

AUTHORS ANSWERS TO REFEREE #1's COMMENTS

General comment:

The manuscript aims in completing an earlier work based on the simulation of a lethal landslide generated tsunami along the Calabria coast. This paper simulates the tsunami effects in a new region, further away for the landslide source, in Sicily. This is scientifically significant in understanding the tsunami hazard in the area. The technical approach and the methodology applied are based on commonly approved scientific base and the presentation of the data and the results are clear and concise. The idea of reconstructing the morphology for better simulating the phenomenon is novel and proved valid.

In order to give the paper a wider approach with a more general appeal, I would suggest discussing more the tsunami hazard and risk assessment issue. More specific, the conclusion of the last paragraph is very interesting and important and it would be nice if it is highlighted more.

Concerning the tsunami hazard and risk issues, the first has been discussed widely, while the second requires additional studies in order to quantify the impact on population and buildings. We believe it is a subject of great interest, but we prefer leaving it for future work.

Specific comments:

It is not clear how the resolution of the GEBCO grid, which is usually 150m the best, was improved using nautical charts. Which is the resolution of these charts for this quite big area? The concern here is if the re-sampling of the GEBCO grid down to 50 m adds any details or it is just a "cell-split". It might be the case that 50 m bathymetry grid resolution is needed, just to be at the same level as the onshore topography, which is usually at higher resolution than the bathymetry. If this is so, it should be clearly stated.

The part concerning the available datasets has been improved (page 8, lines 15-19 of the new version of the manuscript). Indeed, we did not use GEBCO, but EMODNET and we complemented it nearshore by means of the nautical chart covering the region of interest to allow for a better accounting of local non-linear effects.

With which kind of offshore data the 10 m resolution Grid 3 has been constructed. There is only information for the topography. If such a resolution is artificial for the offshore region, this should be clearly stated.

Offshore data for Grids 3 and 4 have been retrieved from the same nautical chart digitized for the construction of Grid 2. Added sentence in the text (page 11, line 24 of the new version).

The swept area or sliding surface is represented in the figures as a polygon. Who did you define the limits of the area offshore? Was there a detail description in one of the reference papers? Moreover, the bottom limit would look better if it was not a straight line.

The slide boundary is one of the inputs provided to the landslide simulation code, and its definition was given more in details in Zaniboni et al. (2016), and not repeated here. It is designed basing: on the observed deposit; on the initial sliding body contour; on assumptions about the mass spreading during the motion.

Figures 8, 9, 10 & 11 should come after the reference in the text.

The figures have been moved after the text.

A clarification of the terms wave height, wave elevation and flow depth will improve the understanding of the manuscript.

Added terminology at Page 9, Lines 7-10 of the new version.

Use constant naming for the grids, e.g. p18 line 32 in contrast to p18 line 24

Fixed.

Parts of the conclusions need rewriting. Some refinement in English language will improve the text.

Conclusions have been changed and reorganized.

For example, in the last line the word design fits better than “devising”, since it is common terminology for this subject.

Fixed.

Technical corrections:

Figure 1: In this figure Capo Peloro and Messina should be indicated in the inset, it should also be mentioned that the yellow arrows points at Scilla. The Google earth image needs indication of the north. Mt Paci should also be pointed in the figure.

Figure 1 has been modified according also to these indications.

P2 line 10: “the cape of Sicily in front of Scilla” it might be more appropriate the term opposite instead of in front.

Substituted “in front of” with “facing”.

P3 line 5: “inducing” consider forcing instead

Ok

p3 line 7: “ensuing” consider subsequent instead

We prefer “ensuing” since the tsunami is a consequence of the slide (Page 3, Line 12).

p3 line 16: “outside” consider along instead

The tsunami effects are studied out of the surroundings of Scilla, in a wider domain.

p3 line 21: “vanish” consider attenuate instead

Ok

p3 line 24: “corner” consider part instead

Ok

p3 line 27: “about 40 m far from the today shoreline” consider “about 40 m onshore, in regard to the present shoreline” instead

Corrected “today” with “modern”.

Figure 2: Signs for east (E) and north (N) should follow the degree sign in parenthesis for latitude and longitude. The area marked in red is indicated as the landslide swept area. Consider using the tem sliding surface instead.

Ok

p6 line 27: “the tsunamigenic failure was a purely subaerial collapse” consider “the tsunami generation was purely attributed to the subaerial collapse” or “the tsunamigenic source was a purely subaerial collapse” instead

Ok

p6 line 31: “scenario tsunami” consider “tsunami scenario” instead

Ok

p7 line 23: “CoM” initials should be defined, i.e. Center of Mass(?)

Correct, added at Page 5, Line 10 of the new version.

p8 line 15: “GEBCO” Which version of GEBCO and at which resolution.

See answer to the first comment in the previous section.

p9 line 2: "reports" considered illustrates instead

Ok

P9 line 14: "The picture of Figure 5" consider "The wave height distribution illustrated in Figure 5" instead

Ok

p9 line 16: "ranges" you mean reaches?

Ok

P10 line 2: "stretch" consider using area instead

Ok

Figure 5: "in the legend together with the inundation distance (I) and runup (R)", I would add the word "observed" to avoid any misunderstanding, "in the legend together with the observed inundation distance (I) and runup (R)"

Correct remark, the word "observed" has been added in the figure caption.

p13 line 7: It seems that the eastern most extreme is reached after 40s (i.e. T180), although it depends on where you put the limit for eastern extreme.

Ok

p13 line 8: "Contemporarily" consider at the same time instead.

ok

p13 line16: consider illustrated instead of "reported"

Ok

p13 line 17: "(#1)" consider (#1 figure 5) instead. Is this point #1? It Is not clear.

Indeed, it's Grid 2. Fixed.

p14 lines 3-9: Some refinement in English language will improve the text.

Done.

p14 lines 11-18: Some refinement in English language will improve the text.

Done.

p14 line 21: "agents" consider factors instead

"agents" refers to atmospheric manifestations (rain, wind, storms and so on), that are usually denoted with this word.

p14 line 22: "Basing" consider Based instead

Ok

p15 line 5: "The correction done is shown in green-blue when negative (meaning "digging" with respect to the present ground level) and in yellow-red when positive, meaning increased ground elevation." Some refinement in English language will improve the text.

Done

p16 lin2: "reported" consider shows or illustrates instead.

Done

p16 line7: "The most relevant changes regard the area between the north-east corner of Pantano Piccolo and the Torre Bianca site, where a 1 to 5 m surface layer of ground has been removed." Consider "The

most relevant change regarding the area between the north-east corner of Pantano Piccolo and the Torre Bianca site is the removal of 1 to 5 m of surface ground layer.

Done

p16 line 11: "agents" consider factors instead

Same as above

p17 line2: "filed" consider frame instead

Ok

p17 line 10: "chief" consider main instead

Ok

p19 line 4: "outside" consider besides instead

Ok

AUTHORS ANSWERS TO REFEREE #2's COMMENTS

Zaniboni et al. investigate the Scilla tsunami, which is one of the deadliest tsunamis ever occurred in Italy. They simulated the tsunami generated by the subaerial landslide that followed one of the largest aftershocks that occurred during the 1783 earthquakes storm in Calabria, Italy, and compared the results against the historical evidences. Since there were still some disturbing gaps between the computed results and the historical evidences, they improved the grid resolution and restored the topography of the Pantano Piccolo area in northeastern Sicily at the time of the tsunami. This way they were able to match very nicely the computed results with the actual evidences.

This is an elegant investigation, relevant to nowadays tsunami hazard research and evaluation. The study is well constructed, conducted very carefully with much attention to the fine details. The understandings extrapolate the past experience onto the future, emphasizing the hazard posed by tsunamis induced by subaerial landslides, the need to stay away from the coast after strong shaking, and the vulnerability of coastal channels to tsunami penetration inland.

The manuscript is certainly suitable for NHESS, but I would recommend some corrections and improvements before publication as follows:

General Comments

Abstract:

I suggest the authors to state and stress the importance of morphological reconstruction they have implemented in the grid around the area of Pantano Piccolo in order to achieve a better agreement between the high resolution scenario computation and the past evidences.

We agree with this suggestion, a sentence has been added to the abstract.

Conclusions:

Rather than a focused, short and concise, this section is a mixture of results, discussion, summary and some conclusions; it is of the longest sections in the manuscript and hard to follow. For example, the first paragraph is a summary of Zaniboni et al. (2016), the second paragraph is a summary of the present study, the bullets section is "main results and findings" (P. 18 line 18), the middle bullet in page 19 is mainly discussion, etc; and the actual conclusions are spread along this section and hard to follow. I suggest reorganizing the Conclusions section, it can be divided into discussion and conclusions, or any other useful way; it should be shorter with less repetitions of what have already been said before. It would also be useful to refer back to the relevant figures, and this will help the reader to follow the mentioned issues. At the moment there is only one as such reference (P. 18, line 11). I am not an English speaking person, but had the feeling that some language editing is needed.

The Conclusions section has been reorganized following this advice. A Discussion section has been added, resuming and discussing the main results, while the Conclusions section has been shortened.

Technical comments:

P. 1, Line 12: Please consult the editor whether to use a reference in the abstract

The reference has been removed.

P. 1, line 14: " : : three tsunami simulations." while P. 2, lines 11-12 mention two tsunamis : : ;

Ok, fixed.

P. 1, line 17: Would be more accurate to say 'regional' rather than 'global';

Ok

P. 6, line 27: Do you mean 'seismogenic' rather than 'tsunamigenic'?

Changed “tsunamigenic failure” to “tsunamigenic source” (Page 6, Line 27 of the new version).

P. 9, line 2-3: ‘cleared out’ means to empty, remove, leave, etc.: : I believe you mean ‘will be explained’? If so, please rephrase.

Done (Page 9, Line 6 of the new version).

P. 14, Lines 8-9: Please indicate which of the simulations is not in line with the historical accounts.

The sentence is referred to both simulations, on Grid 2 and Grid 3, that are named just before. The expression “the simulations” has been substituted with “both simulations” (Page 14, Line 1 of the new version).

P. 15 and 17, Captions of Figures 9 and 11: While referring to the upper and lower panel, use colon “:” rather than right side bracket “)”.

Done.

Figure 1: The study area is quiet familiar to the Mediterranean and the European communities. However, I would suggest the introducing of an inset that gives a wider geographic orientation for those around the world who are not familiar with this region.

A larger map of Italy, with the indication of the studied area, has been added.

Figures 2 and 5: Please add the location of Pantano Piccolo and San Saba that are mentioned later on in the text.

Figure 2 illustrates the location of the observed effects, while the ones in San Saba are obtained from the numerical simulations. The toponym has been added to Figure 5. The position of Pantano Piccolo is reported in Figure 6. Figures 2 and 5 cover a wider region and adding Pantano Piccolo would create confusion.

Table 1: There were several tsunamis in Calabria and Sicily during the 1783 earthquakes crisis. Please mention in the caption the exact date of the Scilla tsunami;

Done

Please verify whether the historical sources were careful enough to differentiate the effects induced by the Scilla tsunami from the effects of the other tsunamis (e.g. the February 5, 7, March 1, 28);

The main tsunami evidences (excluding the February 6th, 1783 one) are associated to the stronger earthquake of the day before, producing significant waves on the coasts of Sicily and Calabria. Their effects are very well reconstructed and reported in the paper by Graziani et al. (2006), clearly distinguishing the main features of the different events, basing on detailed historical reports.

In order to get a comprehensive perspective of the impact of the Scilla tsunami, I would suggest to complete the given list. For example, please mention what had happened in Pantano Piccolo, San Saba, and elsewhere if known. In my opinion, it worth mentioning also what had happened in Scilla even though this was already investigated in the previous, 2016 paper;

The effects in the Scilla beaches have been recalled in the text (Section 2, describing the 6th February 1783 tsunami effects). Thus we preferred to avoid repetition in Table 1.

Punta del Pezzo: Please verify whether the sea affected one and a half mile stretch of the beach. One may think that the sea inundated one and a half mile into the land?

The Calabrian coast is very steep, with narrow beaches and high topographical gradients. So, in that case a similar inland penetration is not possible (see also topography in Figure 6)

AUTHORS ANSWERS TO REFEREE #3's COMMENTS

This manuscript describes new analysis of previous studied 1783 Scilla landslide. The analysis in this paper is focused on the inundation of the generated tsunami in the areas close to the landslide. It also includes a reconstruction of the topography to fulfil the historical observations.

The paper is very interesting, and I liked the open and transparent way the results are presented. Good and clear figures. I have suggested minor revision with some suggestions to improvements below.

Main comments:

1) Discussion of results of simulations on Grid2. Line 16 on page10: Looking at the maximum surface elevations of Fig. 5, the main argument for not achieving observed runup at location 5 and 8 is the resolution of the grid. Why not perform grid refinement tests? I guess that the resolution is high enough for propagation in the deepest part of the strait. 0.3 m before runup cannot give 2 and 3.2 m runup.

Grid refinements in the harbours of Reggio Calabria (#5) and Messina (#8) could account for higher waves, associated to the tsunami itself and to resonance phenomena. This very interesting task has not been explored yet, and it is indicated as one of the topics deserving attention for a future work.

2) What is the original resolution of the data SRTM and GEBCO used in this paper

A more detailed description of the original datasets and of their use to obtain the computational grids have been added (page 8 lines 15-19).

3) Grid refinement tests – should be shown or at least referred to for all grids (not only the 50 m grid, but in sea and on land for 10 m grid)

The grid refinement has been done and discussed only for the area of Capo Peloro, showing the improvements brought by such procedure. It can then be applied to other areas of interest, where detailed historical reports are available, such as Messina and Reggio Calabria harbors as well as the coastal area of Punta del Pezzo, about 7 km west of Scilla along the Calabrian coast. As already stated above, this is left to further studies.

Minor comments

1) Table 1 – must have a ref. to Fig 2

Done

2) Line 1 page 7: what is "cellular automata"

It's a simulation technique, described and applied in the related paper, that is not worth of description here.

3) L5 p7: check super scripts: $m_3 \rightarrow m^3$, $m_2 \rightarrow m^2$ etc. Check entire paper

Ok

4) L8 p7 vs L18 p7. Inconsistent use of "million" and "M". Check entire paper

Ok

5) Fig 3: a vertical line at shoreline will help reading the figure. Include also the location of "blocky deposit", not only simulated deposits

A horizontal light-blue line has been added, marking the sea level. A profile of the blocky deposit is not available

6) Fig 3: Use of only end-parentheses for dividing the text for different panels. I think it is better to use colon (Check rest of paper)

Ok

7) L8 p8: Higher grid resolution give more accurate results must be more discussed. Resolution of grids and data, stability etc.

As already mentioned above, these issues have been discussed for the Capo Peloro area. Such details are interesting but too specific for the aims of this work.

8) L14 p9: the sentence starting with "The picture of : : ." must be revised – I could not understand what was meant here

The sentence has been slightly modified (Page 10, Lines 4-5 of the new version)

9) Chap 5.1 – I think some mariograms for 3-4 locations also could be fine for better understand the wave pattern

We decided to omit the virtual marigrams obtained by the simulations for two main reasons: i) there are not instrumental records to compare with; ii) they did not add particularly interesting information to the already shown plots (propagation and maximum water elevation).

10) Fig 6: include also depth toward location 5 and 8.

This remark is not particularly clear: the picture shows the area covered by Grids 3 and 4, not including the harbors of Messina and Reggio Calabria, located southward.

11) L19 p 11: what is meant by "this zone"?

No "this zone" exists at the indicated line and page. There is "coastal zone" (at Page 11, Line 24 of the new version), that is clearly referred to the Sicilian region, already cited twice in the same sentence and not repeated.

12) L21 p11. Revise sentence "If in Calabria: : :". Show the lowland of Capo Peloro in a map?

The Capo Peloro lowland and Calabria coasts are shown clearly in Figure 6.

13) L18 p13: What is meant by (#1), similar L32 p18 (#2). Is it "Grid 2"?

To avoid continuous repetition of the word "grid", sometimes they have been referred to only using their number (" #1 " is for Grid 1, " #2 " for Grid 2 and so on).

14) Fig. 11 upper panel. For better comparison to Fig 8, use same scales!

Correct remark, the water height scale and the color palette of Figure 8 in the new version match those of Figure 11.

15) L12-14 p18: Check sentence

Done.

16) L30 p18: "inundation does not fit observations"??? See L12 p18 and bulletpoint at L28 p19 and elsewhere where you have concluded that the simulations is a good reconstructions.

The three reported sentences refer to three different contexts: the first concerns the 50-m grid simulation, not fitting the observed run-up and then justifying grid refinement; the second refers to the simulation of the 10-m grid in Scilla beaches, presented in the previous paper (Zaniboni et al., 2016); the third one discusses the good agreement reached with the morphology reconstruction adopted for Grid 4.

17) L6 p19: "basing" use based instead?

Ok, fixed.

18) L12 p19. What is meant by "better resolution" – finer grid or higher resolution of the data

It means finer grid, the text has been changed accordingly.

Assessment of the 1783 Scilla landslide-tsunami effects on Calabria and Sicily coasts through numerical modeling

Filippo Zaniboni^{1,2}, Gianluca Pagnoni¹, Glaucio Gallotti¹, Maria Ausilia Paparo¹, Alberto Armigliato¹,
5 Stefano Tinti¹

¹Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Viale Berti-Pichat 6/2, 40127 Bologna, Italy

²Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia – Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, via Donato Creti 12, 40128 Bologna, Italy

Correspondence to: Filippo Zaniboni (filippo.zaniboni@unibo.it)

Abstract. The 1783 Scilla tsunami, induced by a coastal landslide occurring during an intense seismic sequence in Calabria
10 (South Italy), was one of the most lethal ever observed in Italy. It caused more than 1500 fatalities, most of which on the
beach close to the town where people gathered to escape earthquake shaking. In this paper, complementing a previous work
focusing on the very local tsunami effects in the town of Scilla, we study the tsunami impact on the Calabria and Sicily
coasts out of Scilla. To this purpose we take into account the same landslide geometry considered in the previous study and
perform three tsunami simulations, one embracing a larger region with a 50-m computational grid, and two covering the
15 specific area of Capo Peloro, in Sicily, facing Scilla on the western side of the Messina Straits, with even higher resolution
(10 m mesh). **In this area, reconstructing the local morphology at the time of the tsunami occurrence allows to account for
the inundation of the small lake of Pantano Piccolo, event reported in historical records but not reproduced in the previous
numerical simulations. In general, the** results show a very good agreement with the observations in Capo Peloro. Moreover,
the resulting **regional** tsunami inundation pattern provides a useful hint for tsunami hazard assessment in the Messina Straits
20 area, which is known to be one of the most exposed to tsunami threat in Italy and in the Mediterranean Sea.

1 Introduction

The recent catastrophic tsunamis of Sumatra (2004), Japan (2011) and Sulawesi (2018) have raised the interest in such
natural phenomena worldwide, including Europe. In the Mediterranean Sea, they are known to be of smaller magnitude than
in the Pacific and in the Indian Oceans, but their effects can be as lethal, owing to the high coastal exposure and
25 vulnerability, constantly growing in the last decades as the result of an increased coastal occupation. This poses the need for
more detailed assessments of tsunami hazard and of the consequences of tsunami impact, which also implies the need for
more accurate numerical simulation tools.

In this framework, the reconstruction of historical events that affected significantly the coasts of the Mediterranean Sea is
very important since they can be used to test numerical simulation codes as well as for better estimates of the hazard and
30 risk. One of the most relevant cases in the Mediterranean, owing to the disastrous impact and the unusual availability of
plenty of very detailed observations, is the 6 February 1783 landslide-induced tsunami in Scilla. This tragic event, causing

the death of more than 1500 people, was the subject of **several** coeval reports, providing details on the devastating effects along the littoral but also on the coastal landslide that was the cause of the tsunami (see Graziani et al., 2006; Tinti et al., 2007; Gerardi et al., 2008). In a previous paper (Zaniboni et al., 2016) we investigated the tsunami impact close to the source area, that is in the beaches of the town of Scilla, Marina Grande and Chianalea, where most of the tsunami fatalities were counted, see Figure 1. This was accomplished through numerical simulations under the assumption that the causative landslide was purely subaerial.



10 **Figure 1. View of Scilla and its surroundings including the two main beaches of Marina Grande and Chianalea (see the position in the map of Italy on the left and in the zoom of the bottom left corner). The yellow contour delimits the landslide scar about 1 km west of the town. The red contour marks the submarine deposit observed in the geo-marine surveys (Bozzano et al., 2011; Casalbone et al., 2014).**

As reported by eyewitnesses, tsunami waves affected at least as much as 40 km of the Calabrian coast, the cape of Sicily facing Scilla, named Capo Peloro, and the north-east coast of Sicily, just south of Messina. In this paper, we carry out **three** tsunami simulations, one to cover coasts located farther away from the source, and **two** to study the inundation in the area of
15 Capo Peloro on the opposite side of the Messina Straits. To this purpose, we have performed a preliminary reconstruction of

the coeval coastal morphology and topography of Capo Peloro by using features and observations recovered from historical sources. Comparison between numerical results and observations will allow us to evaluate the quality of the simulations.

2. The 6 February 1783 landslide-tsunami

5 In the first months of 1783 a catastrophic seismic sequence, with at least 5 main events, hit the Calabria region (South Italy), provoking about 30,000 casualties and the destruction of over 200 villages (Guidoboni et al., 2007). Cascade effects were also reported, including tsunamis and diffuse landslide occurrences in the Apennines, that form the backbone of the region (Tinti and Piatanesi, 1996; Graziani et al., 2006; Porfido et al., 2011).

The most tragic event took place during the night of February 6th, in the town of Scilla, on the Tyrrhenian coast of Calabria (see map in Figure 1). The day before, one of the strongest earthquakes of the sequence caused severe destruction in the 10 village, **forcing** most of the population to find refuge in the wide beach of Marina Grande, west of the town. A strong aftershock in the night caused the collapse of the coastal flank of Mt Pacì in the sea, 1 km west of the Marina Grande beach. The ensuing tsunami killed more than 1500 people (Mercalli, 1906) and destroyed houses and churches (Tinti and Guidoboni, 1988; Graziani et al., 2006).

The huge death toll and the impression raised by the disaster induced the Bourbon government, ruling the region at that time, 15 to finance and arrange several ad-hoc surveys. Further, such a calamity was seen as a big challenge by the coeval international scientific community that was engaged in understanding the nature of earthquakes. Therefore, a number of additional investigations were carried out in the first years after the tragedy by scholars and travelers from different countries (see notes about the mainshock in Guidoboni et al., 2007). The overall result of these observations and research activities that is relevant for our study is that a description of the tsunami source and of the tsunami consequences was made available 20 for future generations (see the discussion in Zaniboni et al., 2016).

In this work, the attention is focused on the tsunami impact outside the surroundings of Scilla. The most relevant reported effects are listed in Table 1 and are mainly gathered from recent papers that quote historical sources (i.e. Graziani et al., 2006; Gerardi et al., 2008; the Italian tsunami catalog by Tinti et al., 2007). The last column includes, among others, the main coeval references. The affected locations are shown in Figure 2.

25 From Table 1, one can observe that the effects of the tsunamis were much less in the neighboring area than in Scilla. This is not surprising since it is typical of landslide-tsunamis to **attenuate** rapidly with distance (see for example Masson et al., 2006; Harbitz et al., 2013, and references therein). However, remarkably the waves were seen in Calabria from Nicotera (point 1, Figure 2), about 40 km north-east of Scilla, to Reggio Calabria (point 5, Figure 2), 20 km south-west. On the other side of the Messina Straits, the place closest to the source is the easternmost **part** of Sicily, that is Capo Peloro (point 6, Figure 2), 30 next to the village of Torre Faro (point 7). Here the tsunami was strong and disastrous. In addition to historical sources, the impact of high-energy tsunami waves in this area was confirmed by recent geological investigations carried out in a site

called Torre degli Inglesi (Capo Peloro, point 6), about 40 m far from the **modern** shoreline, where a 15 cm thick sand deposit in a trench layer sequence was attributed to the 1783 event (Pantosti et al., 2008).

5 **Table 1. Summary of pieces of evidence of the 6th February 1783 tsunami in Calabria and Sicily, with the exclusion of local effects in the area of Scilla. For geographic locations, see Figure 2.**

Region	n.	Toponym	Description of the effects	Inundation distance (m)	Run-Up (m)	References
Calabria	1	Nicotera	The sea withdrew and then inundated the beach carrying some fishing boats onshore.			<i>De Leone, 1783</i>
	2	Bagnara	Affected by the inundation.			<i>Minasi, 1785</i> <i>De Lorenzo, 1877</i>
	3	Cannitello	Affected by the inundation.	50	2.9	<i>Minasi, 1785</i> <i>De Lorenzo, 1877</i>
	4	Punta del Pezzo	Sea covered the beach by one and a half mile, leaving sand on the ground.			<i>Sarconi, 1784</i>
	5	Reggio Calabria	The sea inundated the shore carrying a lot of heavy material.	80	3.2	<i>Torcia, 1783</i> <i>De Leone, 1783</i>
Sicily	6	Capo Peloro	Flooding affected cultivated fields close to the small lake called Pantano Piccolo. Small houses, people and animals were carried seaward. Tsunami deposits identified at Torre degli Inglesi.	>400	6	<i>Augusti, 1783</i> <i>Torcia, 1783</i> <i>Gallo, 1784</i> <i>Pantosti et al., 2008</i>
	7	Torre Faro	Tsunami waves flooded the shore, depositing a large amount of silt and a lot of dead fish. Some boats were carried seaward. 26 people drowned.			<i>Sarconi, 1784</i> <i>Torcia, 1783</i> <i>Vivenzio, 1788</i>
	8	Messina	The sea was seen to rise and to noisily inundate the coast. Waves were also quite relevant at the headlight. Sea level rising by about 2 m, reached the fish market, killing 28 people.	50	2	<i>Minasi, 1785</i> <i>Vivenzio, 1783</i> <i>Spallanzani, 1795</i>




Figure 2. Toponyms reported in Table 1 (yellow circles). The area swept by the 1783 landslide is delimited in red.

3. Numerical Methods

The numerical procedure and techniques used in this paper were developed by some of the authors and presented in previous applications (see e.g. Tinti et al., 2011; Argnani et al., 2012; Zaniboni et al., 2013; Ceramicola et al., 2014; Zaniboni et al., 2014a, 2014b, 2014c; Zaniboni et al., 2016). Therefore, only a brief description will be given here, since the reader can refer to the quoted papers.

3.1 The landslide motion

The numerical code to simulate the landslide motion, UBO-BLOCK1, adopts a Lagrangian approach. The mass is partitioned into a chain of contiguous blocks, and their centers of mass (CoM) are taken as representative points of the landslide. Blocks are allowed to change shape (length, width, and height, the last one influencing strongly tsunami generation) depending on material rheology, while the total volume is conserved. Bulk (gravity, buoyancy) and surface (bottom friction, drag) forces acting on the blocks are accounted for, together with a block-block interaction governed by some parameters that regulate the sliding behavior during the descent. More details can be found in the aforementioned applications, and in the original description given in Tinti et al. (1997).

3.2 Tsunami generation and propagation

The propagation of the waves induced by the landslide moving underwater is modeled through the Navier-Stokes equations in the nonlinear shallow-water approximation and is solved by the code UBO-TSUFDF by means of a finite-difference scheme over a regular grid covering the domain of interest. An intermediate code, UBO-TSUIMP, provides a mapping between the Lagrangian and Eulerian grids (used respectively by the codes UBO-BLOCK1 and UBO-TSUFDF). Furthermore, it computes the instantaneous tsunamigenic impulse transferring the sea bottom perturbation due to the landslide to the sea surface by means of an appropriate filter function. This term, time-dependent, is included in the hydrodynamic equations as a source term. The tsunami simulation code accounts also for coastal inundation. This is accomplished by adopting the moving boundary technique (the instantaneous shoreline being identified as the dynamic boundary between wet and dry cells), and by using a two-flow grid nesting scheme where a higher resolution is needed. This is usually the case in near-shore regions to obtain accurate inundation results. An extended description of the model UBO-TSUFDF can be found in Tinti and Tonini (2013).

4. The landslide simulation

4.1 Scenario reconstruction

The surveys carried out soon after the 1783 event described a wide scar along the Mt. Pacì flank, 1 km west of Scilla, that is still visible today (see Figure 1, yellow contour). Minasi (1785) reported a mass failure starting from 425 m a.s.l. and a landslide front penetrating 100 m into the sea. A later source (De Lorenzo, 1895) mentioned a bigger mass with a larger front (up to 2 km), but a similar offshore mass penetration.

Modern studies performed by means of geophysical surveys and numerical modeling seem to reinforce the hypothesis of a purely subaerial event, though some elements suggest the possibility of a subaerial-submarine collapse. Interpreting land and submarine survey data, Bosman et al. (2006) proved that the scar continues underwater, at least down to 100 m depth. This feature is reported also in Casalbore et al. (2014) and can be seen as evidence that the landslide involved also a submarine failure. However, it can alternatively be interpreted as the effect of the erosion of the sliding surface by the motion of a purely subaerial mass, which is the picture we adopted in the previous paper (Zaniboni et al., 2016) and we maintain herein.

Further, it is worth mentioning that Bozzano et al. (2011) applied a stress-strain numerical model to evaluate the response of the Mt. Pacì slope to the shaking of the 5th and 6th February earthquakes and concluded that, though the slide scar admittedly extends underwater, the tsunamigenic source was a purely subaerial collapse, with toe located at about 150 m a.s.l., involving a volume of 5.4 million m³. They commented that the extension of the scar beyond the initial slide foot might be explained in two ways: either it was the effect of the already cited erosion, produced by the sliding material on the soft surface sediments nearshore, or it was due to a minor submarine failure that occurred before the main slide. Further, Mazzanti and Bozzano, (2011) using an oversimplified single-block landslide model simulated the subaerial tsunami

scenario. Avolio et al. (2009) used cellular automata to simulate subaerial and submarine landslide dynamics, without paying attention to the generated tsunami.

As regards the landslide deposit offshore, it is sufficient to mention that various submarine surveys found an underwater blocky deposit at about 300 m b.s.l. (see Figure 1, dashed red contour), located less than 2 km far from the coast, and associated it to the 1783 slide. The area covered by the deposit is around 700,000 m² and can be delimited with a certain degree of accuracy (see Mazzanti and Bozzano, 2011). The total volume is, however, difficult to assess. According to Bozzano et al. (2011), it is compatible with the subaerial scenario landslide; instead, Casalbore et al. (2014) estimated it in the range of 6-8 million m³.

For the sake of completeness, we mention also that the 1783 Scilla landslide evolution has been the object of a recent application (Wang et al., 2019), where the same subaerial slide scenario has been investigated mainly to test a new numerical method to compute the landslide motion based on a Eulerian fluid solver, using a second-order central scheme with a minmod-like limiter: in the paper the final deposit was used as a constraint to test the accuracy of the landslide simulations, with no attention given to the generated tsunami.

4.2 Results and discussion

In this paper, we make use of the same landslide simulation illustrated by Zaniboni et al. (2016). It is therefore sufficient to synthesize here only the main features of the landslide motion as reported in Figure 3.

The sliding body (upper panel, in blue), reconstructed by considering that the crown is placed at about 400 m a.s.l. and the toe is close to the coastline, results into a subaerial volume of over 6 million m³, with a maximum thickness of about 100 m in the central part. The main parameter governing the motion is the friction coefficient: **in view of the comparison between the final simulated position and the observed deposit boundary, the back-analysis** provided the values 0.23 and 0.06 for the subaerial and underwater portions of the slide motion respectively. The red profile in the upper panel of Figure 3 shows the simulated deposit at about 300 m depth.

The middle and lower panels of Figure 3 show the acceleration and velocity of the CoM of the landslide blocks (black dots) as a function of the distance along the profile, together with the average values (green line). Observe that the initial positive acceleration phase, with values around 3 m/s², is limited to the subaerial part of the motion (the first 700 m of the profile approximately), while, once underwater, the acceleration adjusts around slightly negative constant values, entailing a slow uniform deceleration phase. This is confirmed by the velocity profile: the average velocity peak is reached just before the entrance of the mass into the water. Notice also that all the CoMs have a similar velocity evolution with distance. The mass has an initial length of around 700 m. For most blocks, the runout is about 2 km, with the exception of the frontal one that travels more than 2.2 km, which results in a lengthening of the slide by about 200 m




Figure 3. Scilla 1783 slide simulation by means of the code UBO-BLOCK1. Upper Panel: profile of the initial sliding body (in blue) and of the final simulated deposit at about 300 m depth (in red) over the undisturbed sliding surface (grey area). In light blue the sea level. Middle panel: acceleration of the individual CoMs (black circles) and of the CoM of the whole slide (green line) vs. distance along the sliding profile. Lower panel: CoM velocities (black dots) and average velocity (green line) plotted on the sliding track distance.

5. Tsunami propagation and impact on the coast

The first step of tsunami simulations is the assemblage of the computational grids, the area covered and the adopted grid resolution depending deeply on the goal of the simulation. In general, the higher the grid resolution, the more accurate are the results, but also the heavier is the related computational effort. The tsunami simulations in Zaniboni et al. (2016) were concentrated in the town of Scilla, and the extent of the very local regular mesh was of a few kilometers in length and width with 10-m spaced nodes (see Grid 1, in green, Figure 4).

In this work, our purpose is two-fold, namely: i) to compute tsunami propagation on a wider area, involving tens of km of Calabria and Sicily coasts, and ii) to compute detailed inundation in the specific area of Capo Peloro, located in front of Scilla. For the first goal, we have built a lower resolution grid (50-m space step) denoted in Figure 4 in red as Grid 2, by using three different databases: SRTM for topography (about 90 m spaced, Jarvis et al., 2008); EMODnet DTM, in its 2018 version, providing bathymetry every ~160 m; and the nautical chart N.138 from Istituto Idrografico della Marina. For this latter case, we have digitized isolines with the aim of characterizing better the shallow water area, not adequately described by previous datasets. The resulting computational mesh covers an area of 30x27 km². As concerns the Calabria coast, it runs

from Bagnara, 10 km west of Scilla to Reggio Calabria, about 20 km south-westward. Regarding Sicily, the grid includes the city of Messina and 7-8 kilometers of coast southward as well as a piece of the northern coast facing the Tyrrhenian Sea by an extension of at least 10 km.

The choice of Capo Peloro as the target area for the grid resolution enhancement will be justified later, in the discussion of the simulation results obtained on the coarser domain. Figure 4 illustrates the extent of Grid 3 and 4 (how they differ from one another will be explained later as well) in blue, that are actually a westward extension of Grid 1 with the same spatial resolution (10 m).

Before discussing the simulation results, it is worth providing some terminological specifications: with “wave height” or “wave elevation” offshore, we mean the difference between the offshore water top and the mean sea level; the “flow depth” is the height of the water with respect to the ground, onshore; consequently, the onshore “wave height” is the sum of flow depth and local topographic level; final, with “runup” and “inundation distance” we denote the maximum onshore ground elevation and the maximum onshore penetration (approximately normal to the shore) reached by the tsunami, respectively.




Figure 4. Computational grids adopted for numerical simulations of tsunami propagation: Grid 1 (green) was used for the tsunami simulations by Zaniboni et al. (2016); Grid 2 (in red) has been used in the first simulation of this paper; Grid 3 and 4 (in blue) are an extension of Grid 1 covering the target area of Capo Peloro.

5.1 Simulation over the 50 m-spaced grid

Figure 5 shows the maximum water elevation, obtained by considering the maximum value of the sea surface height reached during the simulation time span in each node of Grid 2. This category of plots provides a general spatial pattern of the tsunami energy distribution that, in the intermediate and far-field depends more on the bathymetry than on the source type.

5 Therefore, it allows one to distinguish the areas that are more prone to tsunami attacks.

The area close to the slide shows, as expected, the highest water elevations with more than 8 m in Scilla and waves at least 4 m high (in red) affecting the surroundings coast for about 6 km. The wave height distribution illustrated in Figure 5 provides also indications on the extension of the coast affected by 1.5 to 2 m (in yellow), and 1 m maximum wave (in green), resulting in approximately 10 km and 20 km respectively along the Calabrian coasts. South-westward, in the Messina Strait, the

10 maximum height reaches 0.5 m.




Figure 5. Maximum tsunami elevation, computed for each point of Grid 2. Numbers represent the positions of the available observations (see Table 1). The respective toponyms are reported in the legend together with the observed inundation distance (I) and runup (R). The blue dashed rectangle marks the limits of the 10 m resolution Grids 3 and 4, zooming on the area of Capo Peloro, that differ from one another only for a small part of their topography. The purple boundary delimits the sliding surface of the 1783 Scilla landslide.

Moving to the coast of Sicily, especially north of the Messina Strait, some significant features are observed. First, a strong tsunami energy concentration can be noted towards the easternmost end of Sicily, named Capo Peloro, where waves higher

than 6 m hit the coastline. Along the Tyrrhenian coast of Sicily other tsunami beams are clearly visible (in green, meaning at least 1 m height), the most interesting of which is the western one, affecting the coastal area close to the small village of San Saba. Overall, the simulation confirms the generally known feature that for landslide-tsunamis the wave height decays rapidly with distance.

5 When comparing the simulation results with the observed effects a general underestimation can be noticed: for example, in point 3 (Cannitello) of the map of Figure 5 a runup of 2.9 m has been reported, higher than the 1 m maximum height obtained in the simulation. The same holds for Messina (#8) and Reggio Calabria (#5), the most important towns in the Messina Strait, where the difference is by far bigger. Only the area of Capo Peloro (#6 and #7) seems to fit the observations. Such discrepancies can be ascribed to the low resolution of the computational domain (50 meters) not allowing one to
10 describe properly the coastal zone and all the non-linear effects prevailing in shallow water, such as the inland inundation. Further, low-resolution simulations often cannot account for tsunami wave amplification caused by resonances induced inside coastal basins, such as harbors (see for example Dong et al., 2010; Vela et al., 2010). This latter can explain, for example, the underestimations of the observations reported in Messina and Reggio Calabria.

These considerations push to the use of a more detailed computational grid for the sites of major interest, suggesting that the
15 optimal approach is: 1) to assess the general tsunami energy distribution in the first run of simulations carried out in a wider low-resolution domain 2) to pick up the areas most exposed to tsunami attack (e.g. areas hit by energy beams) to perform higher-resolution investigations. With this approach in mind, the focus has been moved to the area enclosed in the blue-dashed rectangle of Figure 5, including Capo Peloro, where most of the devastating effects of the tsunami outside the source zone were reported (see Table 1, #6 and #7).

20 **5.2 First tsunami simulation in the Capo Peloro area**

An additional computational grid (Grid 3) has then been built to simulate the tsunami propagation and inundation in this zone.

In this application, actually, due to the proximity of the landslide to the target area, the choice was to build Grid 3 by extending westward the high-resolution grid (Grid 1, 10 m node spacing) used by Zaniboni et al. (2016) for the simulation of
25 the local tsunami effects in Scilla. The relevant data for the Sicilian region was provided by the Civil Protection Department of Sicily and retrieved from the regional cartography service, SITR (Sistema Informativo Territoriale Regionale) in the form of a DTM describing the present topography of the coastal zone with high detail. As for bathymetric data, they have been retrieved from the same sources used for Grid 2. Grid 3 covers an area of 12.5 km (E-W) by 4 km (N-S), for a total of more than 500,000 nodes. As can be noticed from the map in Figure 6, the Capo Peloro presents a morphology that is radically
30 different from the Calabrian coasts. In Calabria coasts are steep, rapidly ascending to 400 m a.s.l., while in the area of Capo Peloro a wide lowland is found, extending for about 2x1 km with elevation in the order of 1 to 5 meters. This area is now densely inhabited, with many houses facing the sea especially along the southern coast. Another interesting feature is the presence of two brackish lakes, called Ganzirri Lake and Pantano Piccolo (also known as Faro Lake), characterized by 6 m

and 29 m water depth respectively. They are connected to the sea (and to each other) by narrow channels, built under the English authority at the beginning of XIX century (Leonardi et al., 2009; Manganaro et al., 2011; Ferrarin et al., 2013). One of the most relevant observations reported in the historical reconstruction of the tsunami effects is that the basin of Pantano Piccolo was reached by the sea water in 1783 (Minasi, 1785). This feature should be considered in the evaluation of the simulated tsunami accuracy.





Figure 6. Area covered by Grids 3 and 4 employed to simulate the impact of the 1783 tsunami in Capo Peloro, the easternmost point of Sicily. The magenta contour delimits the strip swept by the landslide.

Figure 7 shows different frames of the tsunami propagation simulated by means of the code UBO-TSUFDF, starting from the snapshot taken at 80 seconds. It is worth pointing out that the origin time coincides with the landslide motion initiation and recalling that, according to our simulation, the slide enters the sea between 10 and 30 seconds, and settles at the final position around 110 s (see Zaniboni et al., 2016, for the accurate description of these results).

The landslide-generated wave heads towards Capo Peloro with a strong positive front 5 m high, directly originated by the slide entering the sea and characterized by an almost circular shape when moving in deep water. At $t=100$ s the wave begins changing form, due to the interaction with the platform characterizing the seabed east of Capo Peloro. When meeting shallow water, the tsunami is intensely decelerated and begins to deform. Frames at 100 s, 110 s and 120 s display the subdivision of the wave into two fronts, north and south of the Capo Peloro end, attacking the coast obliquely. At 140 s the southern coast of the cape is reached by the tsunami, that floods the mainland by some tens of meters, and then inundates the other parts. In the eastern extreme the water penetration is maximum, reaching 600-700 m distance (as visible from the 200 s frame). At the same time, the northern branch of the tsunami reaches the coastline with a positive 2 m high front that tends to align to a direction parallel to the shoreline. The following oscillations constituting the train of waves (already visible behind

the tsunami front in the first snapshots) do not produce relevant effects on the coast, apart from the cape, where a second relevant positive signal, exceeding 2 m, can be noticed at $t=240$ s.




5

Figure 7. Propagation frames for the 1783 Scilla landslide-tsunami in the target area of Capo Peloro, eastern Sicily.

The maximum water elevation for each node of the western portion of Grid 3 is illustrated in Figure 8. Some of the features already observed in the 50 m grid (#2, see Figure 5) are confirmed, such as the maximum wave height concentration in the easternmost zone of Capo Peloro. Here, in correspondence with the edifice named Torre degli Inglesi (“English Tower”, referring to a building realized during the English domination, marked in black in Figure 8), some tsunami deposits were recognized and associated to the 1783 event (Pantosti et al., 2008). The simulation shows exactly for this spot the maximum water elevation, exceeding 6 m, and the maximum inland penetration, more than 700 m. Furthermore, here one can notice the main discrepancy between the low-resolution Grid 2 and the high-resolution Grid 3 simulations: in the former case, only

a few inland cells (purple in Figure 8) are inundated (corresponding to an inundation distance of 150 m), while in the latter the inland penetration is by far larger. For the remaining coastal stretch, the water flooding is limited to some tens of meters or less, showing little differences between the two simulations. It is very relevant to stress that, concerning the small lake of Pantano Piccolo, **both** simulations exclude that it is reached by the tsunami, contrary to what reported in the historical reconstructions.



10 Figure 8. Maximum water inundation in Capo Peloro for the high-resolution Grid 3 (western portion). The **black** squares mark the cells inundated in the simulation with the low-resolution Grid 2. The **purple** symbols represent the positions of historical buildings: Torre degli Inglesi, the site where tsunami deposits were recognized and associated with the 1783 event (Pantosti et al., 2008); Torre Bianca, partially **buried** by sand deposits (Bottari and Carveni, 2009).

6. Capo Peloro: morphology reconsideration


The transition from Grid 2 to Grid 3 produced interesting results and precious insights on the tsunami effects in the target area. Yet, as already noted, one of the most relevant features (i.e. the inundation of the lake of Pantano Piccolo) was not reproduced, nor the uncertainties naturally connected with the simulation of natural events like landslides and tsunamis **can be invoked**. Indeed, to generate a tsunami able to penetrate further the flat area beyond Torre degli Inglesi and to cover the distance of more than 2 km separating this from the lake, a much larger landslide should be needed, which is against the

geological evidence. Another possibility would be that the tsunami finds a penetration way from other directions, for example from the north. In fact, the strip of land between the basin and the sea is narrow (around 150 m), *and* is characterized by 3 to 5-meter topography, again really unlikely to be overrun by the simulated tsunami.

5 A possible alternative solution comes from the considerations on the coastal morphology of this area. In a historical study of this zone, Randazzo et al. (2014) gave evidence of the strong variability of the coastal stretch around Capo Peloro, due to the action of atmospheric agents. The dataset used to build Grid 3 refers to the present ground level, that can have experienced great changes since the 1783 event. **Based** on the historical investigations by Bottari et al. (2006) and Bottari and Carveni (2009), we know that in Pantano Piccolo some hundreds of ships took shelter during the early Roman period (5th century B.C.), and that probably also a small harbor existed, meaning the presence of direct access from the sea. In the following
10 centuries the channel was filled with sediments, but a look at the topography clearly shows that the lower ground area starts from the north-eastern corner of the lake (as evidenced also by the modern 2-m isoline taken from Bottari et al, 2006), and is the best candidate for the channel that was connecting the lake to the sea more than two thousand years ago. Currently, the access is closed by a 3-4 m sand dune on the coast, that can be reasonably associated to progressive sedimentation due to wind and storms and removed, in order to reconstruct a tentative 1783 morphology of the area.


15 Another element that needs to be considered is the existence, on the narrow coastal stretch separating Pantano Piccolo from the Tyrrhenian Sea, of a partially buried tower named Torre Bianca (“White Tower”, known also as “Mazzone”, see Figures 8 and 9 for location), that now is filled with sand up to the first floor (Bottari and Carveni, 2009). Yet in the XVIII century, this building served as a storehouse, so probably fully available and unburied, meaning that the previously cited assumption of removing 3-4 m of sediments (corresponding to the average height of one floor) in this area is perfectly reasonable.

20 Keeping all these considerations in mind, a rearrangement of Grid 3 has been done resulting in a new mesh, the Grid 4, with the same spatial extension. Figure 9 **shows** in the upper panel a shaded-relief map of the present topography and bathymetry, and the corrections that have been done to reconstruct the likely morphology of 1783. Notice again that in Figure 9 only the western portions of Grid 3 and 4, covering the target area, are reported, since the source area remained unchanged. Such reconstruction is based on hypotheses and some evidence. For example, the two small channels now connecting Pantano
25 Piccolo to the sea did not exist in 1783, since they were excavated during the following English domination. For this reason, they have been “filled” in Grid 4. **The most relevant changes, regarding the area between the north-east corner of Pantano Piccolo and the Torre Bianca site, is the removal of 1 to 5 m of surface ground layer.** The highest amount of “digging” is located close to the coast, where the sand dune currently closing the possible access of water (and presumably filling the first floor of Torre Bianca) has been leveled. Morphological changes in other areas of the cape are much less realistic since they
30 would entail lowering of high topography that is less intensely affected by natural agents such as storms, winds or sea waves.



5 Figure 9. Upper panel: Shaded-relief map of the current topography of Capo Peloro, with 2-m isoline (red-dashed line) obtained from Bottari et al. (2006) showing the most likely connection between the lake and the sea, just east of Torre Bianca. The corrections are shown in green-blue when negative (meaning “digging” with respect to the present ground level) and in yellow-red when positive, meaning increased ground elevation. Lower panel: Contour plot of Grid 4, with the low topography from the north-eastern corner of the lake to the sea. In green, the new 2-m isoline is evidenced. With the corrected topo-bathymetry we have built the Grid 4 that has the same areal coverage as Grid 3.

The tsunami simulation in Grid 4 coincides with the simulation in Grid 3 for the first 200 seconds, as is shown in Figure 10. The main differences start from the 220 s frame, when the coastal area east of Torre Bianca begins to be inundated. The following frames show that the water reaches more than 1 m height, channeling through the modified area and reaching the lake between 240 and 260 s. After that, a small positive wave propagating inside the basin can be noticed, 10 to 20 cm high, with also some reflections. The adopted correction to the topography, then, facilitates the tsunami flooding of the Pantano Piccolo lake.




10 **Figure 10. Propagation frames of the 1783 landslide-generated tsunami on the western part of Grid 4. Notice the water propagation inside the lake.**

The map with maximum water elevation (Figure 11, upper panel) is analogous to the one of Figure 8. The main difference comes from the modified zone, where the water climbs up to 2.5 m close to the coast and 1 m just before entering the lake. Inside the basin, the maximum wave elevation reaches 40 cm in the western part, but less in the central area, that is deeper.

15 The lower panel displays the height of the water column characterizing the flood, known usually as flow depth. The plot

shows that the northern coast is affected by higher flow depth, reaching almost everywhere 2 m, with the maximum located at the Torre degli Inglesi (more than 5 m). The flood, just before entering Pantano, is characterized by a water column of about half a meter.



5

Figure 11. Upper panel: Maximum water elevation for the western part of Grid 4. Notice that tsunami penetrates also into the lake. Lower panel: Maximum flow depth for each topographic node.

7. General discussion

In this paper we have performed a deep analysis of the 1783 tsunami extending the results of a previous study where attention was restricted to the area of the highest impact, that is Scilla and surroundings. Here, we have simulated the tsunami in a broader region and we can draw the following remarks. An interesting, yet unexpected feature, we found is the strong tsunami beam reaching the village of San Saba, located along the northern coast of Sicily, 10 km westward (see Figure 5). There is no historical account of a tsunami impact here. But this can be easily explained by considering that probably the tsunami affected only the beach and passed unobserved. As for the Calabria coast, we observe that the simulated wave height rapidly decreases with distance from the tsunami origin, as expected for landslide-induced tsunami events. In addition to the positive results highlighted above, we have also to point out, as a drawback, that in the region covered by the 50-m resolution grid (Grid 2), a general underestimation of the observed wave height is noted, which has to be ascribed to an insufficient description of the local, shallow bathymetry and of the coastal topography features. The conclusion is that 50-m computational domain is suitable to assess the general pattern of the tsunami energy distribution, highlighting the areas where the highest waves concentrate, while is inadequate to provide good coastal tsunami data for the 1783 case.

The area presenting the highest interest is Capo Peloro, the easternmost cape of Sicily, where the most damaging effects besides Scilla were recorded, including a deep tsunami penetration and the death of 26 persons, that is the only life toll of the tsunami away from the source. Thus, this zone has been selected as a good target for a grid refinement. A higher resolution computational domain (Grid 3, 10-m spaced) has been then assembled, based on the present morphology. The tsunami simulation on Grid 3 shows that the inundation distance in the area of maximum impact changes radically, passing from 150 m found for Grid 2 to more than 600 m (Figure 8), in full agreement with the observations. This feature clearly shows the improvement brought by the computational grid adjustment.

However, the tsunami does not reach the Pantano Piccolo lake, which contrasts sound historical accounts (see Minasi, 1785). This misfit calls for simulation changes that can be done with three possible options: i) to produce a stronger tsunami; ii) to use a better resolution; iii) to change the basic topo-bathymetry dataset, especially in the target area. We have excluded option 1, that is to use Grid 3 and to produce a stronger tsunami by using a larger volume landslide, since the landslide geometry is well constrained by geological considerations on the scar morphology and by the identified offshore deposit, and also by the good agreement with observations of the tsunami run-up height in the Scilla beaches computed through Grid 1 (see Zaniboni et al., 2016). We have further ruled out also option 2, that is to use grid spacing finer than 10 m, since this resolution was enough for the target area, as mentioned before, and also because it was enough to fit the 600-m observed inundation of Capo Peloro with Grid 3. So the only left option was to keep the same resolution and to build another set, Grid 4, that is based on opening a way to the tsunami access through an ancient channel that was a pathway to an inner well-protected harbor in ancient Roman times, and that is presently filled with sediments and obstructed by a coastal sand dune (Figure 9). The signature of this channel is still recognizable today in a linear topographic depression (only 2 m high a.s.l.)

going from the north-eastern corner of the lake to the sea. We believe that Grid 4, allowing the tsunami to reach the lake, represents reasonably well the Capo Peloro topography at the time of the 1783 tsunami occurrence, also based on the existence of Torre Bianca building, whose present status reinforces the plausibility of sand deposit removal along the coast. The tsunami reached the lake through the channel either because there was no coastal dune, as assumed in Grid 4, or because
5 the dune was lower than today and was overcome and/or demolished by the incoming tsunami.

8. Conclusions

The work presented here is the natural extension of the Zaniboni et al. (2016) paper, where the initial sliding mass (subaerial) was reconstructed, its descent along the Mt. Pacì flank and its immersion in the sea were simulated, and the effects of the generated wave on Marina Grande beach of Scilla (where most of damages and casualties resulted) were assessed. The
10 tsunami simulations were performed in a high-resolution (10 m) grid, covering the town of Scilla (as depicted in Figure 4). Encouraged by the very satisfactory results obtained, well-fitting the detailed historical reports, in this work we have applied the same numerical codes to extend the study of the tsunami to a wider scale.

This has been done by means of additional simulations: one in a wider domain, covering a larger portion of Calabria and the north-eastern Sicily coasts, characterized by a lower resolution (50 m node spacing) and two over a high-resolution domain
15 (10-m spacing), embracing Capo Peloro, where the present topo-bathymetry (Grid 3) and a reconstructed topo-bathymetry (Grid 4) have been used to investigate tsunami flooding.

The simulation over a wider domain provides interesting hints on the tsunami energy distribution on the regional scale, heading mainly towards Capo Peloro, where tsunami effects were strong, according to historical records and study of tsunami deposits. The focus on some areas of interest, realized with grid refinement, allows one to obtain a better fit with the
20 observations. In this particular case, the adjustment of Capo Peloro morphology was necessary to account for the inundation of the small basin of Pantano Piccolo.

This paper together with the previous paper by Zaniboni et al. (2016) provides a good reconstruction of the 1783 landslide-induced event, respecting the main available geological constraints and fitting the tsunami observations in those places where the tsunami was most lethal, namely the areas of Scilla and Capo Peloro (see simulations in Grids 1 and 4).

25 Still, some level for improvement remains for fitting observations in places covered by Grid 2, which means that probably local high-resolution grids have to be nested, to handle areas where a better agreement has to be searched, as for example in the harbors of Messina and Reggio Calabria. Here probably local effects such as eigenmode resonance strengthened the tsunami waves. But this will be left to further analyses.

A final consideration regards the area of Capo Peloro, that is densely populated with a flat inland topography, and placed in a
30 region with many potential tsunami sources around, either due to earthquakes or to coastal landslides. Hence it needs a particular attention in terms of hazard, vulnerability and risk assessments. This paper, through the simulation carried out on Grid 4, has shown the effect of coastal channels as elements favoring tsunami penetration. Since there are today active

channels connecting the lake of Pantano Piccolo and Ganzirri to the waters of the Messina Straits, their role should be explored and taken into account when planning and **designing** civil protection measures.

Authors' Contribution

5 Filippo Zaniboni reconstructed the landslide scenario, performed the landslide simulation, arranged the tsunami computational grids, prepared the initial draft of the paper and managed the following phases of publication; Gianluca Pagnoni who tests and maintains the tsunami simulation code, helped prepare the tsunami computational grid and contributed to the paper improvement; Glauco Gallotti contributed to the landslide scenario definition and to the paper review and editing; Maria Ausilia Paparo retrieved relevant information on historical reports reconstructing the tsunami effects and contributed to the landslide scenario definition; Alberto Armigliato contributed to computational grid preparation and revised the paper; Stefano Tinti supervised the whole work and reviewed the paper drafts.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

15 The authors are indebted to the Civil Protection Department of the Sicily Region, in the persons of Arch. Giuseppe Marziano and Arch. Biagio Bellassai, for providing the detailed DTM of the eastern Sicily coast; to Dr. Roberto Basili and Dr. Paolo Marco De Martini (INGV, Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Rome, Italy) for fruitful interactions and discussions about Capo Peloro morphology and tsunami deposit evidence. **The authors greatly appreciated the contributions of the referees, Dr. Marinos Charalampakis, Dr. Amos Salamon, and a third anonymous one, who helped improve our manuscript with accurate constructive remarks.**

References

- 20 Argnani, A., Armigliato, A., Pagnoni, G., Zaniboni, F., Tinti, S., and Bonazzi, C.: Active tectonics along the submarine slope of south-eastern Sicily and the source of the 11 January 1693 earthquake and tsunamis, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 12, 1311–1319, www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci.net/12/1311/2012/, doi: 10.5194/nhess-12-1311-2012, 2012.
- 25 Augusti, M.: Dei terremoti di Messina e di Calabria dell'anno 1783, memorie e riflessioni, Bologna (in Italian), 1783.

- Avolio, M.V., Lupiano, V., Mazzanti, P., and Di Gregorio, S.: A Cellular Automata Model for Flow-like Landslides with Numerical Simulations of Subaerial and Subaqueous Cases. *EnviroInfo 2009* (Berlin), Environmental Informatics and Industrial Environmental Protection: Concepts, Methods and Tools. Copyright © Shaker Verlag 2009. ISBN: 978-3-8322-8397-1, 2009.
- 5
- Bosman, A., Bozzano, F., Chiocci, F.L., and Mazzanti, P.: The 1783 Scilla tsunamis: evidences of a submarine landslide as a possible (con?)cause. *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, Vol. 8, 10558, 2006.
- Bottari, A., Bottari, C., Carveni, P.: Evidenze dell'antico portus pelori da analisi paleotopografiche della penisola di Capo Peloro (Sicilia Nord-Orientale). *Il Quaternario, Italian Journal of Quaternary Sciences*, 19(1), 2006 - 167-174, 2006.
- 10
- Bottari, C., Carveni, P.: Archaeological and historiographical implications of recent uplift of the Peloro Peninsula, NE Sicily. *Quaternary Research* 72 (2009) 38–46. doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2009.03.004, 2009.
- 15
- Bozzano, F., Lenti, L., Martino, S., Montagna, A., and Paciello A.: Earthquake triggering of landslides in highly jointed rock masses: Reconstruction of the 1783 Scilla rock avalanche (Italy), *Geomorphology*, 129, 294-308, 2011.
- Casalbore, D., Bosman, A., Ridente, D., and Chiocci F.L.: Coastal and Submarine Landslides in the Tectonically-Active Tyrrhenian Calabrian Margin (Southern Italy): Examples and Geohazard Implications, In: Krastel S. et al. (eds) *Submarine Mass Movements and Their Consequences, Advances in Natural and Technological Hazards Research*, vol 37. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- 20
- Ceramicola, S., Tinti, S., Zaniboni, F., Praeg, D., Planinsek, P., Pagnoni, G., and Forlin, E.: Reconstruction and tsunami modeling of a submarine landslide on the Ionian margin of Calabria (Mediterranean Sea), K. Sassa, P. Canuti, Y. Yin (eds.), *Landslide Science for a Safer Geoenvironment*, Vol. 3, pp. 557-562, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-04996-0_85, © Springer International Publishing Switzerland, 2014.
- 25
- De Leone, A.: *Giornale e notizie de' tremuoti accaduti l'anno 1783 nella provincia di Catanzaro*, Napoli, (in Italian), 1783.
- 30
- De Lorenzo, A.: *Memorie da servire alla storia sacra e civile di Reggio e delle Calabrie. Cronache e Documenti inediti o rari*, Vol. I, Reggio Calabria (in Italian), 1877.
- De Lorenzo, A.: *Un secondo manipolo di monografie e memorie reggine e calabresi*, Siena (in Italian), 1895.

Dong, G., Wang, G., Ma, X., and Ma, Y.: Harbor resonance induced by subaerial landslide-generated impact waves, *Ocean Engineering*, 37, 927–934, 2010.

5 **EMODnet Bathymetry Consortium (2018): EMODnet Digital Bathymetry (DTM). <http://doi.org/10.12770/18ff0d48-b203-4a65-94a9-5fd8b0ec35f6>**

Ferrarin, C., Bergamasco, A., Umgiesser, G., Cucco, A.: Hydrodynamics and spatial zonation of the Capo Peloro coastal system (Sicily) through 3-D numerical modeling. *Journal of Marine Systems* 117–118 (2013) 96–107. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2013.02.005, 2013.

10

Gallo, A.: Lettera storico-fisica de' terremoti accaduti in Messina nel mese di febbraio di quest'anno 1783, Messina, 8 marzo 1783, Bologna (in Italian), 1784.

15 Gerardi, F., Barbano, M. S., De Martini, P. M., and Pantosti, D: Discrimination of Tsunami Sources (Earthquake versus Landslide) on the Basis of Historical Data in Eastern Sicily and Southern Calabria, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, Vol. 98, No. 6, pp. 2795–2805, doi: 10.1785/0120070192, 2008.

Graziani, L., Maramai, A., and Tinti, S.: A revision of the 1783–1784 Calabrian (southern Italy) tsunamis, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 6, 1053–1060, 2006.

20

Guidoboni, E., Ferrari, G., Mariotti, D., Comastri, A., Tarabusi, G., and Valensise, G.: CFTI4Med, Catalogue of Strong Earthquakes in Italy (461 B.C.-1997) and Mediterranean Area (760 B.C.-1500). INGV-SGA. <http://storing.ingv.it/cfti4med/>, 2007.

25 Harbitz, C.B., Løvholt, F., and Bungum H.: Submarine landslide tsunamis: how extreme and how likely?, *Nat Hazards* (2014) 72:1341–1374, DOI 10.1007/s11069-013-0681-3, 2013.

Jarvis, A., Reuter, H.I., Nelson, A., Guevara, E.: Hole-filled seamless SRTM data V4, International Centre for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), available from <http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org>, 2008.

30

Leonardi, M., Azzaro, F., Azzaro, M., Caruso, G., Mancuso, M., Monticelli, L.S., Maimone, G., La Ferla, R., Raffa, F., Zaccone, R.: A multidisciplinary study of the Cape Peloro brackish area (Messina, Italy): characterisation of trophic conditions, microbial abundances and activities. *Marine Ecology* 30 (Suppl. 1), 33–42. DOI: 10.1111/j.1439-0485.2009.00320.x, 2009.

- Manganaro, A., Pulicanò, G., Sanfilippo, M.: Temporal evolution of the area of Capo Peloro (Sicily, Italy) from pristine site into urbanized area. *TWB, Transit. Waters Bull.* 5 (2011), n. 1, 23-31. DOI: 10.1285/i1825229Xv5n1p23, 2011.
- 5 Masson, D.G., Harbitz, C.B., Wynn, R.B., Pedersen, G. and Løvholt, F.: Submarine landslides: processes, triggers and hazard prediction, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 2006 364, 2009-2039, doi: 10.1098/rsta.2006.1810, 2006.
- Mazzanti, P. and Bozzano, F.: Revisiting the February 6th 1783 Scilla (Calabria, Italy) landslide and tsunamis by numerical simulation, *Mar Geophys Res*, 32:273–286, DOI 10.1007/s11001-011-9117-1, 2011.
- 10
- Mercalli, G.: Alcuni risultati ottenuti dallo studio del terremoto calabrese dell'8 settembre 1905, *Atti dell' Accademia Pontoniana di Napoli*, 36, p.1-9 (in Italian), 1906.
- Minasi, G.: Continuazione ed appendice sopra i tremuoti descritti nella relazione colla data di Scilla de 30 settembre 1783,
15 con altro che accadde in progresso, Messina (in Italian), 1785.
- Pantosti, D., Barbano, M. S., Smedile, A., De Martini, P. M., Tigano, G.: Geological evidence of paleotsunamis at Torre degli Inglesi (northeast Sicily), *Geophysical Research Letters*, vol. 35, L05311, doi:10.1029/2007GL032935, 2008.
- 20 Porfido, S., Esposito, E., Violante, C., Molisso, F., Sacchi, M. and Spiga, E.: Earthquakes-Induced Environmental Effects in Coastal Area: Some Example in Calabria and Sicily (Southern Italy). *Marine Research at CNR Dta.* ISSN 2239-5172, 2011.
- Randazzo, G., Cigala, C., Crupi, A., Lanza, S.: The natural causes of shoreline evolution of Capo Peloro, the northernmost point of Sicily (Italy). In: Green, A.N. and Cooper, J.A.G. (eds.), *Proceedings 13th International Coastal Symposium*
25 (Durban, South Africa), *Journal of Coastal Research*, Special Issue No. 70, pp. 199-204, ISSN 0749-0208, 2014.
- Sarconi, M.: *Istoria de' fenomeni del tremuoto avvenuto nelle Calabrie e nel Valdemone nell'anno 1783*, Napoli (in Italian), 1784.
- 30 Spallanzani, L.: *Viaggi alle Due Sicilie e in alcune parti dell' Appennino*, tomo IV, 145–155 (in Italian), 1795.
- Tinti, S. and Guidoboni, E.: Revision of the tsunamis occurred in 1783 in Calabria and Sicily (Italy), *Science of Tsunami Hazards*, 6, 17-22, 1988.

- Tinti, S. and Piatanesi, A.: Finite-element simulations of the 5 February 1783 Calabrian tsunami, *Phys. Chem. Earth*, 21, 39-43, 1996.
- Tinti, S. and Tonini, R.: The UBO-TSUFDF tsunami inundation model: validation and application to a tsunami case study focused on the city of Catania, Italy, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 13, 1795–1816, www.nat-hazards-earth-syst-sci.net/13/1795/2013/, doi: 10.5194/nhess-13-1795-2013, 2013.
- Tinti, S., Bortolucci, E., and Vannini, C.: A block-based theoretical model suited to gravitational sliding, *Natural Hazards*, 16, 1-28, 1997.
- 10 Tinti, S., Maramai, A., Graziani, L.: The Italian Tsunami Catalogue (ITC), Version 2. <http://www.ingv.it/servizi-e-risorse/BD/catalogotsunami/catalogo-degli-tsunami-italiani>, 2007.
- Tinti, S., Chiocci, F.L., Zaniboni, F., Pagnoni, G., and de Alteriis, G.: Numerical simulation of the tsunami generated by a past catastrophic landslide on the volcanic island of Ischia, Italy, *Mar Geophys Res* (2011) 32:287–297, DOI: 10.1007/s11001-010-9109-6, 2011.
- 15 Torcia, M.: Tremuoto avvenuto nella Calabria e a Messina all 5 febbrajo 1783 descritto da Michele Torcia- Archiviario di S. M. Siciliana e Membro della Accademia Regia, Napoli, 1783.
- 20 Vela, J., Pérez, B., González, M., Otero, L., Olabarrieta, M., Canals, M., and Casamor, J.L.: Tsunami resonance in the Palma de Majorca bay and harbour induced by the 2003 Boumerdes-Zemmouri Algerian earthquake (Western Mediterranean), in: *Proceedings of 32nd International Conference on Coastal Engineering (ICCE 2010)*, ASCE, 2010.
- Vivenzio, G.: *Historia dei tremuoti avvenuti nella provincia di Calabria ulteriore e nella città di Messina nell'anno 1783*, Napoli (in Italian), 1788.
- 25 Wang, L., Zaniboni, F., Tinti, S., Zhang, X.: Reconstruction of the 1783 Scilla landslide, Italy: numerical investigations on the flow-like behavior of landslides. *Landslides*. DOI 10.1007/s10346-019-01151-5, 2019.
- 30 Zaniboni, F. and Tinti, S.: Numerical simulations of the 1963 Vajont landslide, Italy: application of 1D Lagrangian modelling, *Natural Hazards*, 70:567–592, doi: 10.1007/s11069-013-0828-2, 2014.

Zaniboni, F., Pagnoni, G., Tinti, S., Della Seta, M., Fredi, P., Marotta, E., and Orsi, G.: The potential failure of Monte Nuovo at Ischia Island (Southern Italy): numerical assessment of a likely induced tsunami and its effects on a densely inhabited area, *Bulletin of Volcanology* 75:763, doi: 10.1007/s00445-013-0763-9, 2013.

5 Zaniboni, F., Armigliato, A., Pagnoni, G., and Tinti, S.: Continental margins as a source of tsunami hazard: the 1977 Gioia Tauro (Italy) landslide-tsunami investigated through numerical modelling, *Marine Geology* 357 (2014) 210-217, doi: 10.1016/j.margeo.2014.08.011, 2014a.

Zaniboni, F., Pagnoni, G., Armigliato, A., Elsen, K., and Tinti, S.: Investigations on the possible source of the 2002 landslide tsunami in Rhodes, Greece, through numerical techniques. G. Lollino, A. Manconi, J. Locat, Y. Huang, M. Canals Ardilas (eds.), *Engineering Geology for Society and Territory – Volume 4*, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-08660-6_17, © Springer International Publishing Switzerland, 2014b.

15 Zaniboni, F., Pagnoni, G., Armigliato, A., Tinti, S., Iglesias, O., and Canals, M.: Numerical simulation of the BIG'95 debris flow and of the generated tsunami. G. Lollino, A. Manconi, J. Locat, Y. Huang, M. Canals Ardilas (eds.), *Engineering Geology for Society and Territory – Volume 4*, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-08660-6_19, © Springer International Publishing Switzerland, 2014c.

Zaniboni, F., Armigliato, A., and Tinti, S.: A numerical investigation of the 1783 landslide-induced catastrophic tsunami in Scilla, Italy, *Nat Hazards*, 84: S455–S470. DOI 10.1007/s11069-016-2461-3, 2016.

20



Figure 1: The logo of Copernicus Publications.