

Interactive comment on “Choice of a wildfire risk system for eucalyptus plantation: a case study for FWI, FMA⁺ and horus systems in Brazil” by Fernando Coelho Eugenio et al.

Fernando Coelho Eugenio et al.

fernando.eugenio@ufsm.br

Received and published: 20 January 2020

First of all, thank you for your availability to comment on the article. Thank you for your suggestions.

English writing really needs to be revised: I will be hiring a proofreading service to improve the English language.

We really didn't want to put all the data we have about the forest fires that occur in the study area, because the article would be too long. As we mentioned in the article, they can be seen at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.12.014>. In this other article,

C1

you can see the reason behind the cut of the study area into subzones and the criteria for doing so. But, no doubt, we will post more information in this article after review.

The indexes assessment is based on the skill score index and the Percentage of Success (in predicting fire occurrence). Due to the vast literature that exists comparing different risks, we believe that this can be our methodology as well, since it evaluates according to the success and performance of the risk being tested.

We appreciate the suggestions and will try to answer them all after the final review of the article.

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-350>, 2019.

C2