

# RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK OF ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS AND EXTREMES IN MEDITERRANEAN ECOSYSTEMS

5 Panagiotis T. Nastos<sup>1</sup>, Nicolas R. Dalezios<sup>2</sup>, Ioannis N. Faraslis<sup>3</sup>, Kostas Mitrakopoulos<sup>2</sup>, Anna Blanta<sup>2</sup>, Ana Maria Tarquis<sup>4</sup>, Marios Spiliotopoulos<sup>2</sup>, Stavros Salellariou<sup>2</sup> and Pantelis Sidiropoulos<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Climatology and Atmospheric Environment, National and Kappodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece (e-mail: [nastos@geol.uoa.gr](mailto:nastos@geol.uoa.gr))

10 <sup>2</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, University of Thessaly, Volos, Greece (e-mail: [dalezios.n.r@gmail.com](mailto:dalezios.n.r@gmail.com))

<sup>3</sup>Department of Environment, University of Thessaly, Volos, Greece (e-mail: [faraslis@uth.gr](mailto:faraslis@uth.gr))

<sup>4</sup>CEIGRAM-Department of Agricultural Engineering, Technical University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain (e-mail: [anamaria.tarquis@upm.es](mailto:anamaria.tarquis@upm.es))

*Correspondence to:* Prof. Panagiotis Nastos (e-mail: [nastos@geol.uoa.gr](mailto:nastos@geol.uoa.gr))

## 15 **Abstract.**

Risk assessment constitutes the first part within the risk management framework and involves evaluating the importance of a risk, either quantitatively, or qualitatively. Risk assessment consists of three steps, namely risk identification, risk estimation and risk evaluation. Nevertheless, the risk management framework also includes a fourth step, i.e. the need for a feedback of all the risk assessment undertakings. However, there is a lack of such feedback, which constitutes a serious deficiency in the reduction of environmental hazards at the present time. Risk identification of local or regional hazards involves hazard quantification, event monitoring including early warning systems and statistical inference. Risk identification also involves the development of a database, where historical hazard information and their effects is included. Similarly, risk estimation involves magnitude/frequency relationships and hazard economic costs. Furthermore, risk evaluation consists of the social consequences of the derived risk and involves cost-benefit analysis and community policy. The objective of this review paper consists of addressing environmental hazards and extremes, **which include meteorological hazards**, within the risk management framework. Analyses results and case studies over Mediterranean ecosystems with emphasis on the wider area of Greece, in the eastern Mediterranean, are presented for each of the three steps of risk assessment and management for several environmental hazards, **such as heat waves, extreme temperatures, tornadoes and waterspouts, medicanes, heavy convective precipitation, droughts and wildfires**, using recorded datasets, model simulations and innovative methodologies. The results indicate that risk management framework constitutes an integrated approach for environmental planning and decision making.

**Keywords:** Environmental hazards and extremes, **risk management framework, Mediterranean ecosystems.**

## 1. Introduction

35 There are several factors at the global scale leading to regional vulnerability increase, such as uneven population growth, environmental degradation, increased climate variability and change, urbanization and land pressure, social inequality, technological development, or impacts of epidemics, which may also contribute to future disasters, affecting, thus, environmental sustainability (Dalezios (ed.), 2017). Indeed, environmental degradation is one of the major factors contributing to the **vulnerability** of environment and agriculture, because it directly magnifies the risk of natural disasters (Dalezios et al.,

40 2017). Moreover, vulnerability, which could be physical, environmental, economic and social, contributes to disaster risk at regional scale. In order to ensure **sustainability** in the **environmental status and agriculture**, a better understanding of the natural disasters that impact environment and agriculture is essential (Sivakumar et al., (eds), 2005). A comprehensive assessment of impacts of natural disasters on environment and agriculture requires a multidisciplinary, multi-sectoral and integral approach involving several components and factors (Dalezios et al., 2019). Priority should be given to supporting

45 applied research, since research is necessary to understand the physical and biological factors contributing to disasters. Community-wide awareness and capacity building programs on natural disasters, mainly for farmers and stakeholders, should also be included in any research effort. Programs for improving prediction and early warning methods, as well as dissemination of warnings, should be expanded and intensified. Moreover, efforts are required to determine the impact of disasters on natural resources.

50 Recent research findings suggest that variability of climate, if encompassing more intense and frequent extremes, such as major large-scale environmental hazards like droughts, heatwaves or floods, results in the occurrence of natural disasters that are beyond our socio-economic planning levels. This affects regional response capabilities, which may require new adaptation and preparedness strategies (Salinger et al. (eds.), 2005). Moreover, early warning systems of individual environmental hazards can significantly contribute to disaster prevention. Indeed, reliable forecasting schemes constitute part of integrated disaster

55 preparedness planning for proactive risk management. Specifically, seasonal and interannual climate forecasting contribute to mitigation and adaptation measures due to climate change. Nowadays, rapid response capabilities based on accurate early warning systems remain a priority for disaster prevention and resilience (Dalezios (ed.), 2017). In addition, technological developments increase current knowledge leading to improved risk management.

Risk management means reducing the threats posed by known hazards, whereas at the same time accepting unmanageable

60 risks and maximizing any related benefits (Smith, 2013). Indeed, risk analysis is considered an integrated methodology for environmental hazards. Moreover, risk assessment consists of the quantitative or qualitative evaluation of risk within the risk management framework. There are three stages of risk assessment (Smith, 2013), such as risk identification, risk estimation and risk evaluation. There is also a fourth step, which is a feedback of all the risk assessment undertakings. However, there is a lack of such feedback, which currently constitutes a serious deficiency in environmental hazards reduction. Adaptation

65 strategies to risk reduction and climate change should be based on better understanding of disasters and environmental hazards

leading to disaster risk management (Dalezios and Eslamian, 2016; IPCC, 2012). Indeed, food security and agriculture are typical examples of sectors with links to climate, where extreme events have significant impacts (Dalezios et al., 2019).

70 The objective of this paper consists of a comprehensive review of environmental hazards and extremes, which include meteorological hazards, within the risk management framework and presenting analyses results and case studies over Mediterranean ecosystems. The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 a brief presentation of the risk management framework is initially considered, which is followed in section 3 by a conceptual description of environmental hazards within risk management. Moreover, in section 3, several case studies of environmental hazards are analyzed and presented with emphasis on the wider area of Greece, east Mediterranean, such as heat waves, extreme temperatures, tornadoes and waterspouts, medicanes, heavy convective precipitation, droughts and wildfires.

## 75 2. Risk Management Framework

The main components of the risk management framework, namely risk identification, risk assessment and risk estimation, as well as risk evaluation and risk governance (from Dalezios et al., 2014), are covered in this section (Figure 1). Moreover, an analytical flow chart with all the components of the risk analysis methodological procedure and their relationships (Dalezios and Eslamian, 2016), is also presented in this section (Figure 2). Furthermore, Table 1 includes some essential definitions of hazards and disasters terminology used throughout the paper. In the following sub-sections, the components of risk management are briefly presented (Figures 1 and 2).

Figure 1 (about here)

Figure 2 (about here)

85 Table 1 (about here)

### 2.1 Risk Identification

Risk identification involves mainly database development, risk quantification, which includes hazard modeling and monitoring, and susceptibility assessment (Dalezios et al., 2014). The potential domino effect of hazards is also analyzed, such as the links between climate and land use. A brief description of the main components follows.

90 **Archive (or inventory) of historical data.** An archive (or inventory) of historical environmental data of the study area are considered, in the form of a database, which is the input data to risk analysis (Dalezios and Eslamian, 2016). Specifically, **environmental factors** are considered, which include data on meteorology, hydrology, geology and geomorphology, agronomy, topography, soil, land use/land cover, GIS and similar aspects. **Triggering factors**, which lead to hazards, are also considered. Moreover, the development of a **hazard inventory** is included, which is based on recorded historical disaster

events. Records of the **elements at risk** for each hazard in terms of type and quantification are also included to be used in exposure analysis and vulnerability assessment (Figure 2).

**Risk Quantification.** Hazards are quantified either numerically or by modeling or even by using indicators and/or indices, depending on the type of hazard. Moreover, the frequency of occurrence of a hazard could also be considered. Furthermore, the potential damage caused by a disaster requires the need for forecasting and monitoring in the affected region.

**Susceptibility assessment, as distinguished from vulnerability,** consists of two types of analyses, namely initiation and spreading analyses (Dalezios and Eslamian, 2016). Specifically, initiation analysis consists of hazard inventory and hazard modeling based on environmental and triggering factors. Similarly, spreading analysis consists of empirical and numerical hazard modeling. Both approaches are incorporated into risk estimation and hazard assessment (Figure 2).

## 105 **2.2 Risk Estimation and Vulnerability Assessment**

This section covers risk estimation for hazard assessment, exposure analysis and vulnerability assessment. A brief description of these components follows.

**Risk Estimation for Hazard Assessment** consists of quantification in terms of probabilistic hazard assessment and analysis of the exposed elements at risk. Moreover, hazard severity-duration-frequency and areal extent relationships with the associated costs are considered (Dalezios et al., 2000). Several hazard scenarios with associated probabilities and indicators for magnitude, frequency and spatial extent are considered, which contributes to exposure analysis.

**Exposure analysis** consists of GIS-based spatial overlap of hazard footprints for the quantification of the elements at risk, which is incorporated into risk assessment.

**Vulnerability assessment** is based on testing, selecting and mapping indicators and uses inventories of exposed elements at risk. Uncertainty analysis of vulnerability is also considered, since several factors contribute to future scenarios. Specifically, historical damage catalogues, modeling and expert evaluation are used, as well as with indices for vulnerability assessment (Figure 2).

## **2.3 Quantitative Risk Assessment**

**Risk Analysis** contributes to Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA) and consists of probabilistic scenarios, since large uncertainties exist in future risk and vulnerabilities (Figure 2). Specifically, hazard assessment involves temporal probability, severity and spatial extent (Dalezios and Eslamian, 2016). Indeed, vulnerability involves the degree of loss to each type of elements at risk and exposure refers to the spatial overlay of hazard and each element at risk. Risk analysis follows the performance of these models in terms of data requirements at different scales, as well as their effectiveness for risk assessment.

**Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA).** QRA contributes to risk evaluation and includes probabilistic hazard assessment and the outcome of exposure and vulnerability analyses (Dalezios and Eslamian, 2016). QRA is developed through hazard and vulnerability scenarios and quantification of the elements at risk. The result is the total risk based on all the specific risks for all the hazard severities, frequencies, triggering events and elements at risk (Figure 2). The datasets are standardized and used

in the risk assessment models. A Web-based platform could be used, where all the components are integrated into the risk management framework. The qualitative risk assessment is used, which involves indices, in case that risk cannot be quantified (Figure 2).

## 2.4 Risk Evaluation

**Risk Evaluation.** Risk evaluation refers to the loss related to each disaster event leading to risk reduction (Dalezios and Eslamian, 2016). All the risk management options and optimal tools are analyzed based on the previous risk scenarios. Risk evaluation involves cost-benefit analysis and policy issues. This part also involves methods or indicators for the estimation of adaptation options at different spatial and temporal scales. Indeed, risk evaluation involves hazard and risk information to be integrated into Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), land use planning, cost-benefit analysis of adaptation options for the development of mitigation measures, early warning and emergency preparedness plans (Figure 2).

**Development of Decision Support System (DSS).** DSS is considered a very useful multi-scale and interactive tool, which could also be web-based. Indeed, risk evaluation performance is incorporated into the DSS. The development of the DSS follows several phases starting from the intelligence phase, which is followed by the design phase and then by the decision phase (Dalezios and Eslamian, 2016). Specifically, the decision phase involves cost benefit analysis (CBA), physical planning approaches, social impact assessment, environmental impact assessment (EIA) and spatial multi-criteria evaluation (MCE), which includes hazard and risk information for spatial planning (Figure 2).

## 2.5 Risk Governance

**Feedback of risk reduction.** Successful risk governance leads to the effectiveness of the risk reduction measures. Indeed, risk governance attempts to integrate all the rules, mechanisms and processes, which are implemented within the risk management framework. Reliable risk governance strategies are based on risk evaluation, which relies on both good science and good judgment (Dalezios (ed.), 2017; Dalezios and Eslamian, 2016). Both the QRA and the relevant aspects of risk perception should be considered. The methods of hazard and risk assessment should be demonstrated to local stakeholders/end-users, where the target is to achieve an agreement on risk reduction measures. However, there is currently lack of feedback, which signifies a serious deficiency in the reduction of environmental hazards (Smith, 2013).

**Dissemination of results and public awareness.** The feedback could justify the level of public awareness and response by the Authorities. Suitable dissemination information and training could be developed for different stakeholders. Dissemination tools and activities can be employed for improving the level of public awareness and the extent of information spreading.

### 3. Environmental Hazards: Case studies

Several case studies of environmental hazards are presented in this section, which are typical hazards within the Mediterranean ecosystems. Each hazard is somehow considered unique. For instance, droughts show a slow escalation, however, populations and large regions could be affected for extended periods of time (Dalezios et al., 2017). On the other hand, flash floods or tornadoes are short-lived, violent events, affecting relatively small areas. Moreover, extreme meteorological events can result into multiple hazards. For example, a tropical storm, besides heavy rain and high winds, can lead to flooding. Furthermore, in temperate latitudes, severe summer extreme events, such as thunder and lightning storms or tornadoes, can be accompanied by heavy hail and flash floods. In addition, avalanches occurring on some mountain slopes and high runoff or flooding during the melt season can be caused by winter storms with high winds and heavy snow or freezing rain.

160  
165  
170

According to the World Meteorological Organization (WMO, 2006), several environmental hazards originate from meteorological events, such as tropical and extra-tropical cyclones, tornadoes, thunderstorms, lightning, hailstorms, high winds, snowstorms, freezing rain, dense fog, thermal extremes and droughts (Nastos and Dalezios, 2016). Similarly, there are several other environmental hazards, which are associated with weather and water, such as floods and flash floods, storm surges, high waves at sea, sand storms, wildfires, smoke and haze, landslides and mudslides, avalanches and desert locust swarms (Nastos and Dalezios, 2016).

Advances in environmental hazards rely on recorded data sets, model simulations and innovative methodologies. In the following sub-sections, environmental hazards and extremes are presented, which include meteorological hazards, such as heat waves, extreme temperatures, tornadoes and waterspouts, medicanes, heavy convective precipitation, droughts and wildfires. Furthermore, the analysis is enriched with characteristic case studies, mainly over the wider area of Greece.

#### 175 3.1 Heat waves

The conventional definition of a heat wave is referred to the combination of an abnormal and uncomfortable period of hot weather with high air and humidity, with a typical duration of about two days (Koppe et al., 2004). It is mentioned that until these days the World Meteorological Organization has not yet announced a specific definition of heat waves. Heat wave is certainly a meteorological phenomenon, however, there is always assessment of the related impacts on humans. As a result, it is sometimes better to consider the human sensation of heat against determining specific thresholds of meteorological parameters. There are different considerations and views. For instance, a heat wave can be considered as an extended period of unusually high atmosphere-related heat stress, which temporary affects lifestyle habits and causing health related problems to humans (Robinson, 2001). Moreover, continuous global warming is very likely to cause heat waves to occur with a higher frequency and duration towards the end of 21<sup>th</sup> century (Beniston et al. 2007; IPCC 2013; Tolika, et al., 2014). It has been proven that 'Mega-heat waves' surpassed the 500-yr long seasonal temperature data over approximately 50% of Europe (Barriopedro et al., 2011; Katsafados et al., 2014), like the cases of 2003 and 2010, respectively. During the event of summer 2003, it has been noted the excess of the temperatures of 1961-1990 mean by about 3°C in a large area of central Europe and

190 this fact corresponds to an enormity of up to five times the standard deviations (Schär et al., 2004). For more than a week in a great number of European cities the daily maximum temperature exceeded 35 °C. This fact caused about 70,000 excess deaths in southern, western and central regions of Europe (Robine et al., 2006; Vandentorren et al., 2006). An effort for the identification of heat waves in Athens, Greece, has been conducted by Matzarakis and Nastos (2011), with the use of the Physiologically Equivalent Temperature (PET), a human thermal index based on the energy balance of the human body. For the scope of quantifying the duration of heat waves and their impacts (Figure 3), they used consecutive days (three and more) and the record of duration of each heat wave, for  $PET \geq 35$  °C, which is the threshold of extreme heat stress (Matzarakis et al., 1999) and  $T_{amin} \geq 23$  °C, which represents the threshold of PET values of thermal neutrality (Nastos and Matzarakis 2008).  
195

Figure 3 (about here)

200 It is assessed that for the number of consecutive days with respect to  $PET \geq 35$  °C, there has not been evidence of a clear pattern, though since 1983 there has been observed a statistically significant increasing trend of the maximum duration of heat waves within the year ( $b=1.33$  days/year,  $p=0.000$ ). Indeed, since 1983 the annual number of heat waves (HW) evolves a statistically significant trend ( $b=0.26$  HW/year,  $p=0.000$ ). As far as the consecutive days with  $T_{amin} \geq 23$  °C are concerned, a statistically significant trend for the number of heat waves within the year ( $b=0.15$  HW/year,  $p=0.048$ ) appears since 1983, while for the maximum duration of heat waves within the year ( $b=0.07$  days/year,  $p=0.344$ ), a statistically significant trend cannot be mentioned.  
205

### 3.2 Extreme air temperature indices

There are three main categories for the division of extreme air temperature indices: absolute, percentile and duration indices, defined by the joint CCI/CLIVAR/JCOMM Expert Team (ET) on Climate Change Detection and Indices (Alexander et al., 2006; Burić et al., 2014). The concern of the absolute indices has as follows: summer days, SU25 (number of days with daily maximum temperature above 25 °C); tropical days, SU30 (number of days with daily maximum temperature above 30 °C); tropical nights, TR20 (number of days with daily minimum temperature above 20 °C); frost days, FDO (days with absolute minimum temperature below 0 °C); maximum of daily maximum temperature, TXx (Let  $Tx_j$  be the daily maximum temperatures in period  $j$ . The maximum of daily maximum temperature is then  $TXx_j = \max(Tx_j)$ ); maximum of daily minimum temperature, TNx (Let  $Tn_j$  be the daily minimum temperatures in period  $j$ . The maximum of daily minimum temperature is then  $TNx_j = \max(Tn_j)$ ). The percentile indices regard: warm days, TX90p (the number of days with daily maximum temperature above the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile calculated for each calendar day, on basis of 1961-1990, using 5 day running window); warm nights, TN90p (the number of days with daily minimum temperature above the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile calculated for each calendar day, on basis of 1961-1990, using 5 day running window); cold days TX10p (the number of days with daily maximum temperature  
215

220 below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile calculated for each calendar day, on basis of 1961-1990, using 5 day running window); cold nights  
TN10p (the number of days with daily minimum temperature below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile calculated for each calendar day, on  
basis of 1961-1990, using 5 day running window). The third category of extreme air temperature refers the duration index  
WSDI (Let  $Tx_{ij}$  be the daily maximum temperature on day  $i$  in period  $j$  and let  $Tx_{in90}$  be the calendar day 90<sup>th</sup> percentile centred  
on a 5-day window; Zhang et al., 2005). Thus, the number of days per period is calculated where, in intervals of at least 6  
225 consecutive days:  $Tx_{ij} > Tx_{in90}$ . It is mentioned that a spell can continue into the next year for spell/duration indices and is  
counted against the year in which the spell ends. The examination of future projected patterns for extreme indices of air  
temperature in Greece has been conducted by Nastos and Kapsomenakis (2015), through the use of simulations from six RCMs  
employed for the reference (1961-1990), near (2031-2050) and far (2071-2100) future periods. They concluded that  
temperature extremes presented widespread significant changes associated with projected warming, in particular in the far  
230 future under SRES A1B. A remarkable contrast of land-maritime air temperature extremes was the result of the simulations,  
as land and sea are characterized by different thermal characteristics. It is depicted in Figure 4, the ensemble means of  
Maximum Daily Maximum Temperature (TXx) (left graphs) and Maximum Daily Minimum Temperature (TNx) (right graphs)  
for the reference period (A, B), along with changes of near future (C, D) and far future (E, F) from the reference period. To be  
more specific, the increase of TXx in the near future is projected to be 2.4 °C – 3.0 °C over land against 2.0 °C – 2.2 °C over  
235 sea (Figure 4C), albeit higher increase is expected in the far future; namely 4.4 °C – 5.4 °C for continental Greece and 3.6 °C  
– 4.2 °C over sea (Figure 4E). As for the increase of TNx in the near future, there is a projection of 2.6 °C – 2.8 °C over land  
against 2.0 °C – 2.4 °C over sea (Figure 4D), while for the projections in the far future higher increase is expected; namely 4.6  
°C – 5.4 °C for continental Greece and 3.6 °C – 4.4 °C over sea (Figure 4F).

240

Figure 4 (about here)

### 3.3 Tornadoes and waterspouts

**Tornadoes and waterspouts are the same phenomenon, but the first occurs over land and the second over sea.** The tornado or  
waterspout is a violently whirling column of air in contact with the ground or hanging from a cumulonimbus and often (but  
not always) visible as a funnel cloud. The horizontal extent of the **tornado or waterspout** reaches even 250 m, and the speed of  
245 movement is relatively small (8-20 m s<sup>-1</sup>). The speed of the spinning column of air in the central region reaches 100 m s<sup>-1</sup>, but  
it can also exceed these speeds reaching 200 m s<sup>-1</sup>. At the same time the vertical movements of the air are very powerful. The  
pressure gradient from the periphery to the center of **tornado or waterspout** presents remarkable fall and can reach 25 hPa,  
having as a result to intensify the rotational movement of the wind. The path traveled by a tornado or waterspout is relatively  
small, 10 km, reaching in specific cases 200 km, having a life period of 4-5 hours. The passage of a **tornado or waterspout**  
250 causes major **damages** due to stormy winds and the sharp drop in atmospheric pressure.



Tornadoes and waterspouts are extreme phenomena associated with severe convective storms (Nastos and Dalezios, 2016). The Greek philosopher Aristotle (384-322 BC) in “Meteorologica” presented perhaps the most renowned exposition of natural extreme phenomena: “*So the whirlwind originates in the failure of an incipient cyclone to escape from its cloud. It is due to the resistance the eddy generates and emerges when the spiral descends to the earth dragging along the cloud that cannot shake off. When blowing in a straight line it carries along whatever comes by in a circular motion and overturns and snatches up whatever it meets*” (Lee, 1952). Tornadoes occur in many parts of the world (Fujita, 1973). **Similarly, funnel cloud is defined as a condensation funnel, which is a rotating, smooth column or cone of cloud that descends from the base of a cumulus congestus or cumulonimbus cloud.** A funnel cloud does not reach the surface, which distinguishes it from a tornado. Several publications during the last several decades have presented historical records concerning tornadic activity (e.g., Meaden, 1976; Tomming et al., 1995; Peterson, 1998; Reynolds, 1999; Tyrrel, 2003; Macrinoniene, 2003; Dotzek, 2003; Nastos and Matsangouras, 2010; Gayà et al., 2000; Brázdil et al., 2012; Rahuala et al., 2012; Haghroosta, et al., 2014). As far as tornadic activity over Greece is concerned, a comprehensive spatial distribution of a total of 612 events (171 tornadoes, 374 waterspouts and 67 funnel clouds), recorded on 405 days was presented (Matsangouras et al., 2014a;2014b: Nastos & Matsangouras, 2014), as there **were** several days with multiplied events, within the period 1709-2012 (Figure 5). This study gives evidence that even in an eastern Mediterranean region these fury atmospheric phenomena are abundant, causing catastrophic impacts on infrastructures and in many cases loss of life.

Figure 5 (about here)

Golden (1974a; 1974b; 1977; 2003) provided the description of fundamental processes of waterspout formation, as well as the identification of the water-surface signatures of waterspouts regarding their development stage and intensity. Five stages of waterspout were analysed: (1) the dark spot, (2) the spiral pattern, (3) the spray ring, (4) the mature waterspout and (5) the decay stage. It is determined that there is either cyclonically or anticyclonically rotation of waterspouts, with a range of surface diameters between 5 and 75 m; local horizontal wind shear provokes their vorticity. It has been observed that air temperature and pressure perturbations within waterspouts vary from 0.2 to 2.5 K and from 10 to 90 hPa, respectively. The common formation of waterspouts mostly takes place under convective clouds, while waterspout genesis reveals preferable tendency in regions of local horizontal shear lines, separating the updrafts from downdrafts (Golden, 1974a; Levenson et al., 1977; Hess and Spillane, 1990), but it has to be accentuated that this condition is a necessary but not sufficient one for the formation of waterspout (Simpson et al., 1986).

280

### 3.4 Medicanes

Medicanes are Mediterranean Tropical Like Cyclones (TLC), which are meso-scale extreme low-pressure systems, resembling the structure of tropical cyclones, as they captured by satellites (Nastos and Dalezios, 2016). Two areas have experienced larger number of medicane formation, the western Mediterranean and the wider area of the Ionian Sea with limited occurrence in Aegean Sea and eastern Mediterranean. Figure 6 illustrates the seasonal geographical distribution of the medicane occurrence (1-63) over Mediterranean within the period 1969-2014 (Nastos et al., 2018).

Figure 6 (about here)

290

Their intensity appears much weaker than tropical hurricanes; however, some of them have reached tropical hurricane strengths (Akhtar et al., 2014). Similarly, their genesis is triggered when an upper-level cut-off low is advected over an area, which results in air mass lifting and cooling causing convective instability (Emanuel, 2005). Moreover, their structure and evolution are considered very significant (Pytharoulis et al., 2000; Homar et al., 2003; Moscatello et al., 2008), as well as the model physics in simulating the structure and intensity (Miglietta et al., 2015). Indeed, these meso-scale systems with diameter usually less than 300 km have a rounded structure and a warm core, as well as intense low sea level pressure (Businger and Reed, 1989). Moreover, strong winds, heavy precipitation and thunderstorms are associated with the incidence of medicanes, causing occasional severe damages in private property, agriculture and communication networks, or resulting in flooding of populated areas, posing a risk to human life (Figure 7).

300

Figure 7 (about here)

In a recent study (Mylonas et al. 2019), the higher spatial horizontal resolution of a TLC event, south of Sicily on November 7–8, 2014, through a physics parameterization sensitivity analysis, allows for improved simulations in most setups that were tested in terms of trajectory and TLC structure.

305

### 3.5 Extreme precipitation indices and heavy convective precipitation

**Extreme precipitation indices.** The extreme precipitation indices can also be classified into three classes: absolute, percentile, and duration indices, as defined by the joint CCI/CLIVAR/JCOMM Expert Team (ET) on Climate Change Detection and Indices (Alexander et al., 2006). The absolute threshold indices concern: number of heavy precipitation days (number of days with daily precipitation amount above 10mm), number of very heavy precipitation days (number of days with daily precipitation amount above 20mm) and simple daily intensity index (daily precipitation amount on wet days in a period per

310

number of wet days in the period) (Benhamrouche et al., 2015). The percentile indices concern: very wet days (the number of days with daily precipitation amount above the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile from the examined period) and extremely wet days (the number of days with daily precipitation amount above the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile from the examined period). The duration indices concern consecutive dry days (the largest number of consecutive days with daily precipitation amount below 1 mm) and consecutive wet days (the largest number of consecutive days with daily precipitation amount above 1 mm). In a recent study, temporal trends and spatial patterns in precipitation and temperature and their extremes in the eastern Mediterranean and Middle East region (EMME) have been presented, using output from the Hadley Centre PRECIS climate model (Kostopoulou et al., 2014). The model projects drying trends by 5–30 % in annual precipitation towards the end of the 21st century, with the number of wet days decreasing at the rate of 10–30 days year<sup>-1</sup>, while heavy precipitation is likely to decrease in the high-elevation areas by 15 days year<sup>-1</sup>.

**Heavy convective precipitation.** Heavy precipitation typically occurs with moist deep convection. Initially, a cloud is formed through condensation of the excess water vapor in rising air parcels. Then, the heat released through this condensation can help to sustain the convection by warming the air further and making it rise still higher, causing more water vapor to condense, so the process feeds on itself. There are three required ingredients in order to produce moist deep convection: the environmental lapse rate must be conditionally unstable; there must be enough lifting so that a parcel will reach its level of free convection; and there must be enough moisture present that a rising parcel's associated moist adiabat has a level of free convection (Doswell et al., 1996). In mid-latitudes, convective precipitation is associated with cold fronts, squall lines, and warm fronts in very moist air. Similarly, graupel and hail indicate convection. On the other hand, in the tropics, precipitation produced solely through condensation and accretion of liquid, is considered important (Rogers 1967, Houze 1977). However, the warm rain process may also play a critical role in heavy convective precipitation events in midlatitudes as well, resulting in many flash floods and landslides (Segoni, et al., 2014a: 2014b).

**Several researchers have noted the importance of convection, and especially mesoscale convective systems, in producing warm season precipitation.** Heideman and Fritsch (1984) estimated that about half of warm season precipitation over the United States is produced directly by mesoscale systems or phenomena. In long lasting, circular shaped, convective systems by using satellite imagery was found that such systems accounted for approximately 30 to 70% of warm season precipitation between the Rocky Mountains and Mississippi River. Extreme weather events, including heavy rain, lightnings, waterspouts and severe wind gusts occur due to the interaction between large-scale environmental conditions and local conditions, related to pure convection. Specifically, Nastos et al. (2014) showed that the seasonal distribution of Cloud-to-Ground (CG) lightning activity frequency coincide well with the regional climatic convective characteristics of Greece; namely CG strokes are dominant over land and coastal areas during summer and spring, against over warm water bodies of Aegean and Ionian Seas, during the other seasons. Convective conditions and summer showers are a frequent phenomenon in the greater Athens area, Greece (Feroni et al., 2019). A recent research campaign concerns the CONvective Precipitation Experiment (COPE), which was a joint UK-US field campaign held during the summer of 2013 in the southwest peninsula of England, designed to study convective clouds that produce heavy rain leading to flash floods. The clouds form along convergence lines that develop regularly due to the

topography. The overarching goal of COPE is to improve quantitative convective precipitation forecasting by understanding the interactions of the cloud microphysics and dynamics and thereby to improve NWP model skill for forecasts of flash floods (Leon et al., 2016). Besides, WRF simulations were carried out to examine the sensitivity of the rainfall distribution in and  
350 around the urban area to different urban land surface model representations and urban land-use scenarios (Alexakis et al., 2014). Simulation results suggest that urbanization plays an important role in precipitation distribution, even in settings characterized by strong large-scale forcings (Yang et al., 2014). Nastos et al. (2017) concluded that the urbanization of Athens, Greece, due to the rapid increase of the population and the number of vehicles the last decades, had remarkable impacts on the mean annual rain intensity and annual number of days for rain events over 10mm, 20mm and 30mm. The analysis of the rain  
355 intensity for Athens (Figure 8, left graphs), revealed statistically significant (C.L. 95%) positive trend (+0.03mm/h/year) for rain events over 10mm, during the examined period 1930-2004, while stronger trends, statistically significant (C.L. 95%) within the period 1990-2004, with respect to the rain threshold of 10mm (+0.46mm/h/year) and 20mm (0.48mm/h/year) appear. Similar results have been found with respect to the annual number of days with daily rain totals  $\geq$  10mm, 20mm and 30mm (Figure 8, right graphs). Many studies have given evidence that the Urban Heat Island (UHI) triggers convective precipitation  
360 in Atlanta (Bornstein and Lin 2000), in Beijing City (Guo et al. 2006), in Tokyo (Yonetani 1982), in London, in Ankara (Cicek and Turkoglu 2005), in Athens (Nastos and Zerefos, 2007; Giannaros et al., 2014).

Figure 8 (about here)

### 3.6 Droughts

365 Drought is a natural, casual and temporary state of continuous decline in precipitation and water availability in relation to normal values, spanning a considerable period and covering a wide area. It is discriminated into meteorological, hydrological and agricultural drought (Dalezios et al., 2019; Dalezios, 2018). Drought is a regional phenomenon, which is characterized by its severity, duration and areal extent (Tsakiris et al., 2007). Several sectors of the economy, environment and society are affected by droughts (Wang, 2005; Mechler et al., 2010; Dalezios et al., 2012). Historically, the identification of dry areas has  
370 been considered two millennia ago (Nastos and Dalezios, 2016). Specifically, the classical Greek thought acknowledged that the latitude affects the arid, temperate and cold zones of the earth. There was a perception that the arid climates in small latitudes were dry (Nastos et al., 2013). The evaluation of drought is accomplished by drought indices, the most important of which and widely used are the Aridity Index (AI) (UNESCO, 1979), the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) (McKee et al. 1993), Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) (Palmer 1965) and Reclamation Drought Index (RDI) (Weghorst 1996). The  
375 development of Earth observation satellites from the 1980s onwards promoted drought monitoring and detection. The most prominent vegetation index is certainly the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI; Tucker, 1979) that was first applied to drought monitoring by Tucker and Choudhury (1987). The index NDVI, by itself, does not depict drought or not drought conditions, but the severity of drought can be defined as the deviation from the mean NDVI value of a long period (DEVNDVI). Nastos et al. (2013) studied the spatiotemporal patterns of the Aridity Index (AI) in Greece, per decade, during

380 1951-2000 and the projected changes in ensemble mean AI between the period 1961-1990 (reference period) and the near  
(2021-2050) and far future (2071-2100), simulated by a number of Regional Climatic Models (RCMs), within the ENSEMBLE  
European Project under SRES A1B. They illustrated a progressive shift from the “humid” class, which characterized the wider  
area of Greece, towards the “sub-humid” and “semi-arid” classes appeared in the eastern Crete Island, the Cyclades complex,  
the Evia and Attica, that is mainly the eastern Greece, most pronounced within the period 1991-2000 (Figure 9). Drier  
385 conditions are anticipated to appear in subregions of Greece (Attica, eastern continental Greece, Cyclades, Dodecanese, eastern  
Crete island and northern Aegean).

Figure 9 (about here)

390 Similar results have been extracted by Polychroni and Nastos (2017), who found decreasing trends of the annual SPI in Greece  
and western Turkey, against increasing trends in north-eastern Europe and north-western Africa, both statistically significant  
(at 95% C.L.), during the period 1981-2010 (Figure 10). The atmospheric circulation, by means of North Atlantic Oscillation  
Index (NAOI) and North Sea Caspian Pattern Index (NCPI), seems to influence SPI variability, making the climate drier or  
wetter depending on the phase of the indices.

395

Figure 10 (about here)

Dalezios et al. (2014) identified the agricultural drought in Thessaly, which is the major agricultural drought-prone region of  
Greece, characterized by vulnerable agriculture, by the implementation of the vegetation health index (VHI), which is based  
400 on satellite data of temperature and the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI). The results show that agricultural  
drought appears every year during the warm season in the region. The severity of drought is increasing from mild to extreme  
throughout the warm season, with peaks appearing in the summer. Similarly, the areal extent of drought is also increasing  
during the warm season, whereas the number of extreme drought pixels is much less than those of mild to moderate drought  
throughout the warm season.

### 405 **3.7 Wildfires**

There is a steady increase in the frequency of large wildfires and the total area burned, mainly due to global warming (Nastos  
and Dalezios, 2016). It is expected that drier conditions will increase the probability of fire occurrence, although more than  
four out of every five wildfires are caused by people. Furthermore, more fuel is expected to become available for forest fires,  
since warmer and drier conditions are conducive to widespread beetle and other insect infestations, resulting in broad ranges  
410 of dead and highly combustible trees (Joyce et al., 2008). Moreover, longer fire seasons are expected, since spring runoff is  
expected to occur earlier, summer heat will build up more quickly, and warm conditions will extend further into fall (Running,  
2006). In addition, increased frequency of lightning is expected, since thunderstorms become more severe (Price, 2009).

Similarly, droughts, heat waves and cyclical climate changes, such as El Niño, can also have a dramatic effect on wildfires risk. There are several reliable fire danger rating systems used worldwide, including the Canadian Forest Fire Weather Index System (CFFWIS) used in Canada (van Wagner, 1987), the National Fire Danger Rating System (NFDRS) used in the USA (Deeming et al., 1977) and the McArthur Forest Fire Danger Index (FFDI) used in Australian forests (Mc Arthur, 1967). In Europe, some well-known indices include the Finnish Fire Index (FFI), developed by the Finnish Meteorological Institute (Venäläinen and Heikinheimo, 2003); the Portuguese index (ICONA, 1988); and the Italian index (IREPI) proposed by Bovio et al. (1984). Karali et al. (2014) evaluated the Canadian Fire Weather Index (FWI) over Greece, by suggesting three critical fire risk threshold values: FWI = 15 for western Greece, FWI = 30 for northern Greece and FWI = 45 for eastern Greece. Future fire risk projections suggest a general increase in fire risk over the domain of interest, with a very strong impact in the eastern Peloponnese, Attica, central Macedonia, Thessaly and Crete. It is expected that 15 to 20 critical fire risk days will be added in western and northern Greece. For eastern and southern Greece, the increase reaches up to 10 days per year. For the distant future, the same pattern applies, with an increase of 30 to 40 days for western and northern Greece and 20 to 30 for eastern and southern Greece (Figure 11).

Figure 11 (about here)

#### 4. Summary and Conclusions

The objective of this review paper is twofold: to present the risk management framework of environmental hazards and extremes, and to analyze the results and case studies for several environmental hazards, which fit within the risk identification and risk assessment components. Several case studies of environmental hazards are presented, which are typical hazards of the Mediterranean ecosystems. More specifically, a comprehensive presentation of the risk management framework related to meteorological hazards and extremes is introduced followed by a description of the concepts of environmental hazards, which include meteorological hazards. Moreover, the analysis is enriched with characteristic case studies, mainly over the wider area of Greece. The readers of this paper will benefit to understand the physical systems and environmental processes in an integrated manner. Last but not least, this scientific effort contributes to the existed knowledge of modeling and assessing environmental hazards and extreme events, appeared mainly in the wider area of Greece in the eastern Mediterranean, a vulnerable area, taking into consideration the impacts of climate change on the intensity and frequency of large-scale environmental hazards, such as heat waves, extreme temperatures, tornadoes and waterspouts, medicanes, heavy convective precipitation, droughts and wildfires.

**Data availability.** Data are available under request to the first author.

**Author contributions.** PTN and NRD designed and wrote the paper with contributions from all co-authors. INF, KM and AB contributed with an extensive search in flood and wildfire hazards bibliography. AMT, MS, SS and PS contributed with an extensive search in drought and heat waves. INF, AB, AMT and SS adapted the figures to appear in this work. The conceptualization of Tables and design was done by NRD, KM, MS and PS.

**Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

450

### **Acknowledgements**

The paper is funded by several European and National research programs, namely partly by FATIMA project (HORIZON2020, 2015-2018), partly by SUPROMED (PRIMA 2018, 2019-2022), partly by HubIS (PRIMA 2019, 2020-2023) and partly by EXTREMES project (GSRT Greece, 2020-2023).

### **References**

- Akhtar, N., Brauch, J., Dobler, A., Béranger, K., and Ahrens, B.: Medicanes in an ocean–atmosphere coupled regional climate model, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 2189-2201, 2014.
- Alexakis, D. D., Grillakis, M. G., Koutroulis, A. G., Agapiou, A., Themistocleous, K., Tsanis, I. K., Michaelides, S., Pashiardis, S., Demetriou, C., Aristeidou, K., Retalis, A., Tymvios, F., and Hadjimitsis, D. G.: GIS and remote sensing techniques for the assessment of land use change impact on flood hydrology: the case study of Yialias basin in Cyprus, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 413-426, 2014.
- Alexander, L.V., Zhang, X., Peterson, T.C., Caesar, J., Gleason, B., Klein Tank, A. M. G., Haylock, M., Collins, D., Trewin, B., Rahimzadeh, F., Tagipour, A., Rupa Kumar, K., M., New, M., Zhai, P., Rusticucci, M., and Vazquez-Aguirre, J. L.: Global observed changes in Revadekar, J., Griffiths, G., Vincent, L., Stephenson, D. B., Burn, J., Aguilar, E., Brunet, M., Taylor, daily climate extremes of temperature and precipitation. *J. Geophys. Res.* 111: D05109, doi:10.1029/2005JD006290, 2006.
- Barriopedro, D., Fischer, E. M., Luterbacher, J., Trigo, R. M., and García-Herrera, R.: The Hot Summer of 2010: Redrawing the Temperature Record Map of Europe, *Science* 332, 220-224, doi: 10.1126/science.1201224, 2011.
- Benhamrouche, A., Boucherf, D., Hamadache, R., Bendahmane, L., Martin-Vide, J., and Teixeira Nery, J.: Spatial distribution of the daily precipitation concentration index in Algeria, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 15, 617-625, 2015.
- Beniston, M., Stephenson, D. B., Christensen, O. B., Ferro, C. A. T., Frei, C., Goyette, S., Halsnaes, K., Holt, T., Jylhä, K., Koffi, B., Palutikof, J., Schöll, R., Semmler, T., and Woth, K.: Current and future extreme climatic events in Europe: observations and modeling studies conducted within the EU PRUDENCE project, *Clim. Change* 81, 71-95, 2007.
- Bornstein, R. and Lin, Q.: Urban Heat Island and Summer Time Convective Thunderstorms in Atlanta: Three Case Studies. *Atmospheric Environment*, 34, 507-516. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(99\)00374-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00374-X), 2000.

- 475 Bovio, G., Quaglino, A., and Nosenzo, A.: Individuazione di un indice di previsione per il Pericolo di Incendi Boschivi, *Montie Boschi Anno XXXV*(4), 39-44, 1984.
- Brázdil, R., Chromá, K., Dobrovolný, P., and Černoč, Z.: The tornado history of the Czech Lands, AD 1119–2010, *Atmos. Res.*, 118, 193-204, 2012.
- Burić, D., Luković, J., Ducić, V. Dragojlović, J., and Doderović, M.: Recent trends in daily temperature extremes over southern  
480 Montenegro (1951–2010), *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 67-72, 2014.
- Businger, S., and Reed, R.: Cyclogenesis in cold air masses, *Weather Forecast*, 20, 133-156, 1989.
- Cicek, I. and Turkoglu, N.: Urban Effects on Precipitation in Ankara. *Atmosfera*, 18, 173-187, 2005.
- Dalezios, N. R., Loukas, A., Vasiladias, L., and Liakopoulos, H.: Severity-Duration-Frequency Analysis of Droughts and Wet  
Periods in Greece, *Hydrol. Sci.*, 45(5), 751-769, 2000.
- 485 Dalezios, N. R., Blanta, A., and Spyropoulos, N. V.: Assessment of remotely sensed drought features in vulnerable agriculture,  
*Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 12, 3139–3150, 2012.
- Dalezios, N. R., Blanta, A., Spyropoulos, N. V., and Tarquis, A. M.: Risk identification of agricultural drought for sustainable  
Agroecosystems, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 2435-2448, 2014.
- Dalezios, N.R. and S. Eslamian: Regional Design Storm for Greece within the Flood Risk Management Framework. *Intern. J.*  
490 *of Hydrology Science and Technology (I.J.H.S.T)*. Vol 6, No 1, 82-102, 2016.
- Dalezios, N.R. (editor): *Environmental Hazards Methodologies for Risk Assessment and Management*. Publisher: IWA,  
London UK, ISBN 9781780407128, 534p., 2017.
- Dalezios, N.R., A.M. Tarquis and S. Eslamian: Drought Assessment and Risk Analysis. Book chapter 18 in Vol. 1 of 3-Volume  
Handbook of Drought and Water Scarcity (HDWS). Editor: Prof. S. Eslamian. Publisher: Taylor and Francis, 323-343, 2017.
- 495 Dalezios, N.R.: Drought and Remote Sensing: An Overview. Book chapter 1, In: *Remote Sensing of Hydrometeorological  
Hazards*. Editors: Prof. G.P. Petropoulos and T. Islam, Publisher: Taylor and Francis, 3-32, 2018.
- Dalezios, N., G.P. Petropoulos and I. Faraslis: Concepts and Methodologies of Environmental Hazards Affecting Agriculture  
and Agroecosystems. Chapter 1, in “Techniques for Disaster Risk Management and Mitigation”. Publisher AGU-Wiley. ISBN-  
10: 111935918X [in press], 2019.
- 500 Deeming, J. E., Burgan, R. E., and Cohen, J. D.: The National Fire-Danger Rating System–1978, USDA Forest Service General  
technical Report INT-39, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden, UT, 1977.
- Doswell, C. A., Brooks, H. E. and Maddox, R. A.: Flash flood forecasting: An ingredients-based methodology, *Weather  
Forecast*, 11, 560-581, 1996.
- Dotzek, N.: An updated estimate of tornado occurrence in Europe, *Atmos. Res.*, 67-68, 153-161, 2003.
- 505 Emanuel, K.: Genesis and maintenance of “Mediterranean hurricanes”, *Adv. Geosci.*, 2, 217-220, 2005.
- Feloni, E. G., Baltas, E. A., Nastos, P. T., and Matsangouras, I. T.: Implementation and evaluation of a convective/stratiform  
precipitation scheme in Attica region, Greece, *Atmos. Res.*, 220:109-119, 2019.
- Fujita, T. T.: Tornadoes around the world, *Weatherwise*, 26, 56- 83, 1973.



- Gayà, M., Homar, V., Romero, R., and Ramis, C.: Tornadoes and waterspouts in the Balearic Islands: Phenomena and environment characterization, *Atmos. Res.*, 56, 253-267, 2000.
- 510 Giannaros, T. M., Melas, D., Daglis, I. A., and Keramitsoglou, I.: Development of an operational modeling system for urban heat islands: an application to Athens, Greece, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 347-358, 2014.
- Golden, J. H.: An assessment of waterspout frequencies along the U.S. east and Gulf states, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 16, 231-236, 1977.
- 515 Golden, J. H.: Scale-interaction implications for the waterspout life cycle II, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 13, 693-709, 1974b.
- Golden, J. H.: The life cycle of Florida Keys' waterspouts I, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 13, 676-692, 1974a.
- Golden, J. H.: Waterspouts, In *Encyclopedia of Atmospheric Sciences*, edited by James R. Holton, Academic Press, Oxford, 2003, Pages 2510-2525, ISBN 9780122270901, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B0-12-227090-8/00451-6>, 2003.
- Guo, L.X., Fu, H.D., Wang, J.: Mesoscale convective precipitation system modified by urbanization in Beijing City. *Atmospheric Research*, 82 (1-2) pp. 112-126, 2006.
- 520 Haghroosta, T., Ismail, W. R., Ghafarian, P., and Barekati, S. M.: The efficiency of the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model for simulating typhoons, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 2179-2187, 2014.
- Heideman, K. F. and Fritsch, J. M.: A quantitative evaluation of the warm-season QPF problem. *Preprints Tenth Conf. on Weather Forecasting and Analysis*, Clearwater Beach, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 57-64, 1984.
- 525 Hess, G. D., and Spillane, K. T.: Waterspouts in the Gulf of Carpentaria, *Aust. Meteor. Mag.*, 38, 173-180, 1990.
- Homar, V., Romero, R., Stensrud, D. J., Ramis, C., and Alonso, S.: Numerical diagnosis of a small, quasi-tropical cyclone over the western Mediterranean: Dynamical vs. boundary factors, *Quart. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 129, 1469-1490, 2003.
- Houze, R. A.: Structure and dynamics of a tropical squall-line system. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 105(12), 1540-1567, 1977.
- ICONA: Experimentacion de un nuevo sistema para determinacion del peligro de incendios forestales derivado de los combustibles:instrucciones de calculo, Instituto Nacional para la Conservacion de la Naturaleza, Madrid, Spain, 1988.
- 530 IPCC: Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation, Special Report of IPCC, 594p, 2012.
- IPCC: Summary for Policymakers. In: *Climate Change 2013. The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA., Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- 535 Joyce, L. A., Blate, G. M., Littell, J. S., McNulty, S.G., Millar, C. I., Moser, S. C., Neilson, R. P., O'Halloran, K., and Peterson, D. L.: National Forests, In: *Preliminary review of adaptation options for climate-sensitive ecosystems and resources. A Report by the U.S. Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA, pp. 3-1 to 3-127, 2008.
- 540 Karali, A., Hatzaki, M., Giannakopoulos, C., Roussos, A., Xanthopoulos, G., and Tenentes, V.: Sensitivity and evaluation of current fire risk and future projections due to climate change: the case study of Greece, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 143-153, 2014.

- Katsafados, P., Papadopoulos, A., Varlas, G., Papadopoulou, E., and Mavromatidis, E.: Seasonal predictability of the 2010 Russian heat wave, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 1531-1542, 2014.
- 545 Koppe, C., Jendritzky, G., Kovats, S., and Menne, B.: Heat-waves: risks and responses. Regional Office for Europe, Health and Global Environmental Change, Series No. 2. Copenhagen, Denmark, 2004.
- Kostopoulou, E., Giannakopoulos, C., Hatzaki, M., Karali, A., Hadjinicolaou, P., Lelieveld, J., and Lange, M. A.: Spatio-temporal patterns of recent and future climate extremes in the eastern Mediterranean and Middle East region, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 1565-1577, 2014.
- 550 Leon, D. C., French, J. R., Lasher-Trapp, S., Blyth, A. M., Abel, S. J., Ballard, S., Barrett, A., Bennett, L. J., Bower, K., Brooks, B., Brown, P., Charlton-Perez, C., Choullarton, T., Clark, P., Collier, C., Crosier, J., Cui, Z., Dey, S., Dufton, D., Eagle, C., Flynn, M. J., Gallagher, M., Halliwell, C., Hanley, K., Hawkness-Smith, L., Huang, Y., Kelly, G., Kitchen, M., Korolev, A., Lean, H., Liu, Z., Marsham, J., Moser, D., Nicol, J., Norton, E. G., Plummer, D., Price, J., Ricketts, H., Roberts, N., Rosenberg, P. D., Simonin, D., Taylor, J. W., Warren, R., Williams, P. I., and Young, G.: The CONvective Precipitation
- 555 Experiment (COPE): Investigating the origins of heavy precipitation in the southwestern UK. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 97 (6) pp1003-1020. ISSN 1520-0477. DOI: 10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00157.1, 2016.
- Levenson, V. H., Sinclair, P. C., and Golden, J. H.: Waterspout wind, temperature and pressure structure deduced from aircraft measurements, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 105, 725-733, 1977.
- Lee, H.D.P.: Aristotle: *Meteorologica*, Loeb Classical Library No. 397, Harvard University press and Heinemann, Cambridge
- 560 and London, 1952.
- Marcinonienė, I.: Tornadoes in Lithuania in the period of 1950-2002 including analysis of the strongest tornado of 29 May 1981, *Atmos. Res.*, 67-68, 475-484, 2003.
- Matsangouras, I. T., Nastos, P. T., Bluestein, H. B., and Sioutas, M. V.: A climatology of tornadic activity over Greece based on historical records, *International Journal of Climatology*, 34, 2538-2555, 2014a.
- 565 Matsangouras, I. T., Pytharoulis, I., and Nastos, P. T.: Numerical modeling and analysis of the effect of complex Greek topography on tornadogenesis, *Natural Hazards Earth System Sciences*, 14, 1905-1919, 2014b.
- Matzarakis, A., Mayer, H., and Iziomon, M. G.: Applications of a universal thermal index: physiological equivalent temperature, *Int. J. Biometeorol.*, 43, 76-84, 1999.
- Matzarakis, A., and Nastos, P. T.: Human-biometeorological assessment of heat waves in Athens, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 105,
- 570 99–106, 2011.
- Mc Arthur, A. G.: *Fire Behaviour in Eucalypt Forests*, Department of National Development, Forestry and Timber Bureau Leaflet No. 107, Canberra, Australia, 1967.
- McKee, T. B., Doesken, N. J. and Kleist, J.: The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scale. In: *Preprints Eighth Conference on Applied Climatology*, American Meteorological Society, Anaheim (CA), 17-22 January 1993. AMS,
- 575 pp. 179-184, 1993.
- Meaden, G. T.: Tornadoes in Britain: Their intensities and distribution in space and time, *J. Meteorol.*, 1 (8), 242-251, 1976.

- Mechler, R., Hochrainer, S., Aaheim, A., Salen, H., and Wreford, A.: Modelling economic impacts and adaptation to extreme events: Insights from European case studies, *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 15(7), 737-762, 2010.
- Miglietta, M. M., Mastrangelo, D., and Conte, D.: Influence of physics parameterization schemes on the simulation of a tropical-like cyclone in the Mediterranean Sea. *Atmos. Res.*, 153, 360-375, 2015.
- 580 Moscatello, A., Miglietta, M. M., and Rotunno, R.: Numerical analysis of a Mediterranean 'hurricane' over southeastern Italy, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 136(11), 4373-4397, 2008.
- Mylonas, M. P., Douvis, K. C., Polychroni, I. D., Politi, N., and Nastos, P. T.: Analysis of a Mediterranean Tropical-Like Cyclone. Sensitivity to WRF Parameterizations and Horizontal Resolution, *Atmosphere*, 10(8), 425;
- 585 <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10080425>, 2019.
- Nastos, P. T., and Zerefos, C. S.: On extreme daily precipitation totals at Athens, Greece, *Adv. Geosci.*, 10, 1-8, 2007
- Nastos, P., and Matzarakis, A.: Variability of tropical days over Greece within the second half of the twentieth century, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 93, 75-89, 2008.
- Nastos, P. T., and Matsangouras, I. T.: Tornado activity in Greece within the 20th Century, *Adv. Geosci.*, 26, 49-51, 2010.
- 590 Nastos, P. T., Politi, N., and Kapsomenakis, J.: Spatial and temporal variability of the Aridity Index in Greece, *Atmos. Res.*, 119, 140-152, 2013.
- Nastos, P. T., and Matsangouras, I. T.: Analysis of synoptic conditions for tornadic days over western Greece, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 2409-2421, 2014.
- Nastos, P. T., Matsangouras, I. T., and Chronis, T. G.: Spatio-temporal analysis of lightning activity over Greece - Preliminary results derived from the recent state precision lightning network, *Atmos. Res.*, 144, 207-217, 2014.
- 595 Nastos, P. T., and Kapsomenakis, J.: Regional climate model simulations of extreme air temperature in Greece. Abnormal or common records in the future climate? *Atmos. Res.*, 152, 43-60, 2015.
- Nastos, P.T. and Dalezios, N.R.: Preface: Advances in Meteorological Hazards and Extreme Events. Special Issue of NHESS. Nastos, Dalezios and Ulbrich (eds), 16, 1-10, 2016.
- 600 Nastos, P. T., Philandras, C. M., Kapsomenakis, J. N., Repapis, C. C., and Zerefos, C. S.: Features of extreme daily rain over specific thresholds in Athens and Thessaloniki, Greece, 11<sup>th</sup> International Hydrogeological Congress, Athens, Vol. 2, pp. 371-383, 2017.
- Nastos, P. T., Karavana-Papadimou, K., and Matsangouras, I. T.: Mediterranean tropical-like cyclones: Impacts and composite daily means and anomalies of synoptic patterns, *Atmos. Res.*, 208, 156-166, 2018.
- 605 Palmer, W. C.: Meteorological drought, Research Paper No. 45. US Department of Commerce Weather Bureau, Washington, DC, 1965.
- Peterson, R. E.: A historical review of tornadoes in Italy, *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerod.*, 74-76, 123-130, 1998.
- Polychroni, I., and Nastos, P. T., Annual standardized precipitation index (SPI<sub>12</sub>) over the Mediterranean, 11<sup>th</sup> International Hydrogeological Congress, Athens, Vol. 2, pp. 435-444, 2017.

- 610 Price, C.: Thunderstorms, Lightning and Climate Change, in *Lightning: Principles, Instruments and Applications*, ed. H.D. Betz, U. Schumann and P. Laroche, Springer Publications, 521-536, 2009.
- Pytharoulis, I., Craig, G. C., and Ballard, S. P.: The hurricane-like Mediterranean cyclone of January 1995, *Meteorol. Appl.*, 7(3), 261-279, 2000.
- Rauhala, J., Brooks, E. H., and Schultz, M. D.: Tornado climatology of Finland, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 140, 1446–1456, 2012.
- 615 Reynolds, D. J.: A revised U.K. tornado climatology, 1960-1989, *J. Meteorol.*, 24 (242), 290-321, 1999.
- Robine, J. M., Cheung, S. L. K., Le Roy, S., van Oyen, H., Griffiths, C., Michel, J.-P., and Herrmann, F. R.: Death toll exceeded 70,000 in Europe during the summer of 2003, *C. R. Biol.* 331, 171–178, 2006.
- Robinson, P. J.: On the definition of heat waves, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 40, 762-775, 2001.
- Rogers, R.: Doppler radar investigation of Hawaiian rain, *Tellus* 19, 432-454, 1967.
- 620 Running, S. W.: Is Global Warming Causing More, Larger Wildfires? *Science*, 313, 927-928, 2006.
- Salinger, J., Sivakumar, M. V. K., and Motha, R. P. (Eds): *Increasing Climate Variability and Change: Reducing the Vulnerability of Agriculture and Forestry*, Springer, ISBN 1-4020-3354-0, 362p, 2005.
- Schär, C., Vidale, P.L., Lüthi, D., Frei, C., Häberli, C., Liniger, M.A., and Appenzeller, C.: The role of increasing temperature variability in European summer heatwaves, *Nature* 427, 332-336, 2004.
- 625 Segoni, S., Rosi, A., Rossi, G., Catani, F., and Casagli, N.: Analysing the relationship between rainfalls and landslides to define a mosaic of triggering thresholds for regional-scale warning systems, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 2637-2648, 2014a.
- Segoni, S., Rossi, G., Rosi, A., and Catani, F.: Landslides triggered by rainfall: a semi-automated procedure to define consistent intensity-duration thresholds, *Comput. Geosci.*, 63, 123–131, 2014b.
- Simpson, J. S., Morton, B. R., McCumber, M. C., and Penc, R. S.: Observations and mechanisms of GATE waterspouts, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 43, 753-782, 1986.
- 630 Sivakumar, M. V. K., Motha, R. P., and Das, H. P. (Eds): *Natural Disaster and Extreme Events in Agriculture*, Springer, ISBN-10 3-540-22490-4, 367p, 2005.
- Smith, K.: *Environmental Hazards: Assessing Risk and Reducing Disaster*. Springer, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition, 478p, 2013.
- Tolika, K., Maheras, P., Pytharoulis, I., and Anagnostopoulou, C.: The anomalous low and high temperatures of 2012 over
- 635 Greece – an explanation from a meteorological and climatological perspective, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 501-507, 2014.
- Tooming, H. K., and Peterson, R. E.: Vigorous tornadoes and waterspouts during the last 35 years in Estonia. *Meteorology in Estonia in Johannes Letzmann's Times and Today*, H. Eelsalu and H. Tooming, Eds., Estonian Academy Publishers, 168–179, 1995.
- 640 Tsakiris, G., Pangalou, D., and Vangelis, H.: Regional drought assessment based on the Reconnaissance Drought Index (RDI), *Water Res. Manage.*, 21(5), 821-833, 2007.
- Tucker, C. J., and Choudhury, B. J.: Satellite remote sensing of drought conditions, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 23, 243-251, 1987.

- Tucker, C. J.: Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 8, 127-150, 1979.
- 645 Tyrrell, J.: A tornado climatology for Ireland, *Atmos. Res.*, 67–68: 671–684, 2003.
- UN/ISDR: Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015. World Conference on Disaster Reduction, Final Report, 18-20 January 2005, Kobe, Hyogo, Japan, 22p, 2005.
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO): Map of the world distribution of arid regions: Map at scale 1:25,000,000 with explanatory note, MAB Technical Notes 7, UNESCO, Paris, 1979.
- 650 van Wagner, C. E.: Development and structure of a Canadian forest fire weather index system, *Forestry Tech. Rep.* 35, Canadian Forestry Service, Ottawa, 1987.
- Vandentorren, S., Bretin, P., Zeghnoun, A., Mandereau-Bruno, L., Croisier, A., Cochet, C., Ribéron, J., Siberan, I., Declercq, B., and Ledrans, M.: August 2003 heat wave in France: risk factors for death of elderly people living at home, *Eur. J. Publ. Health* 16, 583–591, 2006.
- 655 Venäläinen, A. and Heikinheimo, M.: The Finnish forest fire index calculation system, in: *Early warning systems for natural disaster reduction*, edited by: Zschau, J. and Kuppers, A., Springer, 645–648, 2003.
- Wang, G.: Agricultural drought in a future climate: Results from 15 global climate models participating in the IPCC 4th assessment, *Clim. Dynam.*, 25 (7-8), 739-753, 2005.
- Weghorst, K.: *The reclamation drought index: Guidelines and practical applications*, Bureau of Reclamation, Denver (CO), 6
- 660 pp, 1996.
- World Meteorological Organization: *Preventing and mitigating natural disasters*, WMO-No. 993, ISBN 92-63-10993-1, 2006.
- Yang, L., Smith, J. A., Baeck, M. L., Bou-Zeid, E., Jessup, S. M., Tian, F., and Hu, H.: Impact of Urbanization on Heavy Convective Precipitation under Strong Large-Scale Forcing: A Case Study over the Milwaukee–Lake Michigan Region, *J. Hydrometeor.*, 15, 261–278, 2014.
- 665 Yonetani, T.: Increase in number of days with heavy precipitation in Tokyo urban area. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* 21, No10 1466-1471, 1982
- Zhang, X., Hegerl, G., Zwiers, F. W., and Kenyon, J.: Avoiding Inhomogeneity in Percentile-Based Indices of Temperature Extremes, *J. Climate*, 18, 1641-1651, 2005.

670

675

**Table 1: Definitions of Hazards and Disasters terminology**

<p><b>Hazard</b> is an inescapable part of life. Hazard is defined as “a potentially damaging physical event, phenomenon or human activity that may cause the loss of life or injury, property damage, social and economic disruption or environmental degradation”. Hazards can include latent conditions that may represent future threats and can have different origins: natural (geological, hydrometeorological and biological) or induced by human processes (environmental degradation and technological hazards) (UN/ISDR., 2005).</p>
<p><b>Risk</b> has the meaning of the chance of a specific hazard to occur and is considered the product of probability and loss. As a result, risk is occasionally considered synonymous with hazard (UN/ISDR, 2005). Thus, hazard (or cause) may be defined as “a potential threat to humans and their welfare” and risk (or consequence) as “the probability of a hazard occurring and creating loss” (Smith, 2013).</p>
<p>Unlike hazard and risk, a <b>disaster</b> is an actual happening, rather than a potential threat, thus, a disaster may be defined as “the realization of hazard”. A more detailed disaster definition is “an event, concentrated in time and space, in which a community experiences severe danger and disruption of its essential functions, accompanied by widespread human, material or environmental losses, which often exceed the ability of the community to cope without external assistance” (Smith, 2013).</p>
<p>The term <b>environmental hazard</b> has the advantage of including a wide variety of hazard types ranging from “natural” (geophysical) events, through “technological” (man-made) events to “social” (human behavior) events. Specifically, it is possible to use the following working definition of environmental hazards: “Extreme geophysical events, biological processes and major technological accidents, characterized by concentrated releases of energy or materials which pose a large unexpected threat to human life and can cause significant damage to goods and environment” (Smith, 2013).</p>
<p><b>Vulnerability</b> is defined as “The conditions determined by physical, social, economic and environmental factors or processes, which increase the susceptibility of a community to the impact of hazards” (UN/ISDR, 2005). The concept of vulnerability, like risk and hazard, indicates the present and a possible future state. Most approaches to reduce system-scale vulnerability can be viewed as expressions of either resilience or reliability.</p>

**Resilience** is defined as “The capacity of a system, community or society potentially exposed to hazards to adapt, by resisting or changing in order to reach and maintain an acceptable level of functioning and structure”. This is determined by the degree to which the social system can organize itself to increase this capacity for learning from past disasters for better future protection and to improve risk reduction measures” (UN/ISDR, 2005).

**Reliability**, on the other hand, reflects the frequency with which protective devices against hazard fail (Smith, 2013).

690




Figure 1 Components of Risk Management (Adapted from Dalezios et al., 2014)


695

700

705

710





**Figure 2 Flow chart of Risk Analysis Methodological Procedure (Adapted from Dalezios and Eslamian, 2016)**











Figure 3 Consecutive number of days with PET  $\geq 35$  °C (upper graph) and T<sub>amin</sub>  $\geq 23$  °C (lower graph) for Hellenikon/Athens, during the period 1955-2001. The number in the bars indicates the duration in days for each heat wave recorded (Adapted from Matzarakis and Nastos, 2011)



**Figure 4** Spatial distribution of ensemble means of Maximum Daily Maximum Temperature (TXx) (left graphs) and Maximum Daily Minimum Temperature (TNx) (right graphs) for the reference period (A, B), along with changes of near future (C, D) and far future (E, F) from the reference period. The color scale concerns Celsius degrees (°C). (Adapted from Nastos and Kaposmenakis, 2015)

755

760





Figure 5 Spatial variability of tornadoes, waterspouts and funnel clouds over Greece for the period 1709-2012. (Numerous of tornadic events lie under the tornadic type symbols, due to low resolution of the image) (Adapted from Matsangouras et al. 2014a)

765

770




**Figure 6** Seasonal geographical distribution of medicane occurrence (yellow color for autumn, red color for summer, green color for spring and blue color for winter) over Mediterranean during the study period 1969-2014 (Adapted from Nastos et al., 2018)

775

780

785

790









795 **Figure 7 Geographical distribution of medicane impacts over Mediterranean during the study period 1969-2014 (Adapted from Nastos et al., 2018)**

800

805

810



**Figure 8** Mean annual rain intensity (mm/h) (left graphs) and annual number of days (right graphs), with daily rain totals  $\geq 10$ mm, 20mm and 30mm, along with the 9 points moving average fitting (red line), for Athens, during the period 1930-2004 (Adapted from Nastos et al., 2017)






Figure 9 Spatial distribution of the Aridity Index per decade for the period 1951-2000, based on stations' data (Adapted from Nastos et al., 2013)





820 **Figure 10** Evolution of December SPI12 trends over consecutive thirty-year periods (annual; January to December). The values with asterisk (\*) refer to statistically significant trends at 95% c.l. (Adapted from Polychroni and Nastos, 2017)



825 **Figure 11** Mean number of critical fire risk days for the control period (1961–1990), (a, b, c), differences between the near future (2021– 2050) and the control period, (d, e, f) and differences between the distant future (2071–2100) and the control period (g, h, i). Columns correspond to the mean number of days with FWI values above the critical fire risk threshold for different subregions: western Greece (a, d, g), northern Greece (b, e, h), and eastern/southern Greece (c, f, i) (Adapted from Karali et al., 2014)