

## ***Interactive comment on “A methodology to conduct wind damage field surveys for high impact weather events from convective origin” by Oriol Rodríguez et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 15 October 2019

I really admire the scientific interest and the passion of authors on severe storms and on understanding their associated damages. Unfortunately, the submitted manuscript, describing a methodology to conduct wind damage surveys, is not ready for publication. My opinion is based on the following comments: 1) the manuscript does not fit within the scope of the journal; 2) the aim of the manuscript is not clearly stated: why is this methodology necessary? what are the new ideas that the authors are bringing on conducting wind damage surveys? how is this methodology relevant for other regions of Europe besides Spain? 3) some of the recommendations for wind damage survey are common sense (but I do understand that is necessary to have a document collecting all of them), but most of them are just vague and not clearly explained; how are

the forest damage assessments used to differentiate between damages produced by tornadoes and other types of convective winds? 4) there is no clear mentioning in the entire manuscript on how to use the proposed methodology to differentiate between damages associated with different types of convective winds events; 5) the manuscript is not very well structured and the English is not of publication quality and requires major improvements.

I do encourage the authors to further develop, refine, and describe their methodology in more details and to make it available as a report to the scientific community.

---

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-294>, 2019.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

