



Interactive comment on “Pyroclastic flow mitigation strategies: a new perspective for the red area” by Mauro lacuaniello et al.

Vittorio Bosi (Referee)

vitjbos@gmail.com

Received and published: 16 March 2021

I'm glad I reviewed this article because it is consistent with what I do daily in my job. The vulnerability of structures to natural phenomena is in fact too often forgotten, to leave space to the hazard. In this article the Authors carefully analyse openings such as windows in case of volcanic eruption and the impact of pyroclastic flows, giving at the end some indications on possible mitigation strategies. They are giving a good contribution to society that can take their insights as a starting point to elaborate sustainable mitigation strategy. While fully understanding the purpose of the paper, it is unfortunate that the Authors do not go as far as cost/benefit considerations and energy performance improvement possibilities in applying such mitigation strategies. I

C1

hope this is a next step, perhaps for a new paper

Please also note the supplement to this comment:
<https://nhess.copernicus.org/preprints/nhess-2020-320/nhess-2020-320-RC1-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2020-320>, 2020.

C2