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Thank you for your comments. I understand that you may have some question regarding how to construct the hybrid I-O analysis so I will certainly add more data to show how the table look like. Please my replies below;

1. The IO model used is very good. But I suggest that the advantages of the IO model should be explained compared with other research methods.

REPLY: The hybrid I-O model is unique comparing to the conventional I-O model. It could analyze both monetary value and physical value in one table. The hybrid of physical and monetary, in other words, the integration of four submatrices (P, M, Cu, and Cd) is an illustration of the connection between a new industry and the existing economy, where the transferred resources are indicated along the way. Moreover, with the principal of physical and monetary, the resource price alteration could be made separately from the existing economy’s price, which is the further approach to pricing policy.

2. Some of the phraseology in the paper is suggested to be checked and revised.

REPLY: Noted. I will make a revision.

3. Relevant policy studies should be added.

REPLY: The hybrid I-O analysis method leads to the change of economic structure. It is accounted for both early phase change for garbage cleaning activities and thereafter the reconstruction and maintenance phase. If we could predict the short-term change of the economic structure, we could adapt for a new policy in order to remediate the effect of this disaster.

4. I suggest that robust analysis should be carried out

REPLY: I will add more instruction how to construct the hybrid I-O analysis.

5. Further extended research descriptions across regions, industries or products may be included if the data is allowed.

REPLY: I will add the hybrid I-O table to the supplementary.
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**Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item A</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item B</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item C</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note**

- The table above shows the total values for different categories.
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