Detecting precursors for an imminent landslide along the Jinsha River
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Abstract. Landslides are major hazards that may pose serious threats to mountain communities. Even landslides in remote mountains could have non-negligible impacts on populous regions by blocking large rivers and forming dam-breached mega floods. Usually, there are slope deformations before major landslides occur, and detecting precursors such as slope movement before major landslides is important for preventing possible disasters. In this work, we applied multi-temporal optical remote sensing images (Landsat 7 and Sentinel-2) and an image correlation method to detect sub-pixel slope deformations of a slope near the Mindu town in the Tibet Autonomous Region. This slope is located on the right bank of the Jinsha River, ~80km downstream the famous Baige landslide. We used a DEM derived aspect to restrain background noises in image correlation results. We found the slope remained stable from November 2015 to November 2018 and moved significantly since November 2018. We used more data to analyse slope movement in 2019 and found retrogressive slope movements with increasingly large deformations near the river bank. We also analysed spatial-temporal patterns of the slope deformation from October 2018 to February 2020 and found seasonal variations in slope deformations. Only the foot of the slope moved in dry seasons, whereas the entire slope activated in rainy seasons. Until 24 August 2019, the size of the slope with displacements larger than 3 m is similar to that of the Baige landslide. However, the river width at the foot of this slope is much narrower than the river width at the foot of the Baige landslide. We speculate it may continue to slide down and threaten the Jinsha River. Further modelling works should be done to check if the imminent landslide could dam the Jinsha River and measures be taken to mitigate possible dammed breach flood disasters. This work illustrates the potential of using optical remote sensing to monitor slope deformations over remote mountain regions.

1 Introduction

Landslides are major natural hazards in mountain regions and have been causing widespread disasters every year around the globe (Petley 2012; Zhang et al. 2020). Major landslides in remote mountain regions may pose serious threats to downstream communities by choking channels to increase the risks of landslide-dammed lake outburst floods (Fan et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2019). For example, a hillslope near the Baige village had two landslides, damming the Jinsha River twice in 2018.
The outburst floods caused widespread damage along its route and affected as far as Yunnan Province, > 500km from the landslides (Fan et al. 2019). In 2000, a super-large landslide dammed the Yigong River in Tibet and two months later the outburst flood caused widespread damages, including 5 main bridges, highways and communication cables in downstream areas (Shang et al. 2003). The breach of the 1786 landslide-dammed lake in the Dadu river consumed >100,000 lives along its route (Dai et al. 2005). Similar cases could occur in many mountain regions in the world and detecting precursors (such as slope movement) before major landslides is crucial for preventing such disasters (Intrieri et al. 2018; Carlà et al. 2019).

Remote sensing techniques have been an efficient way to monitor slope movement over large mountain regions (Du et al. 2020; Handwerger et al. 2019). Optical passive and radar remote sensing are most frequently used data to detect slope displacements. There are two kinds of mainstream methods to derive slope movement. SAR interferometry processing use the difference in phase images to derive subtle slope movement of a few millimetres (Intrieri et al. 2018; Samsonov et al. 2020). However, large ground displacements (e.g., a few metres), dense vegetation or long time intervals could lead to incoherence in phase images in this type of methods (Wasowski and Bovenga 2014). Image correlation methods (also referred as the pixel offset tracking used in SAR intensity images) is another type of methods that use SAR amplitude or optical images to correlate image patches to measure slope movement, which can derive sub-pixel ground displacements from 1/10 ~ 1/30 of a pixel (Li et al. 2020). The later type is good at detecting larger slope movements that are visible on images (Bradley et al. 2019; Lacroix et al. 2020). In recent years, image correlation methods have been proposed and widely used to detect sub-pixel slope displacements in optical images (Bontemps et al. 2018; Lacroix et al. 2018, 2019; Yang et al. 2020).

In this work, using sub-pixel optical image correlation methods we report a landslide along the Jinsha River. Different from previous retrospective studies, the landslide in this work did not collapse yet. We used multi-temporal Sentinel-2 images and found the slope is unstable and could pose a threat to downstream areas by blocking the Jinsha River.

2 Methods

2.1 Study Area

The reported slope is ~80 km downstream the Baige landslide (Fan et al. 2019) along the Jinsha River near the Mindu town, Tibet Autonomous Region, bordering Sichuan Province (Figure 1a). The slope is located on the right bank of the Jinsha River. Similar to the Baige landslide, the geomorphology of this section of the Jinsha River is at the bottom of V-shaped valley. The elevation of the study area ranges from 2660m at the valley bottom to >4500m on the mountain ridge. This rough topography indicates strong fluvial incision against the rapid uplift of the Tibetan Plateau. We estimated the mean annual precipitation (MAP) by using the GPM v6 monthly precipitation (from 2001 to 2019) and found the MAP of this area is ~665mm. The region is controlled by monsoon climate with >90% of the rain occurring from May to October.

This area is tectonically active and active faults run through this slope from north to south. To the west of the faults are Upper Paleozoic strata, and to the east are Mesoproterozoic metamorphic rocks. Cracks and fissures on the slope is visible from the 15 m resolution pan-sharpened false colour Landsat 7 image acquired in 2001 (Figure 1b). These cracks and fissures
may be relics of historic earthquakes or precipitations. This part of the slope has a percent slope of 45% and an aspect of the southeast, with azimuth between 112.5° and 157.5° (Figure 1c). The slope is mainly covered by grass and sparse shrubs and less affected by anthropogenic activities. Field reconnaissance is not carried out for this slope due to outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic. Instead, we examined the slope via Google Earth images. Fissure cracks is clearly visible on uppermost part of the slope, and there are widespread cracks on the lower part of the slope. Evidenced by very high spatial resolution Google Earth images, the landslide in this work is a translational type (Highland and Bobrowsky 2013).

In this work, We mainly relied on Sentinel-2 optical images to derive slope movement. The European Space Agency’s Sentinel-2 mission has two twin satellites in orbit, with a revisit time of less than 5 days. The Sentinel-2 optical imagery has 12 optical bands with wavelength ranging from 440nm to 2200nm (Gascon et al. 2017). There are 4 bands with a spatial resolution of 10m: blue, green, red and near infrared bands. To derive slope movement, we used the red band because its wavelength is longer than other visible bands and is less influenced by the atmosphere. Compared to the near infrared, this band is less sensitive to vegetation and is more reliable to measure slope deformation (Yang et al. 2019). We used the Level-1C product, which is already orthorectified before distribution (Gascon et al. 2017).

2.2 The COSI-Corr method

This work used the COSI-Corr method, a correlation method for optical images to detect slope displacements (Leprince et al. 2007). To derive slope movement, two images in a roll should be used to form an image pair, including the base image and the target image. The base image is an earlier image, based on which image correlation algorithm (here we use the COSI-Corr) is implemented to detect slope displacements in the target image (Leprince, et al. 2007). For detailed parameters to use the COSI-Corr method, please refer to Yang, et al. (2020).

In this work, we used three steps to detect slope displacements for the studied Mindu slope. For the first step, we used two image pairs (#1-#2) to find the stable and moving periods before and after November 2018. For the second step, we used 19 images in the stable period to estimate cumulative slope displacements in 5 images in the moving period (image pair #3-#97). For the third step, we used another nine images to derive displacements for every two adjacent images (image pair #98-#105).

2.2.1 Deriving slope displacements

In the first step, we used three Sentinel-2 images on 13 November 2015, 12 November 2018 and 12 November 2019 to compose two image pairs (#1 and #2). The first image pair (#1) is composed of a Sentinel-2 image on 13 November 2015 and a Sentinel-2 image on 12 November 2018. Sentinel-2 images of the second pair (#2) are acquired on 12 November 2018 and on 12 November 2019.

By using the first two image pairs, we found the slope was stable from 13 November 2015 to 12 November 2018 and moved significantly from 12 November 2018 to 12 November 2019. Therefore, in the second step, we further used two image groups, a base image group and a target image group, to detect cumulative slope displacements (Table 1). For the base image
group, there are 19 images in early 2018. These selected 19 base images are clear images without clouds in the stable period. For the target image group, we selected five images in 2019 (13 April, 17 July, 24 August, 5 October and 12 November) to detect cumulative displacements. In all, there are 19×5 image pairs (#3-#97) calculated in the second step. In the third step, we use nine images from 28 September 2018 to 7 February 2020 (Table 2) to form another eight image pairs (#98-#105) to derive slope displacements.

2.2.2 Error Assessment and postprocessing

Misalignments between images can be estimated by selecting a stable zone (Bontemps et al. 2018; Lacroix et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2019). In this work, the stable zone was selected on the upper part of the landslide (red rectangular in Fig 1b and 1c). Mean displacements estimated within the stable zone were used to correct image shifts. Standard deviations of the displacements within the stable zone represents uncertainties, indicating the quality of the derived results for a given image pair. We select this area because this stable zone is on the same slope as the landslide, which can minimize the influence of illumination and errors during orthorectification.

In this work, we cross-validated measured slope displacements for five target images in 2019 in the second step. Uncertainties of the slope displacements for a given target image are estimated from all 19 base images in the stable periods. Standard deviations of these 19 measurements were used to indicate their reliability. We further filter out displacements with moving directions that does not agree with the SRTM DEM derived aspects. If there are 15° deviations between the derived slope movement and the aspect, the derived slope movement is defined as invalid and will not be used for further analysis.

3 Results

3.1 Detected stable and unstable periods

In Table 3, the EW-mean and NS-mean indicate the east-west (EW) and north-south (NS) shifts of images in both image pairs calculated from the stable zone. The EW-std and NS-std are standard deviations of displacements in the stable zone to indicate image distortions. Low EW-std and NS-std values indicates good performances during image orthorectifications. The derived EW-mean and NS-mean were used to correct misalignments in image pairs.

The base and target images for image pair #1 are on 13 November 2015 and 12 November 2018, respectively. The base and target images for image pair #2 are on 12 November 2018 and 12 November 2019, respectively. The slope remains stable in the first image pair, whereas detectable slope displacements can be found in the second image pair (Figure 2). The durations of image pair #1 and pair #2 span 3 years and one year, respectively. In Figure 2a, we can see that the slope displacement from 2015 to 2018 was less than 2 m, whereas there was >6 m slope displacement from 2018 to 2019 (Figure 2b). In image pair #2, larger displacements were observed near the Jinsha River and smaller displacements were farther away from the river. This increasing displacement magnitude indicate the slope may start to move from its toe.
3.2 Cumulative slope displacements in 2019

As in Figure 2, we can see that this slope remained stable from November 2015 to November 2018 and moved after November 2018. To derive time series of the Mindu slope displacements after November 2018, we used 19 base images in the stable period and 5 target images in 2019. All 19 base images are from early 2018, during which the slope was stable. Five selected target images are acquired on 13 April 2019, 17 July 2019, 24 August 2019, 5 October 2019 and 12 November 2019. For each target image in 2019, we calculated slope movement by using all base images. Therefore, there are 19 estimated slope displacements for each target image. We calculated the means and standard deviations of slope displacements for all target images (Figure 3).

From Figure 3, we can see that the mean displacements are a magnitude larger than standard deviations, which indicate that the displacements derived between each target image and their base images agree with each other quite well. Minor slope displacements were detected until April 2019 (maximum 3~4m), whereas larger slope displacements can be observed in the later four target images (>5 m). All displacements in five target images show a similar pattern with results in image pair 2 (Figure 2b), demonstrated by larger displacements near the river and less movement further from the river.

We further selected six points on the slope to analyse time series of the slope displacements in 2019 (Figure 4). For most target images in the first five points (p1-p5), most base images could derive >10 valid displacements (2-D columns). For all six points, accumulated displacements show similar growing trends from April 2019 to November 2019. Maximum displacements in all six points occurred on 24 August 2019. These unreasonably large values may be caused by difference of solar elevation/zenith angles in target images. For example, compared to the August image there are more mountain shadows in the November images in northern hemisphere. Despite abnormal displacements in August 2019, we can still see that displacements from July to November 2019 are still larger than displacements in April 2019. Therefore, from time series of these six points, we can see that major slope displacements occurred from April to August 2019.

3.3 Slope displacements in eight selected periods after November 2018

To analyse spatial deformation patterns in different periods, we selected 9 Sentinel-2 images forming eight image pairs (image pairs #98-#105 in Table 2, corresponding to eight periods in ~2 months). The first two image pairs (Figure 5a-b, #98 and #99) shows that the middle and lower parts of the slope deformed significantly and 4-6 meters of displacement occurred at multiple locations. The study area has a monsoonal climate with most precipitation occurs from May to September (Figure 6). There are seasonal differences in deformation of this landslide. In dry seasons of winter and spring, deformation occurs at the foot of the slope near the Jinsha River and deformation rate is generally less than 1 m/month (from January to May, Figure 5c&d and periods 3-4 in Figure 6, image pairs #100-#101). In rainy seasons of summer and autumn, deformation affects the entire slope with some parts at a rate of more than 3 meters/month (from May to September, Figure 5e&f and periods 5-6 in Figure 6, image pairs #102-#103).
4 Discussion

4.1 Possible impacts of this imminent landslide

Major landslides in mountains may dam river channels forming transient lakes, the breach of which can result in catastrophic floods to downstream communities (Dai et al. 2005; Fan et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2019). In this work, we examined a hillslope near the Mindu town along the Jinsha River. We found the slope had significant movement from November 2018 to November 2019. Despite the area of the detected moving slope (715,577 m$^2$ for displacements larger than 3 m) is similar with the area of the Biage landslide (830,624 m$^2$), the width of the Jinsha River channel below the Mindu slope (~ 50) is half that of the Baige (>100 m, in Figure 7). Considering the similar morphology of both river sections, the collapse of the Mindu slope could pose a threat to downstream communities by blocking the Jinsha River. We call for further frequent monitoring of the hillslope in combination with other tools, such as InSAR (Intrieri et al. 2018; Samsonov et al. 2020).

4.2 Comparison of image matching and InSAR methods

In this work, we used the COSI-Corr method to derive slope displacements for the Mindu slope along the Jinsha River. The principle of this method is to use a sliding window to find pattern matches to derive displacements in image pairs (Leprince et al. 2007). Compared to the InSAR methods, this method is easier to understand and implement. In addition, image correlation methods favour larger displacements than InSAR methods. Limited by the wavelength of SAR image, InSAR methods are versed in monitoring ground deformation of millimetre to centimetre scale (Intrieri et al. 2018), whereas the capability of image correlation methods depends on spatial resolution of images. In general, image correlation methods are more reliable for deriving large ground displacements of metre scale (Bradley et al. 2019; Lacroix et al. 2020). In this work, it might be quite challenging for InSAR methods to detect such large displacements.

Long temporal intervals of a few months could lead to incoherence in SAR images (Li et al. 2019), whereas images (taken on the same season) with long temporal intervals of a few years can be used to derive reliable displacements given stable land cover (Yang 2020). Both type of methods can be affected by the atmosphere. Clear optical images without clouds should be used in image correlation methods. Although SAR images could penetrate thin clouds, atmosphere could cause phase delay and lead to uncertainties in derived results (Li et al. 2019).

Both methods work well on bare land without vegetation, though dense vegetation could seriously affect InSAR methods (Intrieri et al. 2018). On the contrary, image correlation methods are less affected by vegetation cover as long as both images in a pair are from the same season (Yang 2020). As image correlation methods use pattern matches within an image pair, we speculate that vegetation density may not be a major challenge on derived results. The Sentinel-2 images used in this work have four 10-metre resolution optical bands (Gascon et al. 2017). In theory, any of these four bands may be used to derive slope displacements. But, an ideal band should not be sensitive to ground cover change unrelated with ground displacements, which could minimize background noises. In general, optical bands with shorter wavelength is more prone to
be affected by moisture in the atmosphere. Considering that near infrared band is very sensitive to vegetation, we used the red band in this work.

Both InSAR and image correlation methods can be impacted by complex terrains in mountain regions. Layover and shadow areas in SAR images should not be used in InSAR methods (Li et al. 2019). Similarly, shadows in optical images also influence derived results (Yang et al. 2020). To derive reliable results, optical images acquired during larger solar angles should be prioritized to minimize the influence of mountain shadows. Fortunately, there are algorithms developed to restore information in mountain shadows in optical images (Shahtahmassebi et al. 2013), which may promote the efficacy of optical image correlation methods.

### 4.3 Measures taken to reduce uncertainties

Many other factors may also influence the accuracy of slope deformation from image correlation methods, which includes image orthorectification errors, different viewing angles during image acquisition, different illuminations in images, et al. (Stumpf et al. 2016; Yang et al. 2020). This work used Sentinel-2 L1C product, which is already orthorectified before distribution (Gascon et al. 2017). To correct for possible mis-registration between the base and target images, we used a stable zone to calculate and correct image shifts. To reduce errors caused by different illuminations, all images used for the first two Sentinel-2 image pairs are from similar dates of different years.

The first two image pairs (#1 and #2) we mentioned above are composed of images of very similar acquire dates in different years. Images of similar dates have similar zenith/elevation angles, which could minimize the influence of mountain shadows (Yang et al. 2020). To assess and reduce uncertainties in the second step, we first identified a stable period. Then, we used 19 base images in this stable period to derive cumulative displacements for a given target image in the moving period. The mean displacements from these 19 image pairs are expected to be more reliable than results from a single image pair. In addition, these 19 measurements can cross-validate each other and be used to estimate uncertainties by standard deviations (Figure 3 and Figure 4).

There are a few strategies to suppress background noises in derived results, including selecting results with high signal/noise ratios (Lacroix et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2020), integrating redundant information in time series of images (Bontemps et al. 2018). This work introduced a simple and efficient way by using slope aspect to filter out slope movement that is different from the aspect. This is reasonable for this translational landslide as the mass moves downhill driven by gravity. This procedure could eliminate false slope movements and reserves true slope movement of the Mindu landslide. By integrating topographic information, this new procedure is expected to work well for ground movement in other regions that is consistent with slope configurations.

### 4.4 Potential applications of the method in landslide monitoring

As we used orthorectified images, slope displacements derived in this work are horizontal movements. To derive ground movement along the slope, we need to consider local slope configurations. Because image correlation methods use
sliding windows to detect similar patterns between the base and target images, precursors with horizontal rather than vertical ground movements can be detected. Landslides that have intact moving surfaces can be detectable by image correlation methods. For translational and rotational landslides, there are more horizontal than vertical ground movements, which are ideal landslide types to use image correlation methods, whereas precursors of avalanches, rock falls may be difficult to detect due to limited horizontal ground movement (Highland and Bobrowsky 2013).

In addition, the smallest displacements that can be detected depends on the spatial resolution of optical images (Li et al. 2020, Stumpf et al. 2016). Although image correlation methods can detect sub-pixel ground movement, it is very challenging to detect moving surfaces that cover an area of a few pixels, as smaller window sizes could result in more background noises (Yang et al. 2020).

5 Conclusions

In this work, by using the COSI-Corr method and multi-temporal Sentinel-2 images, we found precursors of a major landslide along the Jinsha River in southwest China. Fissures on the slope probably existed before 2001 but the slope remained stable between November 2015 and November 2018. From November 2018 to August 2019, we detected significant slope displacements. The size of the activated part on the Mindu slope is similar to that of the 2018 Baige landslide, whereas the river width under the Mindu slope is half width of the Baige section. If this landslide continues to slide down and fails completely, it may block the Jinsha River leading to similar consequences as the Baige landslide.

By using image correlation technique, we can track sub-pixel slope movement in optical remote sensing images. We also adopted an aspect constraint to pick out downslope movement and significantly depressed background noises. However, optical images, such as the Sentinel-2 images, can only detect slope movements up to a few metres. To continuously monitor this slope, other data and methods (such as higher spatial resolution images or InSAR techniques) should be used. We also call for intensive monitoring of this slope and modelling of the landslide’s impacts.
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Figure 1: Topographic maps of the study area. (a) Geographic locations of the Baige landslide and the downstream landslide around the Mindu town, Tibet Autonomous Region. (b) A 15 m resolution pan-sharpened Landsat 7 false colour image on 18 February 2001 and (c) aspect of the study area around the Mindu landslide (The elevation data in a is a product of the NASA's Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) and the aspect in c is a derivative of the DEM. Both the SRTM DEM in (a) and its derivative (c) are downloaded from the Geospatial Data Cloud website (http://www.gscloud.cn/sources). The Landsat image in b is a joint product of the USGS and NASA and was downloaded via the Google Earth Engine (GEE)).
Figure 2: Detected slope displacements in image pairs #1 and #2 (Background Sentinel-2 images are acquired on 13 November 2015 and 12 November 2018, respectively. Both images were produced by the ESA's Sentinel-2 satellites and downloaded via the GEE).
Figure 3: Means and standard deviations of derived slope displacements in nine targeted images (Tab. 2). Detected means and standard deviations of slope displacement on 13 Apr. 2019 ($a1-a2$), 17 Jul. 2019 ($b1-b2$), 24 Aug. 2019 ($c1-c2$), 5 Oct. 2019 ($d1-d2$), 12 Nov. 2019 ($e1-e2$), respectively.
Figure 4: Time series of slope displacements for the six target images. Image to the left shows slope displacements shown above the Sentinel-2 image on 12 November 2019 and map colour is shown in minimum-maximum linear stretch type. Sub-panels p1-p6 show means (points), standard deviations (vertical bars) and valid numbers (histograms) of cumulative displacements between 19 base and 5 target images for the six selected points (stars) in the left image.
Figure 5: Slope displacements in different periods after the Baige floods (Background images are Sentinel-2 data produced by the ESA’s Sentinel-2 satellites and downloaded via the GEE).
Figure 6: Daily precipitation of the Baiyu Meteorology station from October 2018 to February 2020.

Figure 7: High spatial resolution images from the ©Google Earth. The image to the left is acquired on 30 March 2015 for the Mindu slope (a) and the right image is acquired on 18 July 2017 for the Baige slope (b).
Table 1. List of 19 base images in early 2018 and 9 targeted images. Base images were used to detect slope displacements in targeted images. Image pairs used in this step are #3-#97.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>19 base images in the stable period</th>
<th>5 target images in the moving period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January: 11, 13, 16, 23, 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February: 5, 12, 17, 25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March: 4, 9, 14, 19, 29</td>
<td>2019: 13-Apr., 17-Jul., 24-Aug., 5-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April: 3, 16, 23</td>
<td>Oct., 12-Nov.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May: 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June: 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Eight periods (image pair #98-#105) were used to derive the Mindu slope movement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image pairs</th>
<th>Base image</th>
<th>Target image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#98</td>
<td>28 Oct. 2018</td>
<td>24 Nov. 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#99</td>
<td>24 Nov. 2018</td>
<td>23 Jan. 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#100</td>
<td>23 Jan. 2019</td>
<td>14 Mar. 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#101</td>
<td>14 Mar. 2019</td>
<td>18 May 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#102</td>
<td>18 May 2019</td>
<td>17 Jul. 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#103</td>
<td>17 Jul. 2019</td>
<td>28 Sep. 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#104</td>
<td>28 Sep. 2019</td>
<td>29 Nov. 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#105</td>
<td>29 Nov. 2019</td>
<td>07 Feb. 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Detected image shifts (system error) in the “stable zone”. The EW-std and NS-std indicates uncertainties of the method and the EW-mean and NS-mean were used to derive the final displacements in image pair #1 and #2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image pairs</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>EW-mean</th>
<th>EW-std</th>
<th>NS-mean</th>
<th>NS-std</th>
<th>snr-mean</th>
<th>snr-std</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>2015.11.13</td>
<td>-0.495077</td>
<td>0.181026</td>
<td>-7.275188</td>
<td>0.253885</td>
<td>0.989819</td>
<td>0.001601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018.11.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>2018.11.12</td>
<td>4.115833</td>
<td>0.056559</td>
<td>9.914275</td>
<td>0.136149</td>
<td>0.989803</td>
<td>0.001434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019.11.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>