Supplementary Material – Exploring the added value of a long-term multidisciplinary dataset in drought research - a drought catalogue for southwestern Germany dating back to 1801

Mathilde Erfurt¹, Georgios Skiadaresis², Erik Tijdeman³, Veit Blauhut⁴, Jürgen Bauhus², Rüdiger Glaser¹, Julia Schwarz², Willy Tegel⁵, Kerstin Stahl⁴

¹Environmental Social Science and Geography, Albert-Ludwigs-University, Freiburg i. Br., 79085, Germany

²Chair of Silviculture, Albert-Ludwigs-University, Freiburg i. Br., 79085, Germany

³Institute of Geography, Ruprecht-Karls-University, Heidelberg, 69117, Germany

⁴Environmental Hydrological Systems, Albert-Ludwigs-University, Freiburg i. Br., 79085, Germany

⁵Chair of Forest Growth and Dendroecology, Albert-Ludwigs-University, Freiburg i. Br., 79085, Germany

Correspondence to: mathilde.erfurt@geographie.uni-freiburg.de



Year



Year

Figure S2: The oak chronology.



Year

Figure S3: The fir chronology.



Figure S4: The spruce chronology.

The two meteorological drought indices (SPI and SPEI), calculated for different accumulation periods and for different months of the year, correlated strongly with each other (Figures S.5 and S.6). As expected, series of SPEI and SPI for the same accumulation periods (e.g. 3 months) and of the same months (e.g. June) showed nearly perfect correlations (r > 0.9). The relationship between the same meteorological drought indices for the same months but for different accumulation periods was weaker (e.g. between SPEI-3 of June and SPEI-6 of June). Similarly, strong correlations (r > 0.7) were found between streamflow percentiles of the two considered rivers in BW and for both accumulation periods examined (Mar-Nov and Jun-Nov). Less pronounced relationships were observed among the tree-ring chronologies, except for the strong correlation between the combined chronology and the oak chronology in both 40 year periods. The two conifer chronologies (spruce and fir) were strongly correlated in both periods (r=0.68 and 0.67 in the early and later period respectively).

Apart from the expected relationships between indicators belonging to the same groups, strong correlations were also observed between indices belonging to different groups. Streamflow percentiles correlated most strongly with long-term accumulation periods (12 and 24 months) of meteorological indices in both the early and later period (r > 0.6). Correlations between streamflow and SPI/ SPEI-6 were slightly weaker and even weaker for indices calculated for an accumulation period of three months. Tree-ring chronologies showed overall weak correlations with streamflow percentiles with the exception of the oak and the combined tree-ring chronologies which were significantly correlated with the two streamflow series from the Rhine River. However, the combined tree-ring chronology as well as the oak chronology showed the strongest correlations with short-term meteorological drought indicators in both periods. In the early period, high correlations were observed between these two chronologies and the series of SPEI/SPI-3 of August and SPEI/SPI-6 of September. In the later period, these correlations were weaker and even absent in the case of SPEI/SPI-3, while at the same time the strongest correlations were observed with SPI/SPEI-6 of June. A similar change, although weaker in strength, is observed for the spruce chronology and meteorological drought indicators was found. However, fir growth showed weak but significant correlation in the later period with short-term (3 and 6 months) SPI and SPEIs of June.

Correlation matrix 1901–2011



Figure S5: Correlations between the different indices over their common period (1901-2011).



Figure S6: Correlations between the different indices and for two different time periods (1901 to1940 and 1972 to 2011).



Similarity Between Datasets 1901-2011

Figure S7: Quantification of similarities in extreme drought event occurrence between the different pairs of indices (Eq. 1) over their common period (1901-2011).

Site Code	Lat	Lon	Species	Elevation (m)	Online source	N series	eps	snr	first	last	N years
BAME	49.49	9.78	Quercus spp.	220.6	no	210	0.98	46.96	1731	2014	284
B-W_ABI	48.7	9.03	Abies alba Mill.	-	no	115	0.77	3.27	1029	1952	924
B-W_QUE	48.7	9.03	Quercus spp.	-	no	404	0.94	15	1242	2014	773
ECO	48.11	7.89	Quercus spp.	250	no	40	0.88	7.03	1921	2016	96
EEM	48.09	7.85	Quercus spp.	212	no	42	0.95	19.1	1941	2016	76
EEO	48.09	7.85	Quercus spp.	212	no	40	0.92	11.37	1896	2016	121
EPPI	49.13	8.92	Quercus spp.	200	no	88	0.94	15.01	1770	2014	245
EWM	48.09	7.84	Quercus spp.	197	no	40	0.95	19.73	1956	2016	61
EWO	48.11	7.8	Quercus spp.	197	no	39	0.95	18.58	1915	2017	103
FEM	48.04	7.85	Quercus spp.	237	no	41	0.98	57.7	1923	2016	94
FEO	48.04	7.84	Quercus spp.	237	no	40	0.94	16.63	1813	2016	204
Fir_Schwa tz	48.02	7.95	Abies alba Mill.	-	no	60	0.89	7.76	1900	2016	117
Fir_ Schwatz	47.97	8.88	Abies alba Mill.	-	no	60	0.97	30.37	1848	2012	165
FNM	48.04	7.89	Quercus spp.	291	no	40	0.94	15.66	1926	2016	91
FNO	48.05	7.88	Quercus spp.	291	no	40	0.93	13.25	1783	2016	234
FORS	49.16	8.58	Quercus spp.	112	no	44	0.92	10.79	1811	2014	204
FWO	48.05	7.83	Quercus spp.	226	no	40	0.95	19.6	1836	2016	181
germ041w	47.8	8.08	Picea abies (L.) H. Karst.	1200	https://www.ncd c.noaa.gov/paleo - search/study/463 1	13	0.97	27.89	1932	1992	61
germ042w	48.08	7.68	Picea abies (L.) H. Karst.	440	https://www.ncd c.noaa.gov/paleo - search/study/445 2	16	0.89	8.33	1903	1995	93
germ043w	48.09	7.68	Abies alba Mill.	440	https://www.ncd c.noaa.gov/paleo	16	0.9	9.37	1926	1995	70

Table S1: The tree-ring datasets used in this study. Information on the location, species, elevation, sources and basic descriptive statistics.

					-						
					search/study/445						
					1						
					https://www.ncd						
germ044w	47.83	7.7	Picea abies (L.)	390	c.noaa.gov/paleo	20	0.93	13.52	1890	1995	106
			H. Karst.		-search/					1775	
					https://www.ncd						
0.45	47.86	77	Abies alba Mill.	390	c noss gov/paleo	20	0.04	1637	180/	1005	102
germo+3w		1.1			-search/	20	0.94	10.57	1074	1775	102
					https://www.pod						
					https://www.licd						
0.16	47.0		Picea abies (L.)	020	c.noaa.gov/paleo	20	0.05	17.04	1044	1005	1.50
germ046w	47.8	7.75	H. Karst.	930	-	20	0.95	17.06	1844	1995	152
					search/?dataType						
					Id=18						
	47.85	7.75	Abies alba Mill.		https://www.ncd						
germ047w					c.noaa.gov/paleo)					
				930	-	20	0.91	9.61	1844	1995	152
					search/?dataType						
					Id=18						
	47.8	7.98			https://www.ncd						
				1320	c.noaa.gov/paleo						
germ048w			H Korat		-	20	0.97	34.78	1871	1995	125
			H. Karst.		search/study/464						
					5						
					https://www.ncd						
		3 8.35	Picea abies (L.) H. Karst.	880	c.noaa.gov/paleo						
germ050w	48.03				-	20	0.95	20.48	1897	1994	98
					search/study/472						
					1						
					https://www.ncd						
germ051w	48	8.35	Abies alba Mill.		c.noaa.gov/paleo						
				880	-	20	0.98	54.45	1898	1994	97
					search/studv/472			-			
					0						
					https://www.ncd						
germ052w	47.8	8 8.03	D3 Picea abies (L.) H. Karst.	1250	c.noaa.gov/naleo	20	0.92	11.39	1756	1995	240
					-	20	0.72	11.37	1750	1775	270
					_						

					search/study/463						
					2						
					https://www.ncd						
germ053			D. 1. (T.)	490	c.noaa.gov/paleo		0.96 23.08			1995	115
	47.85	7.78	Picea abies (L.)		-	20		23.08	1881		
			H. Karst.		search/study/454						
					5						
					https://www.ncd						
					c.noaa.gov/paleo						
germ054	47.86	7.78	Abies alba Mill.	490	-	20	0.9	8.97	1864	1995	132
					search/study/454						
					4						
					https://www.ncd						
					c.noaa.gov/paleo	c.noaa.gov/paleo - 20 0.95 1				129	
germ055w	47.69	7.75	Picea abies (L.) H. Karst.	940	-		18.63	1867	1995		
					search/study/465						
					2						
					https://www.ncd						
	47.78	7.75	Abies alba Mill.		c.noaa.gov/paleo	c.noaa.gov/paleo - 20 0.86 6.17					
germ056w				940	-		6.17	1841	1995	155	
					search/study/465						
					1						
					https://www.ncd						
germ16	50.25	10.25	Picea abies (L.)	550	c.noaa.gov/paleo	16	0.97	27.88	1830	1955	126
			H. Karst.		-search/						
					https://www.ncd						
germ17	49.48	10.58	Picea abies (L.)	410	c.noaa.gov/paleo	10	0.79	3.66	1841	1972	132
			H. Karst.		-search/						
			D. 1. (I.)		https://www.ncd						
germ18	48.02	8.5	Picea abies (L.)	770	c.noaa.gov/paleo	10	0.77	3.35	1906	1973	68
		7.77	H. Karst. Abies alba Mill.		-search/						
					https://www.ncd						
germ3	47.82			910	c.noaa.gov/paleo/	31	0.97	37.85	1868	1976	109
					study/2703						
LCM	49.64	8.65	Quercus spp.	293	no	40	0.9	8.5	1818	2016	199
LCO	49.65	8.68	Quercus spp.	293	no	40	0.96	23.28	1873	2016	144
LCY	49.65	8.67	Quercus spp.	293	no	40	0.96	22.71	1976	2016	41
	1		1	1	1		1	!	!		

LNM	49.72	8.52	Quercus spp.	96	no	40	0.97	31.39	1948	2016	69
LNO	49.72	8.52	Quercus spp.	96	no	40	0.97	32.44	1861	2016	156
LSM	49.59	8.56	Quercus spp.	100	no	40	0.95	20.22	1909	2016	108
LSO	49.58	8.58	Quercus spp.	100	no	40	0.96	23.63	1823	2016	194
LWM	49.71	8.53	Quercus spp.	93	no	40	0.95	20.76	1904	2016	113
LWO	49.72	8.54	Quercus spp.	93	no	42	0.92	12.02	1845	2016	172
MUND	49	9.21	Quercus spp.	222.8	no	90	0.94	15.66	1797	2014	218
OFFE	48.48	7.95	Quercus spp.	156.9	no	52	0.94	15.36	1826	2014	189
rapp	49.24	9.1	Quercus spp.	240.8	no	17	0.58	1.38	1789	2018	230
REUT	48.51	9.2	Quercus spp.	404.4	no	130	0.93	12.26	1608	2014	407
sins	49.25	8.89	Quercus spp.	156.5	no	13	0.64	1.78	1815	2014	200
Spruce_ Schwatz	48.43	8.23	Picea abies (L.) H. Karst.	-	no	44	0.93	12.98	1848	2012	165
UNTE	48.77	9.57	Quercus spp.	473	no	23	0.76	3.23	1820	2014	195
URBA	48.81	9.58	Quercus spp.	268.3	no	138	0.94	16.56	1703	2014	312
WIDD	49.33	9.43	Quercus spp.	311.8	no	61	0.93	12.74	1784	2014	231
WITT	49.61	9.84	Quercus spp.	264.9	no	233	0.98	54.58	1769	2014	246