Editor comments to: "Hydrological impacts of climate change on small ungauged catchments – results from a GCM-RCM-hydrologic model chain

June 5, 2020

## 1 Introduction

- p3 l58: Adverse effects ... this sentence is still strange as "effects" is plural and the related verb "calls" is singular. Furthermore, the "," doesn't seem to be right.
- p3 l61: "The projected increase ..." is still not a correct sentence. The subject "projected increase" uses the verb "increases". That sounds strange. Suggestion: "An increase in heavy localized precipitation events as projected suggests an increase in precipitation generated local flooding"
- p5 l110ff: I have the same question as the reviewer. Even if you add a reference, it seems odd that you quantify model performance for an ungauged catchment as by definition of "ungauged", there is no data to quantify the performance. I think this needs to be stated somewhat differently.

## 2 Data and Methods

The description of the bias correction method on page 12/13 is still strange. I give you an example for a way of writing this, starting at your 1.213.

## 2.1 Example for anomaly based bias adjustment in your section 2.2.1

A reference period of 30-years (ref, from 1981 to 2010) was selected for which we calculate mean values for the month  $m=1,\ldots,12$  of a variable  $Y_{\rm ref}^{\rm ERA}$  (e.g. temperature) from ERA-Interim

$$\overline{Y_{\text{ref},m}^{\text{ERA}}} = \frac{1}{N_m} \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} Y_{\text{ref},i}^{\text{ERA}}.$$
 (1)

Analogously, we calculate monthly means for variables  $Y_{\text{ref}}^{\text{Nor}}$  from the NorESM-1-M simulations, denoted as  $\overline{Y_{\text{ref},m}^{\text{Nor}}}$ .

For NorESM-I simulations  $Y_{\text{ref},i}^{\text{Nor}}$  (six hourly time resolution) in the reference period, we define anomalies for a data point i as

$$Y_{\text{ref},i}^{\prime,\text{Nor}} = Y_{\text{ref},i}^{\text{Nor}} - \overline{Y_{\text{ref},m}^{\text{Nor}}}$$
 (2)

for each month m as deviations from the monthly means of the reference period. For simulations  $Y_{\text{fut},i}^{\text{Nor}}$  for the future period, anomalies are also taken as deviations from monthly means of the reference period

$$Y_{\text{fut},i}^{\prime,\text{Nor}} = Y_{\text{fut},i}^{\text{Nor}} - \overline{Y_{\text{ref},m}^{\text{Nor}}}.$$
 (3)

An anomaly based bias adjustment for a data point i in month m is now defined as

$$\widehat{Y}_{\mathrm{per},i}^{\mathrm{Nor}} = Y_{\mathrm{per},i}^{\mathrm{Nor}} - \overline{Y_{\mathrm{ref},m}^{\mathrm{Nor}}} + \overline{Y_{\mathrm{ref},m}^{\mathrm{ERA}}}, \tag{4}$$

where the index per denotes the reference (ref) or future (fut) period.

## 3 Miscellaneous

- write  $\sum_{i=1}^{N}$  instead of  $\sum_{i=1}^{i=N}$
- Until the late 80ties, the "\*" was used on typewriters as a symbol for multiplication as there was no dedicated symbol on the typerwriters. With modern computer typesetting systems, this is not needed anymore. For denoting a times b either use the convention that no sign means multiplication (a b) or, if needed, write  $a \cdot b$ . Your Eq. 6, using the above notation, should look like

$$\Delta Y = \frac{Y_{\text{fut}} - Y_{\text{ref}}}{Y_{\text{ref}}} \, 100 \,. \tag{5}$$