1	Multi-coverage Optimal Location Model for Emergency Medical					
2	Services (EMS) facilities under various disaster scenarios: A case study					
3	of urban fluvial floods in the Minhang District of Shanghai, China					
4						
5	Yuhan Yang ^{a,b} , Jie Yin ^{a,b,c} *, Mingwu Ye ^{a,b} , Jia Yu ^d					
6 7	a. Key Laboratory of Geographic Information Science (Ministry of Education), East China Normal University, China					
8	b. School of Geographic Sciences, East China Normal University, China					
9	c. Institute of Eco-Chongming, East China Normal University, China					
10	d. School of Environmental and Geographical Sciences, Shanghai Normal University, China					
11						
12	Correspondence to: Yin Jie (jyin@geo.ecnu.edu.cn)					
13						
14	Abstract: Emergency medical services (EMS) response is extremely critical for pre-hospital lifesaving when disaster events occur					
15	However, disasters increase the difficulty of rescue and may significantly increase the total travel time between dispatch and arrival					
16	thereby increasing the pressure on emergency facilities. Hence, facility location decisions play a crucial role in improving the efficiency					
17	of rescue and service capacity. In order to avoid the failure of EMS facilities during disasters and meet the multiple requirements of					
18	demand points, we propose a multi-coverage optimal location model for EMS facilities based on the results of disaster impact simulation					
19	and prediction. To verify this model, we explicitly simulated the impacts of fluvial flooding events using the 1D/2D coupled flood					
20	inundation model FloodMap. The simulation results suggested that even low-magnitude fluvial flood events resulted in a decrease in the					
21	EMS response area. The integration of the model results with a Geographical Information System (GIS) analysis indicated that the					

- optimization of the EMS locations reduced the delay in emergency responses caused by disasters and significantly increased the number
 of rescued people and the coverage of demand points.
- 24 Keywords: Disaster events; Emergency Medical Services; Multi-coverage Location model; Scenario simulation
- 25

26 1. Introduction

27

28 Urban disasters represent a serious and growing challenge. Against the backdrop of urbanization, demographic 29 growth, and climate change, the causes of disasters are changing and their impacts are increasing. Both natural hazards such as flash flooding and human-caused accidents such as fires threaten and induce panic in people and 30 31 cause casualties and property loss(Kates et al., 2001; Makowski and Nakayama, 2001). In order to deal with 32 emergencies effectively, a large number of emergency service facilities may be called upon simultaneously. The 33 demands being placed upon emergency services often exceed the resources made available by governments(Liu et 34 al., 2017). Furthermore, disasters always require a longer response time than regular incidents due to high traffic 35 flows. A crash on the rescue route may block one or several lanes, resulting in congestion, significant delays of the 36 emergency vehicles, and potential additional casualties (Dulebenets et al., 2019). Therefore, the maintenance of 37 efficiency and quality of emergency services during disasters is the key to emergency management. A scientific and 38 pragmatic approach to the choice of locations and allocations of emergency service facilities reduces traffic 39 congestion and the risk of secondary incidents during an emergency, which, in turn, reduces transport costs and 40 greatly improves the efficiency of rescue services.

41

42 Over the last few decades, research into traditional location theory has resulted in a number of models to determine 43 the optimal location of emergency services; the most commonly used models are the P-center model (Hakimi, 1964), 44 the P-median model (Hakimi, 1965), and the covering model (Brandeau and Chiu, 1989). Among these models, the 45 covering model is the most widely investigated and applied model; the objective of the model is to improve the coverage of facilities within a limited time or distance to meet the service requirements (Ge and Wang et al., 2011). 46 47 The most common covering models are the Location Set Covering Model (LSCM) (Toregas and Revelle, 1972) and 48 the Maximum Covering Location Problem (MCLP) model (Church and Revelle, 1974). The focus of the LSCM is to 49 minimize the number of facilities needed to cover all demand points but it has been shown to lead to an unequal 50 allocation of facilities or a large increase in costs. Due to these limitations, the MCLP model was developed to ensure 51 that existing emergency facilities were used to obtain the maximum coverage of the demand points. Drawing upon 52 the LSCM and MCLP model, a number of researchers have optimized the associated algorithms in terms of facility workload limits(Pirkul and Schilling, 1991), cost(Su et al., 2015), and the level of coverage(Gendreau and Laporte, 53 54 1997) to solve various practical problems or achieve rescue objectives. Other types of models are suitable for location 55 decision problems that do not include time or distance restrictions, such as the P-center model and the P-median model, where P refers to the number of facilities that need to be built. The P-center model mainly considers equitable 56 57 service; it selects P facilities by minimizing the maximum distance between the demand points and the facilities. The 58 P-median model not only takes into account the efficiency of the emergency facilities but it also minimizes the sum 59 of the weighted distance between the demand points and the P facilities (Chen and You, 2006).

60

61 All of the above models are static in the sense that they do not consider uncertainties in the emergency service process. For example, large-scale emergencies are likely to require high levels of healthcare to the extent that emergency 62 service facilities would need to provide transportation to other facilities that are beyond the immediate area. 63 64 Furthermore, the limited ambulance resources at any one emergency station would restrict the capacity of the emergency medical service (EMS) when multiple demand points make simultaneous requests. Any further demands 65 66 placed upon the emergency services would cause them to fail, resulting in potential loss of life. To minimize these 67 fluctuations in an EMS system, approaches have been proposed that involve multi-coverage models (Moeini and 68 Jemai, 2015). In 1981, Daskin and Stern(1981) put forward their hierarchical objective set covering model (HOSC), 69 in which they introduced the concept of 'multiple coverage of zones'; the objective was to minimize the number of 70 necessary facilities such that the demand was still met and to maximize the coverage of the demand points. However, 71 HOSC had one major shortcoming; it potentially led to the congestion of emergency vehicles. To solve these problems, 72 Hogan and ReVelle (1986) proposed an alternative approach to coverage in their maximal backup coverage models 73 BACOP1 & BACOP2. These models cover each demand point at least once but the multiple coverage of different 74 demand points with the same coverage level resulted in a waste of vehicles resources (Ge and Wang et al., 2011). 75 Considering that there is usually a limited financial budget for the provision of emergency services, it is not feasible 76 to cover all demand points multiple times.

77

78 The aforementioned traditional location models ignored the impacts of specific disasters but we suggest that these 79 impacts must be part of any decision regarding the location of emergency services. Apart from causing casualties, a 80 disaster may also damage emergency facilities; furthermore, damage to buildings and roads will lead to traffic 81 congestion and render emergency rescue more difficult than usual. To avoid these problems, research has been

conducted on choosing the location of emergency service facilities in response to large-scale emergencies. Jia et al. 82 (2007) defined the main characteristics of ideal locations of emergency service facilities as "timeliness", "fairness", 83 and "resistance to failure". Chen and You (2006) established a multi-objective decision model for the location of 84 85 emergency rescue facilities by integrating the MCLP model, the P-median model, and the P-center model. In this 86 integrated model (which focused on large-scale disasters), emergency facilities were allocated using different 87 strategies. Jia et al. (2007) investigated models for EMS facility location in response to disasters and compared three heuristic algorithms (genetic algorithm, location-allocation algorithm, and Lagrange relaxation algorithm) applicable 88 89 to emergency scenarios and location models.

90

91 After taking account the results of previous studies, here we describe a novel approach for the optimization of EMS 92 efficiency under various disaster scenarios. We propose a multi-coverage optimal location model, whose output 93 depends on the impact of a disaster and the levels of demand made on the EMSs. We use a scenario-based method 94 and Geographical Information System (GIS)-based network analysis to quantify the impacts of a disaster on the urban EMS response. The coverage level of the demand points is determined by the population, the EMS calls for help, and 95 96 other factors that reflect the demand level of the demand points; these factors determine how often the demand point 97 needs to be covered by emergency facilities within a predefined time. The higher the demand coverage level, the 98 more often a demand point needs to be covered by the service area of the emergency facilities in a given time period. 99 The main purpose of our location model is to reduce the probability of delays in the emergency response caused by insufficient emergency facilities and resources. The proposed model represents a point of reference for the planning 100 101 and location of urban emergency facilities. In the following sections, we provide descriptions of the problems and 102 the design of the optimal location model. We also conduct a case study of urban fluvial floods in the Minhang District of Shanghai, China to validate this model. 103

104

105 2. Multi-coverage Optimal Location Model Design

106

108

107 2.1 Problem description

109 Limited EMS resources face increasing demands as the risk of wide-scale and complex urban disasters increases. 110 Previous models have not considered the probability of failure of EMS facilities, in particular those housing 111 ambulances, nor have they taken into account possible limited access by EMS to vulnerable demand points. Hence, 112 two problems need to be addressed: (1) the need for quick response times suggests that EMSs should be located close 113 to potential disaster points so that a high-risk area can be served simultaneously by many EMSs; (2) the closer to the potential disaster points, the higher the possibility of EMSs are affected by the disaster and the lower the service 114 115 capacity, the greater the distance should be (Fig.1). Based on these problems, in this study, we propose and formulate 116 a disaster scenario-based planning and optimal location model that considers multi-coverage of zones. The coverage is dependent on the demand level of the demand points (high demand with high coverage requires more ambulances 117 at the same time). In our work, we specifically consider flooding; the location plan should result in improvements in 118 119 the efficiency of the response and reduce the risk to EMS of flash-flood disasters.



Figure 1 Qualitative description of model problems

We present the objective of the proposed model and describe the problems encountered during the development of the model. The objective of the model is to serve the largest number of people in a region with EMSs. Let J be the set of potential emergency facilities, let I be the set of the demand points in the study area, and let F(0 < F < J) be the number of optimal facilities. We consider the risk of a disaster at the potential emergency points and the demand points separately and arrange the station locations according to the coverage level and disaster risk level of each demand point *i*. In simple terms, the model solves the following problems.

- 130 Q1: How do we calculate the coverage level Q_i at each demand point *i*?
- 131 Q2: How do we evaluate the risk of disasters for each potential point j and demand point *i*?
- 132 Q3: What are the objectives and constraints for developing an optimized location model based on Q1 and Q2 ?
- 133 Q4: How do we evaluate the applicability of the model?

135 2.2 Assumptions

136

134

121 122

123

- 137 To solve the above problems and simplify the model, we use the following assumptions:
- A1:All potential points have the same probability of accepting EMS calls and their ability to serve all the demand
 points throughout the study area is not time-limited;
- 140 A2: During a disaster, each emergency facility has the same service capacity and the same number of ambulances;
- A3: During a disaster, the closer the EMS is to the source of the disaster, the higher the probability is that thefacility will be unable to respond;
- A4: During a disaster, the closer the EMS is to the source of the disaster, the greater the requirements placedupon it from any demand point.

146 **2.3 Mathematical model**

147

145

In accordance with the aforementioned description and assumptions, a multi-coverage optimal location model is developed. In the disaster scenario used for the model, it is assumed that each emergency facility has the same number of ambulances and quality of service and we must maximize the number of people it can serve within the specified time. In order to simplify the model and optimize the algorithm, we use the 0–1 integer programming method.

- 152 The model index sets are as follows.
- 153 *I*: set of demand points indexed by $i \in I = \{1, \dots, i, \dots, m\};$
- 154 *J*: set of potential emergency medical facilities indexed by $j \in J = \{1, ..., i, ..., n\}$;
- 155 t_{ij} : time needed for an ambulance to travel from emergency medical facilities j to demand point i;

- 156 *X*: the number of demand points that can be covered by the service area of the emergency facilities within a 157 specified time;
- 158 *T*: the limit of the emergency response time;
- 159 *F*: number of EMS facilities that need to be built;
- 160 Q_i : the coverage level of demand point i; meaning that point i should be covered by emergency facilities at least 161 Q_i times within a specified time;
- 162 w_i : the number of people represented by demand point *i*;
- 163 m_i : the disaster risk level of demand point *i*;
- 164 p_j : the resistance level to the disaster of potential point j;
- 165 x_i : binary value; equal to 1 if demand point *i* is covered, otherwise, it is 0;
- 166 y_i : binary value; equal to 1 if an emergency medical facility is available, otherwise, it is 0;
- 167 z_{ij} : binary value; equal to 1 if demand point *i* is covered by an eligible facility j, otherwise, it is 0.
- 168

175

181

183

185

187 188 189

The overall objective of the model is to rescue the maximum number of people in a specified time(Question Q3), asshown by the following equation:

 $\max(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (m_i w_j z_{ij} p_j)$ (1)

To keep construction costs under control, the number of emergency facilities should be limited. Emergency facilities
cannot be built in areas at risk of inundation and the coverage rate should be ensured within a specified time.
Therefore, the following constraints are added to the multi-objective function:

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i = F \; (\forall j \in J; 0 < F < J) \tag{2}$

176 Constraint (2) indicates that F emergency facilities should be selected from the potential facilities for emergency177 services;

178 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{p_j} \right) \ge x_i \, Q_i \left(p_j \neq 0; \, \forall i \in I; \forall j \in J \right)$ (3)

179 Constraint (3) ensures that the multiple coverage requirements of the demand points must be met under different 180 disaster scenarios and the resistance level p_i to a disaster of potential point j cannot be 0;

- $t_{ij} \le T \; (\forall i \in I; \forall j \in J) \tag{4}$
- 182 Constraint (4) ensures that the emergency response time of each ambulance cannot exceed T minutes;

$$x_i \ge X(\forall i \in I) \tag{5}$$

184 Constraint (5) guarantees that *X* demand points will be covered within at least T minutes;

$$z_{ij} \le y_i (\forall i \in I; \forall j \in J) \tag{6}$$

186 Constraint (6) means that the service point can be serviced only when this facility is selected.

$$z_{ij} \in \{0,1\}, x_i \in \{0,1\},$$
(7)

190 Constraint (7) defines the domains of the decision variables.

191

192 2.4 Coverage level analysis

193

The model design indicates that the proposed model is based on a goal programming algorithm to optimize the location of the EMS facilities based on the existing data and actual situation, the coverage level Q_i of each demand point and the disaster risk level of the demand points (m_i) and potential facilities (p_i) . In this section, we propose a

 $y_i \in \{0,1\}$

197 new method to estimate the coverage level that depends on the demand level of the demand point i. Under normal conditions, the demand for EMS in one region is mainly related to population attributes such as age 198 distribution and population densities and areas of high population densities have a high probability of medical 199 200 emergencies. The surrounding conditions also affect demand, for example, traffic conditions and the presence of 201 regular medical services (such as hospitals). Therefore, in this study, we analyze the demand level based on these 202 related factors (labeled as evaluation indicators (A)) and we evaluate the probability of the demand point calling for help within a predefined time. We then calculate the demand level of every point that is affected by these factors 203 204 considering the results in terms of the coverage level, i.e., how many times should point *i* be covered by the service 205 area of the emergency facilities. Let A (A = { A_1 , A_2 ... A_n }) be the set of indicators that may affect the coverage 206 level. In order to eliminate the influence of dimension and magnitude and improve the accuracy of the model, the 207 range normalization method is used to convert the original data into the range of [0,1]:

208 $An_i = \frac{An_i - \min(An)}{\max(An) - \min(An)}$ (8)

209 where An_i represents the normalized index of the indicator set A.

210

211 These indicators determine the coverage level of demand and they have a certain weight:

212

$$Q_i = INT(\alpha A 1_i + \beta A 2_i + \dots + \varepsilon A n_i + 1)$$
(9)

where $\alpha, \beta \cdots \varepsilon$ represent the weights of the different indicators, i.e., their relative contributions to the estimated demand. The coverage level Q_i is then determined by increasing the integers; the results represent the number of times this point needs to be covered by the emergency facilities.

- 217 2.5 Disaster risk level analysis
- 218

216

219 Events such as floods, earthquakes, and mudslides can adversely affect surrounding buildings and traffic and have 220 serious impacts on EMS. Not only is there is a high probability of casualties in the disaster source area, which creates high demand for EMS but the disasters may cause road damage and traffic congestion, making rescue more difficult 221 than usual and delaying the emergency response. In order to achieve the model goal, we analyze the disaster risk 222 223 level of the demand points and potential emergency points and classify the disaster level according to the distance of 224 the emergency services from the source of the disaster. For a disaster risk level m_i of demand point i, the closer the 225 point is to the location of the disaster, the higher the risk level and the probability of emergency calls for rescue are. 226 For the disaster risk level of the potential facility j, the closer the facility is to the disaster source, the more serious the impact on the facility is, making its location unsuitable for an emergency facility. We express this indicator of the 227 228 alternative point as the disaster resistance capacity level p_i ; therefore, the disaster resistance of the potential facilities 229 increases with their distance from the disaster source.

- 230
- 231 3. Case Study
- 232

For the case study, we choose Minhang District, Shanghai, China as the study area and apply the proposed location model to the optimization of the EMS station distribution during the fluvial flooding hazards of Huangpu River based on the data of the Shanghai Emergency Center. The logi-gram related of the methodology is shown in Fig.2.





Figure 2 logi-gram of the multi-coverage optimal location model

238 3.1 Study area

Minhang district is located in Shanghai in China, covers an area of approximately 372.56 km², and is located near 240 the Huangpu River. There are 9 towns and 514 communities with about 253.4 million residents in the district. The 241 242 Huangpu River runs through the entire area and its river network consists of more than 200 rivers, making the study area a high-risk area for fluvial flooding. In recent years, due to sea level rise and urban land subsidence, the risk of 243 244 storm surges and floods in the area surrounding to Huangpu River has increased (Yin, et al., 2013). Part of the 245 Minhang district is in the center of Shanghai and has a complex road network and dense population, long-term human activities have caused the natural river flow to decrease and the impervious surface areas in the urban areas to increase, 246 247 making the location highly vulnerable to pluvial floods and fluvial floods. In addition to causing casualties and 248 damaging emergency facilities, flood inundation causes damage to buildings and roads, results in traffic congestion and complicates emergency rescue by delaying the emergency response. Flooding causes additional disruption to 249 emergency responders in the city because the water may spread quickly and cover large areas (Green et al., 250 251 2017). There are currently 12 emergency stations in different blocks of this district and most stations are located 252 downtown in the densely populated areas (Fig.3). Statistical data of the 2017 Shanghai Emergency Center indicates that the number of EMS calls in 2017 exceeded 40,000 and the average emergency response time was about 15 253 minutes. When large-scale flooding occurs, the emergency response efficiency is greatly affected due to the 254 255 inundation of the road network. Therefore, we considered a fluvial flood as a disaster scenario for applying the EMS location model. 256



Figure 3 Location of the study area

261 **3.2 Flood impact analysis**

In order to assess the inundation area and depth following fluvial flooding disasters in the study area, we used a 263 1D/2D coupled flood inundation model named FloodMap (Yu and Lane, 2006a; Yu and Lane, 2006b), to simulate 264 265 the inundation scenarios of fluvial flooding in various return periods; this model combines the 1D solution of the 266 Saint-Venant equations of river flow with a 2D flood inundation model based on raster data to solve the inertial form 267 of the 2D shallow water equations. The model is tightly coupled by considering the mass and momentum exchange 268 between the river flow and floodplain inundation and it is used to simulate the flood process and extract flood potential maps. Floodmap has been applied in several different environments and is the mainstream numerical 269 270 simulation model used for flood scenarios (Yin and Yu et al., 2013; Yin and Yu et al., 2015). We used the FloodMap 271 model to simulate the inundation area and depth following fluvial flooding for various return periods (100-year and 1000-year) in the Huangpu River Basin in the 2010s, 2030s, and 2050s (Fig. 4). The research data sources include 272 273 the Shanghai 2013 Transportation (Gaode) navigation GIS dataset, Shanghai public service facility data, a Shanghai 274 50-meter resolution digital elevation model (DEM) and basic GIS data.

275

262

After obtaining the flood scenario simulation results, we used various (GIS) tools (e.g., the Spatial Analysis function 276 277 in combination with the Network Analysis function) to assess the impacts of flooding on the EMS response of the 278 existing emergency stations. We used the Shanghai Gaode GIS road network data and the 2017 EMS calls for help 279 data in the Minhang District obtained from the Shanghai Emergency Center. We used five levels for the road speed 280 limit based on the People's Republic of China Technical Standard of Highway Engineering (JTG B01-2003). Our

assessment includes a network-based spatial analysis method using the road network data to derive areas that can be 281 reached from an EMS station within a certain timeframe. This method is widely used in route planning (e.g., via 282 Google Maps navigation) and considers speed limits, road hierarchy, one-way traffic, and other restrictions in the 283 road networks; this method is used by network analysis function in the ArcGIS10.2 software (New Service Area). 284 285 Given that the response time is the usual standard by which the efficiency of emergency rescue is assessed, we divided 286 the service area by using the ambulance travel time. In terms of the response time limit for ambulances, 8 min is usually regarded as the standard for a medical emergency (Pons and Markovchick, 2002). However, the EMS calls 287 and rescue data from the Minhang District in Shanghai in 2017 indicated that the average medical emergency 288 response time was about 15 min, although the goal is to reduce this to 12 min by 2020. We have therefore used 289 290 response times of 8, 12, and 15 minutes to divide the EMS service area (Yin and Jing et al., 2019). In terms of emergency management, when fluvial flood disasters occur, roads near rivers become inundated, leading to traffic 291 292 congestion and road closures, which affect ambulance response times; The failure part of the transport infrastructure 293 would have the most significant effects on access to specific locations and the EMS system performance(Albano et 294 al., 2014). Studies have shown that when road inundation reaches a depth of 30 cm, the roads become impassable to 295 vehicles (Yin and Yu et al., 2016; Green et al., 2017). We have, therefore, used an inundation depth of 30 cm as the road closure restriction for vehicles; we used the same depth to define the area that cannot be accessed by vehicles 296 297 (the 'barrier area') in our GIS service area analysis. We used FloodMap to simulate flood scenarios in 2010, 2030, 298 and 2050 for two return periods (100-year and 1000-year). We then used the ArcGIS 10.2 network analysis toolbar to simulate the emergency facility service areas for the different scenarios (Fig. 4). 299



300 301

Figure 4 Emergency station service areas in the Minhang District under different flood scenario simulations

303 Figure 4 shows that during a 100-y flooding occurs, one emergency station (Wujing Station) will lose capacity due to inundation, whereas a 1000-y flooding will affect two stations(Wujing Station and Jiangchuan Station), both of 304 which are located near the middle and lower drainage basin of the Huangpu River and serve a large population. If 305 306 these two stations are incapacitated, it will greatly affect the efficiency of medical emergency rescue in the surrounding areas. Figure 5 shows the impact on the area serviced by each station for the different flood scenarios. 307 The y-axis is the ratio of the service area before and after the disaster, the lower the ratio, the greater the decrease is 308 309 in the service area due to fluvial flooding. About half of the stations are affected by the disaster and their service areas have decreased by more than 10%. The starting point for our simulations is the distribution of the existing 310 Minhang District emergency stations. We find that the existing EMS distribution is inadequate for any of the flood 311 312 scenarios used in our model. We, therefore, seek to optimize the location of the emergency stations in conjunction 313 with the flood scenarios to ensure that the emergency service facilities can handle the disasters.





Figure 5 Ratio of the service area of emergency stations before and after the disaster under different flood scenarios

318 319

We calculated the two major model parameters (coverage level and disaster risk level) as proposed in Sect 2 based 320 on the flooding scenario results described in Sect 3.2 and used actual data for population, EMS calls for help, etc. We 321 322 first determined the demand points and number of potential emergency stations by dividing the study area into units of representative blocks or grids. We used data on the location of the communities in the Minhang District to 323 324 determine the smallest block unit suitable for modeling demand (each community represents a demand unit). We 325 used the ArcGIS 10.2 software Geometry Calculation function to calculate the geometric center of each community 326 demand unit as a model demand point. To determine the location of potential EMS stations, we covered the entire 327 study area. We divided the area into grids of a certain length and assumed that every grid center point was a potential 328 emergency station. Considering the actual conditions in the research area, we divided the area into a grid with a cell size of 2 km * 2 km using the ArcGIS 10.2 fishnet analysis tool (create fishnet). In addition, we added the original 329 330 12 emergency stations in the Minhang District to these potential stations for comparison. There were 514 demand points and 106 potential stations in the study area (Fig. 6). 331

333 3.3.1 Coverage level calculation

334

332

3.3 Model parameter calculation

335 The coverage level Q_i of the demand points (Question Q1) depends on the properties of each point. For example, the larger the population, the more EMS stations are required and these should be located nearby. By considering the 336 existing data and the general conditions in the study area, we regarded the population density and the historical EMS 337 338 calls for help at each demand point as the influencing factors A_1 and A_2 , respectively of the demand coverage level 339 (using Eq. (9)) and used equal weights for the two factors as for a special case ($\alpha = \beta = 0.5 * 10$). The resulting Q_i 340 is the coverage level, i.e., the number of times that each demand point i should be covered by the emergency stations in the service area within a specified time. The optimization objectives are to prevent delays in the emergency 341 342 response caused by busy emergency stations during a disaster and we constrained these objectives using Q_i . The

3	4	3
3	4	4

results of the	demand	level	calculation	are	shown	in	Table	1.
results of the	aomana	10 . 01	eureururion	ui e	5110 11 11		raore	••

Table 1	Domond	noint
Table I	Demanu	DOIL

Table 1 Demand point coverage level (sub-sample of the demand point data)							
		Population	EMS calls	Population	EMS calls		
Point ID	Area(km²)			density(A1)	density(A2)	Coverage level(Q_i)	
1	0.1624119	5225	74	32,171.28	455.6315	4	
2	0.06345485	3217	44	50,697.46	693.4064	6	
3	0.09560105	3137	59	32,813.45	617.148	4	
4	0.2068276	5955	89	28,792.10	430.3101	4	
5	0.2035748	6451	150	31,688.60	736.8299	5	
6	0.1510978	4728	173	31,290.99	1,144.95	6	
7	1.463531	11332	273	7,742.92	186.5352	2	
8	0.6317168	3317	76	5,250.77	120.3071	1	
9	3.198358	8736	27	2,731.40	8.441831	1	
10	0.1303969	3970	61	30,445.52	467.8027	4	
11	0.1299455	5082	57	39,108.70	438.6454	4	
12	0.3076447	4113	123	13,369.32	399.8118	2	
13	0.254323	3115	71	12,248.21	279.1726	2	
14	0.08798262	4396	51	49,964.41	579.6599	5	
15	0.1688578	4294	37	25,429.68	219.1193	3	
16	0.1297367	3815	69	29,405.72	531.8465	4	
17	2.101426	2801	113	1,332.90	53.773	1	
18	3.886865	6481	90	1,667.41	23.15491	1	
19	0.2178247	4066	58	18,666.38	266.2691	2	
20	0.3022524	5911	114	19,556.50	377.1681686	3	
Max	10978496.3425	25419	608	76608.25	1870.493324	8	
Min	20271.96894	86	0	25.7722	0	1	

³⁴⁵

346 3.3.2 Disaster risk level

347

348 The results of the disaster scenario analysis indicate that some existing emergency stations are themselves 349 highly vulnerable to fluvial flooding, which would delay or even prevent their EMS response. At this stage, we must assess the disaster risk at all points before optimizing the locations of the emergency stations. We have considered 350 both the disaster risk level of the demand points and potential stations (Question Q2); a high risk level not only means 351 352 that this location is unsuitable for the location of EMS but it also indicates a high need for EMS.

353

We used the disaster risk analysis method proposed in Sect 2.5. For the demand point risk level m_i , the disaster risk 354 355 level assessment of the potential stations and the demand points are classified by inundation depth. Point i in the inundation area (depth of more than 30 cm) is regarded as completely inundated at the highest flooding risk level; 356 357 therefore, we use the area with the inundation depth greater than 30 cm as the center and create three 1 km wide buffer zones $(m_i \in \{1,2,3\})$. The closer a point is to the inundation center, the higher the risk level of the demand points (Fig. 7). In contrast, the risk level of the potential stations p_j can be regarded as the resistance capacity to a disaster; it increases with the distance to the inundated area. Therefore, we use the center of the inundation area with a depth of greater than 30 cm and divide the disaster resistance level into four 1-km wide buffer zones $(p_j \in \{0,1,2,3\})$. Hence $p_j = 0$ means that the potential station j is completely inundated and cannot be used as an emergency station.



364 365

366

368

Figure 6 Demand points and locations of potential stations Figure 7 Risk level for demand points and potential stations

367 3.4 Results

Here we present the results of the proposed multi-coverage optimal location model for the assignment of the Minhang 369 District emergency stations during fluvial flooding and discuss the performance of the optimization of the EMS 370 services and coverage level. In order to test our model, we run this model based on the worst-case scenario (1000-y 371 372 flooding in the 2050s). We have assumed that vehicles cannot travel through areas with inundation depths greater 373 than 30 cm. We utilized origin/destination (OD) matrix in the Network Analysis function of ArcGIS to calculate the 374 ambulance driving time t_{ij} from each potential station j to each demand point i during the disaster scenario. The model also included the parameters for the construction of 12 stations (F = 12) to ensure that their service area 375 376 could cover at least 95% of the demand points within 8 min (X \ge 514 * 0.95, $t_{ij} \le$ 8). In simple terms, the objective of this model was to determine the locations of emergency stations to rescue the largest number of people in 8 minutes. 377 378 We used the demand coverage level parameters and disaster risk level parameters obtained from the above-mentioned 379 analysis as inputs for the model and used Lingo10.0 software to solve the model. The computational results are given 380 in Fig. 8. The central urban area of the Minhang District is less affected by flooding than other areas; therefore, the 381 location of the EMS stations did not change significantly. However, in the region near the Huangpu River, the optimized emergency stations are located farther away from the inundation area than the current stations, indicating 382

- that the station at the optimized location will be less liable to flooding and more likely to remain operational than the
- 384 current stations.



Figure 8 Computational results of the optimal location model

387

388 3.4.1 Service capacity comparison

389

390 In terms of emergency management, a service area is an intuitive measure for determining the service quality of 391 emergency service facilities and usually reflects accessibility, i.e., the larger the service area, the larger the number of people who can be served by this station. In general, service areas and population are directly related to the 392 393 transport infrastructure conditions around the emergency facilities, including road speed restrictions and road network 394 density. During flooding, the transport infrastructure near the flooded area will be affected, which will change the 395 travel time of the emergency vehicles, thus reducing the area of emergency service and accessibility of rescue. 396 Therefore, in this context, we used the service area and population as parameters to evaluate the optimization performance of the model (Question Q4). Using the ArcGIS 10.2 Service Area Analysis tool, we divided the 397 simulated emergency station service area into three response zones (8-, 12-, and 15-min journeys) under different 398 399 scenarios; we then used the Spatial Join function to calculate the number of people in the service area. The total service area of the emergency stations for the different response times was calculated and the comparisons of the 400 401 service capacity for the current stations and optimal stations are shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 using the worst-case 402 flooding scenario (1000-y fluvial flooding of the Huangpu River in the 2050s) and the no-flooding scenario.









Figure 9 Performance comparison of service areas in different scenarios



Figure 10 Service capacity comparison

The percent coverage is expressed as a percentage of the total area and the total population; the results suggest that the optimized locations of the emergency stations obtained by the model provided improvements in the service capacity over that of the original stations in both the no-flooding and extreme flooding scenario based on the 8-min emergency response time. In the no-flooding scenario, the coverage of the service area increased by about 5.5% and for the worst-case flooding scenario, the increase was 8.4%. (Fig.10); the number of people with access to emergency services increased by almost 250,000 (10% increase). These results indicate that the optimization model increased the service capacity for almost all response times and the performance is best for the 8-min response time.

414

415 **3.4.2** Coverage level performance

416

417 A combination of limited vehicle resources, vulnerable transport infrastructure, and high requirements of the demand 418 points during a disaster inevitably places emergency services under great pressure. If one demand point is covered 419 by only one emergency station, the limited number of ambulances would soon affect the provision of services for a large number of demand points, thereby causing delays in rescue. Therefore, a region with high demand should be 420 421 covered by multiple emergency service areas that can operate simultaneously, especially for high-need demand points. The proposed model focuses on multiple coverage levels of demand points and we used the real average coverage 422 423 value for each demand point in a specific time as an important indicator to validate our model results (Question Q4). 424 We combined the service areas of all emergency stations and used the Spatial Join tool in ArcGIS 10.2 to calculate 425 how many times every demand point would be covered in 8, 12, and 15 minutes during the no-flooding and the worst-426 case flooding scenarios; We then compared the average values (Fig.11).



- 427
- 428 429

Figure 11 shows that the average coverage value improved after optimization in both scenarios. Specifically, the 430 average coverage value for the no-flooding scenario is slightly higher (about 10%). The improvement in the average 431 coverage value for the no-flooding scenario was greatest for the 12-minute response time, i.e., an increase of 6.8%. 432 433 For the worst-case flooding scenario (1000-y fluvial flooding of the Huangpu River in the 2050s), the improvements 434 were more significant: the coverage of the 15-minute response time increased by more than one (18.4%), indicating that, on the average, each demand point can be served by one additional EMS stations within 15 min. These 435 results indicate that using model optimization for locating emergency stations greatly improved the response time of 436 emergency services at the demand points, even in an extreme flood disaster scenario, thereby providing strong 437 438 disaster resistance. We also compared the percentage of coverage in 8, 12, and 15 minutes during the no-flooding and 439 the worst-case flooding scenarios (Fig.12). The percent coverage is expressed as a percentage of the demand points

Figure 11 Comparisons of the average coverage value

in different coverage levels. Figure 12 shows that the coverage level of interval 5~8 is significantly greater for the 8min response time and 12-min response time while that of interval 0-3 was significantly decreased, these results indicate that the model can improve the demand points which have low coverage level for a short response time. In addition, we also found that the optimized coverage level is almost the same for the 8-min response during the noflooding or the worst-case flooding scenarios, indicating that extreme fluvial flooding has little impact on EMS emergency response.



446

447 448

Figure12 Comparisons of the coverage level

From these results we can see that stations whose locations are determined using the proposed method will have a greater capacity to meet the requirements of the demand points. This reduces the occurrence of "failures" and "insufficiency" of emergency stations during disasters, thereby shortening emergency response times and reducing the loss of life and property.

453

454 4. Conclusions

455

456 This study focused on the optimization of the EMS station locations to ensure efficient emergency medical response 457 in fluvial flood disaster scenarios and the prevention of accidents due to emergency response delays and failure of 458 stations. After analyzing the existing location models, we discussed the reasons for using multi-coverage plans to 459 improve disaster emergency resistance instead of traditional location models. In addition, since there are various 460 disaster scenarios, we also considered the different damage levels in various areas using disaster scenario simulations. 461 The proposed model is an objective programming model with the goal to serve the largest number of people in a 462 specified time during a disaster. For the case study, we investigated the Minhang District in Shanghai, China and 463 conducted computational experiments based on real-world data from the Shanghai Emergency Center. We used the service area and the average coverage level as parameters to evaluate the model performance. The model results 464 465 showed that the optimized EMS locations had a wider service range for 8-min response time and a larger number of 466 people were served; the coverage level was also improved. The coverage level of some of the existing stations changed greatly after the disaster whereas the optimized location results showed that the service level before and 467 468 after the disaster was almost the same. Both parameters indicated that the proposed multi-coverage location 469 optimization model is well suited to model the emergency response to flood disasters and to conduct site selection of 470 urban emergency facilities.

471

Some aspects of the model could be improved to obtain a more robust solution. First, in the case study, we did not
conduct a quantitative assessment of the effect of the disaster risk level on the emergency response, but we evaluated
the disaster risk level by using the buffer distance to the source of the disaster, which is a subjective approach. Second,

since this was a theoretical analysis, our model did not consider whether the terrain or other basic conditions were

- suitable for the EMS facilities. In future studies, we will consider disaster risk factors such as the vulnerability of
 buildings to evaluate the level of disaster risk quantitatively, and we will take into account the terrain and construction
 cost of the potential locations.

Lastly, the location of urban emergency service facilities has always been an important focus in urban planning. Location selection should consider a variety of factors and the ability to respond to disasters should also be considered. In this study, we divided the area into grids with a cell size of 2 km * 2 km and assumed that every grid center point was a potential emergency station; the grid division will affect the efficiency of the model and the accuracy of the results. The finer the scale, the higher the accuracy is, but the greater the computational complexity. Therefore, in future research, we will consider a multi-scale division that takes into account the population density.

In this study, we used a fluvial flooding disaster as an example to analyze the impact of disasters and to evaluate the model. However, the risks faced by cities are not only fluvial floods but also other major events such as earthquakes, mudslides, and pluvial floods. In addition, the evacuation plan of the population exposed to these hazards should be considered (Alaeddine, 2015). Future research should comprehensively consider a variety of these hazards, conduct risk assessments of the study area quantitatively, and select the location of urban emergency facilities according to different geographical conditions to improve the efficiency of emergency response.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China
(Grant no: 2018YFC1508803), the Major Project of the National Social Science Fund of China (Grant no:
18ZDA105), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no: 41871164; 41601568; 51761135024),
the Humanities and Social Science Project of Education Ministry of China (Grant no: 17YJAZH111), the Key
Project of Soft Science Research of Shanghai (Grant no: 19692108100), and the Fundamental Research Funds for
the Central Universities (Grant no: 2018ECNU-QKT001 and 2017ECNU-KXK013).

520 **Reference**

- Alaeddine, H., Serrhini, K., Maizia, M., and N éron, E.: A spatiotemporal optimization model for the evacuation of the population exposed
 to flood hazard, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 15, 687-701, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-15-687-2015, 2015.
- Albano, R., Sole, A., Adamowski, J., and Mancusi, L.: A GIS-based model to estimate flood consequences and the degree of accessibility
 and operability of strategic emergency response structures in urban areas, Nat Hazard Earth Sys, 14, 2847-2865, 2014.
- Brandeau, M. L., and Chiu, S. S.: An Overview of Representative Problems in Location Research, Management Science, 35, 645-674,
 1989.
- 527 Chen, Z. Z., You, J, X.: A Multi-objective Decision Model of Emergency Rescue Facility Location for Large-scale Emergency Incidents,
 528 Management Sciences in China, 19, 10-14, 2006.
- 529 China Construction Standard Highway Committee. JTG B01- 2003, People's Republic of China industry standard: highway engineering
 530 technical standards. Beijing: People's Communications Publishing House, 2004.
- Dulebenets, M. A., Abioye, O. F., Ozguven, E. E., Moses, R., Boot, W. R., and Sando, T.: Development of statistical models for
 improving efficiency of emergency evacuation in areas with vulnerable population, Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 182,
 233-249, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2018.09.021</u>, 2019.
- 534 Ge, C, J., Wang, X., Guan, X. J.: A Multi-Covering Model and Its Algorithm for Facility Location Response for Large-Scale Emergencies,
 535 Operations Research and Management Science, 20, 50-56, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11424-010-8015-y, 2011.
- Church, R., and ReVelle, C.: The maximal covering location problem, Papers of the Regional Science Association, 32, 101-118,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01942293, 1974.
- Daskin, M. S., and Stern, E. H. J. T. S.: A Hierarchical Objective Set Covering Model for Emergency Medical Service Vehicle
 Deployment, Transport Sci, 15, 137-152, https://doi.org/10.1287/trsc.15.2.137, 1981.
- Gendreau, M., Laporte, G., and Semet, F.: Solving an ambulance location model by tabu search, Location Science, 5, 75-88,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-8349(97)00015-6, 1997.
- Green, D., Yu, D. P., Pattison, I., Wilby, R., Bosher, L., Patel, R., Thompson, P., Trowell, K., Draycon, J., Halse, M., Yang, L. L., and
 Ryley, T.: City-scale accessibility of emergency responders operating during flood events, Nat Hazard Earth Sys, 17, 1-16, 2017.
- Hakimi, S. L. J. O. R.: Optimum Locations of Switching Centers and the Absolute Centers and Medians of a Graph, Operations Research
 and Management Science, 12, 450-459, https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.12.3.450, 1964.
- Hakimi, S. L. J. O. R.: Optimum distribution of switching centers in a communication network and some related graph theoretic problems,
 Operations Research, 13, 462-475, https://doi.org/ 10.2307/167810, 1964.
- Hogan, K., and Revelle, C.: Concepts and Applications of Backup Coverage, Management Science, 32, 1434-1444, 1986.
- Jia, H. Z., Ordonez, F., and Dessouky, M.: A modeling framework for facility location of medical services for large-scale emergencies,
 Iie Trans, 39, 41-55, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/07408170500539113</u>, 2007.
- Kates, R. W., Clark, W. C., Corell, R., Hall, J. M., Jaeger, C. C., Lowe, I., McCarthy, J. J., Schellnhuber, H. J., Bolin, B., Dickson, N.
 M., Faucheux, S., Gallopin, G. C., Grubler, A., Huntley, B., Jager, J., Jodha, N. S., Kasperson, R. E., Mabogunje, A., Matson, P.,
 Mooney, H., Moore, B., O'Riordan, T., and Svedin, U.: Environment and development Sustainability science, Science, 292, 641-
- **554** 642, 2001.
- Liu, M., Yang, D. P., and Hao, F. X.: Optimization for the Locations of Ambulances under Two-Stage Life Rescue in the Emergency
 Medical Service: A Case Study in Shanghai, China, Math Probl Eng, 2017.
- 557 Makowski, M., and Nakayama, H.: Natural Environment Management and Applied Systems Analysis, 2001.
- Moeini, M., Jemai, Z., and Sahin, E.: Location and relocation problems in the context of the emergency medical service systems: a case
 study, Central European Journal of Operations Research, 23, 641-658, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10100-014-0374-3, 2015.
- 560 Pirkul, H., and Schilling, D. A.: The Maximal Covering Location Problem with Capacities on Total Workload, Management Science, 37,
 561 233-248, https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.37.2.233, 1991.
- 562 Pons, P. T., and Markovchick, V. J.: Eight minutes or less: Does the ambulance response time guideline impact trauma patient outcome?,

- 563 J Emerg Med, 23, 43-48, 2002.
- Su, Q., Luo, Q. Y., and Huang, S. H.: Cost-effective analyses for emergency medical services deployment: A case study in Shanghai, Int
 J Prod Econ, 163, 112-123, 2015
- Toregas, C., and ReVelle, C.: Optimal location under time or distance constraints, Papers of the Regional Science Association, 28, 131 143, 10.1007/BF01961457, 1972.
- Yin, J., Yu, D. P., Yin, Z. N., Wang, J., and Xu, S. Y.: Modelling the combined impacts of sea-level rise and land subsidence on storm
 tides induced flooding of the Huangpu River in Shanghai, China, Climatic Change, 119, 919-932, 2013a.
- 570 Yin, J., Yu, D. P., Yin, Z. N., Wang, J., and Xu, S. Y.: Multiple scenario analyses of Huangpu River flooding using a 1D/2D coupled
 571 flood inundation model, Nat Hazards, 66, 577-589, 2013b.
- 572 Yin, J., Yu, D. P., Yin, Z. N., Wang, J., and Xu, S. Y.: Modelling the anthropogenic impacts on fluvial flood risks in a coastal mega-city:
 573 A scenario-based case study in Shanghai, China, Landscape Urban Plan, 136, 144-155, 2015.
- 574 Yin, J., Yu, D. P., Yin, Z., Liu, M., and He, Q.: Evaluating the impact and risk of pluvial flash flood on intra-urban road network: A case
 575 study in the city center of Shanghai, China, J Hydrol, 537, 138-145, 2016.
- 576 Yin, J., Jing, Y. M., Yu, D. P., Ye, M. W., Yang, Y. H., and Liao, B. G.: A Vulnerability Assessment of Urban Emergency in Schools of
 577 Shanghai, Sustainability-Basel, 11, 2019.
- 578 Yu, D., and Lane, S. N.: Urban fluvial flood modelling using a two-dimensional diffusion-wave treatment, part 1: mesh resolution effects,
 579 Hydrol Process, 20, 1541-1565, 2006a.
- Yu, D., and Lane, S. N.: Urban fluvial flood modelling using a two-dimensional diffusion-wave treatment, part 2: development of a sub grid-scale treatment, Hydrol Process, 20, 1567-1583, 2006b.