https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
EGU
Discussions

Temporal variability of social vulnerability to storm surges in Shenzhen, China

Huaming Yu[1,2,†], Yuhang Shen[1,2,†], Ryan M. Kelly[3], Xin Qi[4], Kejian Wu[1,2], Songlin Li[1,2], Haiqing Yu[5], Xianwen Bao[1,2]

[1]College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, 266100, China;
[2]Key Laboratory of Physical Oceanography, Ministry of Education, Qingdao, 266003, China;
[3]Rykell Scientific Editorial, LLC, Los Angeles, CA, USA;
[4]Department of Organic Food Quality and Food Culture, Faculty of Organic Agricultural Sciences, University of Kassel, Nordbahnhofstrasse 1A, 37213, Witzenhausen, Germany;
[5]College of Fisheries, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, 266003, China;

† These authors contributed equally to this work.
Correspondence to: Huaming Yu (hmyu@ouc.edu.cn)
RMK, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2322-2848

Abstract. A social vulnerability evaluation of storm surges is important for any coastal city to commence in order to provide marine disaster preparedness and mitigation procedures and to formulate post-disaster emergency plans for coastal communities. This study establishes an integrated evaluation system of social vulnerability by blending a variety of single evaluation methods, applying the idea of combination weighting and calculating the social vulnerability index of storm surges. Shenzhen, with a current reputation of having the most economic development potential and a representative city in China, is chosen to evaluate its social vulnerability to storm surges via a historical social and economic statistical dataset spanning from 1986 to 2016. The research extends further by analyzing the city's temporal variability. Results reveal that social vulnerability keeps almost constant from 1986–1991 and 1993–2004, while it decreased sharply in the remainder of times to show a 'stair-type' declining curve over the past 30 years. Resilience is progressively increasing by virtue of a continuous increase in medical institutions, fixed asset investments and salary levels of employees. These determinants contribute to the overall downward trend of social vulnerability for Shenzhen. Exposure and sensitivity increased slowly with some fluctuation, causing the changes of social responsibility to transpire.

Keywords: Social vulnerability; Storm surge; Combined evaluation; Indicator system; Shenzhen, China;

1 Introduction

Storm surge, which refers to the abnormal volumetric rise of sea water layered above the astronomical tide due to severe meteorological conditions experienced through transitioning low-pressure weather systems such as tropical and extratropical cyclones, ranks near the pinnacle among marine natural hazards in terms of historical counts of human casualties and

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

expensive infrastructure losses. As a naturally occurring phenomena, storm surge is a major contributor to coastal disasters and has significant ability to disrupt communities, impair transportation systems, impact prosperous economic zones and reach record-achieving damage levels. Most of the world's major coastal disasters caused by tropical cyclone activity are produced by their storm surge, such as Hurricane Sandy (2012), Typhoon Haiyan (2013), Cyclone Nargis (1972), Hurricane Harvey (2017), Hurricane Irma (2017), the Bhola Cyclone (1970), and Hurricane Katrina (2005). To curb the escalating losses and casualties from storm surge incidents and achieve sustainable development, it is urgent for governments whom control coastal areas to carry out disaster prevention and reduction activities.

The occurrence of marine natural hazards not only depends on the hazards themselves but also on the theory of urban exposure and vulnerability (Dwyer et al., 2004; Peduzzi et al., 2009; Ellis, 2012; IPCC, 2012). Therefore, it is necessary to build detailed research involving human impacts and the positive effects when facing marine natural hazards (Cutter, 2003a). Risk assessment to tropical cyclone-induced storm surge provides the basis for risk mitigation and related decision making (Lin et al., 2010). A comprehensive disaster risk assessment requires a more rational distribution of efforts created in areas such as disaster reduction and disaster management. Disaster reduction should be regarded as a new dimension of development rather than simply focused on post-disaster responses (Zheng et al., 2012). Whether the risk of a disaster is initiated by weather, climate or hydrological events, it can propagate into a realistic problem and depends largely on specific physical, geographical and social conditions (Sun et al., 2009; Yin et al., 2012). Vulnerability has become one of the central elements of sustainability research (Turner et al., 2003a). Understanding, measuring, and reducing vulnerability has been one of the most important priorities in the transition to a more sustainable world (Birkmann, 2006). In comparison to other coastal disasters, there are few studies on the vulnerability of storm surge. An ability to effectively evaluate the vulnerability of storm surges is of great significance for reducing this type of marine natural hazard.

At present, there is still no universal definition and concept of vulnerability, though it is generally defined as the possibility, degree, or state of the system being damaged (Huang et al., 2012). It is widely understood that vulnerability is an inherent attribute of the system, and the state of the exposure factors in the risk of damage is the core characteristic of vulnerability (Cardona, 2004).

However, views of the components of vulnerability vary among disciplines and research areas (Dow and Downing, 1995; Cutter, 1996; Janssen et al., 2006). Based on the theory of sustainable development and the perspective of disaster economics (Turner et al., 2003b), it is suggested that analyzing the ability of an entire system in order to prevent and resist disasters and the ability to repair after a disaster, identifies the vulnerability of a system. In the field of climate change, vulnerability refers to the degree to which a system is susceptible to, or unable to cope with, adverse effects of climate change, including climate variability and extremes (IPCC, 2012). Vulnerability is defined to be a function of the character, magnitude and rate of climate variation to which a system is exposed, its sensitivity, and its adaptive capacity (McCarthy et al., 2001; Adger, 2006).

The existing vulnerability studies can be divided into biophysical vulnerability, social vulnerability and an integrated vulnerability (Cutter, 2003a; Schmidtlein et al., 2008; Clare and Weninger, 2010). Biophysical vulnerability refers to a certain amount of (potential) loss of a system caused by a particular climatic event or hazard, which can be measured

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
EGU
Discussions

quantitatively by a series of indicators such as human death, production cost loss and ecosystem loss (Jones and Boer, 2005). While social vulnerability places more emphasis on its social connotation, focusing on the analysis from the perspective of the characteristics of a person or group in terms of their capacity to anticipate, cope with, resist, and recover from the impacts of a natural hazard is important (Dwyer et al., 2004; Wisner et al., 2004; Zhang and You, 2014). Social vulnerability is partially the product of social inequalities and is a function of the demographics of the population as well as more complex constructs, such as healthcare, social capital, and access to lifelines (Cutter and Emrich, 2006). The social and biophysical vulnerabilities interact to produce the overall place vulnerability (Cutter, 1996). However, vulnerability is also strongly influenced by a society's dependence on infrastructure such as roads, utilities, airports, railways, and emergency response facilities (Aerts et al., 2014; Bevacqua et al., 2018). It’s important to note that while reducing exposure and vulnerability may considerably reduce flood damage and entail lower investment costs, they do not prevent flood waters from entering any coastal city (Cutter et al., 2000).

In the past, considerable research attention has been paid to components related to biophysical vulnerability, but relatively few studies have been carried out on social vulnerability before 1990 due to the fact that quantifying social vulnerability has higher complexity than biophysical vulnerability (Mileti, 1999). However, with more devastating disasters happening, large losses of life and property have brought up the attention on the role of social vulnerability in the occurrence of severity of disasters (Zhou et al., 2014). People began to realize that simply understanding the characteristics of biophysical vulnerability is not enough to analyze the losses caused by disasters and the ability to quickly recover from the disasters (Schmidtlein et al., 2008). The evaluation of social vulnerability is thought to be an important step in disaster risk management (Wisner et al., 2004; Cutter and Finch, 2008). It is necessary for governments to analyze the social vulnerability of coastal cities in order to build policies for distributing relief funds and assist the region to improve its capabilities against coastal disasters (Wei et al., 2004). Thus a considerable body of research on social vulnerability has emerged as a component of studies in disaster reduction in the last decade (Cutter, 2003a; Cutter and Emrich, 2006; Schmidtlein et al., 2008).

There is importance in analyzing social vulnerability of storm surges in Shenzhen, China (Fig. 1c) during 1986–2016 for four main reasons. First, there has been little assessment of social vulnerability to storm surges where Shenzhen is the focal point. Therefore, by furnishing a detailed and comprehensive screening of social vulnerability to storm surges in Shenzhen, the research provides a buffer against disaster risk and allows the city’s government to plan for a more sustainable future. Also, the statistical methods and concepts used in this research can be adapted to other coastal cities with similar situations in different geographic regions and for several types of marine natural hazards. Secondly, due to the reform and openness that starting in 1979, Shenzhen has led to an expedited process of rapid urbanization and socioeconomic development during the study period. In choosing Shenzhen, the scenario is a typical case of observing how social vulnerability changes with the extensive progress of a highly capable city. Third, research involving vulnerability to disasters are mainly focused on discussing the spatial distribution of vulnerability, as well as comparing the differences between various geographic areas and development levels. A composite social vulnerability index (SVI) for Chinese coastal cities was developed by integrating 17 indices from three aspects (i.e. exposure, sensitivity and adaptive capability) that shaped the social vulnerability of urban

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

society to hazards and analyzed the differences of vulnerability of different areas (Su et al., 2015). A data envelopment analysis (DEA) model was used for regional vulnerability evaluation of natural disasters in China and discovered a significant negative correlation between the level of vulnerability and the economic level of the region (Huang et al., 2011). Five methods for combined evaluation were used by Liu and Liu (2017) and results determined that Yantai city (Binzhou city) had the highest (lowest) vulnerability, respectfully, among seven coastal cities selected for evaluation in Shandong Province. The socioeconomic vulnerability to typhoon-induced storm surges for municipal districts of Guangdong Province using the fuzzy comprehensive evaluation was assessed and it was determined that vulnerability presented spatial heterogeneity to a large degree (Zhang et al., 2010). Research focused on the risk assessment of typhoon disasters in China's coastal areas by Niu et al. (2011) and research on the regional vulnerability of storm surge disasters by Yuan et al. (2016) led to similar conclusions with results from Zhang et al. (2010). However, the social vulnerability to storm surges contains both spatial and temporal differences. It is of significant value to observe the changes of social vulnerability over years for one prone coastal city by identifying factors contributing to large impacts on social vulnerability, which in return, becomes beneficial for generating disaster prevention and mitigation policy.

Thus, the purpose of our study is to quantitatively explore the temporal patterns of social vulnerability to storm surges in Shenzhen from a macroscopic angle. Based on the postulation put forward by Turner et al. (2003a), social vulnerability in our study is divided into three aspects: (i) exposure, (ii) sensitivity and (iii) resilience, so we can inspect the results from different perspectives.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

Shenzhen is a metropolitan city attributed to the highest per capita Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in mainland China and its economic aggregate is equivalent to a medium-sized Chinese province. Since its establishment in 1979, Shenzhen has gone through tremendous advancement in just 40 years by virtue of political reform and a more open environment.

However, Shenzhen is also faced with many coastal disasters that threaten its sustainable development due to its location at the coast of the Pearl River Delta (Fig. 1a,b) and is adjacent to the northern part of the South China Sea (Fig. 1b,c), among which, disasters caused by storm surges are the most serious. According to the Shenzhen Marine Disaster Emergency Plan (2017) [http://www.sz.gov.cn/ytqzfzx/yingji/yjya/201712/t20171206_10111758.htm (last access: 30 June 2019)], there have been 260 typhoons affecting the coastal areas of Shenzhen since 1949, with an average of 4.06 typhoons per year. Among them, 116 typhoons seriously affected the adjacent sea area around Shenzhen with an average of 1.81 typhoons per year, especially typhoons landing in the coastal areas, causing the greatest impact to the city limits (Fig. 1c, crimson color coding). 13 typhoons have made landfall directly on Shenzhen's coastline and the strongest system was Typhoon "7908". Typhoon "7908" made landfall at the end of July 1979, which caused the storm surge elevation at Red Harbor to reach 1.12 m. On a broader perspective, the highest storm surge level ever recorded in China occurred with Typhoon "8007". Typhoon "8007"

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

made landfall in July 1980 and generated a 5.94 m surge at Nandu Tide Gauge in Leizhou, China, a tide gauge notable for recording four out of the six highest water levels from coastal flooding situations (Liu and Wang, 1989; Ma, 2003; Zhang, 2009; Needham et al., 2015). The frequency of storm surges has caused more economic and social losses in Shenzhen each year. Therefore, it is valuable to commence a risk assessment and develop an early warning system for Shenzhen in order to protect a particularly susceptible area from future storm surges.

2.2 Data sources

The data used to evaluate the social vulnerability of storm surges in Shenzhen is fully contained in Shenzhen Bureau of Statistics, Shenzhen Investigation Team of National Bureau of Statistics (2017), which was compiled by the Shenzhen Statistical Bureau and a Shenzhen–based investigation team of the National Bureau of Statistics, and published (updated annually) by the Shenzhen Statistical Bureau. Therefore, the instantaneity and reliability of this data are acceptable for research purposes. This yearbook comprehensively and systematically introduces the national economy and social development of Shenzhen, and the indicators reflect the achievements made by Shenzhen in all aspects of economy and society in 2016, as well as the statistical data of the city since its establishment. The statistical data consists of 19 parameters, listed as: (i) synthesis, (ii) national economic accounting, (iii) population and labor force, (iv) industry and energy, (v) construction industry, (vi) transport and post and telecommunications, (vii) agriculture, (viii) investment in fixed assets, (ix) real estate development, (x) commerce and prices, (xi) financial revenues and expenditures, (xii) financial insurance industry, (xiii) foreign economic trade and tourism, (xiv) labor wages, (xv) science and technology, (xvi) culture and education, (xvii) health, social security and social welfare, (xviii) urban construction and environmental protection, and (xix) people's livelihood. Due to the absence of statistical data of some important indicators, this study is limited to use a partial statistical dataset between 1986 and 2016 with respect to data integrity.

2.3 Research methods

At present, the evaluation of social vulnerability is still in an exploratory stage and the theoretical frameworks used in various fields are dissimilar, such as the hazards of place (HOP) model (Cutter, 1996) and the Vulnerability Framework for Sustainability Science (VFSS) model (Turner et al., 2003a), etc. Currently, the unified evaluation model has not been completely established (Zhou et al., 2014). Based on these frameworks, the existing social vulnerability assessment methods can be divided into three kinds: (i) based on an indicator system (Su et al., 2015), (ii) based on historical disaster loss (Sun et al., 2009), and (iii) based on a vulnerability curve. This paper adopts the first assessment method and is based on the SVI evaluation framework proposed by Cutter (1996), which is comprised of calculating the SVI to measure the vulnerability level of a region by selecting the indicators related to the social vulnerability of that region (Cutter, 1996). The evaluation indicator system of disaster vulnerability is composed of two parts: (i) the indicator system and (ii) the indicator weight. The indicators reflect the characteristics of the evaluation objects and their internal relations while the indicator weight reflects the importance of the indicator to the evaluation results and is an essential part of the construction of the evaluation system

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Discussions

(Yang and Li, 2013). At present, the methods used to determine the weight of evaluation indicators can be divided into two categories: (i) subjective weighting method and (ii) objective weighting method. The former is dominated by the expert grading method (Liu et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2003), while the latter encompasses several research methods, including AHP (Lu, 2008; Shi et al., 2008), PCA (Zhang and You, 2014), data fusion algorithms and the comprehensive analysis method (Liu and Liu, 2017). Among them, the comprehensive analysis method refers to the combination of two or more single evaluation methods to determine the indicator weight, which enhances the objectivity and rationality of the evaluation results.

Based on the above predecessors' research, this study constructed a set of basic procedures for calculating the SVI of storm surges in Shenzhen (Fig. 3). Firstly, the construction of an optimized social vulnerability evaluation indicator system, based on the idea of rough set theory, is completed. Second, the entropy method, the Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to an Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) method and the coefficient of variation method are used to weigh the indicators and aggregate SVI separately. Then, the consistency of different evaluation results is tested by using the compatibility test method, i.e., Kendall consistency test. When all the above evaluation methods pass the consistency test, the combination weighting method strategy is used to determine the weight of each evaluation method. Finally, the combined evaluation results are achieved and have significant advantages over all evaluation methods due to calculating the weighted evaluation value of each evaluation method.

2.3.1 Designing an indicator system of social vulnerability

The analysis of the connotation and extension in the concept of vulnerability evaluation for a storm surge-bearing body is based on a theoretical framework. Next, the evaluation indicators are preliminarily selected based on the perspective of exposure, sensitivity and resilience and the indicator designing principles of science, system, dominance, comparability, quantifiability, operability and dynamics. Finally, the evaluation indicators are screened and the optimal evaluation index system is constructed by using the knowledge simplicity attribute of rough set.

Among them, rough set theory is a soft computing technique proposed by Z. Pawlak for handling vague, inconsistent and uncertain data (Das et al., 2018). The main idea is to remove redundant or unimportant attributes according to specific rules on the premise of keeping the classification ability of knowledge base unchanged (Wu and Tang, 2019). This method can undertake in-depth analysis and reasoning of data, simplify the data, and obtain knowledge on the premise of preserving key information, identify and evaluate the dependencies between the data, and finally, reveal the potential regularity from the data (Pawlak, 1998; Pawlak and Skowron, 2007). Rough set is defined in terms of a pair of sets, namely lower approximation and upper approximation of the original set. Indiscernibility relations and set approximations are the fundamental concepts of the rough set theory (Pawlak, 1982; Swiniarski, 2001).

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

2.3.2 Social vulnerability index

In order to enhance the reliability of the social vulnerability evaluation results, it is inadvisable to apply only one evaluation method. Therefore, this paper will use the entropy, TOPSIS and coefficient of variation methods to weigh the social vulnerability indicators and aggregate SVI, respectively. When the calculation results of all above evaluation methods pass the Kendall consistency test, their combined evaluation results based on the combination weighting method strategy are achieved. The results under a single evaluation framework will be further investigated.

2.3.2.1 Entropy method

In information theory, entropy is a measure of uncertainty. The greater the amount of information, the smaller the uncertainty and the smaller the entropy. According to the characteristics of entropy, we can determine the randomness and disorder degree of an event by calculating the entropy value, or the entropy value can be applied to judge the dispersion degree of an indicator. The greater the dispersion degree of an indicator, the greater the influence of this indicator on the comprehensive evaluation (Skotarczak et al., 2018). Therefore, the weight of each indicator can be calculated according to the variation degree of each indicator, using information entropy as a tool to provide the basis for a comprehensive evaluation of multiple indicators (Zhou and Yang, 2019).

Procedure I

- **Step 1:** Select *n* years and *m* indicators.
- **Step 2:** Calculate the proportion of the indicator (r_{ij}) value of item *j* in year *i*:

$$\overline{r_{\mathrm{ij}}}=\frac{r_{\mathrm{ij}}}{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} r_{\mathrm{ij}}} \quad , \tag{1}$$

- **Step 3:** Calculate the information entropy (e) of the indicator *j*:

$$e_j=-(\ln n)^{-1}\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n}\overline{r_{\mathrm{ij}}}(\ln n) \quad , \tag{2}$$

where, $0 \le e_j \le 1$ and $\mathrm{j}=\{1,2,3,\ldots,\mathrm{m}\}$.

- **Step 4:** Calculate the utility value of the indicator *j*:

$$d_j=1-e_j \quad , \tag{3}$$

- **Step 5:** Calculate the weight of the indicator *j*:

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences Discussions

$$u_j = \frac{d_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j} \quad , \tag{4}$$

- **Step 6:** Obtain the final evaluation value by weighted summation of each indicator.

2.3.2.2 TOPSIS method

The TOPSIS method, namely the solution distance method, was first proposed by C.L. Hwang and K. Yoon in 1981 (Kuo, 2017). TOPSIS is a common multi-indicator and multi-objective decision analysis method, which has been widely applied to the evaluation of multivariate analysis (Wu and Chen, 2019). Its core idea involves sorting the proximity of a limited number of evaluation objects to idealized targets by measuring the distance of the positive ideal solution and negative ideal solution, and then realize the evaluation of each object relative merits (Lu et al., 2011).

The TOPSIS method can be divided into six steps, which are: (i) construct the original data matrix, (ii) data standardization processing, (iii) determine the indicator weight using the entropy method, (iv) calculate the positive and negative ideal values, (v) calculate the distance from each evaluation indicator to the positive and negative ideal value, and (vi) calculate the relative proximity between the evaluation object and the optimal value (Zhang and You, 2014).

2.3.2.3 Coefficient of variation method

A comprehensive evaluation is carried out through multiple indicators. If the actual value of a certain indicator can clearly distinguish each sample, it means the indicator possesses rich resolved information about this evaluation. Therefore, in order to improve the discrimination validity of a comprehensive evaluation, the idea of the coefficient of variation method is to assign weights to all the evaluated objects according to the variation degree of the observed values of each indicator (Zhou et al., 2004). Indicators with large variation of the observed values indicate that the schemes or indicators can be effectively divided, and a larger weight should be given, otherwise a smaller weight would be justified (Zhao et al., 2013). The variation information of indicators is measured by its variance, but the variance of indicators is not comparable due to the influence of the dimensions and order of magnitude of each indicator. Therefore, the comparable indicator variation coefficient should be selected and the weight of each indicator can be obtained by normalizing its coefficient of variation (Gupta and Gupta, 2016).

Procedure II

- **Step 1:** Suppose there are *n* participating samples, each of which is described by *p* indicators. Calculate the mean value X_{avg} and variance S_i^2 of each indicator.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

$$X_{\text{avg}}=\frac{1}{n\sum X_{\text{ij}}} \quad , \tag{5}$$

$$S_i^2=\frac{1}{n-1}\sum\left(x_{\text{ij}}-X_{\text{avg}}\right)^2 \quad , \tag{6}$$

- **Step 2:** Calculate the coefficient of variation of each indicator.

$$V_i=\mathrm{S}_i/X_{\text{avg}} \quad , \tag{7}$$

where, $\mathrm{i}=\{1,2,3,\ldots,\mathrm{p}\}$.

- **Step 3:** Obtain the weight of each indicator by normalizing the coefficient of variation.

$$W_i=\frac{V_i}{\sum V_j} \quad , \tag{8}$$

where, $\mathrm{j}=\{1,2,3,\ldots,\mathrm{p}\}$.

- **Step 4:** Obtain the final evaluation value by weighted summation of each indicator.

2.3.2.4 Kendall consistency test

Due to limitations of the various methods, different single evaluation methods have distinct conclusions. However, as long as the evaluation criteria are consistent, the result of grade classification is reasonable. The Kendall consistency test is a method to test whether the results of each single evaluation method are consistent (Wen and Hu, 2002).

$$\mathrm{W}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n}\left(R_i-\frac{m(\mathrm{n}+1)}{2}\right)^2}{m^2 n\left(n^2-1\right)/12} \quad , \tag{9}$$

where, W is the Kendall's coefficient of concordance, m is the number of evaluation methods used, n is the year participated in the evaluation, and R_i is the rank sum of year i. The numerator in Eq. (9) is the sum of deviation squared between the total rank and the total rank of all samples, and $n\left(n^2-1\right)/12$ in the denominator is the sum of total deviation squared (total sum of squares) of all ranks.

The closer W is to 1, the greater the difference between the rank groups, wherefore there is a significant difference in the scores of the years involved in the evaluation and further indicates that the evaluation criteria of different methods are consistent. On the contrary, the closer W is to 0, the more inconsistent these methods are in their evaluation criteria.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

2.3.2.5 Combination weighting method

In a single evaluation system, the results may possess slight one-sidedness differences, which will affect the accuracy and feasibility of the evaluation. By combining the evaluation results of multiple evaluation methods helps to safeguard the objectiveness of the evaluation results.

A weight combination strategy normalizes the weight of a single method vector by using dispersion maximization combined with the weighting method in Eq. (10) and provides combination weight coefficients of singular evaluation methods. The combination weight of each indicator is obtained by using the combination calculation formula: $\omega_s=\theta_1^{*}\omega_{1s}+\theta_2^{*}\omega_{2s}+\ldots+\theta_n^{*}\omega_{ns}$, where θ_n^{*} is the weight of a single evaluation method, ω_{js} is the weight value of indicator *s* under method *j* ($j=\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$), and ω_s is the final weight. In the following formula (Eq. 10), f_{ij} , f_{tj} are evaluated values of objects *i* and *t* under each single evaluation method (*j*), and θ_j^{*} is the weight of a single evaluation method ($j=\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$):

$$\theta_j^{*}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left|f_{ij}-f_{tj}\right|}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{t=1}^{m}\left|f_{ij}-f_{tj}\right|}\quad , \tag{10}$$

2.4 Indicator system of social vulnerability evaluation

By analyzing the factors contributing to social vulnerability, a set of more than 100 evaluation indicators was obtained (Fischer et al., 2002; Wisner et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2014; Yuan et al., 2016). The evaluation indicators were then simplified using rough set theory.

As for storm surges accompanied by tropical and extratropical cyclones that Shenzhen faces on a regular basis, this research screens an algorithm without considering the effects of man-made physical barriers and coastal defense systems such as seawalls, revetments, floodgates and dams. The algorithm screens for classifying disaster bodies that reflect the social economy of the study area and screens for determining key attributes that can affect the exposure of various disaster bodies. As for the exposure of a disaster body, this research selects key indicators that are highly accessible and can reflect a disaster-stricken area at a macro level. Then, the evaluation indicators are selected based on aspects of the population structure and industrial structure to reflect the sensitivity of a disaster body. Evaluation indicators are selected from aspects such as fiscal expenditures, resident income, and infrastructure construction to reflect the resilience of a disaster body's social and economic system. Table 1 shows a total of 16 evaluation indicators selected after repeated screening in which the

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Grade I indicators identify with the three component of vulnerability and the Grade II indicators identify with the branches of the Grade I indicators.

2.4.1 Exposure indicators

The indicators of exposure reflect the damage of an inundation area, including its population and social economy. Among them, the permanent resident population at the end of the year reflects the population exposure. The higher the population, the higher the number of people exposed to natural disasters, and the relative high level of vulnerability. While regional GDP measures economic exposure, a relative high level of economic development equates to a more vulnerable area for storm surges due to the aggregation of public property (e.g. shopping centers, office buildings, etc.) built upon the area compared to underdeveloped locations. The total area of crops, fishery output value and port cargo throughput are indicators directly exposed to the impact of storm surges. In flooded areas, crops are damaged, fishery resources are affected and the port cannot operate normally.

2.4.2 Sensitivity indicators

Sensitivity indicators reflect the degree of sensitive of a disaster body. Primary industries include agriculture, forestry, fishery, animal husbandry and collection. The operation of these industries is sensitive to fluctuations of the natural environment and the occurrence of storm surges will directly affect the output of these industries. When storm surges occur, surface meteorological conditions are harsh and often accompanied by higher winds and precipitation patterns, which causes the city traffic to become inconvenient and prone to accidents. As vulnerable groups in society, students at school and women are more likely to suffer casualties outside. Meanwhile, social workers generally work outdoors with relatively high risk of being injured and their awareness of disaster prevention and reduction is relatively low due to limited knowledge of the general population, leading to increased sensitivity of storm surges within the entire region.

2.4.3 Resilience indicators

In contrast to exposure and sensitivity, resilience is a negative indicator with which relatively high resilience in a region is equivalent to a relative low vulnerability. The resilience indicators selected for this research can be divided into three aspects, namely (i) fiscal expenditures, (ii) resident income and (iii) infrastructure construction. Fiscal expenditure levels mainly reflect on the general public budget expenditures and urban fixed asset investments. The higher the public budget spending, the more money is devoted into social management and infrastructure construction. Urban fixed asset investments include many infrastructure projects such as railways, water conservancy, roads, airports, pipelines and power grids. The higher the urban fixed asset investment values, the more complete the regional infrastructure construction is for a particular region. Therefore, with an increase of fiscal expenditures, the infrastructure construction is more complete and the ability to

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

prevent and resist disasters, along with resilience after being damaged, is substantial. The level of residential income can be divided into (i) per capital disposable income of urban residents and (ii) the average annual salary of employees. With a relatively high income level of residents and relatively higher living standard, the disaster resilience of the area becomes stronger and the recovery capacity is faster after the disaster. The level of infrastructure construction mainly refers to the level of medical and health care, including the number of medical and health institutions, the number of beds in medical and health institutions and the number of health employees. All of these values are positively correlated with the medical treatment level of the victims.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Variation characteristics of social vulnerability

Based on the constructed evaluation indicator system along with detailed and reliable statistical data and combined weighting results, the annual SVI of Shenzhen between 1986 and 2016 is obtained and the changing characteristics and influencing factors of social vulnerability will be discussed. According to previous studies on disaster vulnerability, social vulnerability to storm surges discussed in this research can be approximately divided into (i) high vulnerability, (ii) relatively high vulnerability, (iii) moderate vulnerability, (iv) relatively low vulnerability and (v) low vulnerability and the corresponding critical points of SVI are 0.5873, 0.5163, 0.4452 and 0.3741, respectively (Yuan et al., 2016).

According to calculated results, three kinds of single evaluation methods share close weight coefficients, and the weight coefficients of the entropy method is the highest (Table 2). These results closely reflect a similar overall trend except for slight differences in numerical values. The combination of all three weighted values can be considered as a valid reflection of regional social vulnerability and used within the actual social vulnerability analysis.

3.1.1 Interannual variation

As shown in Fig. 4, the curve of weighted SVI illustrates a significant downward trend in entirely with noticeable fluctuations. SVI shows a slight upward trend between 1986–1991 and 1996–2004 and shows a significant downward trend for the remaining years as the rate of decline is greatest within 2014–2016. According to classification criteria, social vulnerability to storm surges in Shenzhen during the entire study period can be divided into four stages: (i) high social vulnerability between 1986 to 1992, (ii) relatively high social vulnerability between 1993 to 2008, (iii) moderate social vulnerability between 2009 and 2014, and (iv) relatively low social vulnerability between 2015 and 2016. The time to maintain relatively high (low) social vulnerability is the longest (shortest) as a whole, respectively.

3.1.2 Interdecadal variation

The interdecadal changes of social vulnerability are also significant. Since 1986, each decade is a cycle which has a step–down trend, and the derivative of the third step is the largest. By evaluating and classifying social vulnerability quantitatively, it is discovered that social vulnerability has been decreasing consistently during the research period. This discovered trend relates to Shenzhen's enhanced ability to withstand losses and reconstruct after substantial damage when confronted with storm surges. The reasons for this trend has to be analyzed by the standpoints of exposure, sensitivity and resilience.

3.2 Reasons for vulnerability changes

Fig. 5 depicts the corresponding index of exposure, sensitivity and resilience. It is important to note that exposure and sensitivity belong to benefit indicators which means the larger the EI and SI, the higher the exposure and sensitivity. While resilience possesses opposite attributes as a cost indicator, meaning the larger the RI, the lower the resilience.

The results show that exposure, sensitivity and resilience are increasing over time, as the growth rate in turn is resilience > exposure > sensitivity, which reflects that Shenzhen's social and economic exposure, sensitivity of population, and industrial structures have increased inevitably, but simultaneously. Shenzhen's fiscal spending, residents' income levels, completion degree of medical conditions, and infrastructure exponentially improved.

3.2.1 Analysis of resilience changes

According to the evaluation results, a continuous increase of resilience is the most significant, which is mirrored by the continuous decrease of RI (Fig. 5). Resilience is closely related to the level of regional social and economic development. The remarkable pace of Shenzhen has greatly promoted the city's development in just thirty years which leads to a continuous growth of all resilience indicators. Therefore, the growth of resilience in Shenzhen is overt.

3.2.2 Analysis of exposure and sensitivity changes

EI remains almost flat during the period of 1986 to 1991 and continues to grow since 1996 but presents a slight drop between 1992 to 1996. According to the statistical data combined with the city's historical situation, Shenzhen transformed from a small fishing village to grids of high-rise buildings and started the rapid urbanization after reform and openness occurred in 1979, which leads to the exposure indicator (total sown area of crops) showing a continuous decreasing trend (Fig. 6). In 1992, Deng Xiaoping delivered a famous speech during his inspection tour of south China. Afterwards, Shenzhen entered a stage of high-speed development for a second moment, causing the proportion of agriculture to decrease sharply, so the total sown area of crops simultaneously reduced by less than one half of the previous year. However, the indicator weight of the

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

total sown area of crops was relatively large (Table 3), which directly led to a decrease of exposure of Shenzhen during the same period.

Although the growth rate of SI is the slowest, SI maintains an upward trend until 2000 to 2011 when the trend exhibits an oblate form because the indicator of female proportion did not always increase with time. Instead, the indicator of female proportion showed a significant decreasing trend firstly and then increased (Fig. 6). In the entire research period, SI is smaller than EI (Fig. 5) because the total weight of sensitivity indicators is the smallest (Table 3).

3.2.3 Correlation between value of indicators and SVI

In Table 3, the weight of the indicators by benefit type and cost type is very proximate, accounting for approximately 50% of the total weight. Collectively, RI is larger than the sum of EI and SI. The statistical data corresponding to the resilience indicators is generally larger than that of exposure and sensitivity after standardization. The indicator weight is positively correlated with the dispersion of data, while the correlation coefficient between the indicator value and SVI can resemble an influential degree for this indicator on social vulnerability. The first three indicators with the largest correlation coefficient are determined as the number of medical and health institutions, urban fixed asset investments and annual average annual salary of employees, respectively. After data standardization, the three indicators are compared with the SVI (Fig. 7), and it is discovered that their trend is highly consistent. Three indicators that contribute to the greatest impact on SVI are all resilience indicators, indicating that social vulnerability for a region is more affected by its resilience while its exposure and sensitivity only act as a secondary binding role under the same development level. Moreover, in terms of the social vulnerability evaluation indicator system, the number of medical and health institutions are the most important resilience indicators that greatly influence the regional vulnerability, which reflects the ability for the region to treat injured people after a significant storm surge. The number of medical and health institutions reduced sharply in 1996 as the vulnerability index reached a minimum, concurrently.

4 Conclusion

This research evaluates social vulnerability to storm surges in Shenzhen, China. Then, in accordance to the characteristics of storm surges and the connotation of social vulnerability, the study establishes the indicator system for social vulnerability evaluation respectively from three aspects: (i) exposure, (ii) sensitivity and (iii) resilience, based on the idea of rough set. The final weighted SVI is rational and reliable by combining results from multiple evaluation methods, based on the idea of combination weighting, in order for the results to objectively reflect the connotative information of social vulnerability in the indicator system.

The evaluation results show that the social vulnerability to storm surges in Shenzhen from 1986 to 2016 depicts a steady downward trend, with relatively obvious interannual and interdecadal variation. The trend experiences four stages, from high social vulnerability to low social vulnerability, among which the period of relative high social vulnerability is the longest in duration. When analyzing the reasons for social vulnerability changes from exposure, sensitivity and resilience, respectively, it is revealed that an increase of exposure in the social economy and sensitivity of demographic and industrial structures are less than disaster resilience. Therefore, with a large increase in resilience, the social vulnerability to storm surges in Shenzhen continues to decrease while the capacity to withstand disasters and response to disasters has significantly increased.

The three most relevant indicators of social vulnerability belong to resilience, which are the number of medical and health institutions, urban fixed asset investments and the average annual salary of employees. In this study, it can be concluded that enhancing residents' income levels, infrastructure enhancement and medical and health conditions are of great value to reduce social vulnerability.

Reducing social vulnerability is as valuable as sustainable development, as society is advancing and the economy continues to grow. The situation becomes inevitable as assets are exposed to disasters and populations vulnerable to substantial damage due to marine natural hazards are going to increase based on the theory of social vulnerability. This would lead to an increase in regional exposure and sensitivity. However, the general fiscal spending on public security of high investments, the increase of the residents' income levels, the improvement of the infrastructure, and the improvement of medical and health conditions are positive results of social progress. The relatively higher these indicators reach, the relatively lower the possibility of damage to a region materializes, and the stronger the disaster flexibility. This indicates that the establishment of disaster prevention and reduction mechanisms for storm surges should mainly start from improving resilience through reasonably arrangements of financial expenditures, improving the living standard of residents and improving the infrastructure for disaster prevention. It is relatively difficult to reduce exposure and sensitivity, but the speed of their growth can be controlled by reducing crop acreage in areas vulnerable to storm surges, managing fishery breeding areas and the number of harbors, and selecting rational sites for residential areas and schools. In addition, the government should energetically develop more science and technology avenues, improve the mechanisms of marine forecasting to carry out real-time monitoring of future storm surges, closely monitor the tidal level changes at coastal tide stations, and issue storm surge early warnings through radio, TV and Internet channels in a timely fashion. All departments should strengthen communication and cooperation, establish and improve the response mechanisms to coastal disasters, and improve the emergency planning of storm surge incidents. After a coastal disaster occurs, governmental departments should conduct a concise investigation, assessment all aspects of the damage levels, and provide completeness in post-disaster repairs to infrastructure.

Assessment of social vulnerability to storm surges is an important basis for disaster preparation and reduction, as well as to formulate marine policy for emergency planning operations. However, some indicators were not included in the final evaluation system due to the lack of statistical data, such as insurance depth and housing values. Additionally, the scale of the social vulnerability evaluation at the municipal level cannot be substituted for the vulnerability differences at administrative units smaller than the municipal level, such as districts, towns and streets. As an extension to this research, the

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

scale of the evaluation of social vulnerability should be narrowed and more reasonable indicators should be selected according to the local conditions.

Data availability.

The authors thank the Shenzhen Statistical Bureau and the National Bureau of Statistics for use of the historical 30-year dataset hosted in their Shenzhen Statistical Yearbooks. Yearbooks are available from the following website: http://www.sz.gov.cn/cn/xxgk/zfxxgj/tjsj/tjnj/ in PDF format (e.g., 2018 publication, http://www.sz.gov.cn/cn/xxgk/zfxxgj/tjsj/tjnj/201812/P020181229639722485550.pdf). Figure 1 was created with QGIS 3.4 LTR, Python scripting with relevant mapping libraries, GIMP image editor for subplot modification, and LibreOffice Impress for figure organization. Figures 4, 5, 6 and 7 were generated strictly with Python scripts.

Author contributions.

HY_1 and YS originated the idea, developed the methodology, analyzed the data and wrote the paper. HY_1 and YS conducted the main literature review. RMK added literature review support and modified parts of the manuscript with citations. RMK wrote and refined Python scripts to produce the reference maps (Fig. 1) and graphs (Fig. 4–7), and used LibreOffice Impress to construct the procedures diagram (Fig. 3). RMK used open source software, QGIS 3.4 LTR, to translate and edit a series of spatial data into an applicable map projection and geographic form, before reading it into Python scripts. YS created Fig. 2, compiled all tables and was involved with the refinement of all other figures. XQ, KW, SL and HY_7 assisted in data inquiries and analysis throughout the research period. XB offered technical guidance and screening of the paper. RMK polished the paper with detailed, multi-iterative English editing and proofreading stages. HY_1, YS and RMK were involved with the final checks of the manuscript. Note: The subscript near the initials stands for the author's position in the list.

Competing interests.

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant Nos. 2016YFC1401800, 2016YFC1402000, 2016YFC1401400), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant Nos. 3001000-841564014, 3006000-841762015, 201562030). The authors appreciate the assistance from colleagues whom supported this research with code corrections and four anonymous reviewers for their discussions, comments and suggestions.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

References

Adger, W. N.: Vulnerability, Glob. Environ. Change, 16 (3), 268–281, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2006.02.006, 2006.

Aerts, J. C. J. H., Botzen, W. J. W., Emanuel, K. A., Lin, N., De Moel, H., and Michel-Kerjan, E. O.: Evaluating flood resilience strategies for coastal megacities, Science, 344 (6183), 473–475, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1248222, 2014.

Bevacqua, A., Yu, D., and Zhang, Y.: Coastal vulnerability: Evolving concepts in understanding vulnerable people and places, Environ. Sci. Policy, 82, 19–29, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.01.006, 2018.

Birkmann, J.: Measuring vulnerability to promote disaster-resilient societies: Conceptual frameworks and definitions. In Measuring Vulnerability to Natural Hazards: Towards disaster resilient societies. United Nations Univ. Press, Tokyo, Japan. 9–54, 2006.

Cardona, O. D.: The need for rethinking the concepts of vulnerability and risk from a holistic perspective: A necessary review and criticism for effective risk management. In Mapping vulnerability: Disasters, development and people (Chap. 3). Bankoff, G., Frerks, G. and Hilhorst, D. (Eds), London, Sterling & VA: Earthscan. 17pp., 2004.

Clare, L., and Weninger, B.: Social and biophysical vulnerability of prehistoric societies to rapid climate change, Doc. Praehist. XXXVII, 269–284, https://doi.org/10.4312/dp.37.24, 2010.

Cutter, S. L.: The vulnerability of science and the science of vulnerability, Ann. Assoc. Am. Geogr., 93 (1), 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8306.93101, 2003a.

Cutter, S. L.: Vulnerability to environmental hazards, Prog. Hum. Geogr., 20 (4), 529–539, https://doi.org/10.1177/030913259602000407, 1996.

Cutter, S. L., and Emrich, C. T.: Moral hazard, social catastrophe the changing face of vulnerability along the hurricane coasts, Ann. Am. Acad. Pol. Soc. Sci., 604 (1), 102–112, https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716205285515, 2006.

Cutter, S. L., and Finch, C.: Temporal and spatial changes in social vulnerability to natural hazards. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 105 (7), 2301–2306, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0710375105, 2008.

Cutter, S. L., Mitchell, J. T., and Scott, M. S.: Revealing the vulnerability of people and places: A case study of Georgetown County, South Carolina, Ann. Assoc. Am. Geogr., 90 (4), 713–737, https://doi.org/10.1111/0004-5608.00219, 2000.

Das, A. K., Sengupta, S., and Bhattacharyya, S.: A group incremental feature selection for classification using rough set theory based genetic algorithm, Appl. Soft Comput., 65, 400–411, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2018.01.040, 2018.

Dow, K., and Downing, T. E.: Vulnerability research: Where things stand, Hum. Dimens., 1, 3–5, 1995.

Dwyer, A., Zoppou, C., Nielsen, O., Day, S., and Roberts, S.: Quantifying social vulnerability: A methodology for identifying those at risk to natural hazards, Geoscience Australia Record 2004/14, Canberra 92pp., 2004.

Ellis, C. J.: A risk-based model of climate change threat: Hazard, exposure, and vulnerability in the ecology of lichen epiphytes, Botany., 91 (1), 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1139/cjb-2012-0171, 2012.

Fischer, G., Shah, M., and van Velthuizen, V. T.: Climate change and agricultural vulnerability, Laxenburg, Austria: International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis. 152pp. Retrieved from http://pure.iiasa.ac.at/6670 (last access: 28 May 2019), 2002.

Gupta, A. K., and Gupta, R. K.: Quantitative & qualitative analysis of endothelial cells of donor cornea before & after penetrating keratoplasty in different pathological conditions, Indian J. Med. Res., 143, 213–219, https://doi.org/10.4103/0971-5916.180210, 2016.

Huang, J. -Y., Liu, Y., Ma, L., Li, H., and Su, F.: Review on the theoretical model and assessment framework of foreign vulnerability research, Areal Res. Dev., 31 (5), 1–15, (in Chinese, with English abstract), 2012.

Huang, J. -Y., Liu, Y., and Ma, L.: Assessment of regional vulnerability to natural disasters in China based on DEA model, Int. J. Disaster Risk Sci., 2 (2), 41–48, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-011-0010-y, 2011.

International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation: A special report of working groups and of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K. and New York, N.Y., U.S.A., 582pp., https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.3117.9529, 2012.

Janssen, M. A., Schoon, M. K., and Weimao, B. K.: Scholarly networks on resilience, vulnerability and adaptation within the human dimensions of global environmental change, Glob. Environ. Change, 16 (3), 240–252, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2006.04.001, 2006.

Jones, R. N., and Boer, R.: Assessing current climate risks, Adaptation Policy Frameworks for Climate Change: Developing Strategies, Policies and Measures. edited by B. Lim et al., 91–117pp. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K., 248pp., 2005.

Kuo, T.: A modified TOPSIS with a different ranking index, European J. Oper. Res., 260 (1), 152–160, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2016.11.052, 2017.

Lin, N., Emanuel, K. A., Smith, J. A., and Vanmarcke, E.: Risk assessment of hurricane storm surge for New York City, J. Geophys. Res., 115 (D18121), https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013630, 2010.

Liu, B., and Liu, Q.: The evaluation of marine disaster comprehensive risk based on combination evaluation method: Case of coastal cities in Shandong Province, Chin. Fish. Econ., 2, 96–104, (in Chinese, with English abstract), 2017.

Liu, F., and Wang, X.: A review of storm-surge research in China, Nat. Hazards, 2 (1), 17–29, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00124755, 1989.

Liu, L. F., Zou, J., and Liu, X. N.: Assessment and analysis of the vulnerability of agricultural flood-waterlogging disasters – A case study of Hengyang, Hunan, Resour. Environ. Yangtze Basin, 11 (3), 291–295, (in Chinese), 2002.

Lu, C. R.: Vulnerability assessment of regional agricultural flood disasters: A case study of Poyang Lake region from Wanfang, Master Dissertation, Shanghai Normal Univ. 87pp., Retrieved from Baidu website. https://doi.org/10.7666/d.y1312855, (in Chinese), 2008.

Lu, C. Y., Wen, F., Yang, Q. Y., Chen, L. L., and Zong, H. M.: An evaluation of urban land use performance based on the improved TOPSIS method and diagnosis of its obstacle indicators: A case study of Chongqing, Resour. Sci., 33 (3), 535–541, (in Chinese, with English abstract), 2011.

Ma, J.: A review of preventing and reducing the storm surge disaster in Guangdong Province, Mar. Forecasts, 2, 34–40, (in Chinese, with English abstract), 2003.

McCarthy, J. J., Canziani, O. F., Leary, N. A., Dokken, D. J., and White, K. S.: Climate Change 2001: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1032pp., 2001.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
Discussions

Mileti, D.: Disasters by Design: A Reassessment of Natural Hazards in the United States, Washington, DC: Joseph Henry Press. 371pp., https://doi.org/10.17226/5782, 1999.

Needham, H. F., Keim, B. D., and Sathiaraj, D.: A review of tropical cyclone-generated storm surges: Global data sources, observations, and impacts, Rev. Geophys., 53 (2), 545–591, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014RG000477, 2015.

Niu, H. Y., Liu, M., and Lu, M.: Risk assessment of typhoon disasters in China coastal area during last 20 years, Sci. Geogr. Sin., 6, 764–768, https://doi.org/10.13249/j.cnki.sgs.2011.06.013, 2011.

Pawlak, Z.: Rough set theory and its applications to data analysis, Cybern. Syst., 29 (7), 661–688, https://doi.org/10.1080/019697298125470, 1998.

Pawlak, Z.: Rough sets, Int. J. Comput. Inf. Sci., 11 (5), 341–356, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01001956, 1982.

Pawlak, Z., and Skowron, A.: Rudiments of rough sets, Inf. Sci., 177 (1), 3–27, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2006.06.003, 2007.

Pearson II, F.: Map Projections: Theory and Applications, 2nd edt., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA 388pp., 1990.

Peduzzi, P., Dao, H., Herold, C., and Mouton, F.: Assessing global exposure and vulnerability towards natural hazards: The Disaster Risk Index, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 9 (4), 1149–1459, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-9-1149-2009, 2009.

Schmidtlein, M. C., Deutsch, R. C., Cutter, S. L., and Piegorsch, W. W.: A sensitivity analysis of the social vulnerability index, Risk Anal., 28 (4), 1099–1114, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2008.01072.x, 2008.

Shenzhen Bureau of Statistics, Shenzhen Investigation Team of National Bureau of Statistics: Shenzhen Statistical Yearbook–2017, Retrieved from http://www.sz.gov.cn/cn/xxgk/zfxxgj/tjsj/tjnj/201712/P020180822603709104560.pdf (last access: 27 June 2019), 2017.

Shenzhen Marine Disaster Emergency Plan, Retrieved from http://www.sz.gov.cn/ytqzfzx/yingji/yjya/201712/t20171206_10111758.htm (last access: 30 June 2019), 2017.

Shi, Y., Shi, C., Sun, L., Xu, S. Y., and Wang, J.: Vulnerability assessment of natural disasters in Pudong District, China

Popul. Resour. Environ., 18 (4), 24–27, 2008.

Skotarczak, E., Dobek, A., and Moliński, K.: Entropy as a measure of dependency for categorized data, Biometrical Lett., 55 (2), 233–243, https://doi.org/10.2478/bile-2018-0014, 2018.

Snyder, J. P.: Map Projections — A Working Manual, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., Professional Paper 1395 383pp, https://doi.org/10.3133/pp1395, 1987.

Su, S. L., Pi, J. H., Wan, C., Li, H. L., Xiao, R., and Li, B. B.: Categorizing social vulnerability patterns in Chinese coastal cities, Ocean Coast. Manag., 116, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.06.026, 2015.

Sun, A. L., Shi, Y., Shi, C., Xu, S. Y., and Wang, J.: Vulnerability characteristics and influence factors of natural disasters in the coastland, China Popul. Resour. Environ., 19 (5), 148–153, 2009.

Swiniarski, R. W.: Rough sets methods in feature reduction and classification, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., 11 (3), 565–582, 2001.

Turner, B. L., Kasperson, R. E., Matson, P. A., McCarthy, J. J., Corell, R. W., Christensen, L., Eckley, N., Kasperson, J. X., Luers, A., Martello, M. L., Polsky, K., Pulsipher, A., and Schiller, A.: A framework for vulnerability analysis in sustainability science, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 100 (14), 8074–8079, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1231335100, 2003a.

Turner, B. L., Matson, P. A., McCarthy, J. J., Corell, R. W., Christensen, L., Eckley, N., Hovelsrud-Broda, G. K., Kasperson, J. X., Kasperson, R. E., Luers, A., Martello, M. L., Mathiesen, S., Naylor, R., Polsky, C., Pulsipher, A., Schiller, A., Selin, H., and Tyler, N.: Illustrating the coupled human-environment system for vulnerability analysis: Three case studies, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 100 (14), 8080–8085, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1231334100, 2003b.

Wang, Z. H., Wang, K. L., Xiong, Y., Xu, L. F., and Yang, X. L.: Assessment of the vulnerability of flood-waterlogging disaster and countermeasures of disaster reduction in Hunan Province, Resour. Environ. Yangtze Basin, 12 (6), 586–592, (in Chinese, with English abstract), 2003.

Wei, Y., Fan, Y., Lu, C., and Tsai, H. T.: The assessment of vulnerability to natural disasters in China by using the DEA method, Environ. Impact Assess. Rev., 24 (4), 427–439, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2003.12.003, 2004.

Wen, Y. Y., and Hu, P.: A comprehensive application of several evaluation methods to the investment environment evaluation, Econ. Geogr., 22 (4), 390–393, https://doi.org/10.15957/j.cnki.jjdl.2002.04.002, 2002.

Wisner, B., Blaikie, P., Cannon, T., and Davis, I.: At risk: Natural hazards, people's vulnerability, and disasters, Routledge, London., 11, https://doi.org/10.2307/144528, 2004.

Wu, R. J., and Chen, H. K.: Study on highway flood hazard assessment of Chongqing based on compounded weight with TOPSIS method, J. Chongqing Normal Univ. (Natural Sci.), 36 (2), 110–117, 2019.

Wu, Y. Y., and Tang, J. G.: Research progress of attribute reduction based on rough set in context of big data, Comput. Eng. Appl., 55 (6), 31–38, (in Chinese, with English abstract), 2019.

Yang, L., and Li, Y.: Design of marine disaster vulnerability evaluation index system, Ocean Technol., 32 (3), 133–137, (in Chinese, with English abstract), 2013.

Yin, S., Sun, C., and Li, J. P.: Determinants of disaster risk and disaster risk management, Adv. Clim. Change Res., 8 (2), 84–89, https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1673-1719.2012.02.002, 2012.

Yuan, S., Zhao, X., and Li, L. L.: Combination evaluation and case analysis of vulnerability of storm surge in coastal provinces of China, Haiyang Xuebao., 38 (2), 16–24, https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.0253-4193.2016.02.002, (in Chinese), 2016.

Zhang, J.: A vulnerability assessment of storm surge in Guangdong Province, China, Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess., 15 (4), 671–688, https://doi.org/10.1080/10807030903050749, 2009.

Zhang, J. X., Zhuo, L., and Liu, X. L.: A fuzzy mathematic method for socio-economic vulnerability analysis of typhoon storm surge in Guangdong Province, J. Nat. Disasters., 19 (1), 116–121, https://doi.org/10.13577/j.jnd.2010.0119, 2010.

Zhang, Y. L., and You, W. J.: Assessment of social vulnerability to natural disasters of cities based on TOPSIS: A case study of Shanghai City, J. Catastrophology., 29 (1), 109–114, (in Chinese), 2014.

Zhao, W., Lin, J., Wang, S. F., Liu, J. L., Chen, Z. R., and Kou, W. J.: Influence of human activities on groundwater environment based on coefficient variation method, Environ. Sci., 34 (4) ,1277–1283, (in Chinese, with English abstract), 2013.

Zheng, F., Sun, C., and Li, J. P.: Climate change: New dimensions in disaster risk, exposure, vulnerability, and resilience, Adv. Clim. Change Res., 8 (2), 79–83, https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1673-1719.2012.02.001, 2012.

Zhou, J. G., Niu, D. X., Wen, X. P., and Shi, S. A.: Comprehensive entropy evaluation of listed electric power company, J. North China Electric Power Univ., 31 (3), 71–73, (in Chinese), 2004.

Zhou, J. Y., and Yang, J.: Evaluation of financial development competitiveness of Hebei Province based on a variety of analysis, J. North China Univ. Sci. Technol. (Social Sci. Edt.), 19 (1), 56–62, 2019.

Zhou, Y., Li, N., Wu, W., and Wu, J.: Assessment of provincial social vulnerability to natural disasters in China, Nat. Hazards, 71 (3), 2165–2186, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-013-1003-5, 2014.

Zhou, Y., Li, N., Wu, W., Wu, J., and Shi, P.: Local spatial and temporal factors influencing population and societal vulnerability to natural disasters, Risk Anal., 34 (4), 614–639, https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.12193, 2014.

Zhou, Y., Li, N., and Wu, W. X.: Research progress on social vulnerability to natural disasters, J. Catastrophology, 29 (2), 128–135, https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1000-811X.2014.02.025, 2014.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
Discussions

FIGURES



Figure 1: Mapped geographic features, shown at three scales: country wide (a), southeastern regional (b) and localized to the economic center of Shenzhen, China (c), are presented as a source of reference. The study area (Shenzhen, China) is labeled and outlined (crimson color) in Fig. 1c. The maps apply the Lambert Conformal Conic (LCC) projection due to the country's middle latitude presence and predominantly east-west expanse. The LCC projection offers flexibility in adjustable standard parallels for plotting at different scales, where conformality is held true, angular distortion at any parallel (except for the poles) is essentially zero and meridians are right angles (Snyder, 1987). The LCC projection emphasizes the conceptual quality of secancy for conics and has been the conformal projection of choice for mid-latitudes (Pearson II, 1990).

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
Discussions



Figure 2: The rapid economic growth of Shenzhen, China from 1986–2016. The city's regional GDP (black bar) and annual GDP growth percentage (blue line), i.e., $[(GDP_i - GDP_{i-1}) / GDP_{i-1}] \times 100\%$ where i = year, are shown.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
Discussions



Figure 3: Basic procedures in calculating SVI.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
EGU
Discussions



Figure 4: SVI aggregated by the Entropy method (yellow line), TOPSIS method (green line) and Coefficient of variation method (blue line), respectively. The weighted value of SVI is shown (thick red line).

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
Discussions



Figure 5: Variation of exposure index (EI), sensitivity index (SI) and resilience index (RI). SVI is illustrated in red.



Figure 6: Normalized values of total area of crops and female proportion.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
Discussions



Figure 7: Three most relevant indicators of social vulnerability during the research period. SVI is shown in red dots. Note, the y-axis is partially visible to expand the lower portion of the plot.

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
EGU
Discussions

TABLES

Table 1: Indicator system of vulnerability of storm surges in Shenzhen, China.

Grade I indicators	Grade II indicators
Exposure (+)	Permanent resident population at the end of the year (including household and non-household registration)
	Regional GDP
	Total area of crops
	Fishery output value
	Port cargo throughput
Sensitivity (+)	Gross output value of primary industry
	Female proportion
	Total enrollment of students
	Total social workers at the end of the year
Resilience (–)	General public budget expenditure
	Per capital disposable income of urban residents
	Urban fixed asset investment
	Average annual salary of employees
	Number of medical and health institutions
	Number of beds in medical and health institutions
	Number of health workers

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Table 2: Combined weight coefficients of each single evaluation method.

	Entropy method	TOPSIS method	Coefficient of variation method
combined weight coefficient (%)	42.75	25.10	32.15

https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-293
Preprint. Discussion started: 30 September 2019

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
EGU
Discussions

Table 3: Indicator weight and correlation coefficient of indicator values with SVI.

Grade I indicators	**Grade II indicators**	**Correlation coefficient with SVI (%)**	**Indicator weight (%)**	
Exposure (+)	Permanent resident population (including household and non-household registration)	–85.48	4.13	32.05
	Regional GDP	–95.11	9.49	
	Total area of crops	69.92	8.33	
	Fishery output value	–40.88	3.26	
	Port cargo throughput	–84.39	6.84	
Sensitivity (+)	Gross output value of primary industry	30.75	3.36	16.48
	Female proportion	29.30	2.49	
	Total enrollment of students	–89.55	6.17	
	Total social workers at the end of the year	–88.69	4.45	
Resilience (–)	General public budget expenditure	94.24	12.07	51.47
	Per capital disposable income of urban residents	89.85	4.99	
	Urban fixed asset investment	96.31	8.00	
	Average annual salary of employees	95.24	6.59	
	Number of medical and health institutions	97.31	6.57	
	Number of beds in medical and health institutions	95.15	6.16	
	Number of health workers	95.07	7.09	