Comments on second round of review

The authors have attempted to address the comments provided in the first round of review. However, there are some issues identified in the paper. In this paper, there is enough technical information about web-based Nepalese landslide information system. However, it need proof reading and heavy editing.

Dear reviewer,

We very much appreciate your positive statements regarding our manuscript. We are appreciative of your time and the comments. We believe that these comments and our respective reactions to them will improve the quality of the paper. We did our best to improve the scientific quality of the manuscript significantly (all the changes are in blue colour text in the manuscript). Based on the constructive comments on the initial version, we are very confident that you will find this revised version now worthwhile to get published.

Specific comments

Abstract

Line 8 ''**a lot** of organisations'' use appropriate word

We have rephrased the sentence. We refer you to Line 10.

Line 9 sentence starting from: Next to the lack of.. not clear, consider revising.

We have revised the sentence. We refer you to Line 12-13.

Introduction section

Line 26 wrong references, please cite correct reference

We have changed the reference. We refer you to Line 30.

Line 30 missing reference

We have inserted an appropriate refence in Line 35.

Line 34-36 not clear so please consider revising sentences

We have rephrased the sentences. We refer you to lines 40-42.

Line 37-45 references missing

We have inserted an appropriate refences in Line 45 and 54.

Line 61 ''there are not enough efforts to involve people in landslide management''
…………explain why? An include evidence.

We have explained the reason and also include the related reference. We refer you to Line 69-74.

Line 62 Explain why there is little collaboration between stakeholders for managing landslides risk in Nepal?

We have explained the reason and also include the related reference. We refer you to Line

Result and discussions

In Figure 2: At the provincial level, only district offices are shown and provincial ministries are missing. Similarly, the bottom box includes community level and VDC. According to Constitution of Nepal, 2015 Nepal is administratively divided into federal government, provincial government and local government. It follows the structure given in the Figure below. Please include reference to the information, references are missing.

Thank you so much for such detailed comment and updated administrative stature of Nepal. We have updated the figure based on your suggestion and also include the references. We refer you to figure 2 in the manuscript.

Line number 210 VDC is mentioned as the smallest administrative unit at community level. VDC is no longer an administrative unit in Nepal. Metro/sub metro cities, Municipalities/Rural Municipalities are the administrative units at the local level and each of Municipality or Rural Municipality is composed of different wards. So, ward is the smallest unit. Please include sources/references. Information source/references are missing.

Thank you for the information we have updated the information in the text. We refer you to Line 242-251.

Line 213-224 reference missing
We have inserted an appropriate refences in line 244, 250 and 251.

Line 226-233 references missing, include references.
We have inserted an appropriate refences in Line 269 and 276.

Line 226 authors mentioned landslide mapping efforts in Nepal after the Gorkha earthquake. Please include the landslide mapping efforts prior to Gorkha earthquake as well.

We have included the landslide mapping efforts before the Gorkha earthquake. We refer you to Line 264-271.

Line 246 Table 1 includes landslide inventories of Nepal. Are these landslide inventoried post-Gorkha earthquake? If yes, please include some of the inventories that were taken prior to Gorkha earthquake. Please consider DRR portal, Ministry of Home Affairs, Nepal.

Thank you for the comment, in Table 1 there are landslide inventories before the Gorkha earthquake for example inventories from Department of Mines and Geology (DMG), Department of Soil Conservation and Watershed Management (DSCWM), Tribhuvan University, ICIMOD Koshi River Basin 1992, ICIMOD Koshi River Basin 2010. We refer you to Table 1.

Reviewer 2

One reviewer suggests in particular to rephrase in your text the term "landslide management" to "Landslide risk management" - please consider this suggestion as well.

Change the title and the abstract accordingly.

Dear Reviewer,

We very much appreciate your positive statements regarding our manuscript. We are appreciative of your time and the comments. In fact, you brought up interesting aspects, and we believe that this comment and our respective reactions to it will improve the quality of the paper.

We have rephrase in text the term "landslide management" to "Landslide risk management" throughout the manuscript.

Thank you so much

The authors