



Tailings-flow runout analysis: Examining the applicability of a semi-physical area-volume relationship using a novel database

Negar Ghahramani¹, Andrew Mitchell¹, Nahyan M. Rana², Scott McDougall¹, Stephen G. Evans², Andy Take³

- 5 ¹Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, V6T 1Z4, Canada
²Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, N2L 3G1, Canada
³Department of Civil Engineering, Queen's University, Kingston, K7L 3N6, Canada

Correspondence to: Negar Ghahramani (nghahramani@eoas.ubc.ca)

10 **Abstract.**

Tailings-flows result from the breach of tailings dams. Large-scale tailings-flows can travel over substantial distances with high velocities and cause significant life loss, environmental damage and economic costs. Runout modelling and inundation mapping are critical components of risk assessment for tailings dams. In an attempt to develop consistency in reporting tailings data, we established a new tailings-flow runout classification system. Our data analysis applies to the zone corresponding to the extent of the main solid tailings deposit, which is characterized by visible or field-confirmed sedimentation, above typical surface water levels if extending into downstream water bodies. We introduced a new database of 33 tailings dam breaches by independently estimating the planimetric inundation area for each event using remote sensing data. This paper examines the applicability of a semi-physical area-volume relationship using the new database. Our results indicate that the equation $A=cV^{2/3}$, which has been used previously to characterize the mobility of other types of mass movements, is a statistically-justifiable choice for the relationship between total released volume and planimetric inundation area. Our analysis suggests that, for a given volume, tailings-flows are, on average, less mobile than lahars but more mobile than non-volcanic debris flows, rock avalanches and waste dump failures.

1 Introduction

Tailings dams are a critical piece of mining infrastructure (Blight, 2009). These dams retain mine tailings, a waste product of the mineral processing operations that includes finely ground rock and process water. Some of these wastes may classify as hazardous material (Vick, 1990). When a tailings dam breach occurs, a destructive flow of mine tailings can develop (e.g. (Macías et al., 2015)). These flows may travel over substantial distances and impact large areas (Rico et al., 2008a). The ability to understand and predict the motion of flowing tailings is a crucial step in protecting people, infrastructure and the environment from these events.



30 More than 350 tailings dam breaches have been recorded worldwide since the early twentieth century (Chambers and Bowker,
2019; International Commission on Large Dams (ICOLD), 2001; Rico et al., 2008a; Santamarina et al., 2019; WISE, 2020)
(Fig. 1). The records indicate that approximately one-third of these events led to loss of life and/or the release of more than
100,000 m³ of tailings and/or water (Chambers and Bowker, 2019). For example, the fluorite tailings dam at Stava, Italy failed
in 1985 and released a total volume of 185,000 m³ of muddy debris. As a result, the Stava and Tesero villages were destroyed
35 and 243 people lost their lives (Chandler and Tosatti, 1995; Luino and De Graff, 2012; Pirulli et al., 2017; WISE, 2020). The
2014 Mount Polley tailings dam failure in British Columbia, Canada resulted in the release of about 25 million cubic meters
(M m³) of water and tailings into Polley Lake, Hazeltine Creek, and Quesnel Lake. The tailings inundation area was estimated
to be approximately 2.4 M m² (Cuervo et al., 2017; Mount Polley Mining Corporation, 2016). The 2015 Fundão tailings dam
failure in Brazil resulted in the release of about 35 M m³ of tailings materials. This event killed 19 people and caused long-
40 lasting environmental damage to several water channels in the basin of the Doce River (Carmo et al., 2017; Hatje et al., 2017;
WISE, 2020). More recently, another disastrous tailings dam breach occurred at the Feijão mine near Brumadinho, Brazil in
January 25, 2019. Almost 12 M m³ of tailings left the impoundment and the resulting tailings-flow travelled for almost 9 km
and inundated an area of approximately 3.0 M m² before reaching the Rio Paraopeba (WISE, 2020); 259 people were reported
killed, and 11 were reported missing as a result of this failure (WISE, 2020). All of these events also resulted in substantial
45 financial losses for the mine operators and investors.




Figure 1: Decadal frequency and cumulative frequency of tailings dam breaches worldwide (n= 355) between 1930 and 2019. Sources: (Chambers and Bowker, 2019; ICOLD, 2001; WISE, 2020)



Tailings dam breach runout analysis studies the behaviour of tailings-flows. The term "tailings-flow" refers to various forms of tailings outflow movement resulting from the breach of a tailings dam. This may include a partial or a total release of the stored tailings and associated water (Blight, 2009; Rico et al., 2008a, 2008b; Villavicencio et al., 2014). Tailings-flows exhibit different characteristics depending on various factors, including sediment concentration, the presence of surface water, embankment configuration, failure mechanism, liquefaction potential, and downstream topography (Martin et al., 2019; Small et al., 2017). Tailings-flows can take various forms, ranging from a massive debris flood consisting of water and sediment, to a flowslide (Hungr et al., 2014). These flows can travel long distances at extremely rapid velocities (> 5 m/s) (Blight, 1997; Blight et al., 1981; Harder and Stewart, 1996; Jeyapalan et al., 1983a, 1983b; Kossoff et al., 2014; Macías et al., 2015; Rico et al., 2008a). Runout modelling and inundation mapping of tailings dam breaches are essential steps for estimating the potential consequences of a tailings dam failure, determining appropriately stringent design criteria, and developing emergency response and preparedness plans (Canadian Dam Association (CDA), 2014; Knight Piésold, 2014; Martin et al., 2015, 2019; McDougall, 2017). In recent years, there has been an increase in the study of the consequences of tailings dam breaches following several major disasters worldwide (Roche et al., 2017; Santamarina et al., 2019; Schoenberger, 2016). However, much uncertainty still exists in this field. The number of available empirical-statistical runout models is limited (Section 2). Most of the commonly used numerical models were developed primarily for either clear water flood analysis (Brunner, 2016; Danish Hydraulic Institute (DHI), 2007; Martin et al., 2019) or the analysis of flow-like landslides (McDougall, 2017; McDougall and Hungr, 2004; Pastor et al., 2002; Pirulli et al., 2017) and do not necessarily account for the compositional variety of tailings and its potential influence on the downstream flow behaviour (Dibike et al., 2018; Macías et al., 2015; Pirulli et al., 2017). Due to these limitations, hazard maps delimiting potential inundation areas based on current techniques may not reliably characterize the extent and intensity (e.g. flow depth and velocity) of possible tailings dam breach scenarios.

The purpose of this paper is to i) introduce a runout zone classification method in an attempt to develop consistency in reporting runout distances and inundation areas of tailings-flows, ii) introduce a new database of 33 tailings dam breaches where released volume was reported and the planimetric inundation areas were quantitatively measured for all of the events, iii) examine the applicability of a semi-physical area-volume relationship for tailings-flow cases to help characterize the mobility and potential impacts of these types of failures, and iv) investigate the effects of additional attributes of the tailings and downstream topography, such as tailings mine types and confinement of travel path, which could potentially be used to refine these empirical-statistical relationships. The present work builds on previous work described in (Ghahramani et al., 2019).

2 Previous Empirical Studies

2.1 Empirical runout studies for tailings dam breaches

Empirical runout analysis of tailings dam breaches is a relatively new research topic. Rico et al. (2008a) proposed a set of empirical correlations that relate tailings-flow characteristics (e.g. released volume and runout distance) to the geometric



80 characteristics of tailings dams (e.g. dam height and total impoundment volume). A database of 28 tailings dam breaches (from
 1965 to 2000) containing information on released volume and runout distance was used in their study (Rico et al., 2008a).
 Rico et al. (2008a) found positive correlations between i) the total volume of the tailings in the impoundment at the time of
 failure and the tailings released volume, and ii) the tailings released volume and the tailings runout distance. The tailings
 released volumes in their work were extracted from existing databases and publications with no information on the distinction
 85 between the volume of released solid tailings, interstitial (pore) water, and surface (free) water. A parameter referred to as the
 “dam factor” (the product of the dam height and tailings released volume, $H \times V_F$) was used to improve the correlations in their
 study. This parameter was originally developed by Hagen and the Committee on the Safety of Existing Dams for the peak
 discharge estimation of water dam-breaks (Committee on the Safety of Existing Dams, 1983; Costa, 1985; Hagen, 1982). The
 related equations by Rico et al. (2008a) are provided in Table 1.

90 The Tailings Dam Breach Working Group (WG) of the Canadian Dam Association (CDA) Mining Dam Committee compiled
 a tailings dam breach database that includes the 28 cases presented by Rico et al. (2008a), plus 51 additional cases (Small et
 al., 2017). Their study discussed the limited information provided in the Rico et al. (2008a) database and listed additional
 factors that could influence the behaviour of tailings flows. The WG proposed a four-element classification matrix based on
 two main factors: i) the presence of free standing water in close proximity to the breach, and ii) tailings liquefaction potential.

95 The empirical relationships of Rico et al. (2008a), were re-examined based on the proposed classification (Small et al., 2017).
 Larrauri and Lall (2018) updated the database presented in Rico et al. (2008a) and reexamined their empirical correlations.
 They added a new element (V_F/V_T) to the dam factor parameter, called H_f ($H \times (V_F/V_T) \times V_F$), where V_T is the total volume of
 the tailings impoundment and V_F is the total released volume. Using the updated database, they concluded that the relationship
 between H_f and runout distance has a stronger correlation ($R^2 = 0.53$, Table 1) than the relationship between dam factor and
 100 runout distance ($R^2 = 0.44$) (Larrauri and Lall, 2018). However, arguably both correlations are fairly weak and the physical
 basis of the H_f factor was not discussed in their study. Rico et al. (2008a) and Larrauri and Lall (2018) both noted that
 uncertainties in their databases suggest that the results need to be treated with caution.

Table 1: Empirical relationships proposed by others for the runout analysis of tailings dam breaches

Input Parameter	Output Parameter	Equation	R-squared	References
Impoundment Volume (V_T)	Total Released Volume	$V_F = 0.354V_T^{1.01}$	0.86	(Rico et al., 2008a)
Total Released Volume (V_F)	Maximum Runout Distance	$D_{max} = 14.45V_F^{0.76}$	0.56	(Rico et al., 2008a)
Dam Height (H)	Maximum Runout Distance	$D_{max} = 0.05H^{1.41}$	0.16	(Rico et al., 2008a)
Dam Factor (HV_F)	Maximum Runout Distance	$D_{max} = 1.61(HV_F)^{0.66}$	0.57	(Rico et al., 2008a)
Impoundment Volume (V_T)	Total Released Volume	$V_F = 0.332V_T^{0.95}$	0.89 ^a	(Larrauri and Lall, 2018)
Predictor H_f ($H(V_F/V_T)V_F$)	Maximum Runout Distance	$D_{max} = 3.04H_f^{0.545}$	0.53 ^a	(Larrauri and Lall, 2018)

^aThese values were calculated using a different database from Rico et al. (Rico et al., 2008a). In all the relationships, V_T and V_F are in 10^6
 105 m^3 , H is in m, and D_{max} is in km.



2.2 Empirical runout relationships - area and volume

Several authors have investigated the relationship between inundation or deposit area (A) and flow volume (V) for different types of flow-type landslides (e.g. (Berti and Simoni, 2007; Davies, 1982; Delaney and Evans, 2014; Golder Associates Ltd., 1995; Griswold and Iverson, 2008; Hungr, 1981; Hungr and Evans, 1993; Iverson et al., 1998; Li, 1983)) (Table 2). Li (1983) presented an empirical relationship between rock avalanche deposit area and volume for 76 major European rock avalanches. The deposit area and volume were logarithmically transformed to apply a linear least-squares regression analysis (Li, 1983). Hungr and Evans (1993) applied a similar methodology to a different dataset of rock avalanches. However, they made an assumption that the deposits at various scales retain a similar geometry, which resulted in the following scaling relation for the area-volume relationship:

$$A = cV^{2/3} \quad (1)$$

where A is the inundation area, V is the total flow volume and c is a constant related to flow mobility (Hungr and Evans, 1993) (i.e. for a given event volume, a higher mobility flow results in a higher planimetric inundation area). Golder Associates Ltd. (1995) derived an area-volume relationship for mine waste dump failures using a database of 22 cases. Iverson et al. (1998) presented similar area-volume relationships as in Hungr and Evans (1993) for lahars (Table 2). Statistical analysis of a dataset containing 27 lahars was used to calibrate and test the validity of those equations (Iverson et al., 1998). Berti and Simoni (2007) and Griswold and Iverson (2008) extended the same methodology to non-volcanic debris flows. Griswold and Iverson (2008) also substantially expanded the database of rock avalanches and found a slightly different correlation than Hungr and Evans (1993) (Table 2).

Table 2: Selected empirical relationships between volume and inundation area proposed by others for various landslide types

Database Type	Equation	n	References
Rock avalanches	$A = 76 V^{0.57}$	76	(Li, 1983) ^a
Rock avalanches	$A = 12 V^{2/3}$	40	(Hungr and Evans, 1993) ^b
Lahars	$A = 200 V^{2/3}$	27	(Iverson et al., 1998) ^b
Debris flows	$A = 17 V^{2/3}$	90	(Berti and Simoni, 2007) ^b
Debris flows	$A = 20 V^{2/3}$	44	(Griswold and Iverson, 2008) ^b
Rock avalanches	$A = 20 V^{2/3}$	142	(Griswold and Iverson, 2008) ^b

^aThe original equation from (Li, 1983) is presented in power law format to facilitate comparison.

^b A and V are planimetric area and flow volume, respectively (A is in m^2 and V is in m^3).




3 Methodology

3.1 Dataset compilation

Tailings dam breaches have been recorded since the beginning of the twentieth century (Chambers and Bowker, 2019; ICOLD, 2001). Several compilations and summaries of the characteristics of significant tailings dam breaches can be found in the literature (Chambers and Bowker, 2019; ICOLD, 2001; Small et al., 2017; WISE, 2020). These summaries contain key information about the events, such as dates, causes and triggers of failure, dam heights and construction methods, and the volumes of released and impounded tailings. However, most of the records lack consistency in the reported data related to runout, including information related to factors that may better characterize tailings-flows, due to the lack of a systematic methodology in reporting. In the present study, we first compiled available information for 71 tailings dam breaches and then assessed the runout characteristics of each case individually. Data sources included existing literature on individual tailings dam breach events, existing databases, and remote sensing data obtained from satellite images or aerial photos.

We classified the inundation areas into two zones (Fig. 2). Zone 1 is the primary impact zone, defined as the extent of the main solid tailings deposit, which is characterized by remotely visible or field-confirmed sedimentation, above typical bankfull elevations if extending into downstream river channels. Zone 2 is the secondary impact zone, defined as the area downstream of Zone 1 that is further impacted by the tailings-flow in some form. Secondary impacts may include flood or displacement wave impacts (i.e. fluid impacts above typical downstream water levels) and sediment plume impacts (i.e. below typical downstream water levels).




145 **Figure 2: An idealized representation of a tailings dam breach showing the two runout limit classifications. Zone 1 represents the primary impact zone, defined as the extent of the main solid tailings deposit, which is characterized by remotely visible or field-confirmed sedimentation, above typical water levels if extending into downstream streams. Zone 2 is the secondary impact zone,**



defined as the area downstream of Zone 1 that is still impacted by the tailings-flow in some form and includes the distal limit of the flow.

150 Figure 3 shows a flowchart that summarizes our data compilation methodology, including the screening of data sources, the impact zone classification, the delineation of Zone 1, and the estimation of uncertainty due to image resolution. The extent of Zone 2 is typically more challenging to estimate than the extent of Zone 1, due to the variability of downstream flow mixing conditions, the relatively transient nature of secondary impacts, and the inherent limitations (e.g. image resolution) of the remote detection methods used. The focus of this study was therefore on Zone 1.



155


Figure 3: Schematic representation of the methodology applied to obtain data for tailings-flows inundation area.

Applying our methodology to the preliminary database comprising 71 tailings dam breaches resulted in 33 cases for which we were able to obtain satisfactory imagery and independently estimate runout distance and planimetric inundation area (Table 3). Figures 4 and 5 illustrate two examples of delineating the extent of Zones 1 and 2 for the tailings dam breaches at the Feijão mine near Brumadinho, Brazil, 2019, and the Cieneguita mine in Mexico, 2018, respectively. The primary impact zone for Feijão (red-dashed polygon in Fig. 4) was established through a detailed comparison of pre-event and post-event PlanetScope (3 m) imagery. After entering the Paraopeba River, the Feijão tailings-flow exhibited no visible sedimentation above the

160




165 bankfull level (blue dashed-line in Fig. 4) and the channel width stayed the same. However, we observed changes in water
colour for over 100 km down-river, which we interpret to represent the secondary impact zone (Zone 2). A similar methodology
was applied for the Cieneguita mine tailings dam breach on June 4, 2018 in Mexico, for which the runout distance was reported
to be between 26 and 29 km (Chambers and Bowker, 2019; WISE, 2020). Based on our methodology, the transition between
Zone 1 and Zone 2 occurs where the extent of the tailings deposits significantly decreased. Normalized Difference Vegetation
Index (NDVI) change detection analysis (Fig. 5 inset (a)) was used to help identify the tailings deposits. The estimated Zone
1 runout distance was approximately 15 km.



170

Figure 4: Aerial view of the tailings dam breach at the Feijao mine near Brumadinho, Brazil, January 25, 2019. Zone 1 is shown in the red dashed polygon. The portion of Zone 2 that is visible in this image is shown in the blue dashed polygon. Image courtesy of Planet Labs, Inc. (January 29, 2019).



175 **Figure 5: Aerial views of the tailings dam breach at the Cieneguita mine in Mexico, June 4, 2018. The NDVI differencing change detection technique was used to help delineating Zones 1 and 2 inundation areas (a). Zones 1 and 2 are shown in the red and blue dashed polygons, respectively (b). The inset image (c) shows the transition between Zones 1 and 2 (red dot). Image courtesy of Planet Labs, Inc. (June 12, 2018).**

180 Compared with the hundreds of tailings dam breach cases listed in previous databases (Chambers and Bowker, 2019; ICOLD, 2001; Rico et al., 2008a; Small et al., 2017), the relatively limited number of cases (33) in our new database reflects the limited availability of suitable imagery, especially for older cases that predate satellite imagery. We used a simple approach to quantitatively estimate the uncertainty due to limitations in image resolution in our area measurements based on the pixel sizes of the images. The maximum uncertainty due to image resolution limitations was considered to be equal to the total area of the pixels intersected by the perimeter of Zone 1. Our database contains information on the uncertainty level of each case
185 (Table 3).

Additional key attributes are included in our database (Table 3). We classified our cases using the four elements classification matrix in Small et al. (2017), described above. We also used the following two categories proposed by Golder Associates Ltd. (1995) to classify the confinement of the travel path: i) confined, in which the flow path is constrained by relatively steep side slopes of a gully or valley; and ii) unconfined, in which the flow path is on an open slope or relatively flat surface and the topography permits spreading of the tailings-flow from an early stage. Similarly, to classify the tailings mine type, we used
190 the following two categories introduced by Small et al. (2017): i) hard rock mine tailings, which includes lead-zinc, copper, gold-silver, molybdenum, nickel from sulphide deposits, and uranium; and ii) soft rock mine tailings, which includes coal, potash, fluorite, gypsum, and aluminum (Bussi ere, 2007; Small et al., 2017; Vick, 1990).



195 The dam height and total released volume data were collected from existing databases and publications. We also included information on the volume of free water released, if available. However, for the empirical analysis, only the total reported released tailings volume was considered. We note that there is limited information available on how the reported released volumes within the existing databases were obtained (including the distinction between the volume of released solid tailings, interstitial water, and surface water).



200

TABLE 3. Database of 33 tailings dam breaches (tailings-flows) containing independently estimated measurements of Zone 1 runoff distance and planimetric inundation area.

ID	Event	Location	Latitude	Longitude	Date	Confined / Unconfined	Type of Tailings	WG Classification	Tailings Released Volume (M m ³)	Total Free Water Released (M m ³)	Total Released Volume (M m ³)	Zone 1- Tailings Runout Distance (m)	Zone 1- Inundation Area (m ²)	Uncertainty Level %	
1	Bellavista	Chile	32.69° S	70.88° W	1965-03-28	Unconfined	Hard	IA	0.055	-	0.055	1,300	130,000	4	
2	Cerro Negro	Chile	32.58° S	70.88° W	1965-03-28	Unconfined	Hard	IA	0.07	-	0.07	3,200	1,300,000	1	
3	El Cobre (New & Old)	Chile	32.65° S	71.14° W	1965-03-28	Confined	Hard	IA	0.36	2.04	2.4	11,200	5,900,000	1	
4	Los Maquis	Chile	32.463° S	71.08° W	1965-03-28	Confined	Hard	IA	0.021	-	0.021	1,500	47,000	12	
5	Sgorigrad	Bulgaria	43.16° N	23.51° E	1966-05-01	Confined	Hard	IA	0.22	-	0.22	6,000	400,000	5	
6	Certej	Romania	45.96° N	22.98° E	1971-10-30	Confined	Hard	IA	0.3	-	0.3	2,300	380,000	3	
7	Bafokeng	South Africa	25.52° S	27.20° E	1974-11-11	Confined	Hard	IA	0.17	0.02	0.19	4,200	9,000,000	17	
8	Stava	Italy	46.32° N	11.50° E	1985-07-19	Confined	Soft	IA	0.038	-	0.038	100	7,000	5	
9	Stancil	USA	39.57° N	76.03° W	1989-08-25	Unconfined	Hard	IB	0.055	-	0.055	730	30,000	18	
10	Tapo Canyon	USA	34.33° N	118.72° W	1994-01-17	Confined	Hard	2A	0.51	0.09	0.6	2,200	900,000	19	
11	Merricspuit (Harmony)	South Africa	28.13° S	26.85° E	1994-02-22	Unconfined	Hard	IA	0.23	-	0.23	830	80,000	3	
12	Pinto Valley	USA	33.41° N	110.96° W	1997-10-22	Confined	Hard	2A	1.5	5.5	7	29,000	16,000,000	11	
13	Los Frailes/ Aznalcollar	Spain	37.52° N	6.23° W	1998-04-24	Unconfined	Hard	IA	0.03	-	0.03	700	70,000	2	
14	Comurhex, Cogéma/Areva France	France	43.21° N	2.98° E	2004-03-20	Unconfined	Hard	IA/IB	2	-	2	40,000	8,000,000	38	
15	Mineracao (Rio Pomba)	Brazil	21.22° S	42.68° W	2007-01-10	Confined	Soft	IA/IB	0.19	-	0.19	2,300	400,000	7	
16	Xiangfen	China	35.88° N	111.58° E	2008-09-08	Unconfined	Hard	IA/IB	4.1	-	4.1	1,400	800,000	7	
17	Kingston fossil plant	USA	35.9° N	84.52° W	2008-12-22	Unconfined	Soft	2A	1.2	1	2.2	2,900	520,000	7	
18	Karanken	Russia	60.24° N	151.06° E	2009-08-29	Confined	Hard	IA	0.1	-	0.1	550	80,000	3	
19	Las Palmas	Chile	35.18° S	71.76° W	2010-02-27	Unconfined	Hard	2A	0.041	-	0.041	2,000	150,000	3	
20	Ajka	Hungary	47.09° N	17.50° E	2010-10-04	Confined	Soft	IA	0.1	0.0005	0.1005	500	44,000	1	
21	Koyukari	Japan	38.81° N	141.53° E	2011-03-11	Confined	Hard	2A	0.67	-	0.67	5,100	1,000,000	6	
22	Güllübrölge	Canada	49.2° N	56.17° W	2012-12-17	Unconfined	Hard	IB	7.3	17.1	25.6	9,000	2,000,000	6	
23	Obed Mountain	Canada	53.57° N	117.52° W	2013-10-31	Confined	Soft	IB	33	-	33	99,000	21,000,000	3	
24	Mount Polley	Canada	52.51° N	121.6° W	2014-08-04	Confined	Hard	IB	2	-	2	2,500	300,000	6	
25	Fundão	Brazil	20.21° S	43.47° W	2015-11-05	Confined	Hard	2A	0.5	-	0.5	500	300,000	5	
26	Luoyang	China	34.7° N	112.06° E	2016-08-08	Confined	Soft	2A	0.1	-	0.1	28,000	2,000,000	18	
27	Tonglvshan	China	30.08° N	114.95° E	2017-03-12	Unconfined	Hard	2A/2B	2.6	-	2.6	640	500,000	3	
28	Mishor Rotem	Israel	31.06° N	35.21° E	2017-06-30	Confined	Soft	IB	0.44	-	0.44	15,000	500,000	17	
29	Jharsuguda (Vedanta)	India	21.78° N	84.08° E	2017-08-28	Unconfined	Soft	2A/2B	1.33	-	1.33	480	120,000	5	
30	Cieneguita	Mexico	27.12° N	108.03° W	2018-06-04	Confined	Hard	Unknown	9.65	-	9.65	9,000	2,700,000	3	
31	Cudia	Australia	33.5° S	148.99° E	2018-03-09	Unconfined	Hard	2A	0.07	-	0.07	450	70,000	16	
32	Feijão	Brazil	20.12° S	44.12° W	2019-01-25	Confined	Hard	2A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Cabriza	Peru	12.58° S	74.37° W	2019-07-10	Confined	Hard	Unknown	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

^aThe procedures used to classify the cases based on path confinement and tailings type and WG classification matrix can be found in Section 3.1. ^bInformation on released volumes was collected from other databases (tailings released volume is the released volume of solids and interstitial water; free water released volume is the released volume of surface water).



3.2 Statistical analysis

205 3.2.1 Volume dependency of Zone 1 inundation area

In this study, the scaling relationship adopted in previous studies (Davies, 1982; Golder Associates Ltd., 1995; Griswold and Iverson, 2008; Hungr and Evans, 1993; Iverson et al., 1998; Li, 1983) was applied to the new tailings-flow database. The analysis relates the estimated Zone 1 inundation area (dependent variable) to the reported total released volume (independent variable) in Table 3. A simplifying assumption was made that the released volume approximately matches the volume deposited downstream in Zone 1 (i.e. the potential contribution of entrainment and erosion to the total volume of the deposited material was not considered).

We used our tailings dam breach database ($n = 33$) to fit a regression model and examine the applicability of Eq. (1) for tailings-flows. We transformed the data into a log-log scale and applied the standard least-squares linear regression method. A linear regression model was fit to the data using a specified $2/3$ slope and was compared to the standard least-squares linear regression. The uncertainty in the tailings release volume estimates is not considered for this analysis.

3.2.2 Effect of other factors on Zone 1 inundation area


Exploratory analyses were completed to investigate the effects of qualitative factors, such as the tailings mine type and travel path topographic confinement, on the area-volume relationship. This analysis was achieved by creating box plots of the regression residuals and colour-coding the data points in the area-volume plot to visually assess if there were trends that could potentially be incorporated into the regression analysis to reduce the uncertainty.

4 Results

Figure 6 shows the log-linear regression line for Zone 1 inundation area as a function of total released volume with the 95% confidence interval on the regression. The regression with a specified $2/3$ slope (i.e. based on Eq. (1)) plots within the 95% confidence interval of the best-fit regression, supporting the hypothesis that this scaling relationship is valid for the tailings breach data. Table 4 compares the output from the regression analysis for the best-fit and the specified $2/3$ slope regression models. The following regression equation was obtained in power-law form for the specified $2/3$ slope regression model:

$$A = 80 V_R^{2/3} \quad (2)$$

Where V_R (m^3) is the total released volume and A (m^2) is the planimetric inundation area.



230 **Figure 6: Log-log scatter plot of planimetric Zone 1 inundation area versus total released volume for 33 tailings-flow cases (Table 3). The specified 2/3 slope regression line (in red) is fitted to the data. The best-fit regression line (in black) and the 95% confidence intervals (dashed lines) of the best-fit regression are plotted for comparison.**

Table 4. Statistical results of the regression analysis for the best-fit and specified 2/3 slope models.

Parameter	Best-fit regression	Specified 2/3 slope
Slope (α)	0.73	0.67
Intercept of line at $\log V = 0$ ($\text{Log}(\beta)$)	1.52	1.90
β	33	80
Number of data, n	33	33
Standard error of model, σ	0.56	0.55
Standard error of volume coefficient	0.11	NA
Standard error of intercept	0.65	0.10
Coefficient of determination, r^2	0.58	0.57

235 The power law form of the equation: $A = (\beta) V^\alpha$; The linear form of the equation in log-log scale: $\text{Log}(A) = \alpha \text{Log}(V) + \text{Log}(\beta)$. For $\alpha = 2/3$, $\beta = c$ coefficient in Eq. (1).


The residuals (i.e. observed inundation area minus predicted inundation area) of the regression line with a specified 2/3 slope were analyzed to investigate if the variation could be explained through qualitative descriptions of the tailings type or confinement of the tailings runout path. This analysis was completed by plotting the distribution of the regression residuals as a box plot, where the lowest bar is the minimum of the residual distribution, the lower box represents the first quartile to the median residual, the upper box is from the median to the third quartile, and the upper bar is the maximum of the residual

240



245

distribution. If the distributions show stratification (e.g. one distribution has all four quartiles that are lower than the quartiles for a second predictor), it is an indication that there is a consistent difference in behaviour based on the descriptive predictors. Fig. 7a shows that, for a given volume, the inundation area for unconfined flow paths tends to be smaller than that for confined flow paths. Similarly, Fig. 7b shows that, for a given volume, the inundation area for hard rock mine tailings tends to be smaller than that for soft rock mine tailings. While these differences in the mean or median values can also be observed in the respective box plots, the regression residuals are not strongly stratified overall. These qualitative factors were used as indicator variables to fit new regression models, but the associations were found to be too weak for application.



250

Figure 7: Colour-coded data points with respect to path confinement (a) and tailings type (b). The insets show the box plots of the area-volume residuals for the bivariate regression line with a specified 2/3 slope.



5 Discussion

The results listed in Table 4 indicate that Eq. (1) is a statistically-justifiable expression for the relationship between total released volume and planimetric Zone 1 inundation area, with coefficients of determination of 0.65 and 0.64 for the best-fit and the 2/3 slope regressions, respectively. Furthermore, the specified 2/3 slope line falls within the 95% confidence interval curves for the best fit regression, suggesting that the scaling relationship adopted by previous studies to characterize the geometry of other types of mass movements is also valid for tailings-flows. An analysis of the residuals from the regression grouped by tailings type and flow path confinement indicates that these factors have an effect on the mobility (i.e. the extent of planimetric inundation area for a given volume) of tailings-flows; soft rock mine tailings tend to have greater mobility than hard rock mine tailings, and confined flow paths tend to enhance mobility relative to unconfined paths, however, the data are not stratified enough to incorporate these factors into the regression analysis yet.

Figure 8 shows Zone 1 inundation area as a function of total released volume with the specified 2/3 regression line and its 95% prediction intervals. The difference between the lower and upper 95% prediction intervals reflects the variability of tailings-flows and the considerable uncertainties in the prediction of inundation area using this approach. Nonetheless, the prediction range that is achievable with this method is useful for first-order (screening level) risk assessment purposes, ideally within a probabilistic framework that acknowledges the level of uncertainty. This method is also useful for cross-checking numerical dam breach modelling results (i.e. to confirm that the simulated inundation area falls within a reasonable range relative to the cases included in this database). Note that, while the method is able to provide independent estimates of inundation area, it must be combined with other empirical and/or numerical methods that estimate runout distance in order to determine an appropriate spatial distribution of the estimated area. Significant judgement must also be applied.





Figure 8: Log-log scatter plot of planimetric Zone 1 inundation area versus total released volume for the 33 tailings-flow cases. The specified 2/3 slope regression line (in red) is fitted to the data and the 95% prediction intervals (dashed lines) of this regression line are also plotted.



275 Figure 9 shows the area-volume scatter plot of tailings-flows alongside previously published data for lahars (Iverson et al.,
1998), debris flows, rock avalanches (Griswold and Iverson, 2008), and mine waste dumps (Golder Associates Ltd., 1995).
Two cases highlighted in Fig. 9 are discussed further below. The tailings data points clearly show a positive linear pattern
along with the other data, although the scatter is relatively high, especially at higher volumes. The area-volume data for tailings-
flows show considerable overlap with other databases, corresponding with the upper volume range for debris flows, and the
280 lower volume ranges for lahars and rock avalanches (Fig. 9).

The differences between the c coefficient of Eq. (1) indicate the relative mobility of the various mass movement processes, on
average (Berti and Simoni, 2007; Griswold and Iverson, 2008; Jakob, 2005). A comparison of c coefficients for different types
of mass movements is shown in Table 5. The coefficient of $c = 80$ obtained for the tailings-flow data indicates that, on average,
tailings-flows are less mobile than lahars but more mobile than mine waste dumps, debris flows, and rock avalanches for a
285 given volume. There is a significant amount of scatter in all of the datasets shown in Fig. 9, which highlights the importance
of considering the potential variability in these events for forward analysis (i.e. using probabilistic methods).



290 **Figure 9: Comparison of the runout inundation area as a function of flow volume for tailings-flows (red symbols; $n = 33$), waste
dump failures (yellow symbols; $n = 22$), lahars (green symbols; $n = 27$), non-volcanic debris flows (pink symbols; $n = 44$) and rock
avalanches (blue symbols; $n = 142$).**



Table 5. Comparison of the c Coefficients in Eq. (1) for different databases

Database Type	c Coefficient in Eq. 1	References
Rock avalanches	12	(Hungar and Evans, 1993)
Rock avalanches	20	(Griswold and Iverson, 2008)
Debris flows	17	(Berti and Simoni, 2007)
Debris flows	20	(Griswold and Iverson, 2008)
Lahars	200	(Iverson et al., 1998)
Tailings-flows	80	Present study

Five tailings dam breaches exhibit higher inundation areas than lahars for their given volumes (Fig. 9), and among those cases, the tailings dam breaches at the Ajka bauxite mine in Hungary in 2010 and the Mishor Rotem phosphate mine in Israel in 2017 (ID numbers 20 and 28 in Table 3) were examined in greater detail to demonstrate how site-specific information can be used to infer conditions that enhance mobility.

At the Ajka mine, a release of approximately 1.6 M m^3 of high-pH bauxite tailings, about 30% of which was solid residue, occurred through the northwest corner of the embankment (Bánvölgyi, 2018; Mecsi, 2013). The release produced a Zone 1 runout distance of approximately 18 km, despite the near-horizontal topography of the flow path ($\sim 0.2^\circ$), and covered approximately 6 M m^2 . The Ajka bauxite tailings had very weak geotechnical properties, with medium to high-plasticity, thixotropic (shear thinning) clays with very loose structure and slow consolidation rates, thus reducing pore fluid drainage and increasing the potential for liquefied flows (Mecsi, 2013). In addition to the volume of interstitial water, the bauxite tailings were overlain by a large supernatant pond that deepened towards the northwest corner of the impoundment; the average and maximum depth of the pond were 4.45 and 8 m, respectively, which greatly exceeded the maximum permitted pond depth of 1.5 m (Bánvölgyi, 2018). We therefore attribute this secondary source of water, along with the observed thixotropic behaviour of bauxite tailings, to the augmented mobility of the Ajka tailings-flow.

The Mishor Rotem mine failure is estimated to have released approximately 0.1 M m^3 of highly acidic phosphogypsum tailings (Bowker, 2017). The ensuing tailings-flow travelled for 28 km through a dry creek channel with an average travel path angle of about 1.6° and inundated a Zone 1 area of approximately 1.8 km^2 . As of yet, very limited information is available for this tailings-flow, but a few authors have commented on the dominant contribution of high water content to the composition of phosphogypsum tailings (80-97%) compared to that of typical metal tailings (40-60%) (Bowker, 2017; Tao et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2014). We, therefore, propose two factors that contributed to the extreme runout behaviour (i.e. long runout distance and large inundation area for the given total released volume) of the Mishor Rotem tailings flow: i) high water content (interstitial and supernatant); and ii) a narrow, dry channel situated within a stable desert environment with no physical obstacles to flow. Unlike natural hazards, tailings dams are human-made structures with impoundment volumes that increase over the course of mine operation. In most cases, when a dam breach occurs, only a portion of the impounded material is released. The amount



of this portion depends on a variety of factors, such as the presence of a water pond, the tailings rheological properties, breach geometry, the age of the impounded material, and the triggering factors (Rico et al., 2008a).

The maximum volume that can be released in an extreme scenario equals the total impoundment volume. Compared with some types of landslides, the source volume of a tailings dam breach is relatively well-constrained. The uncertainty associated with this input parameter can, therefore, be accounted for explicitly when using Eq. (1) to make runout predictions. However, we note that relatively high confidence in the released volume estimate does not necessarily translate into high confidence in the inundation area estimate. Information on tailings type and topographic factors such as confined/unconfined travel path can potentially be used to better constrain the uncertainty in predicting the inundation area as more data points are added.

Further investigation should focus on increasing the size of high-quality tailings-flow databases, which should lead to more robust statistical analyses. Some effort should also focus on quantifying the potential contribution of entrainment to the total volume of the deposited material.

6 Conclusions

Our empirical investigation of historical tailings dam breaches provides new insights into tailings-flow processes and characteristics and introduces new relationships that can potentially be used for first-order inundation mapping. In this study, we established a data compilation methodology and introduced a runout zone classification system to improve consistency and reduce uncertainties associated with previously reported data. Using this methodology, we compiled a database of 33 tailings dam breach case studies and estimated the planimetric Zone 1 inundation areas for all of the events. The degree of mobility of the events in the database was investigated using a well-established semi-physical area-volume relationship, and the result was compared with similar relationships established for other mass flow processes. Our analysis suggests that the relationship $A = cV^{2/3}$ is a statistically valid relationship between total released volume (V_R) and planimetric inundation area (A). The c coefficient of 80 from the analysis of our database suggests that, on average, tailings flows are less mobile than lahars ($c = 200$) but more mobile than mine waste dumps, debris flows ($c = 17-20$), and rock avalanches ($c = 12-20$). This paper is part of an ongoing project. We are currently building the database and investigating the effects of other attributes of the tailings and downstream topography, which could potentially be used to refine the area-volume empirical-statistical relationship.

7 Team list

- Negar Ghahramani, Ph.D. Candidate, Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. Email: nghahramani@eoas.ubc.ca
- Andrew Mitchell, Ph.D. Candidate, Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. Email: amitchell@eoas.ubc.ca



- Nahyan M. Rana, Ph.D. student, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada. Email: nmrana@uwaterloo.ca
- Scott McDougall, Assistant Professor, Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. Email: smcdouga@eoas.ubc.ca
- 350 • Stephen G. Evans, Professor, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada. Email: sgevans@uwaterloo.ca
- Andy Take, Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada. Email: andy.take@queensu.ca

8 Author contribution

355 Negar Ghahramani and Scott McDougall conceived the research idea and Negar Ghahramani developed the methodology. Negar Ghahramani and Nahyan M. Rana performed investigation. Andrew Mitchell and Negar Ghahramani performed the statistical analysis. Nahyan M. Rana, Negar Ghahramani and Andrew Mitchell verified the compiled database. Scott McDougall and Stephen. G. Evans and Andy Take Supervised the project. Scott McDougall and Stephen. G. Evans and Andy Take provided financial support for the project leading to this publication. Negar Ghahramani prepared the original draft with
360 contributions from all co-authors.

9 Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

10 Acknowledgements

365 The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the Department of Natural Resources of Newfoundland for providing the information on the inundation area of the 2012 Gullbridge tailings dam breach. We would like to thank Sophia Zubrycky for her assistance with graphic design and Sahar Ghadirianniari for her assistance with data collection. The authors also wish to acknowledge valuable discussions with Vanessa Cuervo during the early stages of this work.

Funding:

370 This work was funded by a Fellowship (NG) from the University of British Columbia Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, as well as scholarships and grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). This work is part of the CanBreach Project, which is supported by funding through an NSERC Collaborative



Research Development Grant and funding from the following industrial partners: Imperial Oil Resources Inc., Suncor Energy Inc., BGC Engineering Inc., Golder Associates Ltd., and Klohn Crippen Berger.

375 **11 References**

- Bánvölgyi, G. G.: The Failure of the Embankment of the Red Mud Reservoir at Ajka (Hungary), in In Proceedings of the 36th International ICSOBA Conference, Belem, Brazil., 2018.
- Berti, M. and Simoni, A.: Prediction of debris flow inundation areas using empirical mobility relationships, *Geomorphology*, 90(1–2), 144–161, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.01.014, 2007.
- 380 Blight, G. E.: Destructive mudflows as a consequence of tailings dyke failures, *Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng. Eng.*, 125(1), 9–18, 1997.
- Blight, G. E.: *Geotechnical Engineering for Mine Waste Storage Facilities*, CRC Press., 2009.
- Blight, G. E., Robinson, M. J. and Diering, J. A. C.: The flow of slurry from a breached tailings dam, *J. South. African Institue Min. Metall. South*, 81(January), 1–8, 1981.
- 385 Bowker, L. N.: Tsunami of Phosphate Tailings from ICL Plant Inundate 20km of Dry Valley near Dead Sea, [online] Available from: <https://lindsaynewlandbowker.wordpress.com/2017/07/09/tsunami-of-phosphate-tailings-from-icl-plant-inundate-20km-of-dry-valley-near-dead-sea/> (Accessed 11 February 2019), 2017.
- Brunner, G.: *HEC-RAS River Analysis System - Hydraulic Reference Manual, Version 5.0*, Hydrol. Eng. Cent. (HEC), U. S. Army Corps Eng., 2016.
- 390 Bussi re, B.: Colloquium 2004: Hydrogeotechnical properties of hard rock tailings from metal mines and emerging geoenvironmental disposal approaches, *Can. Geotech. J.*, 44(9), 1019–1052, doi:10.1139/T07-040, 2007.
- Canadian Dam Association (CDA): *Technical Bulletin: Application of Dam Safety Guidelines to Mining Dams.*, 2014.
- Carmo, F. F. d., Kamino, L. H. Y., Junior, R. T., Campos, I. C. de, Carmo, F. F. do, Silvino, G., Castro, K. J. da S. X. de, Mauro, M. L., Rodrigues, N. U. A., Miranda, M. P. de S. and Pinto, C. E. F.: Fund o tailings dam failures: the environment tragedy of the largest technological disaster of Brazilian mining in global context, *Perspect. Ecol. Conserv.*, 15(3), 145–151, doi:10.1016/j.pecon.2017.06.002, 395 2017.
- Chambers, D. M. and Bowker, L. N.: Tailings dam failures 1915-2018, [online] Available from: <https://worldminetailingsfailures.org/> (Accessed 12 June 2020), 2019.
- Chandler, R. J. and Tosatti, G.: THE STAVA TAILINGS DAMS FAILURE, ITALY, JULY 1985., *Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng. - Geotech. Eng.*, 113(2), 67–79, doi:10.1680/igeng.1995.27586, 1995.
- 400 Committee on the Safety of Existing Dams: *Safety of existing dams: evaluation and improvement*, National Academy Press, Washington, DC., 1983.
- Costa, J. E.: *Floods from Dam Failures. Open File Report 85-560*. US Geological Survey: Denver, CO, USA., 1985.
- Cuervo, V., Burge, L., Beaugrand, H., Hendershot, M. and Evans, S. G.: Downstream Geomorphic Response of the 2014 Mount Polley Tailings Dam Failure, British Columbia, in *Workshop on World Landslide Forum*, pp. 281–289, Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland., 2017.
- 405 Danish Hydraulic Institute (DHI): *MIKE Flood User Manual*, 2007.
- Davies, T. R. H.: Spreading of rock avalanche debris by mechanical fluidization, , 24, 9–24, 1982.



- Delaney, K. B. and Evans, S. G.: The 1997 Mount Munday landslide (British Columbia) and the behaviour of rock avalanches on glacier surfaces, , 11, 1019–1036, doi:10.1007/s10346-013-0456-7, 2014.
- 410 Dibike, Y. B., Shakibaenia, A., Droppo, I. G. and Caron, E.: Modelling the potential effects of Oil-Sands tailings pond breach on the water and sediment quality of the Lower Athabasca River, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 642, 1263–1281, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.163, 2018.
- Ghahramani, N., Mcdougall, S., Mitchell, A., Evans, S. G., Take, A. and Cuervo, V.: Introducing a Runout Zone Classification for the Analysis of Tailings Flows, in *Proceedings Tailings and Mine Waste 2019*, pp. 555–568, Norman B Keevil Institute of Mining Engineering: Vancouver., 2019.
- 415 Golder Associates Ltd.: Mined rock and overburden piles: Runout characteristics of debris from dump failures in mountainous terrain : stage 2 : analysis, modelling and prediction., 1995.
- Griswold, J. P. and Iverson, R. M.: Mobility Statistics and Automated Hazard Mapping for Debris Flows and Rock Avalanches Scientific Investigations Report 2007 – 5276, USGS Sci. Investig. Rep., 2008.
- 420 Hagen, V. K.: Re-evaluation of design floods and dam safety, in *Proceedings of the 14th International Congress on Large Dams*, vol. 1, Commission on Large Dams Congress, pp. 475–491, Rio de Janeiro., 1982.
- Harder, L. F. and Stewart, J. P.: Failure of Tapo Canyon Tailings Dam, *J. Perform. Constr. Facil.*, 10(3), 109–114, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0887-3828(1996)10:3(109), 1996.
- Hatje, V., Pedreira, R. M. A., De Rezende, C. E., Schettini, C. A. F., De Souza, G. C., Marin, D. C. and Hackspacher, P. C.: The environmental impacts of one of the largest tailing dam failures worldwide, *Sci. Rep.*, 7(1), 1–13, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-11143-x, 2017.
- 425 Hungr, O.: Dynamics of rock avalanches and other types of slope movements, University of Alberta, Edmonton., 1981.
- Hungr, O. and Evans, S. G.: The Failure Behaviour of Large Rockslides in Mountainous Regions, *Geol. Surv. Canada (Open File 2598)*, 1993.
- Hungr, O., Leroueil, S. and Picarelli, L.: The Varnes classification of landslide types, an update, *Landslides*, 11(2), 167–194, doi:10.1007/s10346-013-0436-y, 2014.
- 430 International Commission on Large Dams (ICOLD): Tailings Dams – Risk of Dangerous Occurrences – Lessons Learnt from Past Experiences., 2001.
- Iverson, R. M., Schilling, S. P. and Vallance, J. W.: Objective delineation of lahar-inundation hazard zones, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 110(8), 972–984, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1998)110<0972:ODOLIH>2.3.CO;2, 1998.
- 435 Jakob, M.: Debris-flow hazard analysis, in *Debris-flow Hazards and Related Phenomena*, edited by O. Jakob, Matthias; Hungr, pp. 411–443, Praxis, Chichester., 2005.
- Jeyapalan, J. K., Duncan, J. M. and Seed, H. B.: Analyses of Flow Failures of Mine Tailings Dams, *J. Geotech. Eng.*, 109(2), 150–171, 1983a.
- Jeyapalan, J. K., Seed, H. B. and Duncan, J. M.: Investigation of Flow Failures of Tailings Dams, *J. Geotech. Eng.*, 109(2), 172–189, 1983b.
- Knight Piésold: Dam breach analyses and inundation studies for the Afton Tailings Storage Facility (TSF)., 2014.
- 440 Kossoff, D., Dubbin, W. E., Alfredsson, M., Edwards, S. J., Macklin, M. G. and Hudson-Edwards, K. a.: Mine tailings dams: Characteristics, failure, environmental impacts, and remediation, *Appl. Geochemistry*, 51, 229–245, doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2014.09.010, 2014.
- Larrauri, P. C. and Lall, U.: Tailings Dams Failures: Updated Statistical Model for Discharge Volume and Runout, *Environments*, 5(2), 28, doi:10.3390/environments5020028, 2018.
- Li, T.: A mathematical model for predicting the extent of a major rockfall, *Z. Geomorph. N.F.*, 27(4), 437–482, 1983.



- 445 Luino, F. and De Graff, J. V.: The Stava mudflow of 19 July 1985 (Northern Italy): a disaster that effective regulation might have prevented, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 12(4), 1029–1044, doi:10.5194/nhess-12-1029-2012, 2012.
- Macías, J. L., Corona-Chávez, P., Sánchez-Núñez, J. M., Martínez-Medina, M., Garduño-Monroy, V. H., Capra, L., García-Tenorio, F. and Cisneros-Máximo, G.: The 27 May 1937 catastrophic flow failure of gold tailings at Talpujahua, Michoacán, Mexico, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 15(5), 1069–1085, doi:10.5194/nhess-15-1069-2015, 2015.
- 450 Martin, V., Fontaine, D. and Cathcart, J.: Challenges with conducting tailings dam breach assessments, in *Proceedings of the Tailings and Mine Waste 2015*, pp. 314–328, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, Vancouver, BC., 2015.
- Martin, V., Al-Mamun, M. and Small, A.: CDA technical bulletin on tailings dam breach analyses, in *The ICOLD 2019 Symposium proceedings.*, 2019.
- McDougall, S.: 2014 Canadian Geotechnical Colloquium: Landslide runout analysis — current practice and challenges, *Can. Geotech. J.*, 54(5), 605–620, doi:10.1139/cgj-2016-0104, 2017.
- 455 McDougall, S. and Hungr, O.: A model for the analysis of rapid landslide motion across three-dimensional terrain, *Can. Geotech. J.*, 41(6), 1084–1097, doi:10.1139/t04-052, 2004.
- Mecsi, J.: Some technical aspects of the tailing dam failure at the Ajka red mud reservoirs, in *18th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering: Challenges and Innovations in Geotechnics, ICSMGE 2013.*, 2013.
- 460 Mount Polley Mining Corporation: Update Report: Post-Event Environmental Impact Assessment Report., 2016.
- Pastor, M., Quecedo, M., Merodo, J. A. F., Herrerros, M. I., Gonzalez, E. and Mira, P.: Modelling tailings dams and mine waste dumps failures, *Geotechnique*, 52(8), 579–591, 2002.
- Pirulli, M., Barbero, M., Marchelli, M. and Scavia, C.: The failure of the Stava Valley tailings dams (Northern Italy): numerical analysis of the flow dynamics and rheological properties, *Geoenvironmental Disasters*, 4(1), 1–15, doi:10.1186/s40677-016-0066-5, 2017.
- 465 Rico, M., Benito, G. and Díez-Herrero, A.: Floods from tailings dam failures, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 154(1–3), 79–87, doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.09.110, 2008a.
- Rico, M., Benito, G., Salgueiro, A. R., Díez-Herrero, A. and Pereira, H. G.: Reported tailings dam failures. A review of the European incidents in the worldwide context, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 152(2), 846–852, doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.07.050, 2008b.
- 470 Roche, C., Thygesen, K. and Baker, E., Eds.: Mine tailings storage: safety is no accident, A UNEP Rapid Response Assessment. United Nations Environment Programme and GRID-Arendal, Nairobi and Arendal., 2017.
- Santamarina, J. C., Torres-Cruz, L. A. and Bachus, R. C.: Why coal ash and tailings dam disasters occur, *Science (80-.)*, 364(6440), 526–528, doi:10.1126/science.aax1927, 2019.
- Schoenberger, E.: Environmentally sustainable mining: The case of tailings storage facilities, *Resour. Policy*, 49, 119–128, doi:10.1016/j.resourpol.2016.04.009, 2016.
- 475 Small, A., James, M. and Al-mamun, M.: Advancing the State of Practice for Tailings Dam Breach Assessment Using Empirical Correlations, in *Proceedings of the Canadian Dam Association, CDA 2017 Annual Conference, Kelowna, BC, Canada.*, 2017.
- Tao, D., Parekh, B. K., Zhao, Y. and Zhang, P.: Pilot-scale demonstration of deep cone™ paste thickening process for phosphatic clay/sand disposal, *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, doi:10.1080/01496391003652783, 2010.
- Vick, S. G.: *Planning, Design and Analysis of Tailings Dams*, BiTech Publishers Ltd., Vancouver, B.C., 1990.
- 480 Villavicencio, G., Espinace, R., Palma, J., Fourie, A. and Valenzuela, P.: Failures of sand tailings dams in a highly seismic country, *Can. Geotech. J.*, 51(4), 449–464, doi:10.1139/cgj-2013-0142, 2014.
- Wang, C., Harbottle, D., Liu, Q. and Xu, Z.: Current state of fine mineral tailings treatment: A critical review on theory and practice, *Miner.*

<https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2020-199>
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 July 2020
© Author(s) 2020. CC BY 4.0 License.



Eng., doi:10.1016/j.mineng.2014.01.018, 2014.

485 WISE: Chronology of major tailings dam failures, World Information Service on Energy, [online] Available from: <http://www.wise-uranium.org/mdaf.html> (Accessed 12 June 2020), 2020.