Machine Learning Analysis of Lifeguard Flag Decisions and Recorded Rescues 1

- 2 3 4 Chris Houser, Jacob Lehner, Nathan Cherry, Phil Wernette
- 5 Faculty of Science,
- 6 University of Windsor,
- 401 Sunset Avenue, 7
- 8 Windsor, Ontario, Canada
- 9 N9C 2J9
- 10
- 11 Corresponding Author: chouser@uwindsor.ca

12 Abstract

13

14 Rips currents and other surf hazards are an emerging public health issue globally. Lifeguards, 15 warning flags and signs are important and to varying degrees they are effective strategies to 16 minimize risk to beach users. In the United States and other jurisdictions around the world, 17 lifeguards use coloured flags (green, yellow and red) to indicate whether the danger posed by the 18 surf and rip hazard is low, moderate, or high respectively. The choice of flag depends on the 19 lifeguard(s) monitoring the changing surf conditions along the beach and over the course of the 20 day using both regional surf forecasts and careful observation. There is a potential that the chosen 21 flag is not consistent with the beach user perception of the risk, which may increase the potential 22 for rescues or drownings. In this study, machine learning is used to determine the potential for 23 error in the flags used at Pensacola Beach, and the impact of that error on the number of rescues. 24 Results of a decision tree analysis indicate that the colour flag chosen by the lifeguards was 25 different from what the model predicted for 35% of days between 2004 and 2008 (n=396/1125). 26 Days when there is a difference between the predicted and posted flag colour represent only 17% 27 of all rescue days but those days are associated with ~60% of all rescues between 2004 and 2008. 28 Further analysis reveals that the largest number of rescue days and total number of rescues is 29 associated with days where the flag deployed over-estimated the surf and hazard risk, such as a 30 red or yellow flag flying when the model predicted a green flag would be more appropriate based 31 on the wind and wave forcing alone. While it is possible that the lifeguards were overly cautious 32 it is argued that they most likely identified a rip forced by a transverse-bar and rip morphology 33 common at the study site. Regardless, the results suggest that beach users may be discounting 34 lifeguard warnings if the flag colour is not consistent with how they perceive the surf hazard or 35 the regional forecast. Results suggest that machine learning techniques have the potential to 36 support lifeguards and thereby reduce the number of rescues and drownings.

37

38 Keywords: rip current, surf zone, beach safety, beach hazard

39 Introduction

40

41 Rip currents are the main hazard to recreational swimmers and bathers, and, in recent years, 42 have been recognized as a serious global public health issue (Brighton et al., 2013; Woodward et al., 43 2013; Kumar and Prasad et al., 2014; Arozarena et al., 2015; Brewster et al., 2019; Vlodarchyk et al., 44 2019). Rips are strong, seaward-directed currents that can develop on beaches characterized by 45 wave breaking within the surf zone (Castelle et al., 2016), and are capable of transporting 46 swimmers a significant distance away from the shoreline into deeper waters. Weak swimmers or 47 those who try and fight the current can become stressed and experience panic (Brander et al., 2011; 48 Drozdzewski et al., 2015) leading to increased adrenaline, an elevated heart rate and blood 49 pressure, and rapid and shallow breathing. On recreational beaches in Australia and the United 50 States, rips have been identified as the main cause of drownings and are believed to be responsible 51 for nearly 80% of all rescues (Brighton et al., 2013; Brewster et al., 2019). It is estimated that the 52 annual number of rip current drownings exceeds the number of fatalities caused by hurricanes, 53 forest fires, and floods in Australia, the United States (Brander et al., 2013; NWS, 2017), while 54 rip-related drownings on a relatively small number of beaches in Costa Rica account for a 55 disproportionately large number of violent deaths in the country (Arozarena et al., 2015). 56 However, recent evidence suggests that public knowledge of this hazard is limited (Brander et al., 57 2011; Williamson et al., 2011; Brannstrom et al., 2014; 2015; Gallop et al., 2016; Fallon et al., 58 2018; Menard et al., 2018; Silva-Cavalcanti et al., 2018; Trimble and Houser, 2018), and that few 59 people are interested in rip currents compared to other hazards (Houser et al., 2019).

60 Many beaches have warning signs at primary access points to warn beach users of the rip 61 hazard, but recent studies suggest that signs may not be effective (e.g. Matthews et al., 2014; 62 Brannstrom et al. 2015). Many beaches also use a combination of beach flags to either designate 63 the location of supervised and safe swimming areas (e.g. Australia and the United Kingdom), or 64 areas and times to avoid entering the water (e.g. Costa Rica and the US). Unfortunately, not every 65 country uses the same flagging convention and there are regional variations that can lead to 66 confusion amongst beach users. The United States and Canada use green, yellow, and red coloured 67 flags to indicate whether the danger posed by the surf and rip hazard is low, moderate, or high, respectively (ILSF, 2004). A beach manager or lifeguard decides on the surf hazard and the flag 68 69 colour to fly based on a combination of daily updates on rip conditions provided by local lifeguards

70 as well as a rip forecast from the US National Weather Service (NWS). Most rip forecasts are 71 based on a simple correlation between the number of rip-related rescues and meteorological and 72 oceanographic conditions on that day (Lushine, 1991a, b; Lascody, 1998; Engle, 2002; Dusek and 73 Seim, 2013; Kumar and Prasad, 2014; Scott et al., 2014; Moulton et al., 2017). These forecasts do 74 not account for the surf zone morphology, which may be conducive to the development of rips on 75 days when wave breaking is relatively weak. Even under 'green flag' days, the presence of shore-76 attached nearshore bars (called a transverse bar and rip morphology; Wright and Short, 1984) can force a current of ~ 0.5 m s⁻¹ that can pose a threat to weak swimmers (Houser et al, 2013). 77

78 Rip currents can still be present even if a regional forecast predicts that the hazard potential 79 is low based on wind and wave conditions. Beach users can be at risk if the flag colour is based 80 solely on the regional forecast. To be effective, the flag system requires lifeguards to continuously 81 assess surf conditions and monitor swimmers and bathers, and ultimately intervene if someone 82 does not heed the warning implied by a yellow or red flag indicating moderate and high ('do not 83 enter the water') hazard levels respectively. Recent evidence suggests that many beach users do 84 not adhere to warnings if their own experience (whether accurate or not) or behavior of others on 85 the beach, contradicts the hazard, as indicated by the warning flag (Houser et al., 2017; Menard et 86 al., 2018). Beachgoers may lose trust in authority (i.e. the lifeguards) if a forecast is perceived, 87 wrongly or rightly, to be inaccurate (Espluga et al., 2009). If the forecast is for dangerous surf 88 conditions and a yellow or red flag is placed on the beach when conditions appear to the beach 89 user to be relatively calm, the beach user may discount or ignore the forecast now and, in the 90 future, if they enter the water and do not experience any difficulties. Trust and confidence in the 91 authority figures can be eroded if they believe that the lifeguards are being overly cautious. It can 92 be difficult to change (or 'reset') public perception about the accuracy of the flag system as soon 93 as a discrepancy is perceived, and subsequent visits and experiences may confirm the biases of the 94 beach user (Houser et al., 2018). It is a situation analogous to the boy who cries "wolf" (Wachinger 95 et al., 2013).

This study examines the consistency of flag warnings at Pensacola Beach, Florida between 2004 and 2008 when daily data is available for flag colour, wind and wave forcing, as well as the daily number of rescues performed by lifeguards. A decision tree, a form of machine learning, is used to predict the posted flag colour using lifeguard observations in combination with wind and wave forcing. The modelled flag colour, based solely on wave and wind forcing, can be compared 101 to the flag colour posted by the lifeguards on a particular day to identify days when there is a 102 difference and how that influences the number of rescues performed on that day. It is hypothesized 103 that there will be a greater number of rescues performed on days when there is a difference between 104 the predicted and posted flag colour. Specifically, it is hypothesized that a greater number of 105 rescues will occur on days when the model underestimated the hazard level compared to the 106 lifeguard who made their decision based on local observations including the presence of semi-107 permanent rip channels. In this scenario, the public may believe that the lifeguard is being overly 108 cautious leading to people entering the water.

109

110 Study Site

111

112 The analysis was completed at Pensacola Beach, Florida (Figure 1), where there is 113 available records of daily flag colours, wind and wave forcing, and lifeguard-performed rescues 114 between 2004 and 2008. The beaches of the Florida Panhandle have been described "as the worst 115 in the nation for beach drowning" (The Tuscaloosa News, 2002), based on the presence of semi-116 permanent rips along the length of the island (Houser et al., 2011; Barrett and Houser, 2012). These 117 rips can be active and pose a threat to swimmers when conditions may appear to be safe for 118 swimming (Houser et al., 2013). During the period of the study (2004-2008), the Santa Rosa Island 119 Authority maintained a flagging system to alert beach users about the heavy surf and rip hazard 120 based on the NWS rip forecast. The highest flag colour for that day was recorded by the Island 121 Authority, along with the number of prevents, assists, and rescues. The Island Authority reserve 122 the rescue definition for those persons in extreme difficulty who, in the opinion of the lifeguard, 123 would have drowned without assistance.

124 Rescues, assists, and prevents are recorded regardless of whether they are conducted in a 125 'guarded' area, a designated swimming area where there are typically many beach users (Casino 126 Beach, Fort Pickens Gate Beach, and Park East), or along the ~13 kms of unguarded beach where 127 lifeguards conduct regular patrols and respond to emergency calls. As shown by Barrett and 128 Houser (2013), there are rip current hotspots with semi-permanent alongshore variation in the 129 nearshore morphology due to a ridge and swale bathymetry on the inner shelf. The innermost bar 130 varies alongshore at a scale of ~1000 m, consistent with the ridge and swale bathymetry (Houser 131 et al., 2008), and tends to exhibit a transverse bar and rip morphology immediately landward of the deeper swales (Barrett and Houser, 2012; see Figure 1). Historically, most drownings and rescues on this popular beach have occurred at these rip hotspots because they correspond to the main access points along the island (Houser et al., 2015; Trimble and Houser, 2018).

135 Santa Rosa Island experienced widespread erosion and washover during Hurricane Ivan in 136 September 2004. The storm reinforced the alongshore variation in the nearshore bar morphology 137 and forced the bars farther offshore. As described in Houser et al. (2015), the nearshore bars 138 migrated landward and recovered to the beachface for 3 years following the storm. During this 139 period, the inner-bar morphology transitioned from a rhythmic bar and beach morphology to a 140 transverse bar and rip morphology before ultimately attaching to the beachface in May 2008 141 (Houser and Barrett, 2010). This changing bar morphology is a primary control on the presence of 142 rip channels, with the greatest density of rips present in 2005 as the inner-most bar first started to 143 develop a transverse bar and rip morphology (Houser et al., 2011).

144

145 Methodology

146

147 Offshore wave conditions and wind forcing function are based on long-term meteorological 148 and oceanographic records from an offshore wave buoy located ~100 km southeast of the study 149 area (buoy 42039; Figure 1). Between 2004 and 2008, this was the closest buoy to Pensacola Beach 150 and had been previously used to estimate the incident wave field (Wang and Horwitz, 2007; 151 Claudino-Sales et al., 2008; 2010; Houser et al., 2011) and was the basis for the rip hazard at 152 Pensacola Beach until a new buoy was placed closer to the beach in 2009. The available wave 153 data from buoy 42039 included offshore significant wave height, significant wave period, and 154 direction, and the wind data included speed and direction. Local water level data was acquired 155 from a station at the Port of Pensacola just north of the study site. A decision tree analysis was 156 used to determine what combination of wave and wind forcing was associated with the flag posted 157 by the Santa Rosa Island Authority on that day. After training on the available dataset, the model 158 produces a decision tree that can be used for future decisions about what flag colour should be 159 posted, although further training would be required to validate the model and operationalize. The 160 modelled (i.e. predicted) flag colour is then compared to the posted flag colour for all days to 161 determine if there is a relationship between the flag colour and the number of rescues. The

162 comparison is also used to determine if there is a specific combination of wind and wave forcing163 on the days when the modelled flag colour and the posted flag colour do not align.

164 A decision tree model was developed using the Chi-square Automatic Interaction Detector (CHAID) technique developed by Kass (1980). The goal of CHAID analysis is to build a model 165 166 that helps explain how independent variables (wind speed, wave height, wave period, wave 167 direction, wind direction and water level) can be merged to explain the results in a given dependent 168 variable. To develop a decision tree, the first step is declaring the root node, this corresponds to 169 the target variable that will be predicted throughout the model. Then, the independent variable that 170 provides the most information about the target values is identified. The root node is then split on 171 this independent variable into statistically significant different subgroups using the F-test. These 172 subgroups are then split using the predictor variables that provide the most information about them. 173 CHAID analysis continues this process until terminal nodes are reached and no splits are 174 statistically significant. Previous use of CHAID analysis in hazard studies include landslide 175 prediction (e.g. Althuwaynee et al., 2014), farmer perception of flooding hazard (Bielders et al., 176 2003; Tehrany et al., 2015), and property owner perception and decision making along an eroding 177 coast (Smith et al., 2017).

178

179 Results

180

181 The decision tree model was trained on the 1125 days with complete data between 2004 182 and 2008. Over this same period there were 145 days with rescues. The annual number of rescues 183 and rescue days (ie. days with one or more rescues) varied by year, with a peak in both the total 184 number of rescues and the number of rescue days in 2005. The number of rescues was at a 185 minimum in 2007, while the number of rescue days was at a minimum in 2006 (Figure 3). The 186 number of rescues decreased linearly between 2005 and 2007 as the nearshore bar morphology 187 continued to recover following Hurricane Ivan and welded to the beachface consistent with 188 previous observations at the site (Houser et al., 2011). It is important to note that the CHAID 189 Analysis does not incorporate nearshore morphology as an independent variable because changes 190 in nearshore morphology were not tracked daily over the study period. In this respect, differences 191 between the posted and predicted flag colour may reflect lifeguard observations of nearshore

morphology conducive to the development of rip currents despite winds and waves typical of greenflag conditions.

194 The decision tree analysis suggests that the posted flag colour was not predicted by the 195 model on 35% of days between 2004 and 2008 (n=396). There was a total of 342 rescues over 66 196 days when the model predicted a different flag than was posted representing over 60% of all 197 rescues (Table 1). By comparison, 40% of all rescues (n=224) occurred over 79 days when the 198 predicted and posted flags were the same. Chi-square analysis suggests that the number of rescue 199 days is significantly greater at the 95% confidence level when the predicted and posted flags are different (χ^2 =7.77, ρ ~0.005). This supports the hypothesis that there are a greater number of 200 201 rescues performed on days when there is a discrepancy between the predicted and posted flag 202 colour.

203

Table 1. Results of Chi-square analysis of posted and predicted flag colour versus rescue and no
 rescue days at Pensacola Beach, Florida between 2004 and 2008.

206

	Rescue Days	No Rescue Days	
Posted=Predicted	79	650	$\chi^2 = 7.77, \rho \sim 0.005$
Posted ≠ Predicted	66	330	

207

208 Chi-square analysis was also used to determine if the number of rescue days depends on 209 whether the model predicts a flag of greater or lesser hazard compared to the posted flag (Table 210 2). Results suggest that the number of rescue days is greater when the model predicts hazardous surf (i.e. red or yellow flag), but the posted flag was either yellow or green ($\chi^2=18.11$, ρ ~0.0001). 211 The number of rescue days was over-represented when the posted flag colour was red or yellow, 212 213 but the model predicted that the flag should have been yellow or green, respectively, suggesting 214 that posting what a beach user may perceive as an overly cautious flag can present a danger. These 215 47 days were associated with 268 of the total 566 rescues between 2004 and 2008, or ~7.2 rescues 216 per day when the island authority posted a more cautious flag then was predicted by the model. 217 In comparison, the number of rescues (n=298) was under-represented on days when the posted 218 flag suggested conditions were not as hazardous (n=74) as the model or were identical to the model 219 (n=224).

Table 2. Results of Chi-square analysis of posted and predicted flag colour versus rescue and no rescue days at Pensacola Beach, Florida between 2004 and 2008.

223

	Rescue Days	No Rescue Days	
Posted>Predicted	47	171	$\chi^2 = 18.11, \rho \sim 0.0001$
Posted <predicted< th=""><th>19</th><th>159</th><th></th></predicted<>	19	159	
Posted=Predicted	79	650	

224

225 The greatest number of rescues were performed on days when the posted flag was yellow 226 (moderate hazard, moderate surf and/or currents), but the model predicted a green flag (low hazard, 227 relatively calm surf and/or currents) based on the wind and wave forcing. Specifically, a total of 228 231 rescues were performed on 37 of the 168 days when the posted flag was yellow, and the model 229 predicted that the flag colour should be green. In comparison, there were only 12 rescues on 3 of 230 20 days when the posted flag was red (high hazard, strong surf and/or currents) and the model 231 predicted flag colour was green. Finally, there were 25 rescues preformed on 7 of 30 days when 232 a red flag was posted, and the model predicted a yellow flag was appropriate. The number of 233 rescues and rescue days when the posted flag was more cautious than predicted by the model were 234 at a maximum in 2005 and linearly decreased to a minimum in 2007 as the bar morphology 235 recovered from Hurricane Ivan.

236 While there were fewer than expected rescue days when the posted flag was green or 237 yellow and the model predicted a yellow or red flag, rescues were still performed on those days. 238 There was a total of 66 rescues on 13 of 80 days when the posted flag was yellow, but the model 239 predicted a red flag should be posted (Table 3). Only 7 rescues were performed on 5 of the 83 days 240 when the posted flag was green and the model predicted a yellow flag, with even fewer rescues 241 performed on days when the posted flag was green, but should have been red. The number of 242 rescues and rescue days when the posted flag was lower than the predicted flag decreased from 243 2004 to 2007, with a statistically significant outlier in 2008. The large number of rescues in 2008 244 is the result of 2 days with 13 rescues each (April 19 and September 14), when a yellow flag was 245 being flown, but the model predicted a red flag was more appropriate. This suggests that the 246 difference between posted and predicted flag colours can vary inter-annually with changes in the 247 nearshore morphology and/or changes in the individual who makes the flag decision.

Table 3. Number of days and rescues (in brackets) based on the combination of posted and predicted flag colours.

		Predicted Flag		
		G	Y	R
Posted Flag	G	475 (48)	83 (7)	15 (1)
	Y	168 (231)	154 (125)	80 (66)
	R	20 (12)	30 (25)	100 (51)

252 **Discussion**

253 Results of the present study suggest that over 60% of all rescues at Pensacola Beach, 254 Florida between 2004 and 2008 occurred on days when the posted hazard flag was different from 255 the flag colour predicted by a decision tree model. The posted flag colour was not predicted by 256 the model on 35% of days between 2004 and 2008 (n=396), with one or more rescues occurring 257 on 66 of those days (~17%). While rescues did not occur on a vast majority of the days when the 258 posted and predicted flag colours were different, days when the predicted and posted flag colours 259 were different accounted for a majority of the rescues. This is not to suggest that Santa Island 260 Authority made a mistake in their flag choice. Rather, the results suggest that the difference 261 between the posted and predicted flag colour could be associated with the lifeguards noting that 262 the nearshore had a transverse bar and rip morphology, which is common at this location. The 263 morphology of the nearshore and other variables that could influence whether a beach user will 264 enter the water or not (e.g. weather, number of beach users or presence of seaweed) are not 265 captured by the current model, which is based on wind and wave forcing alone. The model 266 developed in this study is similar to rip forecasts produced by the US National Weather Service 267 (NWS), and does not include local variables known to the beach manager based on experience and 268 years of careful observation. Discrepancies between the predicted and posted flag colours provide 269 a basis for future model development and expansion. Incorporating more data into the model will 270 it to evolve and better capture the variables that influence the colour of flag chosen by the 271 lifeguards, while ensuring that the model remains computationally efficient. Introducing 272 additional variables, such as nearshore morphology, to the model has the potential to better capture 273 a lifeguard or beach manager's understanding of what constitutes dangerous surf conditions at 274 their beach. At the same time, it is also important to examine the accuracy of beach managers and 275 lifeguards in assessing the nearshore morphology and potential for rip development.

276 The model predictions and most forecasts are based solely on wind and wave forcing 277 (Lushine, 1991a, b; Lascody, 1998; Engle, 2002; Dusek and Seim 2013; Arun Kumar and Prasad, 278 2014; Scott et al., 2014; Moulton et al., 2017). Noticeably absent from the current model is surf 279 zone morphology, which ultimately determines whether a rip can develop under those conditions 280 or not. The beach manager and lifeguard can observe the nearshore morphology and assess the 281 potential for rip development, which would lead to them putting out a yellow or red flag when the 282 model would predict a green or yellow flag as being appropriate. While beach managers and 283 lifeguards are being prudent, their assessment may not conform to those of the beach user who 284 decides on whether the water is safe or not based on wave breaking conditions (Caldwell et al., 285 2013; Brannstrom et al., 2013; 2015). Most beach users assume that larger breaking waves are 286 more dangerous, and many will not enter the water if they (and the model) believe that it is a 'red' 287 flag condition. This may partially explain why there were fewer than expected rescues on days 288 when the posted flag colour was green or yellow flag and the model predicted a yellow or red flag, 289 respectively. Independent of the flag or warning signs, beach users appear to be making personal 290 decisions about the surf and rip hazard (Brannstrom et al., 2015) based on experience at the site or 291 elsewhere (see Houser et al., 2018). Whether this causes beach users to lose confidence in the 292 lifeguards and other authorities managing the beach is an important question for future research.

293 A large number of rescues occurred when the posted flag was yellow, but the model 294 predicted the wind and wave forcing warranted a green flag. Rightly or wrongly, the beach user 295 will observe that wave breaking is limited and assume that conditions must be safe. As shown by 296 Caldwell et al. (2013) and Brannstrom et al. (2013) most beach users along the Gulf Coast of the 297 United States assume that the calm flat water of a rip is safer than adjacent areas where the waves 298 are breaking. The lifeguard, however, may observe a bar morphology that is conducive to the 299 development of rips and post a yellow flag to warn about the potential for rips, despite the weak 300 wind and wave forcing. As observed by Houser and Barrett (2012), rips with speeds of ~0.5 m/s 301 can develop on 'green flag' days because of the transverse bar and rip morphology that is present 302 in the inner-nearshore. This would suggest that posting a green flag should never be permitted 303 when wind and swell waves are breaking over the bar, even if the regional forecast suggests a low-304 level hazard that day. As shown by Scott et al. (2014), rescues are still possible with seemingly 305 'fine weather' conditions when a green flag would be predicted by the model or in regional

forecasts. Even in the presence of small swell wave, breaking can be induced as water levels fallwith the tide (Castelle et al. 2016).

308 It is difficult for beach users to spot a rip or assess the potential for rip development, and 309 they may assume that the lifeguard is being overly cautious if they perceive fine-weather 310 conditions and the lifeguard posts a yellow or red flag. Going to the beach is a reward-based 311 activity, and many people commit significant personal and financial investment to be at the beach 312 (Houser et al., 2018). If they believe that the lifeguard is 'wrong' they will ignore the warning and 313 remain committed to entering the water. The longer and more times that their perceptions are 314 inconsistent with the experience and knowledge of the lifeguard, the more trust in authority is lost 315 - a beach that is perceived to be safe based on experience will always be safe despite warnings to 316 the contrary (Menard et al., 2018). This is an example of confirmation bias, in which an opinion 317 quickly becomes entrenched and subsequent evidence is used to either bolster the belief or is 318 rapidly discarded. How this can be addressed to reduce the number of rescues is an important focus 319 for future research on rips and other hazards in general.

The results of this study also highlight the limitations of regional rip forecasts that are used in the United States and elsewhere around the world. A forecast based solely on the wind and wave forcing does not account for the nearshore morphology, which determines the potential for rip development. This raises one of the most important considerations for future modeling efforts based on machine learning techniques - the model will only be accurate if the bar morphology and conceptual knowledge of the lifeguard is included as input variables. Getting the beach user to observe and heed that forecast and warning, however, will remain a challenge.

327

328 Conclusions

329 Lifeguards and beach managers decide on warnings and flag colours based on careful 330 monitoring of the changing surf conditions along the beach and over the course of the day using 331 both regional surf forecasts and direct observation. A decision tree analysis predicts a flag colour 332 different to the one flown on \sim 35% of days between 2004 and 2008 (n=396/1125), and that those 333 differences account for only 17% of all rescue days and ~60% of the total number of rescues. The 334 posting of a yellow flag when the model would predict a green flag based solely on the wind and 335 wave forcing was found to be responsible for the largest number of rescues over the study period. 336 Variables such as the nearshore morphology and the potential for rip development is not included

337	in traditional forecasts or the model developed in this paper, and most beach users use a simple
338	assessment of wave breaking to determine if the water is safe. Even though a lifeguard will post
339	the appropriate flag based on direct observation of the bar morphology and experience, the beach
340	user, like simple models based solely on meteorological data, may not believe that warning and
341	still enter the water. This suggests that reducing the number of rip and surf rescues will require
342	that we are able to address confirmation bias on the part of the beach user, which can cause them
343	to lose their confidence in the lifeguards.
344	

345 Acknowledgements

346

347 This study was partly funded through a NSERC Discovery Grant to CH.

348	Referen	ices

350 Althuwaynee, O.F., Pradhan, B., Park, H.J. and Lee, J.H.. A novel ensemble decision tree-based 351 CHi-squared Automatic Interaction Detection (CHAID) and multivariate logistic 352 regression models in landslide susceptibility mapping. Landslides, 11(6), 1063-1078, 353 2014. 354 Arozarena, I., Houser, C., Echeverria, A.G., and Brannstrom, C.: The rip current hazard in Costa 355 Rica, Natural Hazards, 77(2), 753-768, 2015. 356 Barrett, G. and Houser, C. Identifying hotspots of rip current activity using wavelet analysis at Pensacola Beach, Florida. Physical Geography, 33(1), 32-49, 2012. 357 358 Bielders, C.L., Ramelot, C. and Persoons, E. Farmer perception of runoff and erosion and extent 359 of flooding in the silt-loam belt of the Belgian Walloon Region. Environmental Science 360 & Policy, 6(1), 85-93, 2003. 361 Brander, R.W., Bradstreet, A., Sherker, S., and MacMahan, J.: Responses of swimmers caught in 362 rip currents: Perspectives on mitigating the global rip current hazard, International 363 Journal of Aquatic Research and Education, 5(4), 11, 2011. 364 Brander, R.W., Dominey-Howes, D., Champion, C., Del Vecchio, O., and Brighton, B.: Brief 365 Communication: A new perspective on the Australian rip current hazard, Natural Hazards 366 and Earth System Sciences, 13(6), 1687, 2013. 367 Brannstrom, C., Trimble, S., Santos, A., Brown, H.L., and Houser, C.: Perception of the rip 368 current hazard on Galveston Island and North Padre Island, Texas, USA, Natural 369 Hazards. 72(2), 1123-1138, 2014.

370	Brannstrom, C., Brown, H.L., Houser, C., Trimble, S., and Santos, A.: "You can't see them from
371	sitting here": Evaluating beach user understanding of a rip current warning sign, Applied
372	Geography, 56, 61-70, 2015.
373	Brewster, B.C., Gould, R.E. and Brander, R.W. Estimations of rip current rescues and drowning
374	in the United States. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 19(2), 389-397, 2019.
375	Brighton, B., Sherker, S., Brander, R., Thompson, M. and Bradstreet, A. Rip current related
376	drowning deaths and rescues in Australia 2004–2011. Natural hazards and earth system
377	sciences, 13(4), pp.1069-1075, 2013.
378	Caldwell, N., Houser, C., and Meyer-Arendt, K.: Ability of beach users to identify rip currents at
379	Pensacola Beach, Florida, Natural Hazards, 68(2), 1041-1056, 2013.
380	Castelle, B., Scott, T., Brander, R., and McCarroll, R.J.: Rip current types, circulation and
381	hazard, Earth-Science Reviews, 163, 1-21, 2016.
382	Claudino-Sales, V., Wang, P. and Horwitz, M.H. Factors controlling the survival of coastal dunes
383	during multiple hurricane impacts in 2004 and 2005: Santa Rosa barrier island,
384	Florida. Geomorphology, 95(3-4), 295-315, 2008.
385	Claudino-Sales, V., Wang, P. and Horwitz, M.H. Effect of Hurricane Ivan on coastal dunes of Santa
386	Rosa Barrier Island, Florida: characterized on the basis of pre-and poststorm LIDAR
387	surveys. Journal of Coastal Research, 470-484, 2010.
388	Drozdzewski, D., Shaw, W., Dominey-Howes, D., Brander, R., Walton, T., Gero, A., and
389	Edwick, B.: Surveying rip current survivors: preliminary insights into the experiences of
390	being caught in rip currents, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 12(4), 1201,
391	2012.

- 392 Dusek, G., and Seim, H.: A probabilistic rip current forecast model, Journal of Coastal Research
 393 29(4), 909-925, 2013.
- 394 Engle, J., Macmahan, J., Thieke, R. J., Hanes, D. M., and Dean, R.G.: Formulation of a Rip
- 395 Current Predictive Index Using Rescue Data. In *Proc. National Conf. on Beach*
- *Preservation Technology, FSBPA*, 2012.
- Espluga, J., Farré, J., Gonzalo, J., Horlick-Jones, T., Prades, A., Oltra, C., and Navajas, J.: Do
 the people exposed to a technological risk always want more information about it? Some
 observations on cases of rejection. Safety, Reliability and Risk Analysis. Londres: CRC
- 400 Press. Taylor & Francis, 1301-1308, 2009.
- Fallon, K.M., Lai, Q. and Leatherman, S.P. Rip current literacy of beachgoers at Miami Beach,
 Florida. *Natural hazards*, *90*(2), 601-621, 2018.
- 403 Gallop, S.L., Woodward, E., Brander, R.W. and Pitman, S.J. Perceptions of rip current myths
- from the central south coast of England. Ocean & coastal management, 119, 14-20, 2016.
- 405 Houser, C., Barrett, G., and Labude, D.: Alongshore variation in the rip current hazard at

406 Pensacola Beach, Florida, Natural Hazards, 57(2), 501-523, 2011.

- Houser, C., Arnott, R., Ulzhöfer, S., and Barrett, G.: Nearshore Circulation over Transverse Bar
 and Rip Morphology with Oblique Wave Forcing, Earth Surface Processes and
- 409 Landforms, 38, 1269-1279, 2013.
- 410 Houser, C., Hapke, C. and Hamilton, S. Controls on coastal dune morphology, shoreline erosion
- 411 and barrier island response to extreme storms. *Geomorphology*, *100*(3-4), 223-240, 2008.
- 412 Houser, C., Wernette, P., Rentschler, T., Jones, H., Hammond, B. and Trimble, S.: Post-storm
- 413 beach and dune recovery: implications for barrier island resilience. Geomorphology, 234,
- 414 54-63, 2015.

415	Houser, C., and Barrett, G.: Divergent behavior of the swash zone in response to different
416	foreshore slopes and nearshore states, Marine Geology, 271, 106-118, 2010.
417	Houser, C., Murphy, T., and Labude, D.: Alongshore correspondence of beach users and rip
418	channels at Pensacola Beach, Florida, Natural Hazards, 78(3), 2175-2183, 2015.
419	Houser, C., Trimble, S., Brander, R., Brewster, B.C., Dusek, G., Jones, D., and Kuhn, J.: Public
420	perceptions of a rip current hazard education program: "Break the Grip of the
421	Rip!", Natural hazards and earth system sciences, 17(7), 1003, 2017.
422	Houser, C., Vlodarchyk, B. and Wernette, P. public interest in rip currents relative to other
423	natural hazards: evidence from Google search data. Natural Hazards, 1-11, 2019.
424	Kass, G.V.: An exploratory technique for investigating large quantities of categorical data,
425	Applied Statistics, 29(2), 119-127, 1980.
426	Kumar, S.A., and Prasad, K.V.S.R.: Rip current-related fatalities in India: a new predictive risk
427	scale for forecasting rip currents, Natural hazards, 70(1), 313-335, 2014.
428	Lascody, R.L.: East central Florida rip current program, National Weather Digest, 22(2), 25-30,
429	1998.
430	Lushine, J.B.: A study of rip current drownings and related weather factors, In Natl. Wea. Dig,
431	1991.
432	Lushine, J.B.: Rip Currents: Human Impact and Forecastibility, In Coastal Zone'91, 3558-3569.
433	ASCE, 1991.
434	Matthews, B., Andronaco, R., and Adams, A.: Warning signs at beaches: Do they work? Safety
435	science, 62, 312-318, 2014

436	Ménard, A.D., Houser, C., Brander, R.W., Trimble, S. and Scaman, A. The psychology of beach
437	users: importance of confirmation bias, action, and intention to improving rip current
438	safety. Natural Hazards, 94(2), 953-973, 2018.
439	Moulton, M., Dusek, G., Elgar, S., and Raubenheimer, B.: Comparison of Rip Current Hazard
440	Likelihood Forecasts with Observed Rip Current Speeds, Weather and Forecasting,
441	32(4), 1659-1666, 2017.
442	Scott, T., Masselink, G., Austin, M.J., and Russell, P.: Controls on macrotidal rip current
443	circulation and hazard, Geomorphology, 214, 198-215, 2014.
444	Silva-Cavalcanti, J.S., Costa, M.F. and Pereira, P.S. Rip currents signaling and users behaviour
445	at an overcrowded urban beach. Ocean & coastal management, 155, 90-97, 2018.
446	Surf Life Saving Australia (SLSA): National Coastal Safety Report 2017. Surf Life Saving
447	Australia, Sydney, 2017.
448	Tehrany, M.S., Pradhan, B. and Jebur, M.N. Flood susceptibility analysis and its verification
449	using a novel ensemble support vector machine and frequency ratio method. Stochastic
450	environmental research and risk assessment, 29(4), 1149-1165, 2015.
451	Trimble, S., and Houser, C.: Seawalls and Signage: How Beach Access Management Affects Rip
452	Current Safety. In Beach Management Tools-Concepts, Methodologies and Case Studies,
453	497-524, Springer, Cham, 2017.
454	Vlodarchyk, B., Olivito, A. and Houser, C. Spatial and Temporal Variation of Surf Drownings in
455	the Great Lakes: 2010–17. Journal of Coastal Research, 35(4), 794-804, 2019.
456	Wachinger, G., Renn, O., Begg, C., Kuhlicke, C.: The risk perception paradox—implications for
457	governance and communication of natural hazards, Risk analysis, 33(6), 1049-1065,
458	2013.

459	Wang, P. and Horwitz, M.H. Erosional and depositional characteristics of regional overwash
460	deposits caused by multiple hurricanes. Sedimentology, 54(3), 545-564, 2007.
461	Williamson, A., Hatfield, J., Sherker, S., Brander, R. and Hayen, A. A comparison of attitudes
462	and knowledge of beach safety in Australia for beachgoers, rural residents and
463	international tourists. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 36(4), 385-
464	391, 2012.
465	Woodward, E., Beaumont, E., Russell, P., Wooler, A. and Macleod, R. Analysis of rip current
466	incidents and victim demographics in the UK. Journal of Coastal Research, 65(sp1),
467	850-856, 2013.
468	Wright, L.D. and Short, A.D. Morphodynamic variability of surf zones and beaches: a

469 synthesis. *Marine geology*, *56*(1-4), 93-118, 1984.







474 Figure 1. Map of study site showing location of flagged section of beach and approximate
475 location of the wave buoy used in the analysis and for regional rip forecasts.



- 488 **Figure 2.** Satellite image of the flagged section of beach in 2004 (before Hurricane Ivan)
- 489 showing the presence of transverse-bar and rip morphology of the innermost bar and the variable 490 nature of the outermost bar for the flagged section of beach. The aerial image is not necessarily
- 491 representative of the nearshore morphology throughout the remainder of the study.
- 492



Figure 3. Interannual variation in number of rescues and rescue days at Pensacola Beach between

^{495 2004} and 2008.