

# Post-event Field Survey of 28 September 2018 Sulawesi Earthquake and Tsunami

Wahyu Widiyanto<sup>1,2</sup>, Purwanto B. Santoso<sup>2</sup>, Shih-Chun Hsiao<sup>1</sup>, Rudy T. Imananta<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hydraulic and Ocean Engineering, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, 701, Taiwan

5 <sup>2</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Purwokerto, 53122, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Meteorological, Climatological and Geophysical Agency (BMKG), Jakarta, 10720, Indonesia

*Correspondence to:* Shih-Chun Hsiao (schsiao@mail.ncku.edu.tw)

**Abstract.** An earthquake with a magnitude of  $M_W = 7.5$  that occurred in Sulawesi, Indonesia on September 28, 2018, triggered liquefaction and tsunamis that caused severe damage and many casualties. This paper reports the results of a post-tsunami field survey conducted by a team with members from Indonesia and Taiwan that began 13 days after the earthquake. The main purpose of this survey was to measure the runup of tsunami waves and inundation and observe the damage caused by the tsunami. Measurements were made in 18 selected sites, most in Palu Bay. The survey results show that the runup height and inundation distance reached 10.7 m in Tondo and 488 m in Layana, respectively. Inundation depth of 2 to 4 m were common at most site and the highest was 8.4 m in Taipa. The arrival times of the tsunami waves were quite short and different for each site, typically about 3-8 minutes from the time of the main earthquake event. This study also describes the damage to buildings and infrastructure and coastal landslides.

## 1 Introduction

On Friday, September 28, 2018, at 18:02:44 Central Indonesia Time (UTC + 8), Palu Bay was hit by a strong earthquake with magnitude  $M_W = 7.5$ . The epicenter was located at  $-0.22^\circ\text{S}$  and  $119.85^\circ\text{E}$  at a depth of 10 km and 27 km northeast of Donggala City (BMKG, 2018). The major phenomena following the earthquake were liquefaction and tsunamis. As of October 21, 2018, as many as 2,113 people were killed, 1,309 missing, and 4,612 injured (Hadi and Kurniawati, 2018). The source of the earthquake was the shift of the Palu-Koro strike-slip fault, one of the most active structures around Sulawesi island. After the earthquake, a series of tsunami waves hit Palu City and Donggala Regency. Low-amplitude tsunami waves were also detected in Mamuju, a city overlooking the Makassar Strait and outside Palu Bay. The tsunami hit the coast, leveled houses, washed away various objects and destroyed the coastal area of Palu Bay, Central Sulawesi Province.

Within the territory controlled by Indonesian authorities, the 2018 Sulawesi Tsunami was the most devastating since the 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami. There were 8 tsunami events after the December 26, 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami, namely 2005 Nias ( $M_W = 8.6$ ; 1,314 victims), 2006 Buru Island ( $M_W = 6.7$ ; 4 victims), 2006 Java ( $M_W = 7.7$ ; 668 victims), 2007 Bengkulu ( $M_W = 8.4$ ; 23 victims), 2009 Manokwari ( $M_W = 7.6$ ; 4 victims), 2009 Tasikmalaya ( $M_W = 7.3$ ; 79 victims), 2010 Mentawai

( $M_w = 7.8$ ; 413 victims), and 2018 Sulawesi ( $M_w = 7.5$ ; 2,113 victims). These tsunami events are distributed in tsunami zones that cover all parts of Indonesia except Kalimantan island. Referring to the tsunami catalog and zones in Indonesia (Latief et al., 2000), the 2018 tsunami was on the border between zone D, which includes the Makassar Strait, and zone E, which includes the Maluku Sea. Zones D and E accounted for 9% and 31%, respectively, of the total tsunami events in Indonesia between 1600 and 2000. The Palu-Koro fault where the 2018 tsunami occurred is a very active source of earthquakes and tsunamis in zones D and E. The movement of rock formations is 35-44 mm/year (Bellier et al., 2001). The Sulawesi region has a long history of earthquakes and tsunamis (Prasetya et al., 2001). On January 30, 1930, an earthquake occurred on the West Coast of Donggala that caused a tsunami with a height of 8-10 m, 200 deaths, 790 houses damaged, and the flooding of all villages on the west coast of Donggala. On January 1, 1996, an earthquake in the Makassar Strait caused a tsunami that swept the west coast of Donggala and Toli-Toli Districts. In the same year, an earthquake occurred in Bangkir Village, Tonggolobibi, and Donggala, causing a 3.4-m-high tsunami that carried sea water 300 m inland, 9 people were killed and buildings in the three locations were badly damaged. On October 11, 1998, another earthquake occurred in Donggala, severely damaging hundreds of buildings. In 2005 and 2008, earthquakes also occurred, but did not cause many casualties. The most recent earthquake occurred in Sigi Regency and Parigi Moutong Regency in August 2012, which left 8 people dead.

The disaster area of the September 2018 tsunami includes Palu Bay, a bay on Sulawesi island, and Central Sulawesi Province. This bay has a length of 30 km, a width of 7 km, and a maximum depth of 700 m. Although the epicenter was at the outer boundary of Palu Bay, the most severe damage suffered in Palu City was at the end of the bay, about 70 km from the epicenter. Palu City, the capital of Central Sulawesi Province, has a population of 379,782 (BPS-Statistics of Palu Municipality, 2018). Most of the victims came from this city. In addition to Palu City, the disaster area also included Donggala Regency, with a population of 299,174 (BPS-Statistics of Donggala Regency, 2018), and Sigi District, with a population of 234,588 (BPS-Statistics of Sigi Regency, 2018). Sigi Regency did not suffer damage from the tsunami, but large-scale liquefaction led to a significant number of deaths and disappearances in this area.

This disaster in Central Sulawesi surprised the scientific community. For a strike-slip fault, the plates move horizontally and thus do not usually cause enough vertical deformation to trigger a huge tsunami. It is still uncertain whether the tsunami was caused by co-seismic deformation or non-tectonic sources. Ulrich et al. (2019) (Ulrich et al., 2019) believe that a source related to earthquake displacements is probable and that landsliding may not have been the primary source of the tsunami. In contrast, Takagi et al. (2019), Sassa and Takagawa (2018), and Arikawa et al. (2018) believe that landslides produced the tsunami. Field surveys are important for determining the actual cause.

The focus of post-tsunami surveys depends on the data of interest (e.g., hydrodynamic, geological, geophysical, environmental, ecological, social, or economic). The field survey reported in the present study focuses on hydrodynamic data that includes measurements of runup height and inundation distance. Tsunami flow depth on land was also measured in some sites. In addition, tsunami arrival time was analyzed and observations of damage to buildings and infrastructure were conducted. The data can be used for the simulation of tsunamis caused by plate movements or underwater landslides. For

instance, Lynett et.al (2003) employed the field survey data of the 1998 Papua New Guinea tsunami as validation for numerical models, namely the Boussinesq model and a nonlinear shallow water wave model. Yalciner (2001) conducted a field survey and modeled the 1999 Izmit tsunami, which is similar in terms of geographical features, earthquake magnitude, and tsunami mechanism compared with the recent Sulawesi Tsunami. More broadly, these data can be used to help formulate disaster risk management strategies and rebuild the areas affected by the 2018 Sulawesi Tsunami.

Many groups have carried out field surveys of the Sulawesi Tsunami event, also known as the Palu Tsunami. Muhari et al. (2018) investigated the wave height and inundation depth at several points with a focus around the end of the bay. A UNESCO international tsunami survey team surveyed 125 km of coastline along Palu Bay up to the earthquake epicentre region. The team performed 78 tsunami runup and inundation height measurements throughout the surveyed coastline (Omira et al., 2019; Yalciner et al., 2018). Mikami et al. (2019) measured runup height and inundation depth of 22 points and discussed damage to coastal communities around Palu Bay. Putra et al. (2019) focused on tsunami deposits. Arikawa et al. (2018), Sassa and Takagawa (2018), and Takagi et al. (2019) each conducted a survey related to coastal subsidence, coastal liquefaction, or submarine landslides detected in Palu Bay. These survey data can be combined with data from other groups. In this study, we provide data of runup height, inundation distance, flow depth/inundation depth, and damage at different points and coordinates.

## 2 Survey Details

A team from National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan, and Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Indonesia, arrived at Sis Aljufri Airport in Palu City at 06:00 a.m. Central Indonesia Time on October 11, 2018, thirteen days after the tsunami event. Studies have shown that surveys can be carried out successfully within two to three weeks of an event (Synolakis and Okal, 2005). Starting from the afternoon of October 11, a field survey was conducted until October 19 evening, for a survey period of 9 days. The emergency response period for the disaster area was determined by the Indonesian government to be one month (September 28 to October 26, 2018). The victim evacuation period was two weeks (September 28 to October 12). This means that the survey was conducted in the emergency response stage, one day before the victim evacuation period ended. During this period, the cleaning of area impacted by the tsunami was still in progress, and thus debris could be seen in the disaster area.

Our survey covered the following activities: 1) gathering information about disaster-affected locations, collecting videos and photos of tsunami events from the news, websites, social media, and personal collections of residents that had experienced the disaster; 2) tracing the road along the coast in Palu Bay to get an overview of the affected area; 3) choosing sites that were significantly impacted by the tsunami; 4) looking for evidence of runup boundaries, inundation limits, and tsunami water level elevation from the subgrade; 5) measuring the profile of the beach at each site; 6) observing and documenting damage and specific phenomena; and 7) interviewing eyewitnesses.

Because many people have smartphones, documentation in the form of photos and videos is abundant. Such documentation was collected from the internet. Unfortunately, many people with valuable documentation did not upload it to the internet. Therefore, our team searched for video recordings and photographs made by local residents while conducting the measurement survey.

5 The tsunami affected the perimeter of Palu Bay. The survey area covers the entire coastal area in the bay, which falls under the authority of Central Sulawesi Province. The coastline in the bay is around 70 km. The road connecting the provinces on Sulawesi island, called the Trans Sulawesi Road, is mostly parallel to the coastline of the bay. The team traced the road from Donggala City to Sirenja Village, which is the limit of the tsunami disaster in Palu Bay. Tracing the Trans Sulawesi Road to see an overview of the impact of the tsunami is possible because the road is mostly located 50 to 200 m from the coastline, so the coastline can almost always be seen from the road. A camera on a moving car was operated to record the situation around the beach area. It produced a number of videos describing the damage (contained in the supplement).

10 Eighteen sites were selected for measurement (Fig. 1). At each site, the beach profile was measured using 1 to 4 transects or cross sections, for a total of 28 cross sections. Site selection was based on consideration of the level of damage, significance of runup height and length of inundation, administrative boundaries as well as resources and time. The measurement times and locations of the 18 sites are shown in Table 1. The table gives the runup height and inundation distance, which are explained in section 3.

15 Finding evidence of runup heights, boundaries of inundation, and elevation of tsunami water levels is challenging. Some detective work is often necessary. October is the beginning of the rainy season in Indonesia, including Sulawesi. Palu City is located near the equator, as shown in Table 1. It is one of the driest areas in Indonesia, with rainfall recorded at the Mutiara Meteorology Station in 2017 of 774.3 mm. From the time of the earthquake until the end of the survey it rained four times, three of which occurred during our survey period, with a duration of less than 2 hours and with low to moderate intensity. It was challenging to find tsunami footprints on surfaces exposed to surface runoff caused by rain. The team collected hundreds of traces and water marks left by the tsunami. The tracks were in the form of: a) debris lines, b) debris left on trees, c) broken branches, d) sand trapped in buildings, e) damaged building elements, and f) brown leaves (submerged in salt water during tsunami event). Fig. 2 shows some evidence of runup and inundation traces.

20 In addition to physical evidence that could be seen and documented in the field, eyewitnesses are important because of the information they can provide, which can help to corroborate the observations made during the field survey. Very often interviews provide unique information that cannot be obtained by any other means and are therefore much more than an auxiliary tool (Maramai and Tinti, 1997). In this survey, interviews were conducted with 56 people throughout the disaster area. Some of the interviews were recorded in video so the testimonies can be heard again. The authors obtained important information from the surveys, such as the arrival time of the tsunami, the number of waves coming in, the boundaries of runup and water level, the situation in the area before and after the tsunami, and how people survived the tsunami. Witnesses stated that there were three tsunami waves. The first wave had the smallest amplitude. Then, two waves followed it. The first

wave acted as a trigger for evacuation, with many people escaping the coastline. Without this first low-amplitude wave, there may have been more casualties.

After the physical evidence and/or witnesses confirmed the position of the entry of tsunami water inland, measurements were carried out using conventional measurement instruments. Several laser and optical instruments for terrestrial surveys were operated. The instruments included a total station, a water pass, a prism, a handheld GPS device, a laser distance meter, and some assistance tools. These tools were used to measure height differences and the distance from a point and position. Runup heights were corrected to calculate heights above sea level at the time of the survey using WXTide software version 4.7. We used Donggala station, the closest station listed in the software, for corrections and assumed no significant variations in sea level inside Palu Bay.

Damage observations were carried out at each site of the survey. We emphasized damage to buildings and infrastructure, although other kinds of damage were noted, such as that to vegetation, the shoreline, and property (e.g., cars, boats). Videos and photographs were produced to assess the damage. Videos recorded along Trans Sulawesi Road were compared to Google Street View, Google Maps, and Google Earth data to assess the distance from damaged regions to the coastline. In addition, detail measurements of the dimensions of special objects (e.g., bridge) was done to facilitate tsunami force analysis.

### 3 Inundation and Runup Measurements Results

Runup is the maximum ground elevation wetted by the tsunami on a sloping shoreline. In the simplest case, the runup value is recorded at maximum horizontal inundation distance (IOC Manuals and Guides No. 37, 2014). The measurement results are shown in Table 1 and Figs. 3-5. The measurement values in the table are corrected based on the tides. Runup height and inundation distance vary from site to site.

The western coast of Palu Bay includes Sites 1 to 6. Site 1 (Donggala City) is located at the mouth of the bay. The runup height and inundation distance at this site were not significant. Sites 2 and 3, namely Loli Dondo Village and Loli Saluran Village, had similar runup heights (2.53 and 2.18 m, respectively). Inundation distances were short due to the steep hills towards the mainland. Sites 4 and 5 (Watusampu Village and Tipo Village) had runup heights of 6.63 and 7.79 m, respectively. The inundation distances were 71.51 and 91.11 m, respectively. High runup with short inundation was influenced by the steep topography. The highest runup for the western coast was found in Tipo (7.79 m), followed by Watusampu (6.63 m).

The southern coast of the bay (end of Palu Bay) includes sites 7 to 9 (Lere, Besusu Barat, and Talise). The runup heights at Lere and Besusu Barat were low (1.40 and 1.12 m, respectively). Talise had a higher runup of 3.02 m, but all three sites had almost the same inundation distance (200 to 250 m). The density of buildings in this area seems to have prevented the tsunami from reaching further inland. The flat topography resulted in runup elevation that did not differ much from sea level. The eastern coast area of Palu Bay included Sites 10 to 16. Site 10 was located in Tondo. The topography of this area is relatively steep with a slope of 0.06 (6%). Evidence of tsunami water rise was in the form of debris on top of buildings,

truncated building elements, collapsed walls, trash that was carried away, and fixed debris. A survivor showed us the highest places of the tsunami water in this area. A total of four cross sections of the coast were measured by our team. The measured runup heights were 10.73, 7.97, 10.14, and 8.50 m, respectively, as shown in Table 1. The runup height of 10.73 m is the highest in this survey (Fig. 5) which left only a few buildings standing. The highest runup found in the field survey of Omira et al. (2019) was in Benteng Village, with a height of 9.1 m. Benteng Village (on the western coast) is viz-a-viz with the highest runup location found in our survey (Tondo, on the eastern coast).

North of Tondo is Site 11 (Layana). The topography of this site is relatively flat with a slope of 0.013 (1.3%). Because of this sloping topography, the tsunami wave reached as far as 488 m inland. This was the longest distance recorded. The runup points reached 6.57 m at this site. Both points varied greatly because many buildings have long and wide walls that stemmed the tsunami flow further inland.

Sites 12 and 13 are Mamboro and Taipa. A runup height of 3.5 m and a flow depth of 5.36 m caused severe damage to houses and casualties in Mamboro. In Taipa, a runup of 4.88 m reached the roof of the passenger terminal of Taipa Port. North of Pantoloan Port is Wani Port (Site 15). Runup, inundation, and flow depth were significant at this site (3.58, 185.13 and 5.14 m respectively). Site 16 (Lero) is the northernmost survey site inside Palu Bay. This site faces Site 1, which also lies at the mouth of Palu Bay. The last two sites were Tanjung Padang and Lende. These sites are located outside Palu Bay and close to the epicenter. A runup of around 1 m was found at both sites. The coastal area between Sites 16 and 17 has steep slopes (hilly area). No tsunami footage was found for this area.

#### **4 Tsunami Arrival Time**

Arrival time of a tsunami wave is one of the main parameters calculated in tsunami modeling. The time needed for the tsunami wave to propagate from earthquake source location to the coast is defined by the estimated time of arrival (ETA) (Strunz et al., 2011). Tidal records may provide a clue on tsunami arrival time. The tidal station closest to the disaster site is Pantoloan Tidal Station. This station is located inside Palu Bay, on a pier in Pantoloan Port and is operated by the Agency of Geospatial Information. When the earthquake and tsunami occurred, the recording equipment was not damaged but the data transfer stopped because the communication network was interrupted. Fig. 8 and 9 show the water level recorded when the tsunami arrived. The maximum low tide (6.74 m) was at 18:08 local time and the maximum tide (10.55 m) was at 18:10 local time. This means that the tsunami wave height recorded at the station was 3.8 m. This wave height can be seen more clearly in Fig. 9, which is from the same source as that for Fig. 8. In addition, the first tsunami wave arrived at 18:07, with the wave trough at 18:08 and the wave crest at 18:10 local time (UTC+8).

Other hints regarding tsunami arrival time are based on videos on social media, internet, and television, as well as eyewitnesses. More than one tsunami wave hit the coastal zone in Palu Bay. Most witnesses stated that three tsunami waves had arrived. The first was less than 1-m high. The second and third waves were much higher, and were quantified by

measurements in this survey. The number of tsunami waves and their height order were similar to the 17 July 2006 Tsunami in Java. That event also had three tsunami waves which the the first one was small in height, and was followed by the second wave which was the highest one (Lavigne et al., 2007). Witnesses did not give an exact arrival time of the tsunami wave for the coastal zone in Palu Bay. Generally, they referred to prayer times as a guide. Indonesia is a predominantly muslim country. The time of the earthquake and tsunami is close to one of the Muslim worship times in the afternoon, which coincides with a sunset called “maghrib” prayer. The prayer schedule circulated by the Ministry of Religion of the Republic of Indonesia for the area of Palu City and Donggala Regency indicates that the starting time of “maghrib” prayer period on September 28, 2018, was 17:58 local time. Normally, there are two calls sounded from a mosque as starting time sign for praying. The first call is called “adzan” (or “adhan”, “azan”, “athan”) and the second call is called “iqamah” (or “iqama”, “iqamat”). The period between the two call is 10 minutes. Some news, videos, and witnesses show that the tsunami came when people were preparing to pray, between “adzan” and “iqamah”. The  $M_w = 7.5$  earthquake occurred at 18:04. This shows that the tsunami waves came less than 10 minutes after the earthquake or between 18:05 and 18:15 local time, different for each site in the disaster area. It was around 3 minutes in Donggala City and Lero Village, and around 10 minutes at the end of Palu Bay (Lere, Besusu Barat, and Talise) after the main earthquake. The testimony from the witnesses was consistent with the tidal gauge data at Pantoloan station. The important note from the September 2018 event is that the tsunami arrival time was very short.

## **5 Building and Infrastructure Damage**

We categorized damage to buildings and structures caused by the Sulawesi Tsunami into three types, namely damage due to earthquakes, liquefaction, and tsunamis. Damage caused by earthquakes is characterized by horizontal collapse, cracking, and fracture structures. Damage due to liquefaction can be characterized by objects and buildings being turned over, rotated, gone, sunk in water, or sunk in mud. Damage due to tsunamis is characterized by objects, buildings, or structures being washed away from the shoreline by a water current.

Survey sites in the western coastal area of Palu Bay included Sites 1 to 6. Site 1 (Donggala City) is located at the mouth of the bay. This site has a fishing port and an inter-island port. A fisherman who survived the tsunami told us that he was on a ship when the tsunami struck. He saw turbulent water not far from the position of the ships in the vicinity of the port of Donggala. This water propagated towards the warfs in the ports, causing a fishing boat to rise to the dock.

Sites 2 and 3, namely Loli Dondo Village and Loli Saluran Village, have the same characteristics, with many houses built on the right and left sides of the Trans Sulawesi Road. The housings closest to the beach were mostly destroyed, and those closest to the hillside had moderate damage.

Sites 4 and 5 (Watusampu Village and Tipo Village) also have similar characteristics. The topography on the west coast of Palu Bay is steep due to a row of hills. These hills are a source of gravel for building materials. There is thus a lot of gravel quarries at these two sites. At the Watusampu site, measurements were carried out around the naval base of the Indonesian

Navy, where a navy patrol boat was lifted from its mooring site to the mainland. Near the tip of Palu Bay on the west side is Site 6 (Silae), which is an urban area with a dense population. The main road at this site is very close (20-30 m) to the coastline. Houses around the road were badly damaged. A 4-star hotel suffered serious structural damage but did not collapse.

5 The sites on the southern coast of the bay, Sites 7 to 9 (Lere, Besusu Barat, and Talise) at the end of Palu Bay, have a sloping topography and the highest population. They had the most fatalities and the worst damage. In Besusu Barat, a steel bridge with a span of 300 m collapsed. Witnesses who were on the banks of the Palu River during the earthquake and tsunami event said that the bridge collapsed during the earthquake and before the tsunami arrived. Amateur videos taken from the bridge abutment provide clues to the depth of flow. Measurements of trees and small buildings around the bridge indicate that the  
10 depth of the tsunami flow reached 4.89 m. The density of buildings in this area seems to have prevented the tsunami from reaching further inland. Most of the victims were at this site because it is a densely populated area, with many offices and a many business activity as well as open public spaces. In addition, the Palu Nomoni festival, which attracted large crowds, was taking place at the time of the tsunami on Besusu and Talise beaches and surrounding areas.

Survey sites in the eastern coast area of Palu Bay were Sites 10 to 16. Site 10 was located in Tondo. This area has many  
15 private boarding houses for students of the University of Tadulako, the biggest university in the city of Palu. The topography of this area is relatively steep with a slope of 0.04 (4%). The runup height of 10.73 m is the highest in this survey (Fig. 5) and few buildings survived in the area. This area was very crowded during the earthquake and tsunami event. Most students were in their boarding houses during the earthquake because it occurred after working hours. Surprisingly, fewer than 10 deaths were recorded. This is likely due to most of the young residents having the agility to save themselves when the  
20 tsunami arrived.

North of Tondo is Site 11 (Layana). This site is a trading complex that supports the economic activities of Palu City in particular and Central Sulawesi Province in general. The buildings damaged at this site functioned as shops, warehouses, and corporate offices. Sites 12 and 13 are Mamboro and Taipa, respectively. A high flow depth of 7.79 m caused severe damage to houses and casualties in Mamboro Village. A stream was covered fully by debris. In Taipa Village, the runup (4.88 m)  
25 and flow depth (8.40 m) devastated the passenger terminal, ferry crane, and navigation control building. Taipa is a passenger port that connects Sulawesi island to other islands. Site 14 (Pantoloan) is the biggest port in the bay. Here, containers floated off and the port crane collapsed. North of Pantoloan Port is Wani Port (Site 15). Here, we found significant damage, especially to the houses of the fishing community, collapsed coastal structures, and a ship that was lifted onto land. Runup, inundation, and flow depth were significant at this site. Site 16 (Lero) is the northernmost survey site inside Palu Bay. This  
30 site faces Site 1 (western coast), which also lies at the mouth of Palu Bay. A small harbor and its facilities were totally destroyed. The last two sites were Tanjung Padang and Lende. These sites are located outside Palu Bay and close to the epicenter. The tsunamis were similar to tide waves. They destroyed some houses and agricultural land. The coastal area between Sites 16 and 17 has steep slopes (hilly area). It has very few houses. No tsunami impact was found.



We made videos to document the damage along the Trans Sulawesi Road and compared them to Google Street View® data recorded before the tsunami occurrence. The videos showed that severe damage was limited to within 150 m from the coastline. The impact of the tsunami on structures and the coastal environment is summarized in Table 2.

Detail measurements were taken of a reinforced concrete bridge with simple support beams on Cumi-cumi Road, near Palu Grand Mall, Palu City (Fig. 6(b)). This bridge shifted by as far as 9.7 m. It provided clues regarding the strength of the tsunamis. This bridge is made of reinforced concrete with a bridge span of 5.0 m and a width of 19.1 m. It passed over an open channel, which had a width of 4.1 m and a depth of 1.6 m. It had 14 beam girders with dimensions of 0.25 m × 0.30 m and a girder distance of 1.35 m (its sketch is available in the supplement). Its plate had a thickness of 0.20 m. Based on these dimensions, the surface area of the bridge was 244.7 m<sup>2</sup>, the volume was 23.4 m<sup>3</sup>, and the mass was estimated to be around 56 tons. The bridge was estimated to have been submerged by tsunami water as deep as 3.0-4.5 m based on the tsunami marks around it (Site 7 / Lere). Debris caught in the bridge fence (Fig. 6(b)) provided evidence of the tsunami water soaking the bridge. The shift stopped because the bridge body was stuck in the wall of a building. Furthermore, we investigated this case with the help of Google Earth, as shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), which show satellite images taken on September 26, 2017, and October 2, 2018, respectively. As shown, the asphalt layer of the road was broken and the bridge over the open channel was shifted away from the coast by the tsunami. The position of this bridge is at the end of Palu Bay (-0.88123°S; 119.83907°E).

## 6 Coastal Landslides

Total coastal landslides in Palu Bay related to the 28 September 2018 event occurred at 7 locations (Sassa and Takagawa, 2018), 6 locations (Arikawa, 2018), or 10 locations (Omira et al., 2019). Our team found two additional locations of coastal landslides. These are landslide locations not found by other survey teams. The two locations are around the river mouth in Donggala City (Figs. 11 and 12) and around the river mouth in Lero Village (Figs. 13 and 14). Landslides in Donggala were indicated by the loss of land around the Donggala River. Around 30 houses were reported to have suddenly sunk along with some of the residents. The wharf in the port of Donggala dropped by about 80 cm. The pile that was being installed for the foundation of a large building sank deep into the soil layer suddenly and was lost.

In Lero Village, some houses and their inhabitants drowned when the tremor struck. Fig. 12 shows a house going down, with the ceiling at the position of the original floor. A typical house in Indonesia has a ceiling height of 3 to 4 m. This indicates that the landslide in Lero Village lowered the land surface by 3 to 4 m. In addition, an eyewitness reported that the seabed around 10 m from the coastline changed from 1 m deep to a depth that made the seabed invisible to the naked eyes. He heard a roaring sound a minute after the main earthquake.

## 7 Conclusions

- This study reported the results of a post-tsunami field survey conducted after the 2018 Sulawesi Tsunami. The results show that the runup height reached 10.73 m in Tondo and the inundation distance was 488m in Layana. The Tondo area has a steeply sloped coast where as the Layana area has a flat topography. Flow depths of more than 2 m were found at sites that had significant damage. Tsunami events were concentrated in the bay, which indicates that the source of the tsunami must have been inside the bay. Most people interviewed in the survey area testified that there were three main tsunami waves that reached the coastal zone in Palu Bay. The second was the highest. The arrival time of the waves varied according to location. It was around 3 minutes in Donggala City and Lero Village, and around 10 minutes at the end of Palu Bay (Lere, Besusu Barat, and Talise) after the  $M_w = 7.5$  main earthquake event.
- The tsunami waves that hit the coastal zone in Palu Bay were very strong, as indicated by massive damage at each site we surveyed. Severe damage was limited to within 150 m from the coastline. These include the shifting of a 56-ton bridge. The coastal landslides detected by our team in Donggala City (lost surface area of 10,068 m<sup>2</sup>) and Lero Village (lost surface area of 22,971 m<sup>2</sup>) are additional evidence of the coastal landslides found by other teams. Multiple landslides event may motivate to the development of a tsunami model that is capable of simulating tsunamis generated by consecutive earthquake and landslide events, or simultaneous landslide events. Furthermore, landslides should be included in probabilistic tsunami hazard assessment, as done by Horspool et al. (2014) for Indonesia and for earthquake sources. The data and analysis from this survey and those from other teams will lead to a comprehensive and complete understanding of the September 2018 Sulawesi Tsunami.
- Data availability.* All photos were taken by author's team. Tide image was obtained from Geospatial Information Agency (BIG).
- Author contribution.* All authors contributed to the preparation of this paper.
- Competing interest.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## 25 Acknowledgement

- This research was financially supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan, under grants MOST 107-2221-E-006-080 and Water Resources Agency, MOEA, under grants MOEAWRA 1080412. Thanks to Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Indonesia, especially the Department of Civil Engineering and the Community Service Institution (LPPM Unsoed), which mobilized surveyors, students, and instruments to the disaster area. Authors highly appreciate Mr. Arvandi from the Agency of Public Works and Community Housing, Division of Municipal and Water Resources, Central Sulawesi Province for special assistance during our activities in the Palu Bay area. In addition, the authors also highly appreciate Mrs. Sanidhya Nika Purnomo for our discussion on geographical information.

## References

- Bellier, O., Siame, L., Beaudouin, T., Villeneuve, M. and Braucher, R.: High slip rate for a low seismicity along the Palu-Koro active fault in Central Sulawesi (Indonesia), *Terra Nov.*, doi:10.1046/j.1365-3121.2001.00382.x, 2001.
- BMKG: Katalog Gempabumi (Earthquake Catalog), [online] Available from:  
5 [http://repogempa.bmkg.go.id/repo\\_new/repository.php](http://repogempa.bmkg.go.id/repo_new/repository.php) (Accessed 1 December 2018), 2018.
- BPS-Statistics of Donggala Regency: Donggala Regency in Figures 2018, Donggala, 2018.
- BPS-Statistics of Palu Municipality: Palu Municipality in Figures 2018, edited by BPS-Statistics of Palu Municipality, BPS-Statistics of Palu Municipality, Palu, 2018.
- BPS-Statistics of Sigi Regency: Sigi Regency in Figures 2018, Sigi, 2018.
- 10 Hadi, S. and Kurniawati, E.: Jumlah Korban Tewas Terkini Gempa dan Tsunami Palu 2.113 Orang, <https://nasional.tempo.co/read/1138400/jumlah-korban-tewas-terkini-gempa-dan-tsunami-palu-2-113-orang/full&view=ok>, 2018 (in Bahasa Indonesia)
- Heidarzadeh, M., Muhari, A. and Wijanarto, A. B.: Insights on the Source of the 28 September 2018 Sulawesi Tsunami, Indonesia Based on Spectral Analyses and Numerical Simulations, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 176(September 2018), 25–43,  
15 doi:10.1007/s00024-018-2065-9, 2018.
- Horspool, N., Pranantyo, I., Griffin, J., Latief, H., Natawidjaja, D. H., Kongko, W., Cipta, A., Bustaman, B., Anugrah, S. D. and Thio, H. K.: A probabilistic tsunami hazard assessment for Indonesia, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, doi:10.5194/nhess-14-3105-2014, 2014.
- IOC Manuals and Guides No. 37: International Tsunami Survey Team (ITST) Post-Tsunami Survey Field Guide, 2nd ed.,  
20 edited by D. Dominey-Howes, L. Dengler, J. Cunnien, and T. Aarup, the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, Paris, 2014.
- Latief, H., Puspito, N. T. and Fumihiko, I.: Tsunami Catalog and Zones in Indonesia, *J. Nat. Disaster Sci.*, 22(1), 25–43, 2000.
- Lavigne, F., Gomez, C., Giffo, M., Wassmer, P., Hoebreck, C., Mardiatno, D., Prioyono, J. and Paris, R.: Field observations  
25 of the 17 July 2006 Tsunami in Java, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, doi:10.5194/nhess-7-177-2007, 2007.
- Lynett, P. J., Borrero, J. C., Liu, P. L. F. and Synolakis, C. E.: Field survey and numerical simulations: A review of the 1998 Papua New Guinea tsunami, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, doi:10.1007/s00024-003-2422-0, 2003.
- Maramai, A. and Tinti, S.: The 3 June 1994 Java Tsunami: A post-event survey of the coastal effects, *Nat. Hazards*, doi:10.1023/A:1007957224367, 1997.
- 30 Mikami, T., Shibayama, T., Esteban, M., Takabatake, T., Nakamura, R., Nishida, Y., Achiari, H., Rusli, Marzuki, A. G., Marzuki, M. F. H., Stolle, J., Krautwald, C., Robertson, I., Aránguiz, R. and Ohira, K.: Field Survey of the 2018 Sulawesi Tsunami: Inundation and Run-up Heights and Damage to Coastal Communities, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, doi:10.1007/s00024-019-02258-5, 2019.

- Muhari, A., Imamura, F., Koshimura, S. and Post, J.: Examination of three practical run-up models for assessing tsunami impact on highly populated areas, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 11(12), 3107–3123, doi:10.5194/nhess-11-3107-2011, 2011.
- Muhari, A., Imamura, F., Arikawa, T. and Afriyanto, B.: Finding of the unexpected tsunami due to the strike-slip fault at central Sulawesi, Indonesia on 28 September 2018, from the preliminary field survey at Palu, Temblor [online] Available from: <http://temblor.net/earthquake-insights/finding-of-the-unexpected-tsunami-due-to-the-strike-slip-fault-at-central-sulawesi-indonesia-on-28-september-2018-from-the-preliminary-field-survey-at-palu-7855/>, 2018.
- Omira, R., Dogan, G. G., Hidayat, R., Husrin, S., Prasetya, G., Annunziato, A., et al.: The September 28th, 2018, Tsunami In Palu-Sulawesi, Indonesia: A Post-Event Field Survey, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 176(4), 1379–1395, doi:10.1007/s00024-019-02145-z, 2019.
- Prasetya, G. S., De Lange, W. P. and Healy, T. R.: The Makassar Strait Tsunamigenic Region. [online] Available from: [http://www.bom.gov.au/info/tsunami/tsunami\\_info.shtml#physics](http://www.bom.gov.au/info/tsunami/tsunami_info.shtml#physics)<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/7317057/>, 2001.
- Sassa, S. and Takagawa, T.: Liquefied gravity flow-induced tsunami: first evidence and comparison from the 2018 Indonesia Sulawesi earthquake and tsunami disasters, *Landslides*, 16(1), 195–200, doi:10.1007/s10346-018-1114-x, 2019.
- Strunz, G., Post, J., Zosseder, K., Wegscheider, S., Mück, M., Riedlinger, T., Mehl, H., Dech, S., Birkmann, J., Gebert, N., Harjono, H., Anwar, H. Z., Sumaryono, Khomarudin, R. M. and Muhari, A.: Tsunami risk assessment in Indonesia, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, doi:10.5194/nhess-11-67-2011, 2011.
- Sudibyo, M.: Wave record from the tidal station of the Pantoloan port, Palu city at the time of tsunami event, [online] Available from: <https://twitter.com/marufins/status/1047467798996242433> (Accessed 20 December 2018b), 2018.
- Sudibyo, M.: Gempa dan Tsunami Donggala-Palu 2018 (2), Tsunami Tak-Biasa Itu dan Takdir Kebumian Kota Palu, [online] Available from: <https://ekliptika.wordpress.com/2018/10/07/gempa-dan-tsunami-donggala-palu-2018-2-tsunami-tak-biasa-itu-dan-takdir-kebumian-kota-palu/> (Accessed 20 December 2018), 2018.
- Synolakis, C. E. and Okal, E. A.: 1992-2002: Perspective on Decade of Post-Tsunami Surveys, in *Tsunamis: Case Studies and Recent Developments*, edited by K. Satake, pp. 1–29, Springer Science & Business Media., 2005.
- Ulrich, T., Vater, S., Madden, E. H., Behrens, J., van Dinther, Y., van Zelst, I., Fielding, E. J., Liang, C. and Gabriel, A. A.: Coupled, Physics-Based Modeling Reveals Earthquake Displacements are Critical to the 2018 Palu, Sulawesi Tsunami, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, doi:10.1007/s00024-019-02290-5, 2019.
- Yalciner, A. C., Hidayat, R., Husrin, S., Prasetya, G., Annunziato, A., Dogan, G.G., et al. : The 28th September 2018 Palu Earthquake and Tsunami ITST , 07-11 November 2018, Post Tsunami Field Survey Report (Short), (November), 1–24, 2018.
- Yalciner, A. C., Synolakis, C. E., Alpar, B., Borrero, J. C., Altinok, Y., Imamura, F., Tinti, S., Ersoy, S., Kuran, U., Pamukcu, S. and Kanoglu, U.: Field surveys and modeling of the 1999 Izmit tsunami, *ITS 2001 Proceedings, Session 4*, number 4–6, 2001.

Table 1 Measured sites (see also Fig. 1 – 5)

No.	Site name	Measurement time	Coordinates		Inundation distance (m)	Runup height (m)	Inundation depth (m)	Watermark
			Longitude	Latitude				
1.	Donggala City	12-Oct-18 16:34:08	119.741313	-0.663054	30.50	2.25	-	BL, SD
2.	Loli Dondo	16-Oct-18 15:27:28	119.776100	-0.731612	65.36	2.40	-	BB, BV, DS
3.	Loli Saluran	16-Oct-18 14:16:54	119.776664	-0.731339	-	-	2.10	BB, BV, DS
4.	Watu Sampu	16-Oct-18 12:48:04	119.794095	-0.783867	116.99	2.14	-	BB, BV, DS
5.	Tipo	17-Oct-18 10:25:41	119.810032	-0.822144	71.41	6.60	-	BL, BV, DD, EW
6.	Silae	17-Oct-18 15:08:35	119.810746	-0.821815	-	-	7.63	DD, DS, GD
7.	Lere	15-Oct-18 14:30:19	119.810484	-0.821851	-	-	3.68	DD, DS, GD
8.	Besusu Barat	16-Oct-18 8:20:46	119.829355	-0.864574	91.01	7.76	-	DS, EW
9.	Talise	15-Oct-18 8:12:18	119.834315	-0.874580	80.13	4.42	-	DD, DS, GD
10.	Tondo	14-Oct-18 12:58:26	119.843401	-0.885372	228.22	1.38	-	DD, DS, GD
11.	Layana	14-Oct-18 7:45:14	119.843921	-0.883389	-	-	3.71	DD, DS, GD
12.	Mamboro	13-Oct-18 13:43:47	119.845245	-0.884549	-	-	4.64	DD, DS, GD
13.	Taipa:	17-Oct-18 8:56:31	119.860210	-0.887457	250.35	2.09	-	BB, DD, DS, GD
			119.862034	-0.885743	-	-	4.89	BB, DS, GD, EW
			119.860762	-0.885652	-	-	2.22	DD, DS, GD
			119.860110	-0.885546	-	-	3.28	DD, DS, GD
			119.873739	-0.876266	254.23	2.76	-	DD, DS, GD
			119.874616	-0.873833	-	2.94	-	DD, DS, GD
			119.874389	-0.874440	-	3.02	-	DD, DS, GD
			119.874294	-0.875004	-	2.71	-	DD, DS, GD
			119.873755	-0.874115	-	-	2.48	DD, DS, GD
			119.872371	-0.874472	-	-	2.68	DD, DS, GD
			119.881499	-0.844691	270.27	10.73	-	DC, DD, DS, EW
			119.880688	-0.843981	-	7.97	-	DC, DD, DS, EW
			119.881253	-0.845850	-	10.14	-	DC, DD, DS, EW
			119.880854	-0.846571	-	8.5	-	DC, DD, DS, EW
			119.880408	-0.844700	-	-	7.39	DC, DD, DS, EW
			119.880640	-0.846648	-	-	4.20	DC, DD, DS, EW
			119.887135	-0.822159	487.94	6.55	-	DD, DS, GD, WW
			119.883472	-0.823863	-	2.78	-	DD, DS, GD
			119.883352	-0.823840	-	-	4.44	DD, DS, GD
			119.883470	-0.823864	-	-	4.35	DD, DS, GD
			119.884453	-0.820980	-	-	4.18	DD, DS, GD
			119.879074	-0.801753	164.08	3.47	-	DC, DD, DS, EW
			119.878349	-0.800542	-	3.68	-	DC, DD, DS, EW
			119.877522	-0.801852	-	-	5.52	DC, DD, DS, EW
			119.877164	-0.801229	-	-	7.79	DC, DD, DS, EW
			119.877384	-0.800166	-	-	2.44	DC, DD, DS, EW
			119.858686	-0.778698	110.94	3.15	-	BV, DS, EW
			119.859367	-0.779472	-	4.52	-	BV, DS, EW

14.	Pantoloan	17-Oct-18 13:33:12	119.859542	-0.779995	-	4.88	-	BV, DS, EW
			119.858177	-0.778752	-	-	8.40	
			119.857660	-0.710840	139.25	2.31	-	DS, EW, GD
15.	Wani	17-Oct-18 12:34:23	119.841543	-0.693099	185.03	3.55	-	BL, DD, EW, MO
			119.841150	-0.694111	-	-	5.14	
			119.842266	-0.694969	-	-	2.84	
16.	Lero	17-Oct-18 11:27:51	119.812422	-0.629011	75.20	1.78	-	DS, EW, WW
17.	Tanjung Padang	18-Oct-18 12:43:01	119.803220	-0.231612	89.56	1.21	-	DS, EW, GD
18.	Lende	18-Oct-18 14:11:29	119.817232	-0.185461	33.51	1.14	-	EW, GD

BB: broken tree branch; BL: boat on land surface; BV: brown vegetation; DC: debris caught; DD: debris deposition; DS: damaged structures; EW: eye witnesses; GD: garbage deposition; MO: marine-origin objects; SD: sediment deposition; WW: watermark on wall.

5

Table 2 Land use and damage for each site (photos are available in supplement)

No.	Site name	Land use	Damage
1	Donggala City	Fishing port, passenger and cargo port, urban area	Damaged houses, fisherman boat lifted to land
2	Loli Dondo	Settlement, fishery	Damaged houses
3	Loli Saluran	Settlement, stone mining	Damaged houses
4	Watu Sampu	Indonesian Navy harbour, agriculture	Navy vessel lifted to land
5	Tipo	Settlement	Damaged houses
6	Silae	Urban area, settlement	Damaged houses
7	Lere	Urban area, business	Damaged mall, campus, offices, bridge
8	Besusu Barat	Urban area, offices, business	Collapsed 300-m steel bridge
9	Talise	Urban area, sightseeing, aquaculture	Damaged coastal garden, restaurants
10	Tondo	Settlement, sight seeing	Damaged houses
11	Layana	Warehouse, stores complex	Damaged warehouses and stores
12	Mamboro	Settlement	Damaged houses
13	Taipa	Passenger port, sight seeing	Damaged passenger terminal
14	Pantoloan	Passenger and cargo port	Washed away container
15	Wani	Fishery port, aquaculture	Ship lifted to land, severely damaged houses, damaged port area
16	Lero	Settlement, agriculture	Houses sunk by liquifaction
17	Tanjung Padang	Agriculture	Damaged houses and crops
18	Lende	Agriculture	Damaged houses and crops

**Figure 1:** Survey area of Palu Bay located on Sulawesi island. A camera in a moving car was used to record the tsunami-affected area following the Trans Sulawesi Road paralleled Palu Bay coastline from Site 1 to Site 18. Tidal stations were at Site 1 (Donggala) and Site 14 (Pantoloan). Coastal landslides were detected at Site 1 (Donggala) and Site 16 (Lero).

5

**Figure 2:** Evidence of tsunami runup and inundation. (a) Debris left behind in the residential area of Tondo, (b) debris caught in a tree in Mambo, (c) and (d) debris stuck in a tree in Tondo, (e) leaves turned brown due to being submerged in salt water, (f) a tree had green leaves at the top and brown at the lower part, indicating the tsunami inundation height (flow depth) limit in Layana, (g) debris lodged on top of a building, (h) broken house element showing tsunami water level, (i) watermark on a house wall in Lero Village, (j) sand deposit on building floor in Donggala City, (k) a 45-m-long ship moved to land in Wani Harbour, (l) interview with a survivor in Mambo.

15

**Figure 3:** Measurement results of inundation distances.

15 **Figure 4:** Measurement results of runup heights.

**Figure 5:** Transects of beach where tsunami wave arrived. The longest inundation distance is at the Layana site and the highest runup is at the Tondo site.

20 **Figure 6:** (a) Damage caused by the tsunami in Tondo, a residential complex where a lot of private boarding houses were inhabited by students at the University of Tadulako, (b) a reinforced concrete bridge on Cumi-cumi Road Palu City shifted by 9.7 m by the tsunami, (c) Mambo Village with 90% of houses destroyed, and (d) asphalt layer of small road turned 90° in Tondo.

25 **Figure 7:** Satellite images taken on (a) September 26, 2017 and (b) October 2, 2018, showing the bridge shift (Maps provider: Google Earth).

**Figure 8:** Water level recording at the Pantoloan tidal station managed by the Geospatial Information Agency (Sudiby, 2018).

30

**Figure 9:** Magnified view of Fig. 9, sourced from the Geospatial Information Agency (Sudiby, 2018).

**Figure 10:** Landslide in Donggala City. (a) A trestle dropped 0.8 m in Donggala Port, (b) a building on the seaside slip down significantly, (c) the surface of an alley in a settlement dropped 0.4 m, and (d) a layered courtyard with paving blocks dropped around 1.5 m.

35

**Figure 11:** Possible landslide areas in Donggala (yellow dotted lines). Images were obtained from Google Earth. Satellite images taken on (a) 6 July, 2016 (more than a year before the earthquake) and (b) 2 October, 2018 (4 days after the

earthquake and tsunami). The yellow bounded area is around 10,068 m<sup>2</sup> or 1 hectare. Number 1, 2, 3 and 4 in Fig. 12 b corresponds to Fig. 11 (a), (b), (c), and (d).

5 **Figure 12:** Quick land subsidence in Lero Village. Photograph taken two weeks after the event. Some houses dropped suddenly, around 3-4 m, when the earthquake occurred. Residents of these houses, especially that indicated by the oval, could not save themselves. The yellow dotted line is the former coastline.

10 **Figure 13:** Quick land subsidence in Lero Village. Satellite images taken on (a) 7 April, 2016, and (b) 2 October, 2018, from Google Earth, showing conditions after the earthquake and tsunami. The area of land that dropped is 22,971 m<sup>2</sup> or almost 2.3 hectares.