

Assessments of land subsidence along Rizhao-Lankao High-speed Railway at Heze, China between 2015 and 2019 with Sentinel-1 data

Chuanguang Zhu¹, Wenhao Wu¹, Mahdi Motagh^{2,3}, Liya Zhang¹, Zongli Jiang¹, and Sichun Long¹

¹Key Laboratory of Coal Resources Clean-utilization & Mine Environment Protection of Hunan Province, Hunan university of Science & Technology, Xiangtan 411201, China

²Section of Remote Sensing and Geoinformatics at GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences in Potsdam, Germany

³Institute of Photogrammetry and Geoinformation at Leibniz University Hannover (LUH) in Hannover, Germany

Correspondence to: Chuanguang Zhu (zhucg@hnust.edu.cn)

Abstract. The Heze section of Rizhao-Lankao High-speed Railway (RLHR-HZ) has been under construction since 2018 and will be operative by the end of 2021. However, there is a concern that land subsidence in Heze region may affect the normal operation of RLHR-HZ. In this study, we investigate the contemporary ground deformation in the region between 2015 and 2019 by using more than 350 C-band interferograms constructed from two tracks of Sentinel-1 data over the region. The Small Baselines Subset (SBAS) technique is adopted to compile the time series displacement. We find that the RLHR-HZ runs through two main subsidence areas: One is located east of Heze region with rates ranging from -4 cm/yr to -1 cm/yr, and another one is located in the coal field with rates ranging from -8 cm/yr to -2 cm/yr. A total length of 35 km of RLHR-HZ are affected by the two subsidence basins. Considering the previous investigation and the monthly precipitation, we infer that the subsidence bowl east of Heze region is due to massive extraction of deep groundwater. Close inspections of the relative locations between the second subsidence area and the underground mining reveals that the subsidence there is probably caused by the groundwater outflow and fault instability due to mining, rather than being directly caused by mining. The InSAR-derived ground subsidence implies that it's necessary to continue monitoring the ground deformation along RLHR-HZ.

1 Introduction

The Heze region, lying in the North China Plain, has been adversely affected for decades by ground subsidence, mainly caused by the soil compaction and consolidation due to the excessive exploitation of aquifer (Cui 2018; Hu et al., 2004; Guo et al., 2019; Xue et al., 2005). To slow down the subsidence, the local governments have proposed new regulations on exploitation of groundwater. However, the extraction of groundwater is still greater than recharge due to the urban sprawl and industrial development, which results in continuous ground subsidence. In addition, underground mining activities have also exacerbated the problems of subsidence in recent years (Wang 2014; Yang et al., 2010). The resulting subsidence has

1 already caused some environment hazards, e.g., collapse of roads, buildings and other infrastructures (Yue
2 2020).

3 The RLSR-HZ, with a length of 150 km and a speed of 300 kph, has been under construction since
4 December 2018 and will be operative by the end of 2021. The ground subsidence may menace the
5 RLSR-HZ and therefore currently is a matter of major safety concern. It is crucial to monitor ground
6 deformation along the RLSR-HZ to avoid potential hazards in future.

7 Field-survey based on a few sparse points, such as spirit leveling and global position system (GPS) are
8 the main methods for measuring ground deformation in Heze region. However, it is difficult to obtain
9 detailed and comprehensive deformation based on these sparse points. Moreover, these geodetic
10 measurements are very timing consuming and highly labor intensive, especial for the RLSR-HZ extending
11 over a large region. More advance methods are required to retrieve the latest temporal and spatial evolution
12 of ground deformation along the RLSR-HZ.

13 The multi-temporal Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (MT-InSAR), such as Persistent Scatter
14 Interferometry (PSI) (Ferretti et al., 2000, 2001; Hooper et al., 2007; Kampes, 2006) and Small Baseline
15 Subset (SBAS) algorithm (Berardino et al., 2002; Hooper 2008; Mora et al., 2003), is a powerful tool for
16 producing measurements of deformation with high precision and spatial resolution over large area (André
17 2016; Du et al., 2018; Haghghi et al., 2019; Miller and Shirzaei 2019; Motagh et al., 2017; Zhang et al,
18 2019). Generally, PSI is suitable in urban areas where many man-made structures provide the vast majority
19 of PSs. However, most of the area, running through by RLSR-HZ, is covered by farmlands, which leads to
20 a low density of PSs. Distributed scatterers (DSs), also referred as Gaussian scatterers with random
21 scattering mechanism (Bamler and Hart, 1998; Goodman, 1976), are widespread in natural scene and can
22 be used in SBAS method to increase the density of measurement points (Hooper 2008; Samiei-Esfahany
23 2017). SqueeSAR (Ferretti et al., 2011) is another advanced approach, which allows us to extract the signal
24 from both PS and DS. SqueeSAR extracts information by exploiting all the possible interferograms rather
25 than a set of SBAS interferograms (Ferretti et al., 2011; Samiei-Esfahany 2017; Shamshiri et al., 2018;
26 Wang et al., 2012). This may lead to an underestimation of deformation due to large temporal baseline.

27 In this manuscript, SBAS method implemented in the Stanford method for persistent scatter (referred to
28 as StaMPS-SB for simplicity) (Hooper 2008) is adopted and improved. StaMPS-SB has some advantages
29 as follows. First, it has the potential for limiting the effects of topographic errors and the decorrelation by
30 concentrating only on these interferograms with small geometric and temporal baselines (Chen et al., 2012;
31 Goel and Adam, 2012). This contributes to the reduction of phase aliasing and thereby increasing the
32 chances of successful phase unwrapping (Hooper 2008). Second, StaMPS-SB can operate on single look
33 images (Hooper 2008), by which we can avoid smoothing any change in deformation and retain the
34 deformation in the highest spatial resolution offered by the satellite. Finally, StaMPS-SB can produce the
35 temporal evolution of deformation without any prior information about its temporal evolution (Hooper et

1 al., 2007; Hooper 2008; Sousa et al., 2011). This is very useful as there is no prior knowledge about the
2 variations of deformation over the study region. However, standard StaMPS-SB adopts spectral filtering to
3 discard the non-overlapping Doppler spectrum in azimuth and to reduce the geometric decorrelation in
4 range (Hooper 2008), which leads to a coarsening of resolution and some loss of deformation information.
5 Thus, an adaptive spatial filtering algorithm (Ferretti et al., 2011; Goel and Adam, 2011; Parizzi and Brcic,
6 2011) is introduced to replace the spectral filtering to improve the interferometric coherence while
7 maintaining the spatial resolution. We utilize the improved StaMPS-SB to investigate the ground
8 deformation along RLSR-HZ using 124 C-band Sentinel-1 SAR images acquired from two tracks between
9 July 2015 and November 2019. Having retrieved the pattern of ground deformation, the potential causes for
10 instability along RLSR-HZ are discussed to have a better understanding of the deriving forces contributing
11 to subsidence process. Such information is the key for the safety operation and maintenance of RLSR-HZ.

12

13 2 Study area and SAR data

14 2.1 Study area

15 Heze region, an important part of the North China subsidence basin, is located in the lower reaches of the
16 Yellow River. This area has a relatively flat landform with altitude varying from 37 to 68 m and is covered
17 by vast areas of farmland. It is characterized by semi-humid monsoon climate with a mean annual
18 precipitation of approximately 663 mm, which is the main recharge source of groundwater. The monsoon
19 climate makes a large seasonal variations of precipitation, i.e., most of precipitation (approximately 391
20 mm) is concentrated in the summer (Shandong Provincial Bureau of Statistics).


21 Groundwater has been a key resource for development of agriculture and industry in Heze. More than 1
22 billion tons of groundwater is exploited every year in the region by more than 110 deep wells in urban area
23 and more than 137000 wells in rural area (Xu et al., 2017). Due to the abundant extraction of groundwater,
24 the level of shallow and deep groundwater declined by more than 8 and 80 m between 1980 and 2013, with
25 an average rate of 0.5m/yr and 5 m/yr respectively (Feng et al., 2015). Besides, Heze region is rich in coal
26 resources with a cumulative reserve of about 10.2 billion tons spreading over an area of 2700 km². Due to
27 underground mining, an area more than 40 km² has suffered from serious subsidence.

28 RLSR-HZ, with a length of about 150 km running through Heze region from southwest to northeast
29 (shown in Fig. 1), has been under construction since December 2018 and will be operative by the end of
30 2021. Taking advantage of ballastless track, the speed reaches up to 300 Km/h. This means that a very high
31 stability of foundation is required for safety. It's crucial to accurately and continuously monitor the ground
32 subsidence along RLSR-HZ to assess the risk.


33 2.2 SAR data

34 Our dataset includes 124 C-band Sentinel-1A SAR images in TOPS mode covering more than four years
35 between July 2015 and November 2019. 63 of these images were collected from path 40 (referred as S1-40),

1 and 61 from path 142 (referred as S1-142). There is no descending SAR data over this region, all these
 2 images are acquired in an ascending orbit. The ground resolution of these images is approximately 20 m in
 3 azimuth and 5 m in range (Geudtner et al., 2014). Fig. 2 shows the temporal and spatial baselines of 192
 4 interferograms generated from S1-40 dataset and 171 interferograms generated from S1-142 dataset. It can
 5 be seen that the perpendicular baselines vary between -150 m and 150 m. External DEM data acquired
 6 from TanDEM-X with a pixel spacing of three arc second is used for removal of topographic phase
 7 component (Wessel et al., 2018) and for geometrical coregistration of TOPS data (Yague-Martinez et al.,
 8 2016).



9
 10 Fig. 1. Study area and outline of Sentinel-1 acquisitions superimposed on Google Earth optical image. Black polygon
 11 represents the boundary of Heze region.



12
 13 Fig. 2. Connected network of interferograms for (a) S1-40 and (b) S1-142 datasets. Black dots and grey lines denote the
 14 Sentinel-1 acquisitions and interferograms, respectively.

1 3 Methodology

2 In this study, StaMPS-SB is improved and conducted to derive the time-series displacement from
3 multi-temporal Sentinel-1 acquisitions. Compared with single master approach (e.g, PSI), StaMPS-SB can
4 minimize the decorrelation effects caused by long interval of SAR acquisitions and dense farmlands over
5 this study area (Hopper 2008).

6 First, the S1-40 dataset and S1-142 dataset are coregistered and resampled with respect to the reference
7 images acquired on 8 January 2018 and 15 January 2018, respectively. The reference image should have the
8 capability to maximize the quality index in coregistration strategy, which is dependent on the temporal and
9 spatial baselines, difference of Doppler centroid and thermal noise (Hopper et al., 2007). Here, we assume
10 the thermal noise as constant for simplicity (Hopper et al., 2007).

11 Note that, higher accuracy is required for the azimuth coregistration due to the significant Doppler
12 frequency variation which can result in failure for traditional methods. A special strategy combining
13 geometric coregistration and enhanced spectral diversity (ESD) (Prats-Iraola et al., 2012) technique is used
14 in this paper. We first perform the geometric coregistration using the precise orbit with an accuracy of 5 cm
15 and the TanDEM-X DEM with a pixel spacing of three arc second. Then, the azimuth coregistration
16 accuracy is refined using ESD technique which exploits the differential phase in the overlap areas of
17 consecutive bursts (Prats-Iraola et al., 2012).

18 After that, all the images (i.e., the resampled images and the reference image) are recombined with each
19 other to generate interferograms as long as the geometric and temporal baselines are less than a predefined
20 threshold. A small orbital separation (i.e., 150 m) is specified as the geometric baseline threshold in order to
21 restrain the effect of spatial decorrelation noise and topographic errors. Meanwhile, a short interval (i.e., 84
22 days) is specified as the temporal baseline threshold in order to restrain the effect of temporal decorrelation
23 noise and accumulative deformation on wrapped phase. Then, all the interferograms are inspected to
24 distinguish the noisy interferograms which will be excluded from the network. In addition, the temporal
25 intervals of interferograms are not very uniform. There are some gaps being more than 84 days, which
26 results in a disconnected network for the auto-generated interferograms. Therefore, some interferograms
27 with high coherence are manually appended to the original stacks to form a connected network which is
28 essential for StaMPS-SB. Finally, two connected networks containing 192 interferograms for S1-40 and
29 171 interferograms for S1-142 are generated (shown in Fig. 2). In these networks, each image is connected
30 to at least two other images, by which the temporal sampling rate is increased by an average factor of 2.9.
31 Taking advantage of the adequate interferograms, the model parameters such as deformation, topographic
32 errors and atmospheric artifacts can be estimated more accurately comparing with single master approach.

33 Generally, multilooking (Berardino et al., 2002) and spectral filtering (Hooper 2008) are the common
34 approaches to improve the interferometric coherence and reduce the noise. Standard StaMPS-SB adopts
35 spectral filtering to discard the non-overlapping Doppler spectrum in azimuth and to reduce the geometric

1 decorrelation in range. However, the spectral filtering operation leads to a coarsening of resolution (Hooper
2 2008), which therefore may cause some loss of deformation information. In fact, the differences in Doppler
3 frequency of Sentinel-1 data are only a few Hz (Yague-Martinez et al., 2016) and the common Doppler
4 bandwidth is more than 95% in most cases. Additionally, the range bandwidth is larger than 40 MHz and
5 almost all of the geometric baselines are shorter than 150 m. As a result no spectral filtering is applied to
6 avoid coarsening the resolution. In contrast, an adaptive spatial filtering algorithm is introduced and
7 implemented to improve the interferometric phase and coherence while preserving the image details
8 (Ferretti et al., 2011; Goel and Adam, 2011; Parizzi and Brcic, 2011). We identify the statistically
9 homogenous pixels (SHP) using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test based on the amplitude of images. A
10 rectangular window with a dimensions of 19×13 (azimuth \times range) pixels is used to identify SHP, on
11 which a spatial filtering will be implemented if the number of SHP is more than 18.

12 StaMPS-SB selects coherent targets based on the phase characteristics (Hooper 2008),

$$13 \quad \gamma_x = \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \exp\left\{ \sqrt{-1} (\varphi_{x,i} - \tilde{\varphi}_{x,i} - \Delta\phi_{\theta,x,i}^{\mu}) \right\} \right| \quad (1)$$

14 where $\varphi_{x,i}$ is the wrapped phase of candidate pixel x in the i th filtered interferogram. $\tilde{\varphi}_{x,i}$ is the
15 estimated spatially correlated terms. $\Delta\phi_{\theta,x,i}^{\mu}$ is the spatially uncorrelated terms due to look angle error
16 which is correlated with the perpendicular baseline. N is the number of interferograms. Candidate pixels
17 are firstly selected using the amplitude difference dispersion specifying (a threshold of 0.6 is adopted).
18 Then, these candidates will be filtered in small patches, such as $50 \text{ m} \times 50 \text{ m}$, to estimate $\tilde{\varphi}_{x,i}$. Finally,
19 coherent targets will be determined though an iterative procedure estimating the noise (γ_x) of each
20 candidate by implementing the inversion of $\Delta\phi_{\theta,x,i}^{\mu}$ as a search of parameters space. Further details on the
21 selection method can be found in Hooper et al., (2007) and Hooper (2008).

22 Once the coherent targets have been determined, the differential interferometric phase of each coherent
23 target is corrected using the estimated spatially uncorrelated terms (i.e. $\Delta\phi_{\theta,x,i}^{\mu}$) in Eq. (1). After removal of
24 $\Delta\phi_{\theta,x,i}^{\mu}$, the residual phase mainly consisted of ground deformation, atmospheric artifacts and orbit error,
25 can then be unwrapped using the three-dimensional (3-D) algorithm. Firstly, the difference phase between
26 neighboring coherent targets is preliminarily unwrapped in time under the Nyquist assumption. A priori
27 probability density function (PDF) can be built in each interferogram based on the unwrapped difference
28 phase in time dimension. These PDFs are then used to search for the optimization routines of unwrapping
29 in space to achieve the final unwrapped results (Hooper 2010). Subsequently, the time series deformation of
30 each coherent target can be extracted by temporal and spatial filtering based on the characteristics of each

1 phase terms.


2 Note that InSAR is a relative measure to reference point. In order to derive the absolute results, the
3 deformation of reference point should be known. However, there is no available prior information about the
4 deformation in Heze region. In contrast to the standard procedure in StaMPS-SB which only select one
5 reference area, we identify some possible areas not affected by deformation based on the differential phase
6 in time series. By using multiple reference areas, we can minimize the error of the InSAR-derived
7 deformation due to misplaced of single area (Fiaschiet al., 2017).

8

9 4 Results

10 4.1 Cross validation of the displacement

11 Fig. 3 shows the displacement rates derived from S1-40 and S1-142. The negative displacement indicates
12 that the ground was subjected to subsidence during this period.




13

14 Fig. 3. The average rates of land displacement shown in (a) and (b) correspond to the results from S1-40 and S1-142,
15 respectively. The two white boxes (indicated by a and b) indicate the locations of subsidence area related to underground
16 mining shown in Fig. 9-10 and analyze in Sec. 5.2.

17 As no *in-situ* data is publicly available, we assess the consistency and precision of InSAR results by a
18 cross comparison (shown in Fig. 4) of the displacement rates derived from the S1-40 and S1-142. Although
19 the physical parameters of sensor is same with each other, the location of two sets of measurement points
20 (MPs, consisting of PS and DS pixels) is slightly different due to the different geometric parameters. In
21 order to identify the common MPs, both results from S1-40 and S1-142 are resampled to a grid with a
22 spacing of 50 m. The displacement rates are averaged if multiple MPs are located in the same grid. Besides,
23 the displacements are converted to vertical direction on the assumption that the horizontal displacements

1 are negligible. 232,984 common MPs are finally identified after the above procedure. Based on these
2 common MPs, we estimate the Pearson correlation of the two measurements and get a correlation of 0.9
3 (shown in Fig. 4a). The high correlation demonstrates the consistency of the InSAR results.

4 The variation of the difference between the two measurements illustrated in Fig. 4b provides an
5 estimation of the precision of the InSAR results. We calculate the mean (μ) and standard deviation (σ) of
6 the difference of displacement rates. The μ and σ of the difference are 0.03 cm/yr and 0.5 cm/yr,
7 respectively. Therefore, we conclude that the two InSAR results agree well with each other and the
8 precision (i.e., 1- σ uncertainty) of the two measurements is about 0.5 cm/yr.




9
10 Fig. 4. The cross-validation of InSAR results from S1-40 and S1-142. The correlation and the difference between the two
11 measurements are shown in (a) and (b), respectively.

12

13 4.2 Land displacement along the RLSR-HZ

14 The displacement rates within a radius of 3 km along the RLSR-HZ are illustrated in Fig. 5. The total
15 numbers of MPs from the two sets of data are up to 312,702 and 261,397, resulting in an average density of
16 approximately 473 and 375 MPs/km², respectively. With the advantage of dense MPs, ground deformation
17 along the RLSR-HZ can be represented in detail compared to conventional methods. Note that the spatial
18 density of MPs is not homogeneous due to different ground scatterer characteristic. The MPs are evenly
19 distributed in urban area because of dense hard objects while sparse distributed in rural area due to the
20 vegetation cover. To increase the density of MPs, the two measurements derived from S1-40 and S1-142
21 are both shown in Fig. 5. Thus, there are more MPs in the overlapping area.

22 Fig.5a shows the displacement rates along the designed RLSR-HZ. It can be seen that the RLSR-HZ runs
23 through two subsidence zones (two black ellipses in Fig.5a), one of which (zone 1) is located in the east of
24 the Heze city and another one (zone 2) in the mining area. In zone 1, the displacement rates range from -4
25 cm/yr to -1 cm/yr. The subsidence pattern is smooth and homogeneous in space. A length of approximately
26 20 km of RLSR-HZ will be affected by the subsidence. In contrast, the ground suffered a stronger
27 subsidence in zone 2, and a length of approximately 15 km of RLSR-HZ will be affected. The displacement
28 rates detected by InSAR range from -8 cm/yr to -2 cm/yr.



1
2 Fig. 5. (a) The spatial distribution and (b) the profile of average displacement rates along RLSR-HZ. Both the results from
3 S1-40 and S1-142 are shown, which leads to a denser MPs in the overlapping area. Two ellipses show the major subsidence
4 area. The triangles (i.e., pink triangles named as R1-R7, red triangles named as U1-U4 and black triangle named as M1-M4)
5 delineate the displacement features in rural area, urban area and mining area discussed in detail in Fig. 6-8, respectively.

6 To make further investigation on the ongoing settlement, we estimate the displacement profile along the
7 RLSR-HZ and show the results in Fig. 5b. It can be seen that the deformation pattern is not homogenous
8 along the RLSR-HZ. The ground experiences strongest subsidence in the underground mining area,
9 followed by the urban area. We also observe slightly deformation in rural area, where the displacement
10 rates primarily are in the range of -2 cm/yr to 1 cm/yr. However, another subsidence areas with the
11 maximum rates up to -3 cm/yr appear near the 116.16°. This area is offset from the coalfield by 3.5 km, so
12 the subsidence phenomenon there might be related to the underground mining.

13 4.3 Heze Mudan Airport

14 Another remarkable subsidence feature is a localized area around the Heze Mudan Airport (HMA)
15 (shown in Fig. 3). HMA has been under construction since 2017 and will open and serve Shandong with
16 domestic flights in 2020 according to the schedule. InSAR deformation maps (Fig. 3) show a subsidence
17 feature near the airport with a maximal displacement rate of approximately -3 cm/yr. The ancillary facilities
18 of the airport, such as the runway and terminal buildings, are also affected by nonuniform subsidence range
19

1 from -3 cm/yr to -0.5 cm/yr.

2

3 **5 Discussion**

4 Several possible causes, including natural factors and anthropogenic activities, could be related to this
5 deformation observed in Heze. Tectonic movement and underground caves can cause ground deformation.
6 However, the high displacement rates, which exceed 5 cm/yr at many locations, are not in agreement with
7 the tectonic movement rates which are estimated to be less than 0.5 cm/yr (Guo et al., 2019). In addition,
8 there are no known underground caves associated with sinkholes or karst landforms in this region. In
9 contrast, close inspections of the location of subsidence area and considering the underground mining
10 coupled with the decreasing hydraulic heads in this region, we infer that this deformation is mainly caused
11 by extensive extraction of groundwater and coal mine. This will be elaborated more in the following.

12

13 5.1 Correlation between ground deformation and groundwater withdraw

14 Groundwater has been exploited for agricultural irrigation, industrial and residential use in Heze region
15 since the early 1970s. Long-term exploitation of groundwater, will brings about a compaction of the fine
16 clay layer, and finally results in the ground subsidence. Previous researches indicate that the excessive
17 groundwater withdrawal is one of the main reasons of ground deformation in this area (Hu et al., 2004; Guo
18 et al., 2019; Xue et al., 2005).

19 To better understand the ground motion, the time series displacements of MPs located within a 50 m
20 radius around 11 points (marked by red and pink triangles in Fig .5) are shown in Fig .6. It can be seen that
21 most of these locations suffer from ground subsidence during the period from July 2015 to November 2019.
22 It suggests that the groundwater is still excessively exploited and the groundwater recharge is insufficient to
23 supply the exploitation. Xu et al., (2017) pointed out that more than 1 billion tons of groundwater is over
24 extracted annually by more than 137000 wells in Heze region.

25 Besides, Fig .6 shows that the behavior of the time series displacement significantly differs at rural area
26 and urban area. Comparing with rural area, the ground experiences more serious subsidence in urban area.
27 One reason for the large settlement in urban area is that the deep groundwater (over 200 m depth) is
28 massively extracted to meet the industrial, except for shallow groundwater to domestic use. Approximately
29 0.16 billion tons of groundwater is over extracted annually by more than 110 deep wells in urban area of
30 Heze (Xu et al., 2017; Shandong Provincial Bureau of Statistics). The level of deep groundwater declined
31 by more than 100 m between 1980 and 2018 (Feng et al, 2015; Yue 2020). However, many thick clay
32 layers over the confined aquifer restrict the flow for aquifer recharging from precipitation in vertical
33 direction. Meanwhile, the recharge rates of deep groundwater in the horizontal direction, i.e., running off
34 along the topography from west to east, is very slow (Qiao and Lin 2006; Zhang 2013).




Fig. 6. Time series displacement of MPs located in rural area (R1-R7) and urban area (U1-U4) shown in Fig. 5.

As the detailed information of aquifer are not public, we cannot investigate the detailed relationship between the subsidence and the groundwater level. However, the precipitation is the mainly recharge source of shallow groundwater (accounting for 85% of the total supply) in this region and directly determine the level of shallow groundwater (Jia 2015; Ma and Feng, 2014; Yu et al 2001). Therefore, we switch to investigate the relationship between the subsidence and the precipitation.


The precipitation from July 2015 to December 2019 is presented in Fig. 7a. We can infer the variation of shallow groundwater according to the precipitation. Generally, the precipitation, exploitation and evaporation of groundwater are little from January to February in Heze region. During this period, the groundwater level is a relatively stable and rises slowly until the beginning of March. In addition, the freeze of water contained in the soil due to the low temperature, can also increase the volume and result in an inflation. From March to June, the little precipitation and massive extraction of groundwater to irrigate crops result in a continuous declining of groundwater level until the beginning of the rainy season. The water level rebounds from July to September due to lots of precipitation, and maintains a relatively stable due to the decreasing of extraction during October to December (Jia 2015).

The average time series displacement, after removing its trend, of all points located in rural area is used as an average representation of the variations of rural area and shown in Fig. 7b, whilst the average variations of urban area shown in Fig. 7c.

The deviation in rural area (Fig. 7b) indicates that the ground fluctuates by approximately ± 0.5 cm with a significantly seasonal variation. The seasonal variation may be related to the seasonal variation of aquifer water level which is determined by the seasonal precipitation. In addition, as shown in Fig. 7b, the variations of displacement in rural areas have a significant correlation with precipitation with a time lag of approximately two months. We hypothesize that the ground subsidence is caused by both the hydraulic head change and the seasonal groundwater variations. And the time lag is induced by the groundwater level

1 increases arising from the seasonal precipitation.

2 In contrast, Fig. 7c shows that there is no straightforward relationship between the precipitation and the
3 variations of displacement in urban area. It may due to the extraction of deep rather than shallow
4 groundwater as the deep groundwater is difficult to recharge owing to the complicated geological
5 conditions in this region (Qiao and Lin 2006; Zhang 2013). Zhang et al., (2018) found that the subsidence
6 has a significant correlation (up to 0.96) with the declining of deep groundwater level in another city near
7 Heze.



8
9 Fig. 7.(a) Monthly precipitation for the Heze region during July 2015 and December 2019. After removing the linear trend,
10 the average of residual time series displacement of (b) R1-R7 in rural area and (b) U1-U4 in urban area.

11 5.2 Correlation between ground deformation and underground mining

12 In Fig .8, the time series displacements at four locations (M1~M4 in Fig. 5) above coal field are shown.
13 Fig. 5 and Fig. 8 show that the accumulative subsidence above coal does not exceed 50 cm during more
14 than four years. In addition, Fig. 8 reveals a significant linear displacement trend. In fact, the
15 mining-induced subsidence is generally nonlinear with high rates, which can reach up to several meters in a
16 short time (e.g. several months). These characteristics indicate that the subsidence near M1~M4 may not be
17 directly induced by underground mining.

18 Note that the underground mining inevitably produces many fracture fields which may be interconnected
19 with the faults, forming a large interconnected network. The groundwater will flow into the working planes
20 through fractures and be drained out (Rapantova et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2018), which finally results in the
21 ground subsidence. In addition, underground mining may destroy the stability of faults and induce the
22 faults slipping (Islam and Shinjo, 2009; Wang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018), which can also lead to
23 ground subsidence.
24




Fig. 8. Time series displacement of MPs located in mining area (M1-M4) shown in Fig. 5.

In Fig. 9, six interferograms of box (a) in Fig. 3a are shown. It is observed that there are several active subsidence areas, represented by dense fringes (ellipses in Fig. 9), locate in 5~8 km north of M1 and M2. The loss of signal in the central of these subsidence areas is probably due to the displacement being too large to be detected by MT-InSAR with the C-band Sentinel-1 data. This conforms to the mining-induced displacement field which presents a bowl-shaped subsidence pattern, as observed in other studies (He et al., 1994; Litwiniszyn 1956; Zhu et al., 2020). The dense fringes, i.e., strong deformation, suggests there is active underground mining just below this subsidence basin (referred as primary subsidence basin and denoted by ellipses in Fig. 9). In contrast, all the six interferograms and the corresponding displacement rates shown in Fig. 3 reveal a relatively slight subsidence near M1 and M2 (referred as secondary subsidence basin) in comparison to the primary subsidence basin. Close inspections of the distribution of fringes in Fig. 9, the ground deformation seems to progress to M1 and M2 area along two ‘galleries’ (dashed line in Fig. 9). A plausible explanation of this deformation near M1 and M2 could be the groundwater outflow to working panels and is drained out, which finally triggers the ground subsidence.





Fig. 9. Example of interferograms produced from S1-40 and S1-142 of box (a) in Fig. 3. The ellipses show the primary subsidence area induced by underground mining. One color fringe stands for approximately 2.8 cm displacement in the LOS. The dashed lines show the secondary subsidence area caused by complicated factors, e.g., groundwater drain out and/or fault activation due to underground mining.

In addition, a similar phenomenon is observed in an area (box (b) in Fig. 3a) 5-11 km south of RLSR-HZ. Fig. 10 shows six interferograms of this area. In the right (ellipses in Fig. 10), the dense fringes in this primary subsidence area imply active underground mining. However, an arc-shape secondary subsidence area (dashed line in Fig. 11) with a length of about 14 km presents in 3 km to the left of the primary subsidence area. The arc-shape subsidence does not agree with the law of the ground movement induced by underground mining. Fig. 3 shows the arc-shape subsidence is consistent with the fault, which implies that

1 the underground mining causes the fault activation and results in ground deformation. Unfortunately, it
 2 difficult to investigate the interactions between the underground mining, fault activation and ground
 3 deformation, as we do not have detailed information, such as the distribution of unknown faults and
 4 abandoned mines, the relative position of the faults and mining sites. Nevertheless, the concomitant ground
 5 deformation (i.e., secondary subsidence basin) induced by underground mining reminds us that it must very
 6 careful to extract coal, especially when there are widespread faults.



7
 8 Fig. 10 . Example of interferograms produced from S1-40 and S1-142 of box (b) in Fig. 3. The ellipses show the primary
 9 subsidence area induced by underground mining. One color fringe stands for approximately 2.8 cm displacement in the LOS.
 10 The dashed lines show the secondary subsidence area caused by complicated factors, e.g, groundwater drain out and/or fault
 11 activation due to underground mining.

12

13 6 Conclusion

14 This study investigates the time series displacement for the period of 2015-2019 using MT-InSAR based
 15 on two sets of Sentinel-1A dataset over Heze region. The two independent MT-InSAR results are agree well
 16 with each other with 1- σ uncertainty of approximately ± 0.5 cm/yr. Focus on the ground displacement along
 17 RLSR-HZ, we find that there are two main ground subsidence zones:

- 18 - One subsidence zone is located in the east of Heze city (115.5°E ~ 115.6°E) with a displacement
 19 rates ranging from -4 cm/yr to -1 cm/yr. A length of approximately 20 km of RLSR-HZ will be
 20 affected by this subsidence.
- 21 - One subsidence zone is located in the coal field (115.8°E ~ 116.0°E) with a displacement rates
 22 ranging from -8 cm/yr to -2 cm/yr. A length of approximately 15 km of RLSR-HZ will be affected
 23 by this subsidence.

24 Considering the previous investigation coupled with information of human activities, we conclude that
 25 the subsidence is mainly caused by extraction of groundwater and underground mining:

- 26 - Combining the known previous investigations and the monthly precipitation, we find two patterns of

1 subsidence: a long-term subsidence due to the extraction of deep groundwater mainly in the urban
2 area, and a short-term variations related to the seasonal precipitation mainly in the rural area.

- 3 - Underground mining is another cause of ground subsidence, which can reach up to several meters.
4 However, we found an interesting phenomenon that a secondary subsidence basin presents farther
5 away (about 3-7 km) from the primary subsidence basin (Fig. 9 and 10). Considering the known
6 faults and the unknown mining-induced fracture fields, we infer that the secondary subsidence is
7 very probably caused by the groundwater outflow and fault instability due to mining, rather than
8 being directly caused by mining. It will be interesting to further investigate the cause of secondary
9 subsidence when more data become available.

10 It is very difficult to restore the surface elevation and the foundation stability after extracting deep
11 groundwater and coal mine. The ongoing subsidence will seriously damage the infrastructures of RLSR-HZ,
12 particularly when the RLSR-HZ will be operational by the end of 2021. Effective management in
13 groundwater and coal mine is in urgent need to implement. In addition, it is very meaningful to continue
14 the monitoring of ground deformation along RLSR-HZ using multiple SAR data.

15
16 **Data availability.** Sentinel-1A/B data are available at <https://scihub.copernicus.eu/>. TanDEM-X are freely downloaded from
17 <https://sso.eoc.dlr.de/eoc/auth/login?service=https://download.geoservice.dlr.de/TDM90/files/>. The monthly precipitation are
18 available at <http://data.cma.cn/>. The information about the annual exploitation of groundwater is provided by Shandong
19 Provincial Bureau of Statistics from <http://www.stats-sd.gov.cn/col/col6279/index.html?uid=29225&pageNum=1>.

20
21 **Author contributions.** CZ initiated the study and wrote the manuscript. WW provided python scripts for analysis. CZ and
22 MM analyzed the data. MM, LZ, ZJ and SL provided advice and reviewed the manuscript.

23
24 **Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

25
26 **Acknowledgements.** Thanks go to the StaMPS software that can be downloaded from
27 <http://homepages.see.leeds.ac.uk/~earahoo/stamps/index.html>. The authors would also like to thank the reviewers for their
28 careful work.

29
30 **Financial support.** This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41901373 and
31 41877283), the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (2019JJ50190). The authors would also like to thank the
32 reviewers for their careful work. Thanks go to the StaMPS software that can be downloaded from
33 <http://homepages.see.leeds.ac.uk/~earahoo/stamps/index.html>.

34 35 **References**

36 André Vervoort.: Surface movement above an underground coal longwall mine after closure. *Natural Hazards and Earth*

1 *System Sciences,16(9): 2107-2121, 2016.*

2 Berardino, P., Fornaro, G., Lanari, R., and Sansosti, E.: A New Algorithm for Surface Deformation Monitoring Based on
3 Small Baseline Differential SAR Interferograms, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 40: 2375-2383,
4 2002. doi:10.1109/TGRS.2002.803792.

5 Bamler, R., and Hartl, P.: Synthetic aperture radar interferometry. *Inverse Problems*, 14, R1-R54, 1998.

6 Chen, F. L., Lin, H., Li, Z., Chen, Q., and Zhou, J.M., Interaction between permafrost and infrastructure along the
7 Qinghai-Tibet Railway detected via jointly analysis of C- and L-band small baseline SAR interferometry, *Remote Sensing*
8 of Environment, 123: 532-540, 2012. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2012.04.020

9 Cui, Z. D.: *Land Subsidence Induced by the Engineering-Environmental Effect*. Springer, 2018.

10 Du, Z. Y., Ge, L. L., Ng, H. M. , and Li, X. J.: Investigation on mining subsidence over Appin-West Cliff colliery using
11 time-series SAR interferometry. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 39(5): 1528-1547, 2018.

12 Feng, C. C., Ma, X. F., and Huang, W. F.: Analysis on land subsidence survey and trend in Heze city[C], 13th Geoscience and
13 Technology Forum of East China, Nanchang, Jiangxi, 1 November 2015, 383-387, 2015.

14 Ferretti, A., Fumagalli, A., Novali, F., Prati, C., Rucci, A., and Rucci, A.: A new algorithm for processing interferometric
15 data-stacks: squeeSAR. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 49(9), 3460-3470, 2011.

16 Ferretti, A., Prati, C., and Rocca, F.: Non-linear Subsidence Rate Estimation Using Permanent Scatterers in Differential SAR
17 Interferometry, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 38: 2202-2212, 2000. doi:10.1109/36.868878.

18 Ferretti, A., Prati, C., and Rocca, F.: Permanent Scatterers in SAR Interferometry, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and*
19 *Remote Sensing*, 39: 8-20, 2001. doi:10.1109/36.898661.

20 Fiaschi, S., Closson, D., Karaki, N. A., Pasquali, P., Riccardi, P., and Floris, M.: The complex karst dynamics of the Lisan
21 Peninsula revealed by 25 years of DInSAR observations. Dead Sea, Jordan. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and*
22 *Remote Sensing*, 130, 358-369, 2017. doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2017.06.008

23 Geudtner, D., Torres, R., Snoeij, P., Davidson, M., and Rommen, B.: Sentinel-1 System capabilities and applications. In:
24 Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, IGARSS 2014, Quebec,
25 Canada, 13-18 July, pp. 1457-1460, 2014.

26 Goel, K., and Adam, N.: High resolution differential interferometric stacking via adaptive spatial phase filtering. In:
27 Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, IGARSS 2011, Vancouver,
28 Canada, 24-29 July, pp. 1341-1344, 2011.

29 Goel, K., and Adam, N.: An advanced algorithm for deformation estimation in non-urban areas. *ISPRS Journal of*
30 *Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 73, 100-110, 2012. doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2012.06.001

31 Goodman, J. W.: Some fundamental properties of speckle, *Journal of the Optical Society of America*, 66, 1145-1150, 1976.

32 Guo, C. X., Nie, J. L., Tian, J., Wang, W. L., Cheng, C. L., Wang, B., Yin, H. F., and Zhang, H. P.: Vertical ground
33 displacements in the Shandong Province derived from long-term GNSS and leveling surveying, *Advances in Space*
34 *Research*, 64, 1388-1397, 2019.

35 Haghghi, M.H., and Motagh, M.: Ground surface response to continuous compaction of aquifer system in Tehran, Iran:
36 Results from a long-term multi-sensor InSAR analysis. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 221: 534-550, 2019.

37 He, G. Q., Yang, L., Lin, G. D., Jia, F. C., and Hong, D.: *Mining Subsidence Engineering*, Xuzhou, China: Press China Univ.
38 Mining Technol., 1994.

39 Hooper, A.: A Multi-Temporal InSAR Method Incorporating Both Persistent Scatterer and Small Baseline Approaches,
40 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35: L16302, 2008. doi:10.1029/2008GL034654.

41 Hooper, A.: A statistical-cost approach to unwrapping the phase of InSAR time series. *Proc. Fringe 2009 Workshop*, Frascati,
42 Italy, 30 November–4 December. 5 p, 2010.

43 Hooper, A., Segall, P., and Zebker, H.: Persistent Scatterer Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar for Crustal Deformation
44 Analysis, with Application to Volcán Alcedo, Galápagos, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112: B07407, 2007.

1 doi:10.1029/2006JB004763.

2 Hu, R. L., Yue, Z. Q., Wang, L. C., and Wang, S. J.: Review on current status and challenging issues of land subsidence in
3 China.*Engineering Geology*,76, 65-77, 2004.

4 Hung, W. C., Hwang, C., Chen, Y. A., Chang, C. P., Yen, J. Y., Hooper, A., and Yang, C. Y.: Surface deformation from
5 persistent scatterers interferometry and fusion with leveling data: a case study over the choushui river alluvial fan,
6 taiwan. *Remote Sensing of Environment*,115(4): 957-967, 2011.

7 Islam, M. R., and Shinjo, R.: Mining-induced fault reactivation associated with the main conveyor belt roadway and safety of
8 the Barapukuria Coal Mine in Bangladesh: Constraints from BEM simulations, *International Journal of Coal Geology*,
9 79(4): 115-130, 2009. doi.org/10.1016/j.coal.2009.06.007

10 Jia, P. Y.: Investigation and evaluation of groundwater environment in Heze City, Zhihuai, (1): 83-84, 2015.

11 Kampes, B.: *Radar Interferometry: Persistent Scatterer Technique*, Springer, 2006.

12 Litwiniszyn, J.: Application of the equation of stochastic processes to mechanics of loose bodies, *Archives Mechanics*, 8(4):
13 393-411, 1956.

14 Ma, L., and Feng, C. C.: Analysis on sustainable utilization and exploitation potentiality of shallow groundwater resources in
15 Heze Yellow River flood plain areas, *Shandong Land and Resources*, 30(2): 43-45, 2014.

16 Miller, M. M., and Shirzaei, M.: Land subsidence in Houston correlated with flooding from Hurricane Harvey. *Remote
17 Sensing of Environment*, 225, 368-378, 2019.

18 Mora, O., Mallorqui, J.J., and Broquetas, A.: Linear and nonlinear terrain deformation maps from a reduced set of
19 interferometric SAR images, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 41(10): 2243-2253, 2003.

20 Motagh, M., Shamshiri, R., Haghighi, M. H., Wetzel, H. U., Akbari, B., Nahavandchi, H., Roessner, S. and Arabi, S.:
21 Quantifying groundwater exploitation induced subsidence in the Rafsanjan plain, southeastern Iran, using InSAR
22 time-series and in situ measurements. *Engineering geology*, 218, 134-151, 2017.

23 Parizzi, A., and Brcic, R. . (2011). Adaptive InSAR Stack Multilooking Exploiting Amplitude Statistics: A Comparison
24 Between Different Techniques and Practical Results. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 8(3): 441-445, 2011.

25 Prats-Iraola, P., Scheiber, R., Marotti, L., Wollstadt, S., and Reigber, A.: TOPS Interferometry With TerraSAR-X, *IEEE
26 Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 50(8), 3179-3188, 2012.

27 Qiao, G., and Lin, H. J.: Evaluation and analysis of deep groundwater in Heze City, *Shandong Water Resources*, (8): 99-100,
28 2006.

29 Rapantova, N., Grmela, A., Vojtek, D., Halir, J., and Michalek, B.: GROUNDWATER FLOW MODELLING
30 APPLICATIONS IN MINING HYDROGEOLOGY, *IMWA Symposium 2007: Water in Mining Environments*, 27-31 May
31 2007, Cagilari, Italy, 2007.

32 Samiei-Esfahany, S.: Exploitation of distributed scatterers in synthetic aperture radar interferometry. PhD thesis, Delft
33 University of Technology, 2017.

34 Shamshiri, R., Nahavandchi, H., Motagh, M., and Hooper, A.: Efficient ground surface displacement monitoring using
35 sentinel-1 data: integrating distributed scatterers (DS) identified using two-sample t-test with persistent scatterers (PS).
36 *Remote Sensing*, 10(5), p.794, 2018. doi.org/10.3390/rs10050794

37 Shandong Provincial Bureau of Statistics.: *Shandong Statistical Yearbook*, Beijing, China Statistics Press, 2010-2019.

38 Sousa, J. J., Hooper, A. J., Hanssen, R. F., Bastos, L. C., and Ruiz, A. M.: Persistent Scatterer InSAR: A comparison of
39 methodologies based on a model of temporal deformation vs. spatial correlation selection criteria. *Remote Sensing of
40 Environment*, 115, 2652-2663, 2011.doi:10.1016/j.rse.2011.05.021

41 Wang, H. Q.: Mining subsidence monitoring around Longgu coal mine based on remote sensing, *Advanced Materials
42 Research*, 1010-1012: 489-495, 2014.

43 Wang, H. W., Jiang, Y. D., Xue, S., Mao, L. T., Lin, Z. N., Deng, D. X., and Zhang, D. Q.: Influence of fault slip on
44 mining-induced pressure and optimization of roadway support design in fault-influenced zone, *Journal of Rock mechanics*

1 and Geotechnical Engineering, 8(5): 660-671, 2016. doi.org/10.1016/j.jrmge.2016.03.005

2 Wang, Y., Zhu, X.X., and Bamler, R.: Retrieval of phase history parameters from distributed scatterers in urban areas using
3 very high resolution SAR data. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sensing*, 73, 89–99, 2012.

4 Wessel, B., Huber, M., Wohlfart, C., Marschalk, U., Kosmann, D., and Roth, A.: Accuracy assessment of the global
5 TanDEM-X Digital Elevation Model with GPS data. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 139,
6 171-182, 2018. doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2018.02.017

7 Xu, J. Y., Mao, L., Zhang, T., and Wang, Z. S.: The development and utilization of water resources and security assurance
8 planning study in Heze City, China *Population, Resources and Environment*, 27(11): 200-203, 2017.

9 Xu, S. Y., Zhang, Y. B., Shi, H., Wang, K., Geng, Y. P., and Chen, J. F.: Physical Simulation of Strata Failure and Its Impact on
10 Overlying Unconsolidated Aquifer at Various Mining Depths, *Water*, 10(5): 650-667, 2018. doi:10.3390/w10050650

11 Xue, Y. Q., Zhang, Y., Ye, S. J., Wu, J. C., and Li, Q. F.: Land subsidence in china. *Environmental Geology*, 48(6), 713-720,
12 2005.

13 Yague-Martinez, N., Prats-Iraola, P., Rodriguez, G. F., Bricic, R., Shau, R., Geudtner, D., Eineder, M., and Bamler, R.:
14 Interferometric Processing of Sentinel-1 TOPS Data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 54(4),
15 2220-2234, 2016.

16 Yang, S. Y., Yang, H. C., Jing, C. Q., Yue, X. L., and Jie, J.: Comprehensive Control of the Coal Mine Subsidence Area: A
17 Case of the Subsidence Area of Chenman Village in Heze of Shandong Province, China *Population, Resources and
18 Environment*, 20(5): 194-196, 2010.

19 Yu, C. J., Jia, P. Y., Lv, S. G., Yuan, X. A., and Zang, X. L.: Analysis of shallow groundwater in Heze city, Shandong *Water
20 Resources*, (4): 14-15, 2001.

21 Yue, J. G.: Analysis on the current situation and causes of land subsidence along the Lunan high speed railway, *Railway
22 Investigation and Surveying*, (2): 60-65, 2020.

23 Zhang, H., Dong, M., Ma, J., Liu, Y. H., and Liu, K.: Relationship between the deep groundwater exploitation and land
24 subsidence in Changyi district of Shandong province, China *Earthquake Engineering Journal*, 40, 214-218, 2018.
25 doi:10.3969/j.issn1000-0844.2018.Supp.214

26 Zhang, L. L.: Study on land subsidence prevention and control along the Jingjiu Railway (Shandong Section) [D], Beijing,
27 China University of Geosciences (Beijing), 2013.

28 Zhang, Q. Y., Li, Y. S., Zhang, J. F., and Luo, Y.: InSAR Technique Applied to the Monitoring of the Qinghai-Tibet Railway,
29 *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 19, 2229–2240, 2019. doi.org/10.5194/nhess-19-2229-2019.

30 Zhang, Y. H., Underschultz, J., Langhi, L., Mallants, D., and Strand, J.: Numerical modelling of coal seam depressurization
31 during coal seam gas production and its effect on the geomechanical stability of faults and coal beds, *International Journal
32 of Coal Geology*, 195, 1-13, 2018. doi.org/10.1016/j.coal.2018.05.008

33 Zhu, C. G., Wang, Z. S., Li, P. X., Motagh, M., Zhang, L. Y., Jiang, Z. L., and Long, S. C.: Retrieval and Prediction of
34 Three-Dimensional Displacements by Combining the DInSAR and Probability Integral Method in a Mining Area, *IEEE
35 Journal Of Selected Topics In Applied Earth Observations And Remote Sensing*, 2020.
36 doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2020.2978288

37