douglas-fir forest in South Beach, Oregon that shows significant growth disturbance at the time of the A.D. 1700 Cascadia subduction zone earthquake. In addition, we presented a new, high resolution model of the 1700 tsunami inundation at South Beach old-growth site. Due to significant variation in the South Beach topography, several areas are predicted to experience water levels up to 17 m and a range of inundation depths up to 16 m, however the location of the old-growth stand may be subjected to a range of inundation depths from 0-10 m. To better detect tree growth anomalies near AD 1700, we also compared the South Beach Douglas-fir tree-ring data to two other Douglas-fir data sets from the Oregon Coast Range and western Cascade Mountains, which would have experienced similar climate conditions but not tsunami inundation. When compared to these control sites, South Beach tree growth is significantly lower in 1700, and reaffirms that the South Beach Douglas-fir growth is unusually low for the region. Thus the timing of the observed growth reductions in the South Beach Douglas-fir stand is consistent with these disturbances being associated with the A.D. 1700 Cascadia megathrust earthquake and the resulting tsunami, subsidence and ground motion. Overall, we think our study further supports the view that tree-ring data is a promising tool for providing insights on the spatial distribution of co-seismic impacts from megathrust earthquakes, as well as potential ground-truth information for tsunami inundation models.
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Figure 1: Map showing location of Newport and South Beach along the central Oregon coast. White dot shows position of Mike Miller State Park in South Beach, which is location of Douglas fir tree (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) old growth stand whose ages extend back past AD 1700. The state park is located ~2 km south of the Newport-Yaquina Bay, ~1.2 km east of the shoreline and ~600 m east of Highway 101. Maps were created using digital elevation data points compiled by National Center for Environmental Information (http://ncei.noaa.gov), and State of Oregon’s Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (https://www.oregongeology.org/lidar/).
Figure 2: (a,b) Model of tsunami inundation level and flow speed for South Beach assuming the “L” or large sized earthquake (Mw 9.0) for the A.D. 1700 event [Wei, 2017]. The L model assumes a finite-area fault-source with maximum coseismic displacement of 15.2 m and subsidence of ~1.03 m at South Beach Contour levels are shown. (c) The L model assumes a finite-area fault-source with maximum coseismic displacement of 15.2 m and subsidence of ~1.03 m at South Beach [Witter et al., 2011].
Figure 3: (a) Model of maximum tsunami inundation depth at South Beach for the “L” sized earthquake A.D. 1700 event; (b) Zoom in view of the tsunami inundation depth at Mike Miller State Park. Gray dotted circles show location of trees used in this study on north side of the Stand. Red numbers are the tree locations whose growth chronologies are shown in Figure 4a,b. White numbers shows trees that were cored, but chronologies do not include the years before AD 1700. Colors on map show inundation depth from the model, implying 0–10 m of inundation depth at the Mike Miller Park Douglas fir stand. Green areas are high ground locations that show no inundation. Yellow line shows, for comparison, the model of maximum run-up height for the Mw 9.2 “XXLarge” earthquake scenario [Priest et al., 2013]. Base maps made using © Google Earth 2016.
Figure 4: a) Tree-ring growth records of old-growth Douglas fir trees (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) located in Mike Miller State Park, South Beach, Oregon (see Figure 1). Vertical axis shows ring growth in cm, time range covers several decades before and after AD 1700. The color of each growth record relates to alpha-numeric labels of individual trees shown in legend, with location of trees shown in Figure 3b. Designation “A/B” represents two cores from same tree. Black arrow marks AD 1700 date, red arrows highlight the AD 1691, 1738 and 1745 large growth reductions that may have been caused by a significant climatological events. (b) Shows detailed growth record of trees in (a) 4 years before and after 1700 (black arrow).
Figure 5. a) Difference in growth chronologies between Mike Miller and reference sites at Marys Peak, Browder Creek, and Oregon Coast Range lake sites. The A.D. 1700 chronology indicated by red arrow, the significant growth differences between coast and inland sites in 1739-1741 and 1745-1748 are highlighted by red and black lines, respectively. b) Shows location map with Marys Peak and lake sites relative to South Beach. The number of cores available at each site during the 1700 time period are South Beach (14), Marys Peak (28), Coast Range Lakes (31) and Browder Creek (30). The Oregon Coast Range lakes include: Beaver Dam Lake, Carlton Lake, Esmond Lake, Hamar Lake, Kauppi Lake, Klickitat Lake, Soup Lake, and Wasson Lake. Map created using digital elevation data points complied by National Center for Environmental Information (http://ncei.noaa.gov)