

Interactive comment on “Rapid landslide identification using synthetic aperture radar amplitude change detection on the Google Earth Engine” by Alexander L. Handwerger et al.

Mahdi Motagh (Editor)

motagh@gfz-potsdam.de

Received and published: 17 November 2020

Dear authors, I asked the reviewer to evaluate your reply letter. Below you will see his/her reply:

—— actually, I don't think it is convincing. Let me reply to the authors below: I understand the authors point of view, but I don't think they got mine. So, what the authors should ask themselves, what is the novelty of the paper and what is the value for the reader? Currently, the paper is a technical report basically stating that it is possible to detect landslides with GEE. But there is no further information given. Is it a good detection method? How does it compare to other methods?

So, what is the benefit for the reader? He can learn that it is possible to use GEE to detect landslides. I would assume nobody was seriously doubting that. The question one would have would be, I can use GEE, but do I get good results and how do these results compare to other methods? What are the advantages and disadvantages compared to other well-known methods?

So, the paper remains a work report, where the authors report what they did, but it does not provide valuable guidance for the readers. This is just another way of describing my initial review.

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2020-315>, 2020.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

