| 1 | Title |
|----|--|
| 2 | Bias correction of gauge-based gridded product to improve extreme precipitation analysis in the |
| 3 | Yarlung Tsangpo-Brahmaputra River Basin |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Author names and affiliations |
| 6 | Xian Luo ^{1,2} , Xuemei Fan ¹ , Yungang Li ^{1,2} , and Xuan Ji ^{1,2} |
| 7 | ¹ Institute of International Rivers and Eco-security, Yunnan University, Kunming, China |
| 8 | ² Yunnan Key Laboratory of International Rivers and Transboundary Eco-security, Kunming, China |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Address |
| 11 | Institute of International Rivers and Eco-security, Yunnan University, |
| 12 | South Section, East Outer Ring Road, Chenggong District, Kunming, China |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Email |
| 15 | Xian Luo: luoxian@ynu.edu.cn |
| 16 | Xuemei Fan: fanxuemei7@163.com |
| 17 | Yungang Li: ygli@ynu.edu.cn |
| 18 | Xuan Ji: jixuan@ynu.edu.cn |
| 19 | |
| 20 | Contact Author: Xian Luo (luoxian@ynu.edu.cn) |
| 21 | Second Contact Author: Yungang Li (ygli@ynu.edu.cn) |
| 22 | |

| 23 | Abstract. Critical gaps in the amount, quality, consistency, availability, and spatial distribution of |
|----|--|
| 24 | rainfall data limit extreme precipitation analysis, and the application of gridded precipitation data |
| 25 | are challenging because of their considerable biases. This study corrected Asian Precipitation Highly |
| 26 | Resolved Observational Data Integration Towards Evaluation of Water Resources (APHRODITE) |
| 27 | estimates in the Yarlung Tsangpo-Brahmaputra River Basin (YBRB) using two linear and two |
| 28 | nonlinear methods, and their influence on extreme precipitation indices were assessed by cross- |
| 29 | validation. Bias correction greatly improved the performance of extreme precipitation analysis. The |
| 30 | ability of four methods to correct wet-day frequency and coefficient of variation were substantially |
| 31 | different, leading to considerable differences in extreme precipitation indices. Local intensity |
| 32 | scaling (LOCI) and quantile-quantile mapping (QM) performed better than linear scaling (LS) and |
| 33 | power transformation (PT). This study would provide reference for using gridded precipitation data |
| 34 | in extreme precipitation analysis and selecting bias-corrected method for rainfall products in data- |
| 35 | sparse regions. |
| 36 | |
| 37 | 1 Introduction |
| 38 | Extreme precipitation often leads to floods, debris flows, and other secondary disasters (Wang |
| 39 | et al., 2017), and changes in the frequency and intensity of extreme precipitation profoundly |
| 40 | influence both natural environment and human society profoundly (Easterling et al., 2000; Yucel |

and Onen, 2014). Rainfall observations provide a primary foundation for comprehending their longterm variability and change in extreme precipitation (Alexander, 2016). Accurate rainfall data are
necessary for flood protection and water resource management. However, due to scarce spatial
coverage of rainfall stations, short-length rainfall records, and high proportions of missing data,

observations currently available in some remote basins are clearly inadequate to capture their
precipitation characteristics. In addition, observed rainfall data are usually difficult to collect in
international river basins because many countries may not share or freely distribute data (Lakshmi
et al., 2018).

49 The Yarlung Tsangpo-Brahmaputra River is the fourth largest river in the world in terms of flow (Kamal-Heikman et al., 2007), which is influenced profoundly by complex atmospheric 50 51 dynamics and regional climate processes (Immerzeel et al., 2010; Pervez and Henebry, 2015). 52 Because its agriculture and economy rely heavily on monsoon precipitation, the basin is particularly 53 vulnerable to changing climate (Singh et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2018; Janes et al., 2019; Xu et al., 54 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). During the four summer monsoon months of June, July, August, and 55 September (JJAS), extreme precipitation with large uncertainties lead to numerous floods (Kamal-56 Heikman et al., 2007; Dimri et al., 2016; Malik et al., 2016). However, the understanding on extreme 57 precipitation in the Yarlung Tsangpo-Brahmaputra River Basin (YBRB) have a number of gaps 58 because of its complex topographic interactions with atmospheric flows, lack of observations, and 59 data sharing issues, which hinder effective flood management (Ray et al., 2015; Prakash et al., 2019). 60 Currently, different gridded rainfall products provide effective information over regional to 61 global scales, which could be broadly classified into four categories: (1) gauge-based data sets that 62 build on observations from rainfall stations; (2) products from numerical weather predictions or 63 atmospheric models; (3) satellite-only products; and (4) combined satellite-gauge products. The 64 performance of these products vary from region to region (Duan et al., 2016). Given the 65 heterogeneity of orography and climate in the YBRB, observing and modeling its precipitation are very challenging (Khandu et al., 2017). In addition, satellite products are less reliable because high 66

| 67 | convective rainfall generally takes place in the southern foothills of the Himalayas (Prakash et al., |
|----|--|
| 68 | 2015). Compared with some other gauge-based products, Asian Precipitation Highly Resolved |
| 69 | Observational Data Integration Towards Evaluation of Water Resources (APHRODITE) dataset |
| 70 | collected more rainfall observations across South Asia (Rana et al., 2015), which have been proved |
| 71 | could better estimate spatial precipitation (Andermann et al., 2011). Nonetheless, the lack and |
| 72 | uneven distribution of rainfall stations at high altitudes in the Tibetan Plateau and Himalayas may |
| 73 | introduce uncertainty and affect the accuracy of APHRODITE estimates (Rana et al., 2015; |
| 74 | Chaudhary et al., 2017). |
| 75 | Numerous rainfall observations can be obtained from public databases, although their short |
| 76 | record and static character limit their direct application in precipitation analysis (Donat et al., 2013). |
| 77 | However, these data could be useful for bias correction of gauge-based gridded products by |
| 78 | providing additional observations from the denser network of rainfall stations. On the other hand, |
| 79 | ranging from simple linear scaling to more sophisticated nonlinear approaches, several methods |
| 80 | have been developed to adjust global climate model (GCM) data (Teutschbein and Seibert, 2012). |
| 81 | Similarly, these bias correction methods could be applied to correct gridded rainfall products in |

sparsely-gauged mountainous basins (He et al., 2017). It is important to study whether extreme
precipitation analysis could be improved by bias correction of gridded precipitation data and how
different methods would influence extreme precipitation indices.

85 This study evaluated different bias correction approaches for APHRODITE estimates in the 86 YBRB and assessed their effects on extreme precipitation analysis. We first corrected APHRODITE 87 estimates by both linear and nonlinear methods. Next, we calculated extreme precipitation indices 88 using original and different corrected APHRODITE estimates, and the effects of bias correction on

| 89 | extreme precipitation analysis were further investigated by cross-validation. The results would | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 90 | support reference for the application of gridded precipitation data and bias-corrected methods in | | | | | |
| 91 | extreme precipitation analysis. | | | | | |
| 92 | | | | | | |
| 93 | 2 Material and methods | | | | | |
| 94 | 2.1 Study area | | | | | |
| 95 | The YBRB can be divided into three physiographic zones: (1) the Tibetan plateau (TP), | | | | | |
| 96 | covering 44.4% of the basin, with elevations above 3500 m; (2) the Himalayan belt (HB), accounting | | | | | |
| 97 | for 28.6% of the basin, with elevations ranging from 100 m to 3500 m; and (3) the floodplains (FP), | | | | | |
| 98 | covering 27.0% of the basin, with elevations up to 100 m (Immerzeel, 2008). | | | | | |
| 99 | The moisture in the YBRB is mainly from the Indian Ocean. The YBRB exhibits a broad range | | | | | |
| 100 | of precipitation from the semi-arid upstream areas to the HB characterized by abundant orographic | | | | | |
| 101 | rainfall as well as the vast humid FP. In the upstream areas, precipitation is concentrated during | | | | | |
| 102 | JJAS, and rainfall intensity is mostly low due to long-distance moisture transport (Guan et al., 1984). | | | | | |
| 103 | The irregular topographic variations in the Himalayas profoundly affect the spatial distribution of | | | | | |
| 104 | precipitation by altering monsoonal flow, producing intense orographic rainfall along the Himalayan | | | | | |
| 105 | foothills (Khandu et al., 2017). The downstream areas also receive high rainfall from monsoon flow | | | | | |
| 106 | during JJAS, accounting for 60%-70% of the annual rainfall (Gain et al., 2011). | | | | | |
| 107 | | | | | | |
| 108 | 2.2 Data sources | | | | | |

109 2.2.1 Observational data

110 In the upper YBRB, rainfall data across China recorded at 31 meteorological stations were

collected from the National Meteorological Information Center (NMIC, sourced from the China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System). In addition, data observed at 91 rainfall stations in the downstream area were obtained from the Global Historical Climatology Network (GHCN)–Daily dataset for bias correction. GHCN-Daily dataset comprises observations from four sources, which have been undergone extensive quality reviews, including the U.S. Collection, the International Collection, the Government Exchange Data, and the Global Summary of the Day. The locations of rainfall stations are shown in Fig. 1.

118

119 2.2.2 APHRODITE estimates

Numerous rainfall observations were incorporated into APHRODITE estimates, including (1) Global Telecommunication System (GTS)-based data, (2) data obtained from other projects or organizations, and (3) their own collection. The rainfall observations that had undergone quality control were gathered, and the ratios of rainfall observations to the world climatology were calculated and then interpolated for each month. The interpolated ratios were multiplied by the world climatology, and the first six components of the fast Fourier transform of the resulting values were used to obtain daily precipitation (Yatagai et al., 2012).

127 of APHRO MA 025deg V1101 Daily rainfall data (http://aphrodite.st.hirosakiu.ac.jp/index.html) at 0.25° resolution in the Asian monsoon area end in 2007, while recently 128 published APHRO_MA_025deg_V1101EX_R1 (http://aphrodite.st.hirosaki-u.ac.jp/index.html), 129 130 using the same algorithm and spatial resolution, extend the time series over the period 2007–2015. 131 Therefore, extreme precipitation could be analyzed during 1951–2015 by applying both datasets. 132 To investigate the influence of topography on bias-corrected APHRODITE estimates, the grids were 133 classified into three topographic zones (the TP, HB, and FP; Fig. 2).

134

135 **2.3 Methods**

136 2.3.1 Bias correction methods

Two linear methods (linear scaling (LS) and local intensity scaling (LOCI)) and two non-linear
methods (power transformation (PT) and quantile–quantile mapping (QM)) were used for bias
correction in this study.

140 (1) LS

LS corrects monthly estimates in accordance with observations (Lenderink et al., 2007). It corrects APHRODITE estimates using the ratio between mean monthly observation and corresponding estimation:

144
$$P_{APH}^{*}(d) = P_{APH}(d) \cdot \left[\frac{\mu_{m}(P_{obs}(d))}{\mu_{m}(P_{APH}(d))} \right]$$
(1)

145 where $P_{APH}^{*}(d)$ and $P_{APH}(d)$ are the daily precipitation of corrected and original APHRODITE 146 estimate, respectively, and $P_{obs}(d)$ is the daily precipitation observed at the rainfall station in 147 corresponding grid of the APHRODITE estimate. $\mu_{m}(P_{obs}(d))$ and $\mu_{m}(P_{APH}(d))$ are the mean 148 monthly precipitation of observations and corresponding APHRODITE estimates in the *m*th month, 149 respectively.

150 (2) LOCI

LOCI makes a flexible adjustment to the wet-day frequency and intensity (Schmidli et al., 2006; Teutschbein and Seibert, 2012). Firstly, an adjusted precipitation threshold ($P_{th,APH}$) is determined so that the number of days exceeding this threshold for APHRODITE estimates matches that of observed days with precipitation larger than 0 mm. Secondly, a linear scaling factor (*s*) for wet days is computed:

156
$$s = \frac{\mu_m \left(P_{obs} \left(d \right) \middle| P_{obs} \left(d \right) > 0 \text{ mm} \right)}{\mu_m \left(P_{APH} \left(d \right) \middle| P_{APH} \left(d \right) > P_{th,APH} \right) - P_{th,APH}}$$
(2)

157 where $\mu_m \left(P_{obs} \left(d \right) | P_{obs} \left(d \right) > 0 \text{ mm} \right)$ is the mean monthly precipitation of observations with daily 158 precipitation larger than 0 mm, and $\mu_m \left(P_{APH} \left(d \right) | P_{APH} \left(d \right) > P_{th,APH} \right)$ is the mean monthly precipitation 159 of APHRODITE estimates with daily precipitation larger than $P_{th,APH}$. Finally, the precipitation data 160 are corrected, using:

161
$$P_{APH}^{*}(d) = \max\left(s \cdot \left(P_{APH}(d) - P_{h,APH}\right), 0\right)$$
 (3)

PT corrects both the mean and the coefficient of variation of precipitation (Leander andBuishand, 2007), changing precipitation by:

165
$$P_{APH}^{*}(d) = a \cdot \left(P_{APH}(d)\right)^{b}$$
(4)

where a and b are the parameters of the power transformation, which are obtained using a distribution-free approach and estimated for each month within a 90-day window. Using a rootfinding algorithm, the value of b is firstly determined to ensure that the coefficient of variation of the corrected estimates matches that of the observations. The parameter a is then calculated using the mean observation and the corresponding mean of the transformed values.

171 (4) QM

By shifting occurrence distributions, QM corrects the distribution function of precipitation estimates to match that of observations, which is commonly used in correcting systematic distributional biases (Cannon et al., 2015). A Gamma distribution is usually assumed for precipitation events (Teutschbein and Seibert, 2012):

176
$$f_{\gamma}(x|\alpha,\beta) = x^{\alpha-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta^{\alpha} \cdot \Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot e^{-\frac{x}{\beta}}; x \ge 0; \alpha, \beta > 0$$
(5)

177 where α and β are the shape parameter and scale parameter, respectively.

The cumulative density function (CDF) of the APHRODITE estimates is adjusted to agree with that of the observation, and the daily precipitation for APHRODITE estimates is corrected depending on its quantile. It should be noted that for APHRODITE estimates, many days had low precipitation estimates instead of substantial dry conditions, which may distort the distribution of daily precipitation. Therefore, an adjusted precipitation threshold is also used to ensure the wet-day frequency of corrected APHRODITE estimates match the observed frequency:

184
$$P_{APH}^{*}(d) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } P_{APH}(d) < P_{th,APH} \\ F_{\gamma}^{-1} \left(F_{\gamma} \left(P_{APH}(d) \middle| \alpha_{APH,m}, \beta_{APH,m} \right) \middle| \alpha_{obs,m}, \beta_{obs,m} \right), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

185 (6)

186 F_{γ} and F_{γ}^{-1} are the Gamma CDF and its inverse, respectively. $\alpha_{APH,m}$ and $\beta_{APH,m}$ are the shape 187 parameter and scale parameter of original APHRODITE estimates in the *m*th month, respectively, 188 and $\alpha_{obs,m}$ and $\beta_{obs,m}$ are those of observations in the *m*th month, respectively.

This study corrected the grids of the APHRODITE estimates that contained time series of observations, and the parameters of bias correction were determined using corresponding available rainfall observations. After that, the APHRODITE estimates during 1951–2015 in these grids were corrected by 4 bias correction methods, respectively. Hereafter, APHRODITE estimates corrected by LS, LOCI, PT, and QM are referred as LS-APHRODITE, LOCI-APHRODITE, PT-APHRODITE, and QM-APHRODITE estimates, respectively.

195

196 2.3.2 Indices of extreme precipitation

197 To characterize extreme precipitation during JJAS, six indices recommended by the Expert

| 198 | Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (ETCCDI), including consecutive wet days (CWD), |
|-----|---|
| 199 | number of heavy precipitation days (R10mm), number of very heavy precipitation days (R20mm), |
| 200 | maximum 1-day precipitation amount (Rx1d), maximum 5-day precipitation amount (Rx5d), and |
| 201 | simple daily intensity index (SDII), were applied in this study. Detailed descriptions of these indices |
| 202 | are shown in Table 1. The indices fall roughly into three categories: (1) duration indices, which |
| 203 | represent the length of the wet spell; (2) threshold indices, which count the days on which a fixed |
| 204 | precipitation threshold is exceeded; (3) absolute indices, which describe the maximum 1-day or 5- |
| 205 | day precipitation amount (Sillmann et al., 2013). |
| 206 | Extreme precipitation indices for corrected APHRODITE estimates in the grids distributed |
| 207 | with rainfall stations were calculated. To obtain extreme precipitation indices in other grids, inverse |
| 208 | distance weighted (IDW) interpolation for extreme precipitation indices were performed. This |
| 209 | allowed us to calculate mean values for each of the three topographic zones. |
| 210 | |
| | |

211 **2.3.3 Validation on bias correction**

Cross-validation was used to evaluate the performance of 4 bias correction methods. At each rainfall station, the observations were divided into two groups. Two third of the rainfall records were applied to calculate the parameters of bias correction, and then APHRODITE estimates were corrected. Making use of the remaining rainfall observations, the mean error (*ME*) of the extreme precipitation indices for corrected APHRODITE estimates were calculated to evaluate the performance of different bias correction methods.

218

219 3 Results

3.1 Extreme precipitation indices calculated from original and corrected APHRODITE
 estimates

222 **3.1.1** Extreme precipitation indices in the three physiographic zones

Extreme precipitation indices calculated from original and four corrected APHRODITE estimates in the three different physiographic zones are shown in Fig. 3. The CWD estimated using original APHRODITE and LS-APHRODITE estimates were similar. Meanwhile, those derived from LOCI-, PT-, and QM-APHRODITE estimates were much less.

Mean R10mm during JJAS obtained by original APHRODITE estimates in the TP, HB, and FP were 6.7, 31.0, and 47.7 days, respectively. These were similar to those estimated by corrected APHRODITE estimates. However, the differences in R20mm were much pronounced. Mean R20mm in HB and FP for bias-corrected APHRODITE datasets were close to 19.0 and 26.5 days, respectively, which were approximately 4–5 days higher than those derived from original APHRODITE estimates.

- 233 Compared with original APHRODITE estimates, the Rx1d and Rx5d increased greatly after
- bias correction. In the HB, the mean Rx1d obtained from original APHRODITE estimates was 49.5

235 mm, while those for LS-, LOCI-, PT-, and QM-APHRODITE estimates were 72.4, 90.1, 109.0, and

- 236 103.8 mm, respectively. In addition, the ranges of Rx1d and Rx5d also increased considerably.
- 237 The differences in SDII between original and corrected APHRODITE estimates were also
- 238 marked. For example, mean SDII in the FP calculated from original APHRODITE estimates was
- 239 13.4 mm. After correction, mean SDII for LOCI- and QM-APHRODITE estimates increased to 23.4
- and 25.1 mm, respectively. These values were much greater than those derived from LS- and PT-
- APHRODITE datasets (15.7 and 17.7 mm).

243 **3.1.2** Relative changes in extreme precipitation indices

| 244 | The relative changes in extreme precipitation indices during JJAS based on original and |
|-----|--|
| 245 | corrected APHRODITE estimates are shown in Fig. 4. The CWD for LOCI-, PT-, and QM- |
| 246 | APHRODITE estimates were all lower than original APHRODITE estimates, yielding relative |
| 247 | change rates from -66% to -27% . Bias correction decreased the number of rainy days except LS. |
| 248 | The variations in R10mm and R20mm illustrated that corrected APHRODITE estimates identified |
| 249 | much more extreme precipitation events in the TP. The changes in indices varied considerably for |
| 250 | different correction methods, with the change rates of R20mm in the TP for LS-, LOCI-, PT-, and |
| 251 | QM-APHRODITE estimates being 30.4%, 169.2%, 297.1%, and 317.4%, respectively. For Rx1d, |
| 252 | Rx5d, and SDII, the increases in the HB were much pronounced than those in the FP and TP. Except |
| 253 | for LS-APHRODITE estimates, the increases in Rx1d and Rx5d in the HB were all above 70% for |
| 254 | corrected APHRODITE estimates. |
| 255 | |
| | |

256 **3.2** Influence of bias correction on extreme precipitation indices

257 **3.2.1** Evaluation of extreme precipitation indices

The *ME* of extreme precipitation indices during JJAS for validation are shown in Fig. 5. For original APHRODITE estimates, the *ME* of CWD in the TP, HB, and FP were 8.3, 16.4, and 21.8 days, respectively. There were a lot of days with low precipitation estimations instead of substantial dry conditions, leading to the overestimation on CWD. Likewise, this propagated to LS-APHRODITE estimates with similar *ME* of CWD, because there was no change made to the wetday frequency. The *ME* of CWD in the TP, HB, and FP for LOCI-APHRODITE estimates were 3.1,

1.2, and 1.4 days, respectively, and those for QM-APHRODITE estimates were 2.5, 0.8, and 0.9 264 days, respectively. For both LOCI- and QM-APHRODITE estimates, the days with low 265 266 precipitation estimations instead of substantial dry conditions were redefined as dry days using precipitation threshold, resulting in much less ME and more reliable CWD. Finally, although PT did 267 268 not directly correct wet-day frequency, the CWD for PT-APHRODITE estimates were lower than 269 those for original APHRODITE estimates because tiny precipitation were corrected. 270 Original APHRODITE tended to underestimate heavy and very heavy precipitation days. Bias 271 correction reduced error on R10mm and R20mm except LS, and the absolute value of mean ME for 272 LOCI-, PT-, and QM-APHRODITE estimates were mostly less than 1.0 days. LOCI, PT, and QM 273 are able to effectively correct heavy and very heavy precipitation days. 274 For original APHRODITE estimates, the ME of Rx1d were -11.3, -89.1 and -50.5 mm in the 275 TP, HB, and FP, respectively, and those of Rx5d reached -18.0, -167.4 and -76.8 mm, respectively. Original APHRODITE estimates greatly underestimated Rx1d and Rx5d. For corrected 276 APHRODITE estimates, QM performed best on Rx1d, and the ME for QM-APHRODITE estimates 277 278 were -0.1, -1.9 and -5.4 mm, respectively. LS and LOCI used consistent ratio in linear 279 transformation, resulting in underestimation on Rx1d. In addition, LOCI outperformed other 280 methods on Rx5d, and the overestimation in the HB and FP for PT- and QM-APHRODITE estimates 281 were greater. 282 The ME of SDII for original APHRODITE estimates in the TP, HB, and FP were -2.4, -13.9283 and -11.0 mm, respectively. Firstly, heavy and very heavy precipitation in the HB and TP were not 284 fully captured by original APHRODITE estimates. Secondly, original APHRODITE estimates

285 overestimated wet days, which distorted the estimation of precipitation intensity. Smaller error were

found in LOCI- and QM-APHRODITE estimates because they corrected rainfall amount as well as
 the number of rainy days.

288

289 **3.2.2** Spatial distribution of extreme precipitation

290 Rainstorms over the lower YBRB usually have the duration of 2-3 days (Dhar and Nandargi, 291 2000), and large multi-day precipitation events are crucial to the floods in the basin. Hence, the 292 spatial distribution of Rx5d during JJAS based on original APHRODITE estimates were compared 293 with corrected APHRODITE estimates in Fig. 6. For original APHRODITE estimates, the area with 294 Rx5d higher than 300 mm only accounted for 2.0% of the basin, while the proportions for LS-, LOCI-, PT-, and QM-APHRODITE estimates were 10.9%, 18.7%, 21.7%, and 21.3%, respectively. 295 296 The most profound difference between original and corrected APHRODITE estimates occurred over 297 the windward slopes of the Himalayas before the river flows into the Brahmaputra valley. The Rx5d calculated from original APHRODITE estimates were lower than 300 mm, while much higher Rx5d 298 299 were obtained after bias correction, yielding maxima of 946.6, 1030.3, 1105.1, and 1396.6 mm for 300 LS-, LOCI-, PT-, and QM-APHRODITE estimates, respectively. The eastern Himalayas, acting as 301 orographic barriers, push the southwest moist air upwards, leading to heavier extreme precipitation 302 over the windward slopes (Singh et al., 2004; Bookhagen and Burbank, 2010; Dimri et al., 2016). 303 However, original APHRODITE estimates tended to substantially underestimate these extreme 304 precipitation. Besides aforementioned region, higher Rx5d along the Himalayan front were also 305 found after bias correction. In this case, extreme precipitation calculated from nonlinear approaches 306 were heavier than those derived from linear methods. In general, bias correction are able to consider 307 topographic effects on the spatial distribution of extreme precipitation more comprehensively.

309 4 Discussion

Using two linear and two nonlinear bias methods, we corrected APHRODITE estimates during JJAS in the YBRB to investigate the effects of different approaches on extreme precipitation analysis. Extreme precipitation indices were strongly dependent on the bias correction approach applied.

A primary problem when using gauge-based gridded data sets for extreme precipitation 314 315 analysis is the fundamental mismatch between point-based observations and gridded estimates (Alexander, 2016). In addition, the spatial coverage of rainfall stations is another major source of 316 317 uncertainty, particularly where spatial distributions of precipitation are complex (Donat et al., 2013). 318 There are currently several approaches for bias correction, ranging from simple linear scaling to 319 more sophisticated nonlinear methods (Teutschbein and Seibert, 2012). Although mean precipitation 320 corrected by all bias-corrected approaches were similar, their standard deviations and consequent 321 extreme precipitation indices varied considerably. In the case of linear correction, both mean and 322 standard deviation are multiplied by same factor (Leander and Buishand, 2007), resulting in dubious 323 variations of precipitation. Nonlinear correction adjust mean and also coefficient of variation 324 (Teutschbein and Seibert, 2012), yielding more reliable results. In addition, the typical biases of 325 rainfall products are related to their identification of too many wet days with low-intensity 326 precipitation. Among the four bias-corrected approaches applied herein, LS and PT make no change 327 on the number of rainy days, while LOCI and QM use threshold exceedance to match the wet-day 328 frequency to the observations.



330 precipitation analysis may suffer from data restrictions (Nishat and Rahman, 2009; Luo et al., 2019). 331 Several great international rivers in south Asia, including the Indus, Ganges, and Yarlung 332 Tsangpo-Brahmaputra, originate from or flow through the Himalayas. Topographic variations of 333 the Himalayas profoundly influence the spatial distribution of precipitation by altering monsoonal 334 flow, resulting in considerable orographic rainfall on the windward slopes (Khandu et al., 2017). 335 Rainfall estimates of different products varied markedly along the Himalayan front and obtained similar results toward the adjacent low-relief domains (Andermann et al., 2011). The GHCN-Daily 336 337 data can be applied to correct gauge-based gridded data sets in this region, ensuring these products 338 capture the spatial distribution and variation of extreme precipitation. However, numerous GHCN-339 Daily records in Asia do not contain data from recent years, and the short or incomplete rainfall 340 records limit their direct applications (Donat et al., 2013). Hence, it would be preferable to apply 341 nonpublic datasets in data-sparse regions.

342

343 5 Conclusions

344 Despite increasing use of gridded rainfall products in sparsely gauged river basins, their 345 application in extreme precipitation analysis is challenging due to considerable biases. This study 346 made use of four methods to correct APHRODITE estimates in the YBRB. Their influences on 347 extreme precipitation indices were compared and assessed. The following conclusions were drawn. 348 (1) Original APHRODITE estimates tended to underestimate heavy and very heavy precipitation in the YBRB, and there were a lot of days with low precipitation estimations instead 349 350 of substantial dry conditions. Bias correction greatly improved the performance of extreme 351 precipitation analysis. The extreme precipitation indices calculated from different corrected

| 352 | APHRODITE estimates varied substantially, and LOCI- and QM-APHRODITE estimates were able |
|-----|--|
| | |

353 to obtain more reliable extreme precipitation indices.

| 354 | (2) Insufficient gauge observations in the Himalayas caused high uncertainty in the heavy |
|-----|--|
| 355 | precipitation estimates for original APHRODITE estimates. After bias correction using observations |
| 356 | from a denser network of gauges, the heterogeneous orographic effects on extreme precipitation |
| 357 | were captured more accurately. |

358

| 359 | Data availability. | The co-authors us | sed publicly | available dat | ta from tl | he Asian F | Precipitation | Highl | y |
|-----|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|---------------|-------|---|
|-----|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|---------------|-------|---|

- 360 Resolved Observational Data Integration Towards Evaluation of Water Resources and the National
- 361 Centers for Environmental Information. In addition, rainfall observations in China were obtained
- 362 from the National Meteorological Information Center.
- 363
- 364 Author contributions. XL and YL conceived the study, XL and XF carried out bias correction and 365 extreme precipitation analysis, XL drafted the paper, and all co-authors jointly worked on enriching

and developing the draft.

367

366

368 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

369

370 Acknowledgements. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

- 371 (41661144044, 41601026), the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFA0601601), and the
- 372 Science and Technology Planning Project of Yunnan Province, China (2017FB073).

374 References

- 375 Alexander, L. V.: Global observed long-term changes in temperature and precipitation extremes: A
- 376 review of progress and limitations in IPCC assessments and beyond, Weather & Climate Extremes,
- 377 11, 4–16, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2015.10.007, 2016.
- 378 Andermann, C., Bonnet, S., and Gloaguen, R.: Evaluation of precipitation data sets along the
- 379 Himalayan front, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 12, Q07023,
- 380 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011gc003513, 2011.
- 381 Bookhagen, B., Burbank, and D. W.: Toward a complete Himalayan hydrological budget:
- 382 Spatiotemporal distribution of snowmelt and rainfall and their impact on river discharge, Journal of
- 383 Geophysical Research, 115, F03019, https://doi.org/doi:10.1029/2009JF001426, 2010.
- 384 Cannon A. J., Sobie S. R., Murdock T. Q.: Bias correction of GCM precipitation by quantile
- 385 mapping: How well do methods preserve changes in quantiles and extremes? Journal of Climate,
- 386 28, 6938–6959, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00754.1, 2015.
- 387 Chaudhary S., Dhanya C. T., and Vinnarasi R.: Dry and wet spell variability during monsoon in
- 388 gauge-based gridded daily precipitation datasets over India, Journal of Hydrology, 546, 204–218,
- 389 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.01.023, 2017.
- 390 Dhar, O. N. and Nandargi, S.: A study of floods in the Brahmaputra Basin in India, International
- 391 Journal of Climatology, 20, 771–781, 2000.
- 392 Dimri, A. P., Thayyen, R. J., Kibler, K., Stanton, A., Jain, S. K., Tullos, D., and Singh, V. P.: A review
- 393 of atmospheric and land surface processes with emphasis on flood generation in the Southern
- 394 Himalayan rivers, Science of the Total Environment, 556, 98 115,
- 395 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.02.206, 2016.

- 396 Donat, M.G., Alexander, L.V., Yang, H., Durre, I., Vose, R., and Caesar, J.: Global land-based
- 397 datasets for monitoring climatic extremes, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 94,
- 398 997–1006, http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-12-00109.1, 2013.
- 399 Easterling, D. R.: Climate extremes: observations, modeling, and impacts, Science, 289, 2068–2074,
- 400 https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/science.289.5487.2068, 2000.
- 401 Gain, A. K., Immerzeel, W. W., Sperna Weiland, F. C., and Bierkens, M. F. P.: Impact of climate
- 402 change on the stream flow of the lower Brahmaputra: trends in high and low flows based on
- 403 discharge-weighted ensemble modelling, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 15, 1537–1545,
- 404 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-1537-2011, 2011.
- 405 Guan, Z. H., Chen, C. Y., Ou, Y. X., Fan, Y. Q., Zhang, Y. S., Chen, Z. M., Bao, S. H., Zu, Y. T., He,
- X. W., and Zhang, M. T. (Eds.): Rivers and Lakes in Tibet, Science Press, Beijing, China, pp. 35–
 39, 1984.
- 408 He, Z., Hu, H., Tian F., Ni G., and Hu Q.: Correcting the TRMM rainfall product for hydrological
- 409 modelling in sparsely-gauged mountainous basins, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 62, 306–318,
- 410 https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2016.1222532, 2017.
- 411 Immerzeel, W.: Historical trends and future predictions of climate variability in the Brahmaputra
- 412 basin, International Journal of Climatology, 28, 243–254, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1528, 2008.
- 413 Immerzeel, W. W., van Beek, L. P. H., and Bierkens, M. F. P.: Climate change will affect the Asian
- 414 water towers, Science, 328, 1382–1385, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1183188, 2010.
- 415 Janes, T., Mcgrath, F., Macadam, I., and Jones, R.: High-resolution climate projections for south
- 416 Asia to inform climate impacts and adaptation studies in the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna and
- 417 Mahanadi deltas, Science of The Total Environment, 650, 1499 1520,

- 418 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.376, 2019.
- 419 Kamal-Heikman, S., Derry, L. A., Stedinger, J. R., and Duncan, C. C.: A simple predictive tool for
- 420 lower Brahmaputra River Basin monsoon flooding, Earth Interactions, 11, 1 11,
- 421 https://doi.org/10.1175/EI226.1, 2007.
- 422 Khandu, Awange, J. L., Kuhn, M., Anyah, R., and Forootan, E.: Changes and variability of
- 423 precipitation and temperature in the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna River Basin based on global
- 424 high-resolution reanalyses, International Journal of Climatology, 37, 2141-2159,
- 425 https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4842, 2017.
- 426 Lakshmi, V., Fayne, J., and Bolten, J.: A comparative study of available water in the major river
- 427 basins of the world, Journal of Hydrology, 567, 510 532,
 428 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.10.038, 2018.
- Leander, R. and Buishand, T. A.: Resampling of regional climate model output for the simulation of extreme river flows, Journal of Hydrology, 332, 487 – 496,
- 431 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.08.006, 2007.
- 432 Lenderink, G., Buishand, A., and van Deursen, W.: Estimates of future discharges of the river Rhine
- 433 using two scenario methodologies: direct versus delta approach, Hydrology and Earth System
- 434 Sciences, 11, 1145–1159, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-11-1145-2007, 2007.
- 435 Liu, Z., Wang, R., and Yao, Z.: Climate change and its impact on water availability of large
- 436 international rivers over the mainland Southeast Asia, Hydrological Processes, 32, 3966-3977,
- 437 https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13304, 2018.
- 438 Luo, X., Wu, W., He, D., Li, Y., and Ji, X.: Hydrological simulation using TRMM and CHIRPS
- 439 precipitation estimates in the lower Lancang-Mekong River Basin, Chinese Geographical Science,

- 440 29, 13–25, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11769-019-1014-6, 2019.
- 441 Malik, N., Bookhagen, B., and Mucha, P. J.: Spatiotemporal patterns and trends of Indian monsoonal
- 442 rainfall extremes, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 1710,
 443 https://doi.org/doi:10.1002/2016GL067841, 2016.
- 444 Nishat, B. and Rahman, S. M. M.: Water resources modeling of the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna
- 445 River Basins using satellite remote sensing data, Journal of the American Water Resources
- 446 Association, 45, 1313–1327, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2009.00374.x, 2009.
- 447 Pervez, M. S. and Henebry, G. M.: Spatial and seasonal responses of precipitation in the Ganges
- 448 and Brahmaputra river basins to ENSO and Indian Ocean dipole modes: implications for flooding
- 449 and drought, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 15, 147–162, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-15-147-2015,
- 450 2015.
- 451 Prakash, S., Mitra, A. K., Momin, I. M., Rajagopal, E. N., Basu, S., Collins, M., Turner, A. G., Rao,
- 452 K. A., and Ashok, K.: Seasonal intercomparison of observational rainfall datasets over India during
- the southwest monsoon season, International Journal of Climatology, 35, 2326-2338,
- 454 https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4129, 2015.
- 455 Prakash, S., Seshadri, A., Srinivasan, J., and Pai, D. S.: A new parameter to assess impact of rain
- 456 gauge density on uncertainty in the estimate of monthly rainfall over India, Journal of
- 457 Hydrometeorology, 20, 821–832, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-18-0161.1, 2019.
- 458 Rana, S., McGregor, J., and Renwick, J.: Precipitation seasonality over the Indian subcontinent: an
- 459 evaluation of gauge, reanalyses, and satellite retrievals, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 16, 631–651,
- 460 https://doi.org/10.1175/jhm-d-14-0106.1, 2015.
- 461 Ray, P. A., Yang, Y. E., Wi, S., Khalil, A., Chatikavanij, V., and Brown, C.: Room for improvement:

- 462 Hydroclimatic challenges to poverty-reducing development of the Brahmaputra River basin,
- 463 Environmental Science & Policy, 54, 64–80, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.06.015, 2015.
- 464 Schmidli, J., Frei, C., and Vidale, P. L.: Downscaling from GCM precipitation: a benchmark for
- 465 dynamical and statistical downscaling methods, International Journal of Climatology, 26, 679–689,
- 466 https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1287, 2006.
- 467 Sillmann, J., Kharin, V. V., Zhang, X., Zwiers, F. W., and Bronaugh, D.: Climate extremes indices
- 468 in the CMIP5 multimodel ensemble: Part 1. Model evaluation in the present climate, Journal of
- 469 Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 1716–1733, https://doi.org/doi:10.1002/jgrd.50203,
- 470 2013.
- 471 Singh, S., Kumar, R., Bhardwaj, A., Sam, L., Shekhar, M., Singh, A., Kumar, R., and Gupta, A.:
- 472 Changing climate and glacio-hydrology in Indian Himalayan Region: a review. Wiley
- 473 Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 7, 393–410. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.393, 2016.
- 474 Singh, V. P., Sharma, N., and Ojha, C. S. P. (Eds.): The Brahmaputra Basin water resources, Kluwer
- 475 Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp. 17–34, 2004.
- 476 Teutschbein, C. and Seibert, J.: Bias correction of regional climate model simulations for
- 477 hydrological climate-change impact studies: Review and evaluation of different methods, Journal
- 478 of Hydrology, 456–457, 12–29, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.05.052, 2012.
- 479 Wang, C., Ren, X., and Li, Y.: Analysis of extreme precipitation characteristics in low mountain
- 480 areas based on three-dimensional copulas—taking Kuandian County as an example, Theoretical and
- 481 Applied Climatology, 128, 169–179, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-015-1692-7, 2017.
- 482 Xu, R., Hu, H., Tian, F., Li, C., and Khan, M. Y. A.: Projected climate change impacts on future
- 483 streamflow of the Yarlung Tsangpo-Brahmaputra River, Global and Planetary Change, 175, 144-

- 484 159, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2019.01.012, 2019.
- 485 Yatagai, A., Kamiguchi, K., Arakawa, O., Hamada, A., Yasutomi, N., and Kitoh, A.: APHRODITE:
- 486 Constructing a long-term daily gridded precipitation dataset for Asia based on a dense network of
- 487 rain gauges, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 93, 1401-1415,
- 488 https://doi.org/10.1175/bams-d-11-00122.1, 2012.
- 489 Yucel, I. and Onen, A.: Evaluating a mesoscale atmosphere model and a satellite-based algorithm in
- 490 estimating extreme rainfall events in northwestern Turkey, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 14, 611-
- 491 624, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-14-611-2014, 2014.
- 492 Zhang Y., Zheng H., Herron N., Liu X., Wang Z., Chiew, F. H. S., and Parajka, J.: A framework
- 493 estimating cumulative impact of damming on downstream water availability, Journal of Hydrology,
- 494 575, 612–627, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.05.061, 2019.

Table 1. Detailed description of extreme precipitation indices.

| Index | Descriptive name | Definition | Unit | | |
|-------|------------------------------------|---|--------|--|--|
| CWD | Construction and down | Maximum number of consecutive days with | | | |
| CWD | Consecutive wet days | precipitation $\geq 1 \text{ mm}$ | days | | |
| | | Count of days when precipitation $\geq 10 \text{ mm}$ | | | |
| R10mm | Number of heavy precipitation days | during June, July, August, and September | days | | |
| | | (JJAS) | | | |
| DOO | Number of very heavy precipitation | Count of days when precipitation $\ge 20 \text{ mm}$ | | | |
| R20mm | days | during JJAS | days | | |
| | Maximum 1-day precipitation | Maximum 1-day precipitation | | | |
| KXIU | amount | | mm | | |
| Dusd | Maximum 5-day precipitation | | | | |
| KXJU | amount | Maximum consecutive 3-day precipitation | mm | | |
| | | Total precipitation during JJAS divided by the | | | |
| SDII | Simple daily intensity index | number of wet days (when precipitation ≥ 1 | mm/day | | |
| | | mm) | | | |

Table 1. Detailed description of extreme precipitation indices.

_

499 Figure 1. Locations of rainfall stations in the Yarlung Tsangpo-Brahmaputra River Basin (YBRB).

- 500 Figure 2. Location of Asian Precipitation Highly Resolved Observational Data Integration Towards
- 501 Evaluation of Water Resources (APHRODITE) grids over the Tibetan plateau (TP), Himalayan belt
- 502 (HB), and floodplains (FP).
- 503 Figure 3. Box-whisker plot for (a) consecutive wet days (CWD), (b) number of heavy precipitation
- days (R10mm), (c) number of very heavy precipitation days (R20mm), (d) maximum 1-day
- 505 precipitation amount (Rx1d), (e) maximum 5-day precipitation amount (Rx5d), and (f) simple daily
- 506 intensity index (SDII) during June, July, August, and September (JJAS) in the three different
- 507 physiographic zones (the TP, HB, and FP) of the YBRB derived from original and corrected 508 APHRODITE estimates.
- 509 Figure 4. Relative change rate of (a) CWD, (b) R10mm, (c) R20mm, (d) Rx1d, (e) Rx5d, and (f)
- 510 SDII during JJAS for original and corrected APHRODITE estimates.
- 511 Figure 5. Mean error (ME) of extreme precipitation indices during JJAS for validation in the three
- 512 different physiographic zones (TP, HB, and FP) of the YBRB.
- 513 Figure 6. Spatial distribution of mean Rx5d during JJAS in the YBRB based on (a) original
- 514 APHRODITE estimates, as well as (b) linear scaling (LS)-APHRODITE estimates, (c) local
- 515 intensity scaling (LOCI)-APHRODITE estimates, (d) power transformation (PT)-APHRODITE
- 516 estimates, and (e) quantile-quantile mapping (QM)-APHRODITE estimates.



519 Figure 1. Locations of rainfall stations in the Yarlung Tsangpo-Brahmaputra River Basin (YBRB).



Figure 2. Location of Asian Precipitation Highly Resolved Observational Data Integration Towards
Evaluation of Water Resources (APHRODITE) grids over the Tibetan plateau (TP), Himalayan belt
(HB), and floodplains (FP).



Figure 3. Box-whisker plot for (a) consecutive wet days (CWD), (b) number of heavy precipitation days (R10mm), (c) number of very heavy precipitation days (R20mm), (d) maximum 1-day precipitation amount (Rx1d), (e) maximum 5-day precipitation amount (Rx5d), and (f) simple daily intensity index (SDII) during June, July, August, and September (JJAS) in the three different physiographic zones (the TP, HB, and FP) of the YBRB derived from original and corrected APHRODITE estimates.



535 Figure 4. Relative change rate of (a) CWD, (b) R10mm, (c) R20mm, (d) Rx1d, (e) Rx5d, and (f)





539 Figure 5. Mean error (*ME*) of extreme precipitation indices during JJAS for validation in the three

540 different physiographic zones (TP, HB, and FP) of the YBRB.





Figure 6. Spatial distribution of mean Rx5d during JJAS in the YBRB based on (a) original
APHRODITE estimates, as well as (b) linear scaling (LS)-APHRODITE estimates, (c) local
intensity scaling (LOCI)-APHRODITE estimates, (d) power transformation (PT)-APHRODITE
estimates, and (e) quantile-quantile mapping (QM)-APHRODITE estimates.