Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-339-RC1, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Assessment of relative importance of debris flow disaster risk affecting factors based on meta-analysis – cases study of northwest and southwest China" by Yuzheng Wang et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 29 January 2020

I think the manuscript may be resubmitted to NHESS after re-writing. Instead of a scientific paper, presently the manuscript looks like a technical report short of enough analysis in-depth. Writing problems exist throughout the manuscript. For examples (not all): 1. The abstract looks like that of a review paper. No any quantitative result and in depth analysis is found. I also can not found any quantitative result in the section "6. Conclusions". 2. Figures 1-2 are strange and unreadable. Furthermore, they look very similar. Why do not you merge them after a major revision? Please check other figures, e.g., Figs. 3-13. 3. Tables 1-3 seem very similar. Instead of the original data,

C1

might you sum up any common regularity from the three tables? Please check other tables.

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-339, 2020.