

The initial criticisms have been integrated into the current version of the paper. The shortened version is easier to read and thus easier to understand.

The benefit of the new database is adequately explained in chapter 6 – Conclusion and perspectives.

The reasons for the high proportion of landslides on rail tracks were completed.

Chapters 5 and 6 were completely revised.

The introductory sentences of 5.2 are very important even if they are only marginally involved with the topic. That would be worth to be discussed separately (in another paper).

I don't see any need to change the contents/figures any more.

A second reworking with regard to English grammar and careless mistakes would improve the quality of the paper.

For example (incomplete list):

Line 188: debris flows have occurred?

Line 331: Swiss cities?

Line 432: depends on

Line 436: on Gotthard highway

Line 502: in Austria

Line 505: rockfall events (or rockfalls) do...

Line 636: would be

Line 647: Our analysis is?