



# A joint probabilistic index for objective drought identification: the case study of Haiti

Beatrice Monteleone<sup>1</sup>, Brunella Bonaccorso<sup>2</sup>, and Mario Martina<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Scuola Universitaria Superiore IUSS Pavia, Pavia, 27100, Italy <sup>2</sup>Department of Engineering, University of Messina, S. Agata, Messina, 98166, Italy **Correspondence:** Beatrice Monteleone (beatrice.monteleone@iusspavia.it)

Abstract. Since drought is a multifaceted phenomenon, more than one variable should be considered for a proper understanding of such extreme event in order to implement adequate risk mitigation strategies such as weather or agricultural indices insurance programs, or disaster risk financing tools. This paper proposes a new composite drought index that accounts for both meteorological and agricultural drought conditions, by combining in a probabilistic framework two consolidated drought

- 5 indices: the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) and the Vegetation Health Index (VHI). The new index, called Probabilistic Precipitation Vegetation Index (PPVI), is scalable, transferable all over the globe and can be updated in near-real time. Furthermore, it is a remote-sensing product, since precipitation are retrieved from satellite and the VHI is a remote-sensing index. In addition, a set of rules to objectively identify drought events is developed and implemented. Both the index and the set of rules have been applied to Haiti. The performance of PPVI has been evaluated by means of the Receiver Operating Character-
- 10 istics curve and compared to the ones of SPI and VHI considered separately. The new index outperformed SPI and VHI both in drought identification and characterization, thus revealing potential for an effective implementation within drought early warning systems.

## 1 Introduction

Droughts affect every year an increasing number of people. In the years from 2014 to 2018 more than 70 drought events have been reported all over the world and about 450 million people suffered because of drought-related impacts (CRED, 2017). Due to its complexity, various definitions of the phenomenon have been proposed by different institutions, such as the World Meteorological Organizations (WMO), the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD). All the institutions focus their attention on a specific aspect of drought: the WMO on the lack of precipitation, the FAO on the decline in crop productivity and the UNCCD on the loss of arable land.

20

In addition, the quantification of drought effects is a complicated task, since drought impacts are non-structural, widespread over large areas, and of different type and magnitude within the drought-affected area, also depending on economic, social and environmental system vulnerabilities (Wilhite, 2000).

Drought identification through an objective and automatic determination of drought onset, termination and severity allows the timely adoption of appropriate risk management strategies, such as weather index insurance programs (Barnett and Mahul,





25 2007), agricultural index insurance programs (Jensen and Barrett, 2017), disaster financing (Guimarães Nobre et al., 2019; Linnerooth-Bayer and Hochrainer-Stigler, 2015) and early action planning (Drechsler and Soe, 2016).

Drought features are usually determined through the use of two instruments: indicators, which are variables and parameters used to assess drought conditions (such as precipitation, temperature, and others), and indices, which are numerically computed values from meteorological or hydrological inputs (World Meteorological Organization and Global Water Partnership,

- 30 2016). More than 100 indices have been developed by the scientific community (Zargar et al., 2011), each one focusing on a specific aspect of drought (meteorological, hydrological, agricultural and so on). Meteorological drought is related to precipitation shortages; hydrological drought refers to periods of precipitation shortfall on surface or subsurface water supply ??, while agricultural drought is conventionally linked to soil moisture deficit. Insufficient soil moisture leads to crop failure and consequent yield reduction; therefore the first economic sector suffering because of drought is agriculture, particularly in those
- 35 areas where it relies on rainfall. A deeper understanding of agricultural drought dynamics can promote the adoption of risk reduction strategies, such as crop insurance programs.

In recent years various remote-sensing indices have been developed and can be employed in agricultural drought monitoring. The most widespread is the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), which uses NOAA AVHRR satellite data to monitor vegetation greenness (Kogan, 1995a). The main advantages of the NDVI are the very high spatial resolution and the

- 40 global coverage. The NDVI has already been applied in drought monitoring, such as in (Gu et al., 2008). Many products were derived from the NDVI, such as the Vegetation Condition Index (VCI), which compares the current NDVI to the range of values observed in the same period in previous years (Liu and Kogan, 1996; Kogan, 1995b) and the Standardized Vegetation Index (SVI), which describes the probability of vegetation condition deviation from normal (Peters et al., 2002). A suite of agricultural drought indices is presented in Table 1.
- 45 Since drought is a complex phenomenon, a single index or indicator can be insufficient to fully characterize drought severity and extent. The combination of more than one indicator can be precious to evaluate all the variables involved in drought monitoring, such as precipitation, soil moisture, and streamflow. Over the past 20 years many composite indicators, relying on two or more drought indices or indicators, have been proposed to overcome the issues related to the use of a single variable. Table 2 shows a list of selected composite indices that can be used in agricultural drought monitoring since, in their formulation 50 soil moisture, vegetation condition or variables related to water availability for plants are included.
- 50 soil moisture, vegetation condition or variables related to water availability for plants are included. Multiple methods for taking into account the multivariate behaviour of drought have been explored (Hao and Singh, 2015; Hao, 2016). The VegDRI, for example, uses a data mining approach to combine multiple inputs such as the SPI, the NDVI and the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI). A weighted linear combination of the inputs is quite common; it is applied to construct the Composite Drought Indicator (CDI) for Morocco, the Vegetation Health Index (VHI) and the Objective Blend of
- 55 Drought Indicators (OBDI). The United States Drought Monitor (USDM) also applies a weighted linear combination of the inputs but adds an expert judgment to define the drought class.

In the last years multiple studies focused the attention on modelling the joint behaviour of two drought characteristics or indices applying bivariate or multivariate statistical approaches. In various cases bivariate distributions are developed by means of copulas as in (Serinaldi et al., 2009) and (Bonaccorso et al., 2012), where the joint behaviour of various drought properties is





- 60 investigated; or in (Shiau, 2006), where two-dimensional copulas are employed to study the joint behaviour of drought duration and severity in Taiwan. (Shiau et al., 2007) investigates also the hydrological droughts of the Yellow River in China using a bivariate distribution to model drought duration and severity jointly. Trivariate Plackett copula is used in (Songbai and Singh, 2010) to model drought duration, severity and inter-arrival time jointly.
- The use of copulas to quantify the joint behaviour of drought indices is gaining popularity too. Many drought indices derived by multivariate distributions have been proposed. For example the Multivariate Standardized Drought Index (MSDI) (Hao and Aghakouchak, 2013), which combines the SPI and the Standardized Soil Moisture Index (SSI), uses copula to form joint probabilities of precipitation and soil moisture content, while the Joint Drought Index (JDI) (Kao and Govindaraju, 2010) does the same for obtaining the joint probabilities while considering precipitation and streamflow. AMDI-SA combines two drought indices, the Modified SPI, and the Modified SSI, employing both the copula concept and the Kendall function (Bateni et al.,
- 70 2018). The use of copulas seems promising and is highly effective when dealing with two or more variables. An advantage of copula functions is the fact that the index derived from this approach has a probabilistic form.

Both single and composite indices for agricultural drought monitoring showed some limitations, highlighted in Table 1 and Table 2. Single indices often rely on multiple inputs or are available only for some locations or identify all types of vegetation stresses. In any cases single indices do not account for the multivariate nature of drought. Composite indices often rely on

- 75 relatively new datasets; in many cases a short period of record is available (for example the VegDri records start in 2009) or the index is not available in near-real time; some of them are specifically designed for a well identified region (the OBDI and the USDM are available only for the USA, the Combined Drought Indicator (CDI) only for Europe); other indices do not consider the meteorological aspect of drought (Temperature Vegetation Index, TVX, and Vegetation Temeprature Condition Index, VTCI, are based on the NDVI and the land surface temperature); other ones do not have a sufficiently refined spatial
- 80 resolution (MSDI). Most of them, with the exception of AMDI-SA and MSDI are not expressed in probabilistic terms, therefore uncertainty quantification and evaluation is not an easy task.

In this paper, we propose:

- 1. A new drought index, the Probabilistic Precipitation Vegetation Index (PPVI), that takes the advantage of well consolidated indices, the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) (Mckee et al., 1993) and the Vegetation Health Index (VHI)
- 85
- (Kogan, 1997) and tries to overcome their individual limitations by coupling them in a probabilistic framework through the use of a bivariate normal distribution function.
- 2. A framework to identify a drought event using the new index, i.e. a set of rules for the definition of a drought event. When the set of conditions is verified, a drought event is identified based on the new index. Otherwise, no drought event is identified.
- 90 With respect to the indices already available in literature, we will show in this paper that the new index has some interesting features:
  - it is able to identify drought-driven events of vegetation stress;





- it is parsimonious in terms of number of inputs required;
- it is a remote sensing product with high spatial and temporal resolution;
- 95

100

- it is based on quasi-near real time datasets, with a relatively short latency time (less than one week);
  - more than 30 years of records are available at global scale for its calibration.

The paper is structured as follows: Sect.2 describes the datasets employed in the development of the new index and presents the methodology used to combine the SPI and the VHI; Sect. 3 illustrates the application to the case study, shows the validation process of the new index and compares the performance of the new index to those of the SPI and the VHI considered separately; in addition the advantages related to the adoption of the index and the possible applications in agricultural drought risk management are summarized.

#### 2 Datasets and Methods

## 2.1 Datasets

Two remote-sensing datasets were used: one for precipitation and the other for the VHI. Precipitation was retrieved from the
satellite-only Climate Hazard Group Infrared Precipitation (CHIRP) dataset. CHIRP has a quasi-global coverage (50°S - 50°N),
high spatial resolution (0,05°) and daily, pentadal and monthly temporal resolution. Records start from 1/1/1981. CHIRP was
chosen because it has been specifically developed to monitor agricultural drought. The use of CHIRP instead of CHIRPS (the
Climate Hazard Group Infrared Precipitation with Stations) is related to the data latency time, which is shorter in the case of
CHIRP since it doesn't include data from weather stations. The development and the main characteristics of the dataset are
described in (Funk et al., 2015). In the present study CHIRP with a daily temporal resolution was used to have the possibility

to compute weekly precipitation. Data are available on the project website (Climate Hazard Group, 1999).

The Vegetation Health Index was retrieved from the Global Vegetation Health Products (Global VHP) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Center of Satellite Applications and Research (Kogan, 1997). Data can be retrieved at the NOAA website (NOAA, 2011). The dataset contains Blended-VHP derived from VIIRS (2013-present) and AVHRR

115 (1981-2012) GAC data. The dataset has 4km spatial resolution, weekly temporal resolution, and global coverage. Both the selected datasets are freely available.

# 2.2 Methods

## 2.2.1 The Standardized Precipitation Index

As previously mentioned, two consolidate drought indices were combined: the SPI and the VHI. The SPI was selected because

120 it is a commonly used index to detect meteorological drought, it is standardized, therefore SPI values can be compared even in different climate regimes and it is recommended by the WMO (World Meteorological Organization, 2009).





SPI computation is based on a long-term precipitation record for a desired period. The precipitation record is then fitted to a probability distribution (in this work a gamma distribution was used), which is then transformed into a normal distribution. Traditionally monthly precipitation records are employed, and SPI is computed aggregating precipitation at a predefined timestep (for example 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, 9 months and 12 months are the aggregation periods suggested by the WMO (World Meteorological Organization, 2009)).

125

In the present work, weekly precipitation records were used. The SPI aggregation period was then selected and the index, computed over one of the traditional aggregation periods, was updated every week. SPI is normal distributed by definition. Conventionally drought starts when SPI is lower than -1 and ends when SPI comes back to the value of 0 (Mckee et al., 1993).

130 Drought classification according to SPI, as proposed in (Mckee et al., 1993), is reported in Table 3. The percentages reported in the third column of Table 3 indicate the probability for SPI values to fall within the range reported in the second column of the same table.

# 2.2.2 The Vegetation Health Index

The VHI is a remote-sensing index developed to include the effects of temperature on vegetation; in fact, it combines the
VCI with the Temperature Condition Index (TCI) (Kogan, 1995a), which is another remote-sensing index used to determine
vegetation stress caused by temperature and excessive wetness. One drawback of the VHI is the impossibility to identify the
cause of the vegetation stress; in fact, vegetation can suffer because of various events: excessive wetness, pests, fires, droughts
or others. It is a biophysical indicator of a lack of precipitation but can also be seen as representing drought impacts on the
ground (Bachmair et al., 2016). It goes from 0, which stands for vegetation in very bad conditions to 100, meaning perfectly
healthy vegetation. The classification scheme of VHI, as proposed in (Dalezios et al., 2017), is presented in Table 4.

The VHI is standardized according to the following equation:

$$VHI_{st} = \frac{VHI - \overline{VHI}}{\sigma} \tag{1}$$

where  $\overline{VHI}$  is the mean of the distribution and  $\sigma$  its standard deviation. The standardized variable,  $VHI_{st}$ , has a distribution with 0 mean and 1 as standard deviation.

#### 145 2.2.3 The Probabilistic Precipitation Vegetation Index (PPVI)

The Probabilistic Precipitation Vegetation Index (PPVI) is a composite index that takes into account both meteorological drought through the SPI, and agricultural drought conditions by including the VHI.

In order to combine the two consolidated indices the following preparatory steps are performed:

- 1. Extraction of the area under study from both the datasets;
- 150 2. Regridding of both precipitation and the VHI to bring them to the same spatial resolution  $(0,05^{\circ})$ ;
  - 3. Aggregation of precipitation at weekly timescale (CHIRP has daily temporal resolution);





- 4. Computation and weekly update of SPI according to the methodology proposed in (USDA Risk Management Agency et al., 2006), where precipitation are fitted to a gamma distribution. The goodness of fit to the gamma distribution has been verified by means of probability plot.
- 155 5. Standardization of the VHI, as previously described.

The combination of SPI and VHI is performed using a bivariate normal distribution function, as it is defined by (Kotz et al., 2000). The normality of the SPI and  $VHI_{st}$  distributions has been verified as will be shown in Sect. 3.2. Therefore it is acceptable to assume that the joint probability of the two considered distributions takes the form of the bivariate normal for correlated variables:

160 
$$f(s,v) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_s\sigma_v\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\left[\frac{(s-\mu_s)^2}{\sigma_s} + \frac{(v-\mu_v)^2}{\sigma_v} + \frac{2\rho(s-\mu_s)(v-\mu_v)}{\sigma_s\sigma_v}\right]\right)$$
(2)

where the following notation is adopted: the *SPI* is identified as s and the  $VHI_{st}$  is identified as v. The mean and the standard deviation of the SPI distribution f(s) are respectively, by construction,  $\mu_s = 0$  and  $\sigma_s = 1$  and the mean and standard deviation of the  $VHI_{st}$  distribution, f(v) are respectively  $\mu_v = 0$  and  $\sigma_v = 1$ . The covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  and the correlation coefficient  $\rho$  are defined according to Eq. 3 and Eq.4 respectively, where  $\sigma_{sv}$  is the covariance between s and v.

165 
$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_s^2 & \rho \sigma_s \sigma_v \\ \rho \sigma_s \sigma_v & \sigma_v^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

$$\rho = \frac{\sigma_{sv}}{\sigma_s \sigma_v} \tag{4}$$

To check the assumption of normality for the joint distribution, the joint probability values, retrieved from Eq.2 are plotted against the bivariate empirical cumulative distribution values (Fig.1), as done in (Kao and Govindaraju, 2010). The bivariate empirical copula for the random variables s and v has been evaluated according to (Nelsen, 2006) using the following equation:

170 
$$C\left(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m}\right) = \frac{\#(s \le s_{(i)}, v \le v_{(j)})}{m} = \frac{m_1}{m}$$
 (5)

where  $s_{(i)}$  and  $v_{(j)}$ ,  $(1 \le i, j \le m)$  are ordered statistics of the *SPI* sample of size  $m, m_1$  is the number of samples  $(s_{(k)}, v_{(k)})$  satisfying  $(s_{(k)} \le s_{(i)} \text{ and } v_{(k)} \le v_{(j)})$  with  $1 \le k \le m$ . The resulting plot is shown in Fig.1.

Since the data lays on the 45° line it is fair to assume that the joint probability f(s, v) is normal. Therefore, a normalization of the index is performed through normal quantile transformation.

175

By keeping the same probability intervals of the SPI, we can compute the PPVI values for the drought classification as it is shown in Table 5.





#### 2.2.4 Identification of drought events

Once the index is defined the set of rules to establish when a grid cell is in drought should be identified. In particular, two parameters have to be identified:

180

- 1. A threshold Z of the index that marks the beginning of a drought in a grid cell.
  - 2. A threshold z that marks the end of a drought in the same grid cell.

According to the model here proposed a drought in a grid cell starts when the index is lower than Z and ends when the index is above to z. Then regional drought events are defined. Again, two parameters are identified: N and n. A drought events starts if more than N grid cells are in drought conditions and ends if less than n grid cells are in drought conditions.

## 185 2.2.5 Skill assessment

Observations of drought are compared with the model outputs for various combinations of thresholds Z, z, N and n. The Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve is used for this comparison. The ROC curve was at first used in signal detection; its use in meteorological applications is documented and well described in (Joliffe and Stephenson, 2012). The ROC curve is employed to classify instances, as in the present case. The ROC curve was already employed in various studies to compare the

- 190 performance of a model versus observations with varying thresholds (Zhu et al., 2016; Khadr, 2016). The contingency matrix (shown in Table 6) is a two by two matrix to visualize the disposition of a set of instances. True positive or hits are represented by the weeks that are reported to be in drought conditions in the observations and are correctly identified as drought weeks by the model. True negatives (correct rejections) are represented by those weeks that are not in drought according to both the observations and the model. Those weeks that are recorded as drought according to the observations but are not identified
- 195 as drought weeks by the model, are considered as false negatives (missing events), while false positives (false alarms) are represented by the weeks that are not in drought conditions according to the observations but are identified as drought weeks by the model. In this paper for each combination of thresholds Z, z, N and n, Probability of Detection (POD), or hit rate, and Probability of False Detection (POFD), or false alarm rate, are computed according to (Joliffe and Stephenson, 2012) with the following equations:

$$POD = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \tag{6}$$

$$POFD = \frac{TN}{TN + FP} \tag{7}$$

where *TP*,*TN*,*FP* and *FN* are defined as in Table 6.

The optimal threshold for a ROC curve is the one for which the distance from the 45° degree line is maximum (Zhu et al., 2016). The performances of the model based on PPVI in identifying drought events have been evaluated on the case study described in the next section.





## 2.2.6 Case study

The case study region is Haiti. The country, which has an extension of  $27.750 \text{ km}^2$  is located in the Caribbean's Great Antilles and shares the island of Hispaniola with the Dominican Republic. The climate is predominantly tropical, with daily temperatures ranging between 19°C and 28°C during winter and between 23°C and 33°C during summer. The island topography is 210 varied; the central region is mainly mountainous, while the northern and western regions are near the coastline. Annual precipitation in the central region averages 1.200 mm, while in the lowlands it is about 550 mm (GFDRR, 2011). Haiti is subject to the variability associated with El Niño and La Niña phenomena, with El Niño bringing drier and hotter conditions and La Niña colder and wetter climate. Haiti experiences a first rainy season from April to July and a second, and most important, from August to the end of November. The dry season starts in December and goes on until the end of March (FEWSNET, 2019). 215

Haiti is divided administratively into 10 departments (Fig. 2), with people living mainly in the West, where the capital Portau-Prince is located, and in the Artibonite. The total population in 2017 was about 11 million people (World Bank, 2017). Haiti is the poorest country in the Western Hemisphere, the economy is mainly agricultural. 67% of the country's area is devoted to agriculture, but only 4,35% of the agricultural area is irrigated (Trading Economics, 2013), posing a major threat to local

220 production.

225

Haiti produces over half of the world's vetiver oil (used in cosmetics), and mangos and cocoa are the most important export crops. Two-fifths of all Haitians depend on the agriculture sector, mainly small-scale subsistence farming. The country is prone to all types of natural hazards. Earthquakes, storms, hurricanes, landslides, and droughts have caused huge damages and losses in recent years. Haiti was ranked as the third most affected country by extreme weather events in terms of lives lost and economic damages in the period from 1994 to 2013 (GFDRR, 2011). More than 96% of the population lives in areas at risk of two or more hazards. The most frequent disasters are floods and storms but, when considering the number of affected people, droughts are the disasters involving the highest number of persons (Fig. 3).

Droughts threat the livelihoods of Haitians in many different ways. The scarcity of crops production means a rise in food prices, that brings to widespread food insecurity since the major part of people can't afford the increase. Unavailability of 230 drinking water leads to cholera outbreaks among the population. Water is an issue also for breeders, who lose livestock on which they rely for milk production and meat consumption. In the period from 1980 to present more than 10 drought events have been reported by the government or the humanitarian organizations working in Haiti (Table 7). The worst drought was the one of 2014-2017, affecting more than 3 million inhabitants (about one-third of Haiti's population).

Effective drought management is crucial for Haiti, but at present, a reliable early warning system for drought is still lacking. 235 Weather stations on the ground are few and data records are often very short, therefore not useful for drought monitoring purposes on the entire country. Satellite images can be an effective and not expensive way to improve drought management and preparedness in the country.





## 3 Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Correlation analysis

- 240 Haiti has been divided into 987 grid cells, accounting for 90% of the country area. 1941 weeks were considered, starting from week 35 of 1981 and ending with week 52 of 2018. The release date of a new VHI image was considered as the starting date for a week. In the present study, four precipitation aggregation periods were considered (1 months, 2 months, 3 months and 6 months) and the corresponding values of SPI (SPI1, SPI2, SPI3 and SPI6) were computed in order to select the SPI aggregation timescale to be used to create the PPVI.
- To evaluate the strength of the statistical relationship between the SPI at various timescales and the VHI a correlation analysis was then performed. Various studies have already evaluated the correlation among drought indices or between drought indices and exogenous variables; for example (Bonaccorso et al., 2015) investigated the correlation between SPI and NAO, while (Hongshuo et al., 2014) investigated the correlation between SPI (various aggregation periods) and the VHI. While in the majority of the papers the Pearson correlation coefficient was employed, in the present study the Spearman correlation
- 250 coefficient was preferred as a measure of the statistical relationship between the indices, as suggested in (Wedgbrow et al., 2002). The number of significant correlations at 5% and 1% was evaluated for four SPI aggregation timescales (Table 8).

The highest number of significant correlations was found in the cases of SPI2 and SPI3, which exhibit very similar performances at 1% significant level. This finding is in agreement with previous studies such as (Hongshuo et al., 2014), that found that VHI and SPI3 have the highest correlation for croplands, whereas VHI and 6-month SPI have the highest correlation for

255 forest in the Southwest of China; and (Ma'rufah et al., 2017) that found that significant correlation coefficient values on SPI3 and VHI are common in the southern part of Indonesia. Since SPI3 has been used in literature and the percentage of significant correlation at 1% level is relevant, it has been decided to aggregate SPI over a 3 months period and use SPI3 in the following discussion.

#### 3.2 Normality of SPI and VHI distributions

Before computing PPVI as described in the previous sections, a test on the normality of the SPI3 and  $VHI_{st}$  distributions was performed. The goodness of fit of the SPI3 and the  $VHI_{st}$  distributions was verified through the histograms in Fig. 4 (panel (a) and (b) respectively), where the boxplots represent the relative frequencies of the SPI3 and  $VHI_{st}$  values. Both the SPI3 and the  $VHI_{st}$  data can therefore be considered normally distributed.

#### **3.3** Selection of threshold values

265 PPVI was computed as described in Sect. 2.2 and its performance in identifying past drought events in Haiti when used in combination with the set of rules described in Sect. 2.2.4 was evaluated. To this end, the ROC curve classification methodology was applied. The set of rules implied that at first, cells in drought conditions were identified: drought started in a specific grid cell at week *W* when PPVI was lower than the threshold *Z* and ended when PPVI was up to the threshold *z* in the same grid cell





at a week w (with w coming after W). Then a regional drought event was identified: the drought event started when more than 270 N cells at a specific week  $W_1$  were in drought conditions and ended at a week  $W_2$  when few than n grid cells were in drought conditions. The comparison was performed on a weekly basis, with observations derived from the reported events described in Table 7.

The ROC curves were computed according to the following methodology: at first a combination of the thresholds Z, z, N and n was selected. On the basis of the set of rules established in Sect. 2.2.4, the ability of the selected combination of thresholds

- in reproducing the observations was assessed by computing *TP*, *TN*, *FP* and *FN* as defined in Table 6, together with POD and POFD. A couple (POFD, POD) represents a point in a ROC graph. Then one threshold among *Z*, *z*, *N* and *n* was selected. The selected threshold was variable during the analysis, while the other three were kept constant. The step of variation was identified according to the threshold maximum and minimum values. For each combination of the four thresholds (the varying one and the three fixed) *TP*, *TN*, *FP*, *FN* and POD and POFD were computed. The resulting set of couples (POFD, POD)
  represented the ROC curve for the considered set of thresholds.
  - The analysis was repeated by varying another threshold among *Z*, *z*, *N* and *n*. As an example, Fig. 5 shows four ROC curves for the thresholds in Table 9. Thresholds *N* and *n* in Table 9 are expressed as the percentage of the country's area instead as the number of grid cells. For each of the curves the best performing set of (*Z*, *z*, *N* and *n*) was selected by identifying the point farther from the 45° line, as done by (Zhu et al., 2016). The Area Under the Curve (AUC) was used as criteria to establish which
- of the ROC curves should be preferred (as was done by (Dutra et al., 2014; Mason and Graham, 2002; Zhu et al., 2012)). An AUC near to 1 indicates good performance, while AUC of 0.5 indicates the model has no predictive skills. From Fig. 5 it is clear that the curve corresponding to the parameters defined as "Set 2" in Table 9 should be preferred, since the AUC is the closest to 1.

# 3.4 Indices comparison

290 The aim of this paragraph is not to validate in absolute terms the proposed methodology since the data record is too short to serve both for calibration and for validation. In the present section, instead, we provide a validation by comparing PPVI with widely recognized and used indices such as SPI and VHI.

The performance of PPVI was then compared to the one of SPI3 and VHI considered separately. Thresholds analogous to Z and z were defined for SPI3 and VHI. Thresholds  $Z_S$  and  $z_S$  mark respectively the beginning and the end of drought conditions in a grid cell according to SPI3 and thresholds  $Z_V$  and  $z_V$  do the same in the case of VHI. Again the four thresholds Z, z, N, and n were varied in order to identify the optimal values. As an example Fig. 6 shows a comparison among the ROC curves for the three indices. In each panel of Fig. 6, n and z,  $z_S$  and  $z_V$  (for PPVI, SPI3 and VHI) remained constant, while Z,  $Z_S$  and  $Z_V$  were varying; N was fixed in each panel but varied among the panels. Z varied from -4 to -1.1 with a step equals to 0.1;  $Z_S$ varied from -3 to 0 with a step equals to 0.1 and  $Z_V$  varied from 10 to 40 with a step equal to 5.

300

It is clear from Fig. 6 that PPVI identified the reported drought events better than SPI3 and VHI. AUC was 0,828 for PPVI, 0,740 for SPI3 and 0,784 for VHI. The AUC value of PPVI was in line with similar results reported in literature (Mwangi et al., 2014). As can be seen from Fig. 6, the new index provided better results with respect to the ones obtained with SPI3 or VHI





305

than the ones for SPI3 and VHI. The AUC values are in line with the ones considered good in the literature (see (Khadr, 2016)) for drought predictive skills. The optimal thresholds to configure the model were then determined by selecting the point farther from the 45° line, as done by (Zhu et al., 2016). The best configurations parameters are shown in Table 10 and comes from the PPVI curve shown in panel (c) of Fig. 6. The drought events were therefore identified using the optimal parameters (Table 10).

considered separately. In all the four configurations shown in Fig. 6, the AUC for the curve constructed with PPVI was higher

The ability of the model in identifying the country area hit by the drought was also assessed. A visual comparison among the area under drought identified by the three indices was performed, as was done by (Dutta and Kundu, 2015).

310

Here some significant weeks are shown. At first, week 45 of 1995 was considered. No drought events were reported in that period. Figure 7 shows that, while SPI3 identified all the southern part of the country as dry areas and VHI showed vegetation suffering in two departments (Centre and West), PPVI did not show signs of drought, except for a minor number of grid cells. Figure 8 shows that in 2015, when the whole country was reported to be in severe drought conditions, PPVI captured well the pattern, only a few grid cells were not in drought conditions. The SPI3 was also able to catch the situation, while for the VHI

- 315 only 58% of the county was in drought. During week 8 of 2012, only the Northern part of the country was in drought (Fig. 9); five departments were reported to be stressed (North, North West, North East, Artibonite, Centre, see Table 7). All the three indices showed the North West as the department most affected by drought when considering the percentage of the department area hit by the drought. PPVI then classified Artibonite, North, Centre and North East, while SPI3 as second and third most affected departments identified South and Grand Anse and VHI Centre and Nippes (Table 11).
- Severity, duration and mean areal extent of the drought events identified by PPVI were computed. Severity was computed 320 as the sum of all the values identified by the condition that a grid cell is in a drought condition when PPVI is lower than -1.8 and exits from drought when PPVI is up to -1.1. Duration is expressed in months and the mean areal extent is the average percentage of area in drought during a specific event. Results are presented in Table 12.
- 325

PPVI showed overall a better capacity in identifying drought events with respect to SPI3 and VHI considered separately. However, some false alarms still remain. This can be linked to the uncertainty in information on past drought events for the analysed area. Short-term droughts are often not reported in text-based documents, and information on drought start and end date were retrieved from documents that mainly described the impacts related to drought. PPVI showed a good agreement with reported information in identifying the areas of the country hit by the drought.

# 4 Conclusions

- 330 The timely identification of drought events is of great importance in agricultural areas, especially when rainfed agriculture is practiced. At the same time, the evaluation of the damages caused by drought is a key point to select appropriate risk management strategies, such as weather index insurance programs, agricultural index insurance, disaster financing and early action planning. The new composite index proposed in this paper, the Probabilistic Precipitation Vegetation Index, PPVI, is a powerful tool since it can identify events of vegetation stress, and at the same time, select among those the ones actually due to
- drought, thanks to the contemporary use of both VHI and SPI. As such it can be helpful in agricultural drought monitoring and 335



340



can be used to identify drought events affecting a region, their severity and their duration as was shown in the case of Haiti. In particular, PPVI can be precious in those areas where rainfed agriculture is of vital importance since people rely on it for food production for personal consumption.

Among the interesting aspects of PPVI, there is the fact that few data are required for its computation: only precipitation and the VHI. This aspect is crucial, since many composite indicators able to identify agricultural droughts already exist, but large

- amounts of data are required to compute them. For example, the United States Drought Monitor combines more than 40-50 inputs, while other indices specific for agricultural drought monitoring, such as the VegDRI and the VegOut, require the use of temperature and oceanic indices. The number of parameters required to compute PPVI is lower even with respect to OBDI, SWS, CDI or CDSI.
- 345 A second most important advantage is that, since the SPI was computed starting from satellite precipitation (CHIRP dataset) and that the VHI is a remote-sensing drought index, PPVI is also a remote-sensing product. The use of datasets with global coverage means that PPVI is easily transferable and scalable over the entire globe. In addition, PPVI can be a very useful tool in areas with scarce gauge coverage as the Caribbean Islands. Both precipitation and the VHI have a very high spatial and temporal resolution, thus allowing drought monitoring from satellite even in small areas. PPVI can be computed even in those regions with short data records, since the VHI has more than 30 years of records (data collection began in August 1981); and
- 350 CHIRP precipitation are available from January 1981.

Both the SPI and the VHI are updated at weekly time-step since every week a new VHI image is released and the CHIRP precipitation dataset has a daily temporal resolution, therefore PPVI can be updated more frequently than other composite indices, such as CDI, which is updated every 10 days. In addition, due to the relatively short latency time (less than one week) of

both the datasets employed to create PPVI, the index is available in near-real time, therefore allows the timely implementation 355 of drought mitigation strategies. This last feature is of particular interest when PPVI is used to implement measure to reduce drought risk in agriculture, where a timely identification of drought is crucial to prevent damages to the sector.

Many advantages are also related to the adoption of the set of rules here proposed to identify drought events. First of all, these rules enable an objective and standardized identification of drought events from the mathematical point of view. Additionally, 360 they can be adjusted according to the needs and the objectives of various possible end users of the model, such as farmers, governments or insurance companies.

The performances of PPVI in identifying drought events were tested in a specific case study (Haiti) and compared to the ones of SPI and VHI considered separately. PPVI performed better than the single indices considered separately in reproducing past drought events. PPVI identified drought areas in Haiti better than SPI and VHI even from the spatial point of view, thus it is

365

more reliable than a single index. A comparison of PPVI performances with respect to the ones of other composite indices was not performed in the present study due to the unavailability of composite indices with the same characteristics of PPVI. In fact previous composite indices do not include both the meteorological and the agricultural aspect of drought or are not available globally, or cannot be computed with only remote sensing datasets.





Author contributions. This research is part of the PhD thesis from BM. BB and MM were both the thesis supervisors.

370 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.





#### References

395

- Bachmair, S., Stahl, K., Collins, K., Hannaford, J., Acreman, M., Svoboda, M., Knutson, C., Smith, K. H., Wall, N., Fuchs, B., Crossman, N. D., and Overton, I. C.: Drought indicators revisited: the need for a wider consideration of environment and society, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 3, 516–536, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1154, http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/wat2.1154, 2016.
- 375 Barnett, B. J. and Mahul, O.: Weather Index Insurance for Agriculture and Rural Areas in Lower-Income Countries, American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 89, 1241–1247, 2007.
  - Bateni, M., Behmanesh, J., and Bazrafshan, J.: Composite Agrometeorological Drought Index Accounting for Seasonality and Autocorrelation, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 23, https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0001654, 2018.

BC Ministry for Agriculture: Soil water storage capacity and available soil moisture, Tech. rep., 2015.

- 380 Bergman, K., Sabol, P., and Miskus, D.: Experimental Indices for monitoring global drought conditions, Proceedings of 13th Annual Climate Diagnostics Workshop,, 1988.
  - Bijaber, N., Hadani, D. E., Saidi, M., Svoboda, M. D., Wardlow, B. D., Hain, C. R., Poulsen, C. C., Yessef, M., and Rochdi, A.: Developing a Remotely Sensed Drought Monitoring Indicator for Morocco, Geosciences, 8, 1–18, https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences8020055, 2018.
- Bonaccorso, B., Cancelliere, A., and Rossi, G.: Methods for Drought Analysis and Forecasting, in: Handbook of Methods and Applications
   of Statistics in the Atmospheric and Earth Sciences, pp. 150–184, 2012.
- Bonaccorso, B., Cancelliere, A., and Rossi, G.: Probabilistic forecasting of drought class transitions in Sicily (Italy) using Standardized Precipitation Index and North Atlantic Oscillation Index, Journal of Hydrology, 526, 136–150, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.070,

Brown, J. F., Wardlow, B. D., Tadesse, T., and Hayes, M. J.: The Vegetation Drought Response Index (VegDRI): A New Integrated Approach

for Monitoring Drought Stress in Vegetation, GIScience & Remote Sensing, 45, https://doi.org/10.2747/1548-1603.45.1.16, 2008.

CIAT: Atlas des menaces naturelles en Haiti, Tech. rep., http://ciat.gouv.ht/articles/atlas-des-menaces-naturelles-en-haiti, 2017. Climate Hazard Group: Climate Hazard Group InfraRed Precipitation data archive, ftp://ftp.chg.ucsb.edu/pub/org/chg/products/CHIRP/, 1999.

CNSA/MARNDR and FEWSNET: Haiti Food Security Update September 2009, https://reliefweb.int/report/haiti/ haiti-food-security-update-april-september-2009, 2009.

CRED: EM-DAT The International Disaster Database, http://emdat.be/emdat{\_}db/, 2017.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.070, 2015.

Dalezios, N. R., Tarquis, A. M., and Eslamian, S.: Droughts, in: Environmental Hazards Methodologies for Risk Assessment and Management, edited by Dalezios, N. R., chap. 5, IWA Publishing, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2166/9781780407135, 2017.

Dieker, E., van Lanen, H. A. J., and Svoboda, M.: Comparison of three drought monitoring tools in the USA, Tech. Rep. 25, 2010.

400 Drechsler, M. and Soe, W.: Early Warning , Early Action The Use of Predictive Tools in Drought Response through Ethiopia 's Productive Safety Net Programme, Tech. Rep. June, World Bank, 2016.

Dutra, E., Pozzi, W., Wetterhall, F., Giuseppe, F. D., Magnusson, L., Naumann, G., Barbosa, P., and Vogt, J.: Global meteorological drought – Part 2 : Seasonal forecasts, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18, 2669–2678, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-2669-2014, 2014.

- Dutta, D. and Kundu, A.: Assessment of agricultural drought in Rajasthan (India) using remote sensing derived Vegetation Condition
- 405 Index (VCI) and Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI), The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Sciences, 18, 53–63, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrs.2015.03.006, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrs.2015.03.006, 2015.



420



FEWSNET: HAITI Food Security Outlook Update May 2013, Tech. Rep. May, https://reliefweb.int/report/haiti/ haiti-food-security-outlook-update-may-2013, 2013.

FEWSNET: Haiti seasonal calendar, http://fews.net/central-america-and-caribbean/haiti, 2019.

- 410 Funk, C., Peterson, P., Landsfeld, M., Pedreros, D., Verdin, J., Shukla, S., Husak, G., Rowland, J., Harrison, L., Hoell, A., and Michaelsen, J.: The climate hazards infrared precipitation with stations - A new environmental record for monitoring extremes, Scientific Data, 2, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2015.66, 2015.
  - GFDRR: Climate Risk and Adaptation Country Profile: vulnerability, risk reduction and adaptation to climate change in Haiti, Tech. Rep. April, 2011.
- 415 Gu, Y., Hunt, E., Wardlow, B., Basara, J. B., Brown, J. F., and Verdin, J. P.: Evaluation of MODIS NDVI and NDWI for vegetation drought monitoring using Oklahoma Mesonet soil moisture data, Geophysical Research Letters, 35, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL035772, 2008.

- Hao, Z.: Review of dependence modeling in hydrology and water resources, Progress in Physical Geography, 40, 549–578, https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133316632460, 2016.
- Hao, Z. and Aghakouchak, A.: Advanc es in Water Resou rces Multivariate Standardized Drought Index : A parametric multi-index model, Advances in Water Resources, 57, 12–18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2013.03.009, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2013.
  425 03.009, 2013.
  - Hao, Z. and Singh, V. P.: Drought characterization from a multivariate perspective : A review, Journal of Hydrology, 527, 668–678, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.05.031, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.05.031, 2015.
    - Hongshuo, W., Hui, L., and Desheng, L.: Remotely sensed drought index and its responses to meteorological drought in Southwest China, Remote Sensing Letters, 5, 2014.
- 430 Idso, S., Jackson, R., Pinter, P. J., Reginato, R., and Hatfield, J.: Normalizing the stress-degree-day parameter for environmental variability, Agricultural Meteorology, 24, 1981.
  - Jensen, N. and Barrett, C.: Agricultural Index Insurance for Development, Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy, 39, 199–219, https://doi.org/10.1093/aepp/ppw022, 2017.
- Joliffe, I. T. and Stephenson, D. B.: Forecast Verification: a practitioner's guide in Atmospheric Science, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385022-5.00008-7, 2012.
  - Kao, S.-c. and Govindaraju, R. S.: A copula-based joint deficit index for droughts, Journal of Hydrology, 380, 121–134, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.10.029, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.10.029, 2010.
    - Karamouz, M., Rasouli, K., and Nazif, S.: Development of a Hybrid Index for Drought Prediction : Case Study, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 14, 617–627, 2009.
- 440 Keyantash, J. and Dracup, J.: An aggregate drought index: Assessing drought severity based on fluctuations in the hydrologic cycle and surface water storage, Water Resources Research, 40, 2004.
  - Khadr, M.: Forecasting of meteorological drought using Hidden Markov Model ( case study : The upper Blue Nile river basin , Ethiopia ), Ain Shams Engineering Journal, 7, 47–56, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2015.11.005, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2015.11.005, 2016.

Guimarães Nobre, G., Davenport, F., Bischiniotis, K., Veldkamp, T., Jongman, B., Funk, C. C., Husak, G., Ward, P. J., and Aerts, J. C. J. H.: Financing agricultural drought risk through ex-ante cash transfers, Science of the Total Environment, 653, 523–535, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.406, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.406, 2019.



445



Kogan, F. N.: Remote sensing of weather impacts on vegetation in non-homogeneous areas, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 11, 1405–1419, 1990.

Kogan, F. N.: Droughts of the late 1980s in the United States as derived from NOAA polarorbiting satellite data, Bulletin of the American Meteorology Society, 76, 655–668, 1995a.

Kogan, F. N.: Application of vegetation index and brightness temperature for drought detection, Advances in Space Research, 15, 2761–2782, 1995b.

450 Kogan, F. N.: Global Drought Watch from Space, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 78, 621–636, 1997.

Kotz, S., Balakrishnan, N., and Johnson, N. L.: Continuous Multivariate Distributions, John Wiley & Sons, second edi edn., https://doi.org/10.1002/0471722065, 2000.

Lambin, E. and Ehrlich, D.: Combining vegetation indices and surface temperature for land-cover mapping at broad spatial scales, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 16, 1995.

455 Linnerooth-Bayer, J. and Hochrainer-Stigler, S.: Financial instruments for disaster risk management and climate change adaptation, Climatic Change, 133, 2015.

Ma'rufah, U., Hidayat, R., and Prasati, I.: Analysis of relationship between meteorological and agricultural drought using standard-

460 ized precipitation index and vegetation health index, in: Earth and Environmental Science, vol. 54, p. 7, https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/755/1/011001, 2017.

Mason, S. and Graham, N.: Area beneath the relative operating characteristics (ROC) and relative operating levels (ROL) curves: statistical significance and interpretation, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 128, 2145–2166, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4377-0795-3.00025-9, 2002.

465 Matera, A., Fontana, G., and Marletto, V.: Use Of a New Agricultural Drought Index Within a Regional Drought Observatory, in: Methods and Tools for Drought Analysis and Management, edited by Rossi, G., pp. 103–124, Springer, 2007.

Mckee, T. B., Doesken, N. J., and Kleist, J.: The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales, in: AMS 8th Conference on Applied Climatology, January, pp. 179–184, https://doi.org/citeulike-article-id:10490403, http://ccc.atmos.colostate.edu/ relationshipofdroughtfrequency.pdf, 1993.

470 Meyer, S. J., Hubbard, K. G., and Wilhite, D. A.: Crop-Specific Drought Index for Corn: I. Model Development and Validation, Agronomy Journal, 86, 388–395, https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1993.00021962008500020040x, 1993.

Mora-Castro, S.: Personal communication.

Mora-Castro, S.: Etude de reconaissance sur les differents types des menaces naturelles dans le basin versant de l'Artibonite, Haiti, Tech. rep., Ingeosa, Port au Prince, 1986.

- 475 Mwangi, E., Wetterhall, F., Dutra, E., Di Giuseppe, F., and Pappenberger, F.: Forecasting droughts in East Africa, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18, 611–620, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-611-2014, 2014.
  - Narasimhan, B. and Srinivasan, R.: Development and evaluation of Soil Moisture Deficit Index (SMDI) and Evapotranspiration Deficit Index (ETDI) for agricultural drought monitoring, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 133, 69–88, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2005.07.012, 2005.
- 480 Nelsen, R. B.: An Introduction to Copulas, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2 edn., https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-28678-0, 2006.

Liu, W. and Kogan, F. N.: Monitoring regional drought using the Vegetation Condition Index, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 17, 2761–2782, 1996.





NOAA: STAR—Global Vegetation Health Products. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), https://www.star.nesdis. noaa.gov/smcd/emb/vci/VH/vh{\_}ftp.php, 2011.

NOAA: Climate Prediction Center's Hispaniola Hazards Outlook: October 5- October 11, 2017, Tech. rep., NOAA, 2017.

NOAA, USAID, and FEWSNET: Climate Prediction Center's Hispaniola Hazards Outlook For USAID / FEWS-NET Jult 11-July 17, 2013, Tech. rep., 2013.

485

500

OXFAM and Action contre la Faim: Etat des lieux de la situation de secheresse Dans les departements du Nord Ouest et du Haut Artibonite, Tech. rep., https://reliefweb.int/report/haiti/tat-des-lieux-de-la-situation-de-s-cheresse-dans-le-d-partement-du-nord-ouest-et-dans, 2015.

Palmer, W. C.: Keeping Track of Crop Moisture Conditions, Nationwide: The New Crop Moisture Index, Weatherwise, 21, 1968.

490 Peters, A. J., Walter-Shea, E. A., Ji, L., Vina, A., Hayes, M., and Svoboda, M. D.: Drought monitoring with NDVI-based Standardized Vegetation Index, Photogrammetric enginnering and remote sensing, 68, 71–75, 2002.

Sepulcre-Canto, G., Horion, S., Singleton, A., Carrao, H., and Vogt, J.: Development of a Combined Drought Indicator to detect agricultural drought in Europe, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 12, 3519–3531, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-12-3519-2012, 2012.
 Serinaldi, F., Bonaccorso, B., Cancelliere, A., and Grimaldi, S.: Probabilistic characterization of drought properties through copulas, Physics

and Chemistry of the Earth, 34, 596–605, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2008.09.004, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2008.09.004, 2009.
 Shiau, J.-T.: Fitting Drought Duration and Severity with Two-Dimensional Copulas, Water Resources Management, 20, 795–815, 2006.
 Shiau, J.-T., Feng, S., and Nadarajah, S.: Assessment of hydrological droughts for the Yellow River, China, using copulas, Hydrological Processes, 21, 2157–2163, 2007.

Songbai, S. and Singh, V. P.: Frequency analysis of droughts using the Plackett copula and parameter estimation by genetic algorithm, Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 24, 783–805, 2010.

Svoboda, M., Lecomte, D., Hayes, M., Heim, R., Gleason, K., Angel, J., Rippey, B., Tinker, R., Palecki, M., Stooksbury, D., Miskus, D., and Stephens, S.: The drought monitor, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 83, 1181–1190, 2002.

Tadesse, T. and Wardlow, B. D.: The Vegetation Outlook (VegOut): A New Tool for Providing Outlooks of General Vegetation Conditions Using Data Mining Techniques, in: 7th IEEE International Conference on Data Mining Workshop, January, pp. 667–672, 2007.

- 505 Thornthwaite, C. and Mather, J.: The water balance, Climatology, 8, 1955.
  - Trading Economics: Haiti Agricultural irrigated land (Percentage of total agricultural land), https://tradingeconomics.com/haiti/ agricultural-irrigated-land-percent-of-total-agricultural-land-wb-data.html, 2013.
  - USAID and FEWSNET: HAITI Food Security Outlook Update June 2012, Tech. Rep. April, https://reliefweb.int/report/haiti/ haiti-food-security-outlook-update-june-2012, 2012.
- 510 USAID, MARNDR/CNSA, and FEWSNET: HAITI : Food Security Outlook Update June 2011, Tech. Rep. June, https://reliefweb.int/report/ haiti/food-security-outlook-update-june-2011, 2011.
  - USDA Risk Management Agency, National Drought Mitigation Center, and University of Nebraska Lincoln: Weekly SPI, http://greenleaf. unl.edu/, 2006.

Wang, L. and Qu, J. J.: NMDI : A normalized multi-band drought index for monitoring soil and vegetation moisture with satellite remote

- sensing, Geophysical Research Letters, 34, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL031021, 2007.
- Wang, L., Li, X., Gong, J., and Song, C.: Vegetation temperature condition index and its application for drought monitoring, in: Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, pp. 141–143, 2001.





Wedgbrow, C. S., Wilby, R. L., and Fox, H. R.: Prospects for seasnal forecasting of summer drought and low river flow anomalies in England and Wales, International Journal of Climatology, 22, 219–236, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.735, 2002.

520 Wilhite, D. A.: Drought as a natural hazard: Concepts and definitions, in: Drought: A Global Assessment, edited by Wilhite, D. A., chap. 1, pp. 3–18, Routledge, London, 2000.

World Bank: Haiti data, https://data.worldbank.org/country/haiti, 2017.

World Meteorological Organization: Standardized Precipitation Index User Guide, Tech. Rep. 1090, 2009.

- World Meteorological Organization and Global Water Partnership: Handbook of Drought Indicators and Indices, 1173,
  https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315265551-12, www.droughtmanagement.info, 2016.
  - Zargar, A., Sadiq, R., Naser, B., and Khan, F. I.: A review of drought indices, Environmental Reviews, 19, 333–349, https://doi.org/10.1139/a11-013, http://sites.udel.edu/delaware-water-watch/files/2014/06/ Zargar2011{\_}A-Review-of-Drought-Indicies-1m7ctd2.pdf{%}5Cnhttp://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/a11-013, 2011.

Zhu, J., Pollanen, M., Abdella, K., and Cater, B.: Modeling Drought Option Contracts, ISRN Applied Mathematics, 2012, 1–16, https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/251835, http://www.hindawi.com/isrn/appmath/2012/251835/, 2012.

Zhu, Y., Wang, W., Singh, V. P., and Liu, Y.: Combined use of meteorological drought indices at multi-time scales for improving hydrological drought detection, Science of the Total Environment, 571, 1058–1068, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.096, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.096, 2016.

18







**Figure 1.** PPVI validation: empirical copula versus bivariate joint probability function. The red line corresponds to the 45°line. Joint probability values have been computed from Eq. 2, while empirical copula values according to Eq. 5.







Figure 2. Map of Haiti departments.







Figure 3. Number of people affected by natural disasters in Haiti (1900-2018). Source (CRED, 2017).







Figure 4. 4(a): distribution of SPI values; 4(b):distribution of  $VHI_{st}$  values. The red line represents the pdf of the standard normal distribution; boxplots represent the percentage of values lying in the range; 12 ranges were considered; starting from -6 and ending with 6 with a step equal to 1.







Figure 5. ROC curves for the set of thresholds reported in Table 9.







Figure 6. Comparison among the performances of SPI3, VHI and PPVI in identifying reported drought events; thresholds Z,  $Z_S$  and  $Z_V$  are varying, z = -1.1,  $z_S = 0$  and  $z_V = 40$ ; n = 80 and four cases for N are shown: (a): N = 10%, (b): N = 20%; (c): N = 30% and (d): N = 50%.







Figure 7. Comparison of the performance of SPI3, VHI, and PPVI in identifying the areas hit by drought. Week 45 of 1995. Departments highlighted in red are the ones in drought according to observations, black cells are the ones in drought condition according to the various indices.







**Figure 8.** Same as Fig. 7 but for week 33 of 2015. Departments highlighted in red are the ones in drought according to observations, black cells are the ones in drought condition according to the various indices.







**Figure 9.** Same as Fig. 7 but for week 8 of 2012. Departments highlighted in red are the ones in drought according to observations, black cells are the ones in drought condition according to the various indices.





Table 1.	An overview of indices used	l in agricultural o	<pre>drought monitoring; Met=Meteorol</pre>	ogical, Hydro=Hydrological, Ag=^	gricultural.
Index	Inputs	Drought type	Pros	Cons	Reference
ETDI	Modeled (SWAT)	Ag	Analysis of both actual and po- tential ET	Complex calculations	(Narasimhan and Srinivasan, 2005)
IVUN	Near-infrared	Ag	High resolution, global cover- age, remote-sensing	Need for data processing	(Kogan, 1995a)
SMAI	Precipitation, Temper- ature, Available water	Ag	Water balance approach	Data requirements	(Bergman et al., 1988)
Idms	content Modeled (SWAT)	Ag	Adaptable to different crop	Based upon output from SWAT	(Narasimhan and Srinivasan, 2005)
ISS	Soil moisture	Ag	types Uses soil moisture only, stan- dardized	Based on one variable	(Hao and Aghakouchak, 2013)
SVI	VCI Avoilable Woter con	Ag	Standardized, remote-sensing	Scarcerly employed	(Peters et al., 2002)
	Avaluation water cour- tent, Rooting depth, Soil water deficit, Soil type	20 C		homogeneous soils	
TCI	Brightness temperature	Ag	High resolution, global cover- age, remote-sensing	Only brightness temperature is considered	(Kogan, 1995a)
VCI	IVUN	Ag	High resolution, global cover- age, remote-sensing	Identifies all vegetation stresses, not only the ones due to drought	(Liu and Kogan, 1996)
CMI	Precipitation, Tempera- ture	Ag	Weekly temporal resolution	Specifically developed for grain-producing regions in the USA	(Palmer, 1968)





Table 1. (	Continued.				
Index	Inputs	Drought type	Pros	Cons	Reference
CSDI	Precipitation, Tempera-	Ag	Very specific for each crop,	Many inputs with a daily	(Meyer et al., 1993)
	ture, wind speed, so-		based on plant development	temporal resolution	
	lar radiation, dewpoint				
	temperature, soil pro-				
	file, plant phenology				
CWSI	Actual and potential	Ag	Useful for irrigation	To be computed from	(Idso et al., 1981)
	evapotranspiration		scheduling, remote-sensing	MODIS data	
ICIMN	NDVI	Ag	Uses vegetation condition	Poor performance in areas	(Wang and Qu, 2007)
			and soil water content	with sparse vegetation	
RSM	Precipitation, Temper-	Ag	Computes the water bal-	Need for multiple inputs	(Thornthwaite and Mather, 1955)
	ature, Evapotranspira-		ance with various methods		
	tion, Soil properties,				
	Crop features, Crop				
	management practice				
DTx	Modeled (water bal-	Ag	Computes an integrated	Need for multiple inputs	(Matera et al., 2007)
	ance)		transpiration deficit over a		
			period of time		
ADI	Precipitation, Snow	Met Hydro Ag	Water balance approach	Need for multiple inputs	(Keyantash and Dracup, 2004)
	water content, Stream-				
	flow, Reservoir storage,				
	Evapotranspiration,				
	Soil water content				

29





Table 2. An overview of aggregate and composite drought indices useful for agricultural drought monitoring; Met=Meteorological, Hydrological, (Hao and Aghakouchak, 2013) (Sepulcre-Canto et al., 2012) (Bijaber et al., 2018) (Brown et al., 2008) (Kogan, 1990) Reference Short period of record Identifies all types of veg-Monthly temporal resoluof record, etation stress, not only the Grid size may not represent all areas and climate (2012), Available only in regimes equally well; short tion; available for Morocco Available only for the cononly, short period of record Europe, hard to replicate drought-related ones period of records period tiguous USA Short Cons 30 +resolution Use of surface and remoteglobal coverage at a high Global coverage, remoteresolution High temporal resolution, 10-day temporal resolution, resolution, spatial years of records spatial sensing data sensing spatial High high (5km) (5km)Pros Drought type Met Ag Met Ag Met Ag Ag Ag SPI, ET, LST, NDVI SPI3, fAPAR, SMA SPI, NDVI, PDSI VCI, TCI SPI, SSI Inputs Ag=Agricultural. Morocco CDI VegDRI MSDI Index IHV G





Index	Inputs	Drought type	Pros	Cons	Reference
Hybrid DI	SPI, SWSI, PDSI	Met Hydro Ag	Function of damage, includes	Need for detailed information	(Karamouz et al., 2009)
VegOut	SPI, NDVI, oceanic in-	Met Ag	all types of droughts Combination of climate infor-	on economic damages Need for a high number of pa-	(Tadesse and Wardlow, 2007)
	dices		mation, vegetation condition, oceanic indices, and land cover	rameters	
OBDI	Precipitation, MPDI,	Met Ag	First attempt to combine and	Specifically designed for the	(Dieker et al., 2010)
USDM	Soil moisture PDSL Soil moisture.	Met Hvdro Ag	weight various inputs Combines many inputs and ex-	USA Available only for the USA	(Svoboda et al., 2002)
	Streamflow, Percent of	)	pert knowledge, weekly tempo-	<b>x</b>	
	normal precipitation,		ral resolution		
	SPI, OBDI				
TVX	NDVI, LST	Ag	Combination of NDVI and	To be computed from NDVI	(Lambin and Ehrlich, 1995)
			temperature effects, remote-	and LST datasets	
			sensing		
VTCI	NDVI, LST	Ag	Combination of NDVI and	To be computed from NDVI	(Wang et al., 2001)
			temperature effects, remote-	and LST datasets	
			sensing		
AMDI-SA	MSPI, MSSI	Met Ag	Combination of SPI and SSI;	Complex calculations	(Bateni et al., 2018)
			standardized		

Table 2. Continued.





Table 3. Drought classification based on SPI according to (Mckee et al., 1993).

Category	SPI	Probability (%)
Extremely wet	2.00 and above	2.3
Severely wet	1.50 to 1.99	4.4
Moderately wet	1.00 to 1.49	9.2
Near normal	-0.99 to 0.99	68.2
Moderately dry	-1.49 to -1.00	9.2
Severely dry	-1.50 to -1.99	4.4
Extremely dry	-2 and below	2.3





Table 4. Drought classification based on VHI according to (Dalezios et al., 2017).

Category	VHI
Extremely dry	$\leq 10$
Severely dry	$\leq 20$
Moderately dry	$\leq 30$
Mild dry	$\leq 40$
Normal	> 40





 Table 5. Drought classification according to PPVI.

Category	PPVI	Probability (%)
Extremely wet	1.04 and above	2.3
Severely wet	0.58 to 1.03	4.4
Moderately wet	0.13 to 0.57	9.2
Near normal	-1.68 to 0.12	68.2
Moderately dry	-2.14 to -1.69	9.2
Severely dry	-2.15 to -2.59	4.4
Extremely dry	-2.6 and below	2.3





Table 6. Contingency table for the deterministic estimates of a series of binary events (Joliffe and Stephenson, 2012).

Events estimated	Events Observed		
Events estimated	Yes	No	Total
Yes	TP (True Positive or Hits)	FP (False Positive or False Alarms)	TP + FP
No	FN (False Negative or Missing)	TN (True Negative or Corret rejections)	FN + TN
Total	TP + FN	FP + TN	TP + FP + FN + TN = T





Natural Hazards ତ୍ୱ

and Earth System

Table 7. Reported drought events in Haiti from 1980 to present.

(Mora-Castro, 1986; CIAT, 2017)

2

103,000

South, Grand Anse, West

Department

Year

Source

% population

Affected people

1990-1992

1997 2000

All country All country

1986

North West

1984-1985

1982-1983

1981

All counrty

North West

2003

North West

End 2009

2011-2012

All country

2013

All country

2014-2017





**Table 8.** Number of significant correlations between VHI and various SPI aggregation timescales. Value is expressed as percentage evaluated with respect to the total number of grid cells (987).

	% significant correlations 5%	% significant correlations 1%
SPI1	94.53	90.78
SPI2	97.26	95.44
SPI3	96.66	95.34
SPI6	89.77	85.61





**Table 9.** Example of set of thresholds used to draw ROC curves for model calibration. Thresholds N and n are expressed as the percentage of the country's area instead as the number of grid cells.

_	Ζ	Z	Ν	n	Step of variation
Set 1	-2	varying from -1.9 to 0	25%	10%	0.1
Set 2	varying from -3.5 to -1	-1	25%	10%	0.1
Set 3	-2	-1	25%	varying from 1% to 24%	1%
Set 4	-2	-1	varying from 11% to 25%	10%	1%





Table 10. Best configuration parameters for the model when applied with PPVI.

Ζ	z	Ν	n	TN	FP	FN	TP	POFD	POD
-1.8	-1.1	300	80	957	379	99	506	0.284	0.836





Table 11. performance of PPVI, SPI3, and VHI in identifying departments hit by drought during week 8 of 2012 and comparison with observations.

		%	of the ar	ea	Ranking of a	ments	
Department	Reported as drought	PPVI	SPI3	VHI	PPVI	SPI3	VHI
North West	Yes	93.1	91.7	47.2	1	1	1
Artibonite	Yes	75.1	72.8	34.1	2	7	5
North	Yes	74.6	82.1	10.4	3	4	9
Centre	Yes	67.2	54.3	45.7	4	10	2
North East	Yes	62.1	72.4	34.5	5	8	4
West	No	61.8	72.1	32.7	6	9	6
Nippes	No	51.2	75.6	36.6	7	5	3
Grand Anse	No	47.8	82.1	10.4	8	3	8
South	No	32.6	75.3	9	9	6	10
South East	No	30.8	84.6	20	10	2	7





Event number	Start date	End date	Duration (months)	Mean intensity PPVI	Minimum PPVI	Mean areal extent (%)
1	22/04/1982	14/07/1983	14	-9.08	-2.45	31.32
2	20/12/1984	07/11/1985	10	-8.44	-2.27	47.18
3	11/09/1986	09/04/1987	6	-9.38	-2.21	42.33
4	03/08/1989	18/10/1990	14	-8.84	-2.41	32.09
5	14/02/1991	04/02/1993	23	-9.03	-2.34	43.95
6	16/09/1993	27/01/1994	4	-9.77	-2.18	37.29
7	28/07/1994	10/11/1994	3	-10.06	-2.38	53.27
8	13/03/1997	15/01/1998	10	-8.52	-2.46	39.20
9	30/03/2000	28/09/2000	5	-10.13	-2.12	72.30
10	23/11/2000	26/04/2001	5	-8.38	-2.33	25.63
11	09/08/2001	13/12/2001	4	-8.16	-2.19	37.46
12	04/04/2002	20/06/2002	2	-11.09	-2.16	31.36
13	12/12/2002	30/10/2003	10	-8.49	-2.17	30.74
14	15/04/2004	27/05/2004	1	-11.13	-1.98	20.16
15	25/11/2004	19/05/2005	5	-10.70	-2.67	79.52
16	23/03/2006	13/07/2006	3	-9.34	-2.01	22.39
17	28/02/2008	31/07/2008	5	-8.09	-2.20	27.25
18	17/09/2009	18/02/2010	5	-8.92	-2.37	58.27
19	21/04/2011	16/06/2011	1	-17.42	-2.23	45.60
20	29/12/2011	05/04/2012	3	-8.87	-2.24	60.07
21	19/07/2012	01/11/2012	3	-9.64	-2.27	44.00
22	07/03/2013	05/05/2016	37	-8.69	-2.65	35.20
23	15/09/2016	20/04/2017	7	-8.40	-2.10	16.42
24	02/11/2017	14/12/2017	1	-12.61	-2.19	19.68
25	12/07/2018	31/12/2018	5	-11.05	-2.45	60.69

 Table 12. Drought events in Haiti according to PPVI, duration, severity and mean areal extent.