

Interactive comment on “Towards Resilient Vital Infrastructure Systems: Challenges, Opportunities, and Future Research Agenda” by Seyedabdolhossein Mehvar et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 9 December 2020

Dear authors,

The paper touches upon an important subject. The paper starts with a title which attracts the attention and promises a research agenda. The authors claim to provide an overview from literature on vital infrastructure resilience, to make a conceptual framework on resilience and identify gaps and based on those come up with a research agenda. The paper is interesting, but it is difficult to read and not convincing. It is not clear what the authors mean by resilience and how that links to their framework. The link between the literature review, gaps and opportunities is weak. The paper could therefore also be presented as a opinion paper instead of a literature overview. The

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



conclusions are interesting. I hope the authors are willing to rewrite the paper and resubmit.

See comments in file attached.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://nhess.copernicus.org/preprints/nhess-2020-12/nhess-2020-12-RC2-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2020-12>, 2020.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

