Probabilistic characteristics of narrow-band long wave run-up onshore

 3
 Sergey Gurbatov¹ and Efim Pelinovsky^{2,3}

4 1) National Research University – Lobachevsky State University, Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

5 2) National Research University – Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia

6 3) Institute of Applied Physics, Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

7

8 Abstract

The run-up of random long wave ensemble (swell, storm surge and tsunami) on the constant-slope 9 beach is studied in the framework of the nonlinear shallow-water theory in the approximation of 10 non-breaking waves. If the incident wave approaches the shore from deepest water, runup 11 characteristics can be found in two stages: at the first stage, linear equations are solved and the 12 wave characteristics at the fixed (undisturbed) shoreline are found, and, at the second stage, the 13 nonlinear dynamics of the moving shoreline is studied by means of the Riemann (nonlinear) 14 15 transformation of linear solutions. In the paper, detail results are obtained for quasi-harmonic (narrow-band) waves with random amplitude and phase. It is shown that the probabilistic 16 characteristics of the runup extremes can be found from the linear theory, while the same ones of 17 the moving shoreline - from the nonlinear theory. The role of wave breaking due to large-amplitude 18 outliers is discussed, so that it becomes necessary to consider wave ensembles with non-Gaussian 19 statistics within the framework of the analytical theory of non-breaking waves. The basic formulas 20 for calculating the probabilistic characteristics of the moving shoreline and its velocity through the 21 incident wave characteristics are given. They can be used for estimates of the flooding zone 22 23 characteristics in marine natural hazards.

24

Keywords: tsunami, storm surge, long wave runup, Carrier-Greenspan transform, statistical characteristics

27

28 **1. Introduction**

29 The flooded area size, the water flow depth and its speed on the coast, the coastal topography characteristics and the features of the coastal zone development determine the consequences of 30 marine natural disasters on the coast. The catastrophic events of recent years are well known, when 31 tsunami waves and storm surges caused significant damage on the coast and people's death. It is 32 33 worth saying that only in 2018 two catastrophic tsunamis occurred in Indonesia, leading to the death of several thousand people (on Sulawesi Island in September and in the Sunda Strait in 34 December). The calculations of the coast flooding due to tsunamis and storm surges are mainly 35 carried out within the framework of nonlinear shallow-water equations, taking into account the 36 variable roughness coefficient for various areas of the coastal zone (Kaiser et al, 2011; Choi et al, 37

2012). The characteristics of the coastal destruction is determined either by using fragility curves
(Macabuag et al, 2016; Park et al, 2017) or by using a direct calculation of the tsunami forces (Qi
et al, 2014; Ozer et al, 2015a, b; Kian et al, 2016; Xiong et al., 2019).

The computation accuracy was tested on a-series of benchmarks, including the idealized 41 problem of the wave run-up onto the impenetrable slope of a constant gradient without friction 42 (Synolakis et al, 2008). The nonlinear shallow water equations for the bottom geometry of this 43 kind are linearized by using the hodograph (Legendre) transformations. This step makes it possible 44 to obtain a number of exact solutions describing the run-up on the coast. This approach, first 45 suggested by Carrier and Greenspan (1958), was later on used to analyze the run-up of single and 46 47 periodic waves of various shapes (Synolakis, 1987; Pelinovsky and Mazova, 1992; Carrier, 1995; Carrier et al, 2003; Tinti and Toniti, 2005; Madsen and Fuhrman, 2008; Madsen and Schaffer, 48 2010; Antuano and Brocchini, 2008, 2010; Didenkulova, 2009; Dobrokhotov et al, 2015; Aydin 49 and Kanoglu, 2017). Moreover, such approach made it possible to determine the conditions for the 50 wave breaking. The latter means the presence of steep fronts (gradient catastrophe) within the 51 hyperbolic shallow water equation framework. The Carrier-Greenspan transformation was further 52 53 generalized for the case of waves in an inclined channel of an arbitrary variable cross section (Rybkin et al, 2013; Pedersen, 2016; Shimozone, 2016; Anderson et al, 2017; Raz et al, 2018). In 54 a number of practical cases, its use proves to be more efficient than the direct numerical 55 56 computation within the 2D shallow water equation framework (Harris et al, 2015, 2016).

57 Due to bathymetry variability and shoreline complexity, diffraction and scattering effects lead to an irregular shape of the waves approaching the coast. Moreover, very often not the leading 58 wave is not turns out to be the maximum one. Such typical tsunami wave records on tide-gauges 59 are well known and are not shown here. It is applied even more to swell waves, which in some 60 cases approach the coast without breaking (Huntley et al, 1977; Hughes et al, 2010). As a result, 61 statistical wave theory can be applied to such records and with their help, nonlinear shallow water 62 equations in the random function class can be solved. This approach was used to describe the 63 statistical moments of the long wave run-up characteristics in (Didenkulova et al, 2008, 2010, 64 2011). Special laboratory experiments were also conducted on irregular wave run-up on a flat 65 slope, the results of which are not very well described by theoretical dependencies (Denissenko et 66 al, 2011, 2013). As for field data, we are acquainted with two papers: (Huntley et al, 1977; Hughes 67 et al, 2010), where the statistical characteristics of the moving shoreline on two Canadian and one 68 Australian beaches were calculated. They confirmed the fact that the wave process on the coast is 69 not Gaussian. In our opinion, the main problem in the theoretical model of describing the irregular 70 wave run-upon the shore is associated with the use of two hypotheses: 1) the small amplitude wave 71

field (in the linear problem) is Gaussian; 2) waves run-up on the shore without breaking. It is obvious, however, that in the nonlinear wave field some broken waves can always be present. They affect the distribution function tails and, thus, the statistical moments of the run-up characteristics as well.

76 The connection of the run-up parameters at the nonlinear stage with the linear field at a fixed point is described either in a parametric form or implicitly in a nonlinear equation 77 (Didenkulova et al., 2010). This does not allow using the standard methods of random processes. 78 79 At the same time, it is known, that this implicit equation is equivalent to a partial first-order differential equation (PDE), that is, to the simple (the Riemann wave) equation (Rudenko and 80 81 Soluyan, 1977). In statistical problems, this equation arises in nonlinear acoustics. This equation or its generalization, the nonlinear diffusion equation called the Burgers equation (Burgers at al, 82 1974) is the model equation in the hydrodynamic turbulence theory (Frisch, 1995). It should be 83 noted that for the one-dimensional Burgers turbulence, as well as its three-dimensional version, 84 used for the model description of the large-scale Universe structure (Gurbatov et al, 2012). It is 85 possible to give an almost comprehensive statistical description for certain initial conditions 86 (Gurbatov et al, 1991, 1997, 2011; Gurbatov and Saichev, 1993; Molchanov et al, 1995; Frisch, 87 1995; Woyczynski, 1998; Frisch and Bec, 2001; Bec and Khanin, 2007). In particular, single-point 88 and two-point probability distributions of the velocity field and even N-point probability 89 90 distributions and, accordingly, multi-point moment functions were found. This partially allows using a mathematical approach developed in statistical nonlinear acoustics. An experimental study 91 92 of the nonlinear evolution of random quasi-monochromatic waves and the probability distributions and spectra analysis have been carried out in acoustics more than once. They confirmed theoretical 93 94 conclusions; see, for example (Gurbatov et al, 2018, 2019).

This paper is devoted to the analytical study of the probabilistic characteristics of the long 95 narrow-band wave run-up on the coast. Section 2 gives the basic equations of nonlinear shallow 96 97 water theory and the Carrier-Greenspan transformation, with the latter making it possible to linearize the nonlinear equations. Section 3 describes the moving shoreline dynamics when the 98 deterministic sine wave approaches elimbs the slope. The probability characteristics of the 99 100 deformed sine oscillations of the moving shoreline with a random phase are described in Section 4. Section 5 contains the probabilistic characteristics on the vertical displacement of the moving 101 102 shoreline if the incident narrow-band wave has a random amplitude and phase. The discussion of 103 the wave breaking effects and their influence on the distribution of the run-up characteristics is given in Section 6. The results obtained are summarized in Section 7. 104

105

106 **2. Basic equations and transformations**



Fig. 1. The problem geometry



108

109

Here we will consider the classical formulation of the problem of a long wave run-up on the constant-gradient slope in an ideal fluid (Fig. 1). The wave is one-dimensional and propagates along the *x*-axis directed onshore. The basin depth is a linear depth function: $h(x) = -\alpha x$, where α is the inclination angle tangent and point x = 0 corresponds to a fixed unperturbed water shoreline. L(t) and r(t) describe the horizontal and vertical displacement of the moving shoreline, and R(t) is the water level oscillations at x = 0. The bottom and the shore are assumed impenetrable. The long wave dynamics is described by nonlinear shallow water equations:

117
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + g \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} = 0, \qquad (2.1)$$

118
$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[(-\alpha x + \eta) u \right] = 0.$$
 (2.2)

Here, $\eta(x,t)$ is the free surface elevation above the undisturbed water level, and u(x,t) is the depthaveraged flow velocity (within the shallow water theory, the flow velocity is the same on all horizons), and g is the gravity acceleration. Obviously, after introducing total depth

122 $H(x,t) = -\alpha x + \eta(x,t),$ (2.3)

equations (2.1) and (2.2) are a hyperbolic system with constant coefficients. This fact makes it possible to transform the system into a linear equation one by using a hodograph (Legendre) transformation, which was done in the pioneering work (Carrier and Greenspan, 1958). As a result,

the wave field is described by a linear wave equation in the 'cylindrical' coordinate system

127
$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \lambda^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \sigma} = 0, \qquad (2.4)$$

and all variables are expressed in terms of an auxiliary wave function $\Phi(\sigma, \lambda)$ using explicit formulas

130
$$\eta = \frac{1}{2g} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda} - u^2 \right), \qquad (2.5)$$

131
$$u = \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \sigma}, \qquad (2.6)$$

132
$$x = \frac{1}{2\alpha g} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda} - u^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right), \qquad (2.7)$$

133
$$t = \frac{1}{\alpha g} (\lambda - u). \tag{2.8}$$

134 It should be noted that the variable σ is proportional to the total water depth.

135
$$\sigma = 2\sqrt{gH} = 2\sqrt{g(-\alpha x + \eta)}, \qquad (2.9)$$

so, the wave equation (2.4) is solved on the semi-axis $\sigma \ge 0$, and this coordinate plays the radius role in the cylindrical coordinate system. We would like to emphasize that the point $\sigma = 0$ corresponds to a moving shoreline, and therefore, the original problem, solved in the area with a unknown boundary, is reduced to a fixed area problem.

140 It is important to note that the hodograph transformation is valid if the Jacobian 141 transformation is non-zero

142
$$J = \frac{\partial(x,t)}{\partial(\sigma,\lambda)} \neq 0.$$
 (2.10)

143 It is the case when a gradient catastrophe, identified in the framework of the shallow-water theory 144 with the wave breaking, does not occur. The necessary condition for the wave breaking absence is 145 the boundedness and smoothness of all solutions; this question will be discussed further on.

We will assume that the wave approaches the coast from the area far from the shoreline ($x \to -\infty$), where the wave is linear. Then it is obvious that the function $\Phi(\sigma, \lambda)$ can be completely found from the linear theory. The difficulty in finding the wave field in the near-shoreline area is 149 due to the implicit transformation of the coordinates (x,t) to (σ,λ) . However, for the most 150 interesting point of the moving shoreline $\sigma = 0$ (its dynamics determines the size of the flooded 151 area on the coast) all the formulas become explicit. In particular, from (2.5) and (2.6) follows

152
$$r(t) = R \left[t + \frac{u(t)}{\alpha g} \right] - \frac{u(t)^2}{2g} , \qquad (2.11)$$

153
$$u(t) = U\left[t + \frac{u(t)}{\alpha g}\right], \qquad (2.12)$$

where r(t) and u(t) are the vertical displacement of the moving shoreline and its speed, and the functions R(t) and U(t) determine the field characteristics at the fixed point (x = 0) from the linear theory

157
$$R(t) = \frac{1}{2g} \frac{\partial \Phi(\sigma = 0, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda = \alpha_{gt}}, \qquad U(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi(\sigma, \lambda)}{\partial \sigma} \bigg|_{\sigma = 0, \lambda = \alpha_{gt}}.$$
 (2.13)

Then we add the obvious kinematic relations for the vertical displacement and velocity of the lastsea point along the slope.

160
$$u(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{dr(t)}{dt} , \qquad U(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{dR(t)}{dt}.$$
(2.14)

Let us note that formula (2.12) is identical to the so-called Riemann wave or a simple wave in a nonlinear non-dispersive medium (in particular, in nonlinear acoustics), if we consider the parameter $1/\alpha g$ to be a 'coordinate'; see, for example, (Rudenko and Soluyan, 1977, Gurbatov et al, 1991, 2011). Moreover, formula (2.13) describes the integral over the Riemann wave. This analogy proves to be very useful when transferring the already known results in the wave nonlinear theory to the run-up characteristics described by the formulas (2.11) and (2.12) ODE.

167 Detailed calculations of the long wave run-up on the coast were carried out repeatedly; see, 168 for example (Carrier and Greenspan, 1958; Synolakis, 1987; Pelinovsky and Mazova, 1992; Tinti 169 and Toniti, 2005; Madsen and Fuhrman, 2008; Madsen and Schaffer, 2010; Antuano and 170 Brocchini, 2008, 2010; Didenkulova, 2009; Dobrokhotov et al, 2015; Aydin and Kanoglu, 2017).

171 It is worth mentioning that the nonlinear time transformation in (2.11) and (2.12) leads to 172 the shoreline oscillation distortion in comparison with the linear theory predictions. So, for large 173 amplitudes the wave shape becomes multi-valued (broken). The first moment of the wave breaking 174 on the shoreline (the gradient catastrophe) is easily found from (2.12) by calculating the first 175 derivative of the moving shoreline velocity

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{\frac{dU}{dt}}{1 - \frac{dU/dt}{\alpha g}},$$
(2.15)

177 from it follows the wave breaking condition

178
$$Br = \frac{\max(dU/dt)}{\alpha g} = \frac{\max(d^2R/dt^2)}{\alpha^2 g} = 1,$$
 (2.16)

where we have introduced the breaking parameter Br to designate the left-hand side in (2.16), 179 which characterizes the nonlinear wave properties on the shoreline. The condition (2.16) can be 180 181 given a physical meaning, that the breaking occurs when the last sea particle acceleration ($\alpha^{-1}d^2R/dt^2$) exceeds the component of gravity acceleration along the shoreline ($g\alpha$). As shown 182 in (Didenkulova, 2009), condition (2.16) coincides with (2.10) for Jacobian. It is important to 183 emphasize that the breaking condition is unequivocally found through solving the linear problem 184 of the wave run-up on the shore. It is determined only by the particle acceleration value on the 185 shoreline; but it is not determined separately by the shoreline displacement or its velocity. 186

A similar Carrier – Greenspan transformation is obtained for waves in narrow inclined channels, fjords, and bays (Rybkin et al, 2013; Pedersen, 2016; Anderson et al, 2017; Raz et al, 2018); only the wave equation (2.4) and relations (2.5) - (2.8) change. However, the moving shoreline dynamics is still described by equations (2.11) and (2.12), valid for arbitrary crosssection channels.

192

176

3. The moving shoreline dynamics at an initially monochromatic wave run-up

The monochromatic wave run-up on a flat slope by using the Carrier – Greenspan transformation has been studied in a number of papers cited above. Let us reproduce here the main features of the moving shoreline dynamics necessary for us to draw the statistical description further on. Mathematically, the monochromatic wave run-up is described by an elementary solution of equation (2.4)

199

$$\Phi(\sigma,\lambda) = QJ_0(l\sigma)\cos(l\lambda), \qquad (3.1)$$

where Q and l are arbitrary constants, and J_0 is the zero-order Bessel function. Far from the shoreline ($\sigma \rightarrow \infty$) the Bessel function decreases, so the wave function Φ becomes small. In this case, in (2.5) - (2.8) one can use approximate expressions (the 'linear' Carrier – Greenspan transformation)

204
$$\eta = \frac{1}{2g} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \lambda}, \qquad u = \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \sigma}, \qquad x = -\frac{\sigma^2}{4\alpha g}, \qquad t = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha g},$$
 (3.2)

and using the asymptotic representation for the Bessel function, reduce (3.1) to the expression for
 the water surface displacement

207
$$\eta(x,t) = a(x) \left\{ \sin \left[\omega \left(t - \int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{gh(x)}} \right) \right] - \frac{\pi}{4} \right\} + \sin \left[\omega \left(t + \int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{gh(x)}} \right) + \frac{\pi}{4} \right], \quad (3.3)$$

208 where

209
$$a(x) = \frac{Q}{2g} \sqrt{\frac{l}{\pi\sqrt{gh(x)}}} , \qquad \omega = gl\alpha . \qquad (3.4)$$

The wave field away from the shoreline is a superposition of two waves of the same frequency and a variable amplitude a(x), which together form a standing wave. It immediately shows that the wave amplitude varies with depth according to the Green law $(h^{-1/4})$, as it should be far from the coast. The same asymptotic result follows from the exact solution of linear shallow water equations.

215
$$\eta(x,t) = R_0 J_0 \left(\sqrt{\frac{4\omega^2 |x|}{g\alpha}} \right) \sin(\omega t), \qquad (3.5)$$

where R_0 is the wave amplitude at the fixed shoreline (x = 0), identified with the maximum runup height in the linear theory. By connecting (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain the formula for the run-up height obtained through the incident wave amplitude far from the coast

219
$$\frac{R_0}{a(x)} = \sqrt{\frac{2\omega}{\alpha}} \sqrt{\frac{h(x)}{g}} .$$
(3.6)

Formula (3.6) allows working further with the run-up height R_0 instead of the wave amplitude far from the coast a(x),-considering it to be given. This run-up height will be considered as the given value. Having determined Q and l through the incident wave parameters, we can calculate the runup characteristics in the nonlinear theory, considering the limit of formula (3.1) with $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ and using the Carrier – Greenspan transformation formulas (2.5) - (2.8). The moving shoreline movement is determined by the parametric dependence

226
$$t = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha g} - \frac{\omega R_0}{\alpha^2 g} \cos\left(\frac{\omega \lambda}{\alpha g}\right), \qquad (3.7)$$

227
$$r = R_0 \sin\left(\frac{\omega\lambda}{\alpha g}\right) - \frac{\omega^2 R_0^2}{2\alpha^2 g} \cos^2\left(\frac{\omega\lambda}{\alpha g}\right).$$
(3.8)

228 It is convenient to introduce dimensionless variables

229
$$z = \frac{r}{R_0}, \quad \tau = \omega t. \quad \varphi = \frac{\omega \lambda}{\alpha g},$$
 (3.9)

and calculate the breaking parameter

$$Br = \frac{\omega^2 R_0}{\alpha^2 g},$$
(3.10)

so the formulas (3.7) and (3.8) are finally rewritten in the form

233
$$\tau = \varphi - Br\cos(\varphi) , \qquad (3.11)$$

234
$$z = \sin(\varphi) - \frac{Br}{2}\cos^2(\varphi), \qquad (3.12)$$

what is another expression record for the formulas (2.11) and (2.12), if we take

$$R(t) = R_0 \sin(\omega t), \qquad (3.13)$$

arising from (3.5) with x = 0. Let us note that the function $z(\tau, Br)$ is set in a parametric form, but after expressing φ from (3.12) and substituting it in (3.11), we can obtain the explicit expression for the function $\tau(z; Br)$. In the paper, we will use both explicit and implicit expressions of the functions describing the moving shoreline dynamics.

Fig. 2 shows the moving shoreline dynamics at different wave height values in terms of the 241 breaking parameter up to the limiting value (Br = 1). In the limit of small parameter values, the 242 oscillations are close to sinusoidal (it is almost a linear problem). Then, with the increasing 243 amplitude, the moving shoreline velocity gets a steep leading front, while at the moving shoreline 244 vertical displacement a peculiar feature is formed at the wave run-down stage. As it is known, at 245 the time of the Riemann wave breaking, a peculiarity like $u \sim t^{1/3}$ is formed (Pelinovsky et al, 246 2013). Then, in the integral over the Riemann wave (at the moving shoreline displacement), this 247 peculiar feature will have the form $z \sim t^{4/3}$. Thus, with the wave amplitude increase, the first 248 breaking occurs at sea (at the run-down stage), and not on the coast. Then the breaking zone 249 expands and moves on to the coast, but at this stage, analytical solutions based on the Carrier-250 Greenspan transformation become inapplicable. 251





255

Fig. 2. The moving shoreline dynamics (top) and its velocity (below) in the case of the incident monochromatic wave for different breaking parameter values Br (0 – the dotted line, 0.5 – the dashed line and 1 – the solid line).

259

260

4. Probabilistic characteristics of the initially sine wave run-up with a random phase

Let us now consider the probabilistic characteristics of the initially sine wave run-up with a random phase on the shore, assuming it to be uniformly distributed over the interval $[0-2\pi]$. These characteristics are found by using the geometric probability methods (Kendall and Stuart, 1969), so that for ergodic processes the probability density of the moving shoreline vertical displacement coincides with the relative location time of the function $z(\tau)$ in the interval (z, 266 z+dz)

267
$$W(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left| \frac{d\tau_n}{dz} \right|,$$
 (4.1)

where the summation takes place at all intersection levels $z(\tau)$. For harmonic disturbance, it is enough to restrict ourselves to considering the field on a half-period. So, for the moving shoreline vertical displacement in dimensionless variables, the derivative $d\tau/dz$ of the parametric curve (3.11) and (3.12) can be calculated through the ratio of the derivatives $d\tau/d\varphi$ and $dz/d\varphi$

272
$$W_z^{\sin}(z; Br) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1 + Br \sin \varphi}{\cos \varphi + Br \cos \varphi \sin \varphi} = \frac{1}{\pi \cos \varphi} , \qquad (4.2)$$

we indicated here that the probability density depends on Br as a parameter. Finding $\cos \varphi$ from the formula (3.12) for the vertical displacement, we obtain the final expression for the probability density

276
$$W_{z}^{\sin}(z;Br) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{Br^{2}} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + 2zBr + Br^{2}}\right]^{2}}},$$
 (4.3)

which in the linear problem for a purely sinusoidal perturbation transforms into a well-known
expression for the probability distribution of a harmonic signal with a random phase (Kendall and
Stuart, 1969)

280

$$W_z^{\sin}(z;0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} \,. \tag{4.4}$$

The probability distribution (4.3) for the three values of the parameter Br is shown in Fig.3. As you can see, the probability density becomes an asymmetric function with a greater probability in the area of positive values corresponding to the wave run-up on the coast than at the run-down stage. At the ends of the interval, the probability density is unlimited throughout the entire range change of Br, since the shoreline oscillations near the maximum have a zero derivative (the moving shoreline velocity in it becomes zero).

The obtained probability density function can be used to calculate the statistical moments of the shoreline oscillations. Technically, however, it is easier to use the parametric equations (3.11) and (3.12) and calculate all the moments.

290
$$M_n^z = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} z^n(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} z^n(\varphi) \frac{d\tau}{d\varphi} d\varphi .$$
(4.5)

So, the first moment

$$M_1^z = \frac{Br}{4} \tag{4.6}$$

determines the average water level rise on the coast when the waves approach the shore (set-up
phenomenon), which is commonly observed (Dean and Walton, 2009).

295



Fig. 3. The probability density of the moving shoreline vertical displacement for the initially sine wave run-up at Br = 0 (the dotted line), 0.5 (the dashed line) and 1 (the solid line).

299

296

300 The second moment determines the dispersion

301
$$\delta^{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (z - M_{1}^{z})^{2} d\tau = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{32} Br^{2}, \qquad (4.7)$$

302 characterizing the fluctuation range relative to the average value; it relatively weakly decreases 303 with the growth of the parameter Br (less than 10% for non-breaking waves).

Finally, the total flooding time and its drainage time are easy to find from (3.11) and (3.12), finding from the equation (3.12) mentioned last, the value φ , at which z = 0, and substituting the obtained values in (3.11)

307
$$T_{flood} = \pi - 2 \arcsin\left[\frac{\sqrt{1 + Br^2} - 1}{Br}\right] + 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\sqrt{1 + Br^2} - 1},$$

308

(4.9)

309
$$T_{dry} = \pi + 2 \arcsin\left[\frac{\sqrt{1 + Br^2} - 1}{Br}\right] - 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\sqrt{1 + Br^2} - 1},$$

Both times change almost linearly with the increasing wave amplitude (parameter *Br*), see Fig. 4.



311

Fig. 4. The total flooding time (the solid curve) and the drainage time (the dashed curve) depending on the parameter Br.

It is worth noting that, in contrast to the vertical displacement, the moving shoreline velocity distribution $[u = (\omega R_0 / \alpha)v]$, as it is easy to show, does not depend on the breaking parameter and probability density function is determined by the simple formula

318
$$W_{\nu}^{\sin}(\nu) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \nu^2}}.$$
 (4.10)

The distribution independence on the degree of nonlinearity is well known for the Riemann waves and is explained by the compensation of compression and rare faction areas (Gurbatov et al, 1991, 2011).

322

323 5. Probabilistic characteristics of a narrow-band wave run-up with a random amplitude 324 and phase

Let us consider the run-up of a quasi-harmonic wave with a random amplitude and phase on a flat slope. To do this, we will first rewrite formulas (4.3) and (4.10) for them to include the wave amplitude. It is convenient to enter the maximum height R_{max} as the amplitude scales at which the breaking parameter turns into 1

329
$$Br = \frac{\omega^2 R_{\text{max}}}{\alpha^2 g} = 1, \qquad (5.1)$$

and to use dimensionless displacement ($y=r/R_{max}$). Then the dimensionless amplitude is

331
$$A = \frac{R_0}{R_{\text{max}}} \le 1$$
, (5.2)

and formula (4.3) is converted to the form (-A < y < A)

333
$$W_{y}^{\sin}(y;A) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A^{2} - \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + 2y + A^{2}}\right]^{2}}}$$
 (5.3)

Assuming now that the wave amplitude *A* is a random variable, we average (5.3) by using the amplitude distribution density $W_A(A)$

336
$$W(y) = \int_{y}^{\infty} W_{y}^{\sin}(y;A) W_{A}(A) dA.$$
 (5.4)

Formula (5.4) has an important practical meaning: by the measured distribution of the wave 337 amplitudes far from the coast (re-computed on run-up amplitudes in the linear theory), it is possible 338 to obtain the distribution of the wave run-up characteristics on the coast. The only requirement 339 imposed on the wave ensemble is that it should not contain breaking waves, which should be 340 somehow removed from the record. It immediately follows that the Gaussian field containing large 341 amplitude tails does not fit this requirement, and it should be modified. Therefore, we assume the 342 amplitude distribution to be finite for $A < A_{max} = 1$. The narrow-band random wave field contains 343 sine waves with almost constant frequency and random amplitude and phase. It means that if the 344 345 wave amplitude is below the "breaking amplitude" $A_{max} = 1$, the breaking will not be implemented in any way, and the random wave run-up will take place without any breaking. Further calculations 346 depend on the specific type of the amplitude distribution. 347

Let us construct the finite amplitude distribution at which the linear field distribution is close to the Gaussian form and modify the Rayleigh distribution for wave heights in the area $A < A_{max} = 1$ (Fig. 5)

351
$$W_{A}(A; A_{\max}, A_{s}) = \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-2A_{\max}^{2} / A_{s}^{2})} \frac{4A}{A_{s}^{2}} \exp\left(-2\frac{A^{2}}{A_{s}^{2}}\right), A \le A_{\max}, \qquad (5.5)$$

to make the density function distribution normalized. Here, A_s is the so-called significant wave run-up height (an averaged value of 1/3 highest amplitudes). We would like to note here, that it follows from (2.11) and (2.12) that the extremal run-up characteristics in the nonlinear theory remain the same as in the linear theory. This means that the significant wave run-up height remains the same as in the nonlinear theory.



358

Fig. 5. The modified Rayleigh distribution (5.5) for different distribution values A_s/A_{max} ; 360 0.5 – the dotted curve, 0.7 – the dashed line, 1 – the solid line.

361

When $A_s << A_{max} = 1$, distribution (5.5) transforms into the Rayleigh one, which is characteristic of the Gaussian initial distribution of a narrow-band random signal. With the help of (5.5), it becomes possible to calculate the distribution function of shoreline oscillations for the various wave energy. So, with the incident wave small amplitude ($A_s << 1$), distribution (5.3) can be replaced by a simpler expression (4.4) and the answer is the run-up distribution characteristics in the linear theory:

368
$$W_{lin}(y; A_{\max}, A_s) = \frac{4}{\pi A_s^2 [1 - \exp(-2A_{\max}^2 / A_s^2)]} \int_y^{A_{\max}} \frac{A}{\sqrt{A^2 - y^2}} \exp\left(-2\frac{A^2}{A_s^2}\right) dA.$$
(5.6)

Besides, if $A_s < < A_{max} = 1$, the integral (5.6) is reduced to the Gaussian distribution

370
$$W_{lin}(y; A_s) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}A_s} \exp\left(-2\frac{y^2}{A_s^2}\right),$$
 (5.7)

371 where, $A_s = 2\sigma_y$, and σ_y^2 is the moving shoreline oscillation dispersion.

Fig. 6 shows the distribution of the run-up characteristics for different ratios of As/Amax 372 values by formulas (5.4) and (5.5); they are shown in solid lines. Here the dashed lines show the 373 374 calculation results according to the linear theory (5.6). As one can see, with $A_s/A_{max} = 0.5$ (the top panel) and 0.7 (the middle panel), the linear distribution is close to the Gaussian one. Nonlinearity 375 376 leads to the asymmetry of the distribution function density in the direction of positive values corresponding to the wave characteristics on the coast. If the undisturbed wave ensemble is made 377 378 of relatively large waves $(A_{s}/A_{max} = 1)$, their distribution is far from the Gaussian, both in the linear 379 and in the nonlinear approximation.



Fig. 6. The probabilistic density function of the vertical shoreline displacement in the nonlinear theory (solid lines) and in the linear theory (dashed lines) for different A_{s}/A_{max} : 0.5 values: (the upper panel), 0.7 (the middle panel) and 1 (the lower panel).

The finite ($A < A_{max}$) power-law distribution concentrated mainly near the maximum amplitude A_{max} can be considered as another example of undisturbed large-amplitude waves.

389
$$W_A(A) = \frac{6A^5}{A_{\text{max}}^6}.$$
 (5.8)

Fig. 7 shows the graphs of the probabilistic density function of the moving shoreline displacement
calculated by using formulas (5.4) and (4.4) in the linear theory and (5.3) in the nonlinear theory.
It is also seen in the figure that nonlinear effects lead to a strong asymmetry towards the positive

values, that is, to the wave amplification at the run-up up stage than at the run-down stage.





Fig. 7. Probabilistic density function of the shoreline vertical displacement in the linear theory (the dashed line) and non-linear theory (the solid line)

397

398 **6. The wave breaking effect on probabilistic run-up characteristics**

399 The theory described above is valid for non-breaking waves. The mentioned wave ensemble, strictly speaking, cannot be the Gaussian one, as it always has unlimited tails in the probability 400 401 density function. Let us briefly discuss what the formulas obtained for non-breaking waves lead 402 to in the presence of broken waves. Fig. 8 shows the parametric curve (3.11) - (3.12) when Br =403 2. Formally, the curve became multi-valued in the range of negative values corresponding to the 404 maximum water outflow from the coast. We have already indicated that the probability density 405 function of the moving shoreline vertical displacement $W(\xi)$ coincides with the relative residence time $\xi(t)$ of the function in the interval $(\xi, \xi + d\xi)$, which is calculated by formula (3.1). In 406 contrast to negative cut-off bias values, in the area of positive values there is no ambiguity, and, 407

- 408 therefore, all the calculations can be carried out by using the formulas described above. An
- 409 example of such calculation with Br = 2 and r > -0.5 (in the zone of one-value solution) is shown
- 410 in Fig. 9. However, these results should be treated with caution. If Br > 1 the Jacobian breaks
- 411 down seawards of the shoreline. This may affect the probabilistic distribution on the positive side.
- 11 down souwards of the shoreline. This may affect the probabilistic distribution on the positive side
- This important issue requires going beyond the theory discussed in this article.



- Fig. 8. The parametric curve (3.11) (3.12) with Br = 2 (the solid curve) in comparison with the
- 416 linear problem with Br = 0 (the dashed line)

417



418

Fig. 9. The probability density function at Br = 2, constructed by formulas (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5)

- 420 (the solid line) in comparison with the linear distribution (5.6) is the dotted line. $A_s/A_{max} = 0.7$.
- 421

422 **7. Discussion and conclusion**

In this paper, we study the run-up of irregular narrow-band waves with a random envelope (swell, storm surges, and tsunami) on a beach of a constant slope. The work was carried out in the framework of the nonlinear wave theory with one important assumption: there should be no breaking waves in the wave ensemble. This restriction is quite strict for field and laboratory conditions, but nevertheless, there are cases when it is performed. For instance, 75% of historical tsunami waves climbed on the coast with no breaking (Mazova et al, 1983). In the experiments performed in the Warwick University tank and in the Large Tank in Hannover (Denissenko et al, 2011, 2013), this condition was fulfilled.

The wave nonlinearity at the run-up stage leads to increased deviations from Gaussianity, as might 431 be expected from general considerations. Nevertheless, it is shown that the probability distribution 432 of the moving shoreline velocity does not depend on the wave nonlinearity and can be calculated 433 434 within the linear theory framework. The same conclusion can be drawn about the distribution of the extreme run-up characteristics (the moving shoreline displacement and speed), which, in fact, 435 has already been discussed earlier (Didenkulova et al, 2008). However, the probabilistic density 436 function of the moving shoreline displacement differs from that predicted one in the linear theory 437 framework. It is described by formula (5.4) by using either the theoretical or the measured 438 distribution of the incident wave amplitudes. The paper gives the calculation results of the probable 439 run-up characteristics with a modified Rayleigh distribution for wave amplitudes. 440

The wave breaking leads to the inapplicability of the wave run-up theory based on the Carrier-Greenspan transformation. If, nevertheless, the share of large amplitude waves is small, the breaking occurs mainly at the run-down stage, having little effect on the long-wave coast flooding characteristics (see Section 6). This question, however, requires a special study based on direct numerical solutions of the shallow-water equations or their nonlinear-dispersive generalizations.

Finally, it is worth noting that we considered the narrow-band wave run-up with a random amplitude and phase; as for the random waves with a wide spectrum – it is the problem of further consideration.

The obtained probability density functions of the vertical displacement of the moving shoreline are useful to compute statistical characteristics of flooding time and force on coasts and constructions, which are necessity for the mitigation of natural marine hazards.

Now in practice various generalizations of shallow-water equations are used to analyze tsunami runup including wave dispersion, see for instance (Lovholt et al, 2012). Wave dispersion as a quadratic dissipative term that prevents us from getting analytical results, so their influence on statistical characteristics should be investigated in future.

457

19

458 Acknowledgment:

The work is supported by the grants from the Russian Science Foundation: No.19-12-00256 (in part of computing the random Riemann wave characteristics) and No. 16-17-00041 (in part of computations the probability density function of the moving shoreline).

462

464

463 **References**

- Anderson, D., Harris, M., Hartle, H., Nicolsky, D., Pelinovsky, E., Raz, A. and Rybkin, A.:
 Runup of long waves in piecewise sloping U-shaped bays, Pure and Applied Geophysics, 174,
 3185-3207, 2017.
- Antuano, M. and Brocchini, M.: Maximum run-up, breaking conditions and dynamical forces
 in the swash zone: a boundary value approach, Coastal Engineering, 55, 732-730, 2008.
- 470 3. Antuano, M. and Brocchini, M.: Solving the nonlinear shallow-water equations in physical
 471 space, J. Fluid Mech., 643, 207–232, 2010.
- 4. Aydin. B. and Kanoglu, U.: New analytical solution for nonlinear shallow water-wave
 equations, Pure and Applied Geophysics, 174, 3209–3218, 2017.
- 5. Bec, J. and Khanin, K.: Burgers turbulence, Physics Reports, 447, 1-66, 2007.
- 6. Burgers, J. M.: The Nonlinear Diffusion Equation. Dordrecht, D. Reidel, 1974.
- Carrier, G.F.: On-shelf tsunami generation and coastal propagation. In Tsunami: Progress in
 Prediction, Disaster Prevention and Warning, eds. Tsuchiya, Y. & Shuto, N. Kluwer, Dordrecht,
 1-20, 1995.
- 8. Carrier, G.F. and Greenspan, H.P.: Water waves of finite amplitude on a sloping beach,
 J. Fluid Mech., 4.97–109, 1958.
- 9. Carrier, G.F., Wu, T.T. and Yeh, H.: Tsunami run-up and draw-down on a plane beach, Journal
 of Fluid Mechanics, 475, 79-99, 2003.
- 10.Choi, J., Kwon, K.K. and Yoon, S.B.: Tsunami inundation simulation of a built-up area using
 equivalent resistance coefficient, Coastal Engineering Journal, 54, 1250015 (25 pages), 2012.
- 11.Dean, R.G. and Walton, T.L.: Wave setup. In: Kim, Y.C. (Ed.), Handbook of Coastal and Ocean
 Engineering. World Sci, Singapore, 2009.
- 12.Denissenko, P., Didenkulova, I., Pelinovsky, E. and Pearson J.: Influence of the nonlinearity
 on statistical characteristics of long wave runup, Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics, 18,967975, 2011.
- 490 13.Denissenko, P., Didenkulova, I., Rodin, A., Listak, M. and Pelinovsky E.: Experimental
- 491 statistics of long wave runup on a plane beach, Journal of Coastal Research, 65, 195-200, 2013.

- 492 14.Didenkulova, I.: New trends in the analytical theory of long sea wave runup. In: Applied Wave
 493 Mathematics: Selected Topics in Solids, Fluids, and Mathematical Methods (Ed: E. Quak and
 494 T. Soomere). Springer, 265–296, 2009.
- 15.Didenkulova, I., Pelinovsky, E. and Sergeeva, A.: Runup of long irregular waves on plane
 beach. In: Extreme Ocean Waves (Eds: Pelinovsky E., Kharif C.), Springer, 83-94, 2008.
- 497 16.Didenkulova, I.I., Sergeeva, A.V., Pelinovsky, E.N. and Gurbatov, S.N.: Statistical estimates
- of characteristics of long wave run up on the shore, Izvestiya, Atmospheric and Oceanic
 Physics, 46, 530–532, 2010.
- 17.Didenkulova, I., Pelinovsky, E. and Sergeeva, A.: Statistical characteristics of long waves
 nearshore, Coastal Engineering, 58, 94-102, 2011.
- 502 18.Dobrokhotov, S.Yu., Minenkov, D.S., Nazaikinskii, V.E. and Tirozzi, B.: Simple exact and
- asymptotic solutions of the 1D run-up problem over a slowly varying (quasiplanar) bottom. In
- Theory and Applications in Mathematical Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 29-47, 2015.
- 19.Frisch, U.: Turbulence: the legacy of A. N. Kolmogorov, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- 20.Frisch, U. and Bec, J.: Burgulence. In: New trends in turbulence (Eds: M. Lesieur, A.Yaglom
 and F. David), Springer EDP-Sciences, 341–383, 2001.
- Substantiation 21. Gurbatov, S. N., Saichev, A. I. and Shandarin, S. F.: Large-scale structure of the Universe. The
 Zeldovich approximation and the adhesion model, Physics Uspekhi, 55, 223–249, 2012.
- 22.Gurbatov, S.N., Malakhov, A.N. and Saichev, A.I.: Nonlinear Random Waves and Turbulence
 in Nondispersive Media: Waves, Rays, Particles. Manchester University Press, 1991.
- 512 23.Gurbatov, S.N., Rudenko, O.V. and Saichev, A.I.: Waves and Structures in Nonlinear
- Nondispersive Media. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, and Beijing: Higher Education
 Press, 2011.
- 515 24.Gurbatov, S.N. and Saichev, A.I.: Inertial nonlinearity and chaotic motion of particle fluxes,
 516 Chaos, 3, 333-358, 1993.
- 517 25.Gurbatov, S., Simdyankin, S., Aurell, E., Frisch, U. and Toth, G.: On the decay of Burgers
- 518 turbulence, J. Fluid Mech., 344, 349-374, 1997.
- 26.Gurbatov, S.N., Deryabin, M.S., Kasyanov, D.A. and Kurin, V.V.: Evolution of narrow-band
 noise beams for large acoustic Reynolds numbers, Radiophysics and Quantum Electronics, 61,
 478–490, 2018.
- 522 27.Gurbatov, S., Deryabin, M., Kurin, V. and Kasyanov, D.: Evolution of intense narrowband
 523 noise beams, Journal of Sound and Vibration, 439, 208-218, 2019.

- 524 28.Harris, M.W., Nicolsky, D.J., Pelinovsky, E.N. and Rybkin A.V.: Runup of nonlinear long
- waves in trapezoidal bays: 1-D analytical theory and 2-D numerical computations, Pure and
 Applied Geophysics, 172, 885-899, 2015.
- 29.Harris, M.W., Nicolsky, D.J., Pelinovsky, E.N., Pender, J.M. and Rybkin, A.V.: Run-up of
 nonlinear long waves in U-shaped bays of finite length: Analytical theory and numerical
 computations, J Ocean Engineering and Marine Energy, 2, 113-127, 2016.
- 30.Hughes, M.G., Moseley, A.S. and Baldock, T.E.: Probability distributions for wave runup on
 beaches, Coastal Engineering, 57, 575-584, 2010.
- 31.Huntley, D.A., Guza, R.T. and Bowen, A.J.: A universal form for shoreline run-up spectra, J.
 Geophys. Res., 82, 2577–2581, 1977.
- 32.Kaiser, G., Scheele, L., Kortenhaus, A., Lovholt, F., Romer, H. and Leschka, S.: The influence
 of land cover roughness on the results of high resolution tsunami inundation modeling, Nat.
- 536Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 11, 2521–2540, 2011.
- 33.Kendall, M.G. and Stuart, A.: The Advanced Theory of Statistics. Volume I. Distribution
 Theory. London. 1969.
- 34.Kian, R., Velioglu, D., Yalciner, A.C. and Zaytsev, A.: Effects of harbor shape on the induced
 sedimentation; L-type basin, Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 4, 55-65, 2016.
- 541 35.Løvholt, F., Pedersen, G., Bazin, S., Kühn, D., Bredesen, R.E., and Harbitz, C.: Stochastic
- analysis of tsunami runup due to heterogeneous coseismic slip and dispersion, J. Geophys. Res.,
 117, C03047, 2012. doi: 10.1029/2011JC007616.
- 544 36.Macabuag, J., Rossetto, T., Ioannou, I., Suppasri, A., Sugawara, D., Adriano, B., Imamura, F.,
- 545 Eames, I.and Koshimura, S.: A proposed methodology for deriving tsunami fragility functions
- for buildings using optimum intensity measures, Nat Hazards, 84, 1257–1285, 2016.
- 547 37.Madsen, P.A. and Fuhrman, D.R.: Run-up of tsunamis and long waves in terms of surf-548 similarity, Coast. Eng., 55, 209–223, 2008.
- 38.Madsen, P. and Schaffer, H. A.: Analytical solutions for tsunami runup on a plane beach: single
 waves, N-waves and transient waves, J. Fluid Mech., 645, 27-57, 2010.
- 39.Mazova, R.Kh., Pelinovsky, E.N. and Solov'yev, S.L.: Statistical data on the tsunami runup
 onto shore, Oceanology, 23, 698 702, 1983.
- 40.Molchanov, S.A., Surgailis, D. and Woyczynski, W.A.: Hyperbolic asymptotics in Burgers'
 turbulence and extremal processes, Comm. Math. Phys., 168, 209-226, 1995.
- 41.Ozer, S.C., Yalciner, A.C. and Zaytsev, A.: Investigation of tsunami hydrodynamic parameters
- 11.0261, 5.0., Tutemen, T.O.and Zuyisev, T... Investigation of isunanin hydrodynamic parameters
- in inundation zones with different structural layouts, Pure and Applied Geophysics, 172, 931–
 952, 2015a.

- 42.Ozer, S.C., Yalciner, A.C., Zaytsev, A., Suppasri, A. and Imamura, F.: Investigation of
 hydrodynamic parameters and the effects of breakwaters during the 2011 Great East Japan
 Tsunami in Kamaishi Bay, Pure and Applied Geophysics, 172, 3473–3491, 2015b.
- 43.Park, H., Cox, D.T. and Barbosa, A.R.: Comparison of inundation depth and momentum flux
 based fragilities for probabilistic tsunami damage assessment and uncertainty analysis, Coastal
 Eng., 122, 10–26, 2017.
- 44.Pelinovsky, E. and Mazova, R.: Exact analytical solutions of nonlinear problems of tsunami
 wave run-up on slopes with different profiles, Nat. Hazards, 6, 227–249, 1992.
- 45.Pelinovsky, D., Pelinovsky, E., Kartashova, E., Talipova, T. and Giniyatullin, A.: Universal
 power law for the energy spectrum of breaking Riemann waves, JETP Letters, 98, 237-241,
 2013.
- 46.Pedersen, G.: Fully nonlinear Boussinesq equations for long wave propagation and run-up in
 sloping channels with parabolic cross sections, Natural Hazards, 84, S599–S619, 2016.
- 47.Qi, Z.X, Eames, I. and Johnson E.R.: Force acting on a square cylinder fixed in a free-surface
 channel flow, J Fluid Mech, 756, 716–727, 2014.
- 48.Raz, A., Nicolsky, D., Rybkin, A. and Pelinovsky, E.: Long wave run-up in asymmetric bays
 and in fjords with two separate heads, Journal of Geophysical Research Oceanus, 123, 20662080, 2018.
- 49.Rudenko, O.V. and Soluyan S.I.: Nonlinear Acoustics. Pergamon Press, NY, 1977.
- 577 50.Rybkin, A. Pelinovsky, E.N. and Didenkulova, I.: Nonlinear wave run-up in bays of arbitrary
- cross-section: generalization of the Carrier-Greenspan approach, J Fluid Mechanics, 748, 416432, 2014.
- 51.Shimozono, T.: Long wave propagation and run-up in converging bays, J. Fluid Mech., 798,
 457-484, 2016.
- 582 52.Synolakis, C.E.: The runup of solitary waves, J. Fluid Mech., 185, 523–545, 1987.
- 53.Synolakis, C., Bernard, E.N., Titov, V.V., Kanoglu, U. and Gonzalez, F.I.: Validation and
 verification of tsunami numerical models, Pure and Applied Geophysics, 165, 2197-2228,
 2008.
- 586 54.Tinti, S. and Tonini, R.: Analytical evolution of tsunamis induced by near-shore earthquakes
 587 on a constant-slope ocean, J. Fluid Mech., 535, 33–64, 2005.
- 55.Woyczynski, W.A.: Burgers–KPZ Turbulence. Gottingen Lectures, Berlin, Springer-Verlag,
 1998.

- 590 56.Xiong, Y., Liang, Q., Park, H., Cox, D. and Wang, G.: A deterministic approach for assessing
- 591 tsunami-induced building damage through quantification of hydrodynamic forces, Coastal
- 592 Engineering, 144, 1-14, 2019.