Study on the combined threshold for gully-type debris flow early warning

Jian HUANG[1], T.W.J. van Asch[1,2], Changming WANG[1], Qiao LI[1]
1. State Key Laboratory of Geohazard Prevention and Geoenvironment Protection
Chengdu University of Technology, Chengdu, Sichuan 610059, China
2. Faculty of Geosciences, Utrecht University, Heidelberglaan 2, 3584, CS, The Netherlands
E-mail: huangjian2010@gmail.com

Abstract

Gully-type debris flow induced by high-intensity and short-duration rainfall, frequently cause a great loss of properties and causalities in mountainous regions of Southwest China. In order to reduce the risk by geohazards, early warning systems have been provided. A triggering index can be detected in an early stage by the monitoring of rainfall and the changes in physical properties of the deposited materials along debris flow channel. Based on the method of critical pore pressure for slope stability analysis, this study presents critical pore pressure threshold in combination with rainfall factors for gully-type debris flow early warning. The Wenjia gully, which contains an enormous amount of loose materials, was selected as a case study to reveal the relationship between the rainfalls and pore pressure by field monitoring data. A three-level early warning system (Zero, Attention, and Warning) is adopted and the corresponding judgement conditions are defined in real-time. Based on this threshold, there are several rainfall events in recent years have been validated in Wenjia gully, which prove that such a combined threshold may be a reliable approach for the early warning of gully-type debris flow to safeguard the population in the mountainous areas.

Keywords: gully-type debris flow, pore pressure, rainfall threshold, early warning

1. Introduction

Debris flows occur in mountainous area in Southwest China every year during the rainy season. Gully-type debris flows are mainly triggered by high-intensity short-duration rainfall causing a runoff-induced effect. They are partly initiated by shallow landslides distributed along the gullies (Kean et al. 2013). The fast growth of the population and economic development in these areas increase the frequency of catastrophic accidents and consequent socio-economic losses. The Wenchuan earthquake on May12, 2008, Yushu earthquake on April 14, 2010, Lushan earthquake on April 20, 2013, Ludian earthquake on August, 3 2014 and the Nepal earthquake on April, 25 2015 trigged thousands of landslides and cracked mountains which made these areas prone for debris flow development under rainstorm conditions (Shieh et al. 2009). In the Chi-Chi earthquake area Taiwan, numerous co-seismic landslides were triggered as well, causing the continuous triggering of debris-flows during 10 years after the earthquake (Yu et al. 2013b). These catastrophic events have greatly shocked the local people and government, because of the human vulnerability to natural hazards as well as the lack of knowledge on natural disaster prevention and mitigation. For the descendant, there is an urgent demand for an effective method to reduce the hazard and risk. Therefore, researchers have been working on the forecast of debris flow occurrence and setting up of early warning systems for several decades. At the regional scale, the methods for shallow landslides early warning are mostly based on statistical models which have already been proved their importance in landslide prevention and mitigation (Baum and Godt 2009; Guzzetti et al. 2007b; Keefer et al. 1987; Segoni et al. 2014; Shuin et al. 2012; Tropeano and Turconi 2004). Generally, one or two parameters were selected for the assessment of rainfall thresholds, e.g. rainfall intensity and duration (Cannon et al. 2008; Guzzetti et al. 2007a; Guzzetti et al. 2007b; Keefer et al. 1987), antecedent precipitation (Glade et al. 2000), and cumulative rainfall(Guo et al. 2013). Besides, Baum and Godt (2009) presented a combination threshold,

53   including cumulative rainfall threshold, rainfall intensity-duration threshold and antecedent

54   water index or soil wetness for the shallow landslide forecasting. At the local scale, physical

55   methods (e.g. numerical simulation) were used to find relationships among rainfall, soil properties,

56   and pore pressure and their contributions to slope stability (Beven and Kirkby 1979; Chae and Kim

57   2011; Deb S. K. and El-Kadi A. I. 2009; Iverson 1997; Michel G. P. and Kobiyama M. 2016; Peng et

58   al. 2014; Thiebes 2012; van Asch et al. 2013). However, detailed information related to landslide

59   triggering are required to establish the site-specific thresholds, which are very difficult to

60   extrapolate to other places due to the large variation in soil properties between different regions.

61   In the Chenyulan River Watershed of Taiwan, debris flows were frequently triggered by

62   Typhoons. Yu et al. (2013a) selected several identified factors related to topography, geology, and

63   hydrology, to develop a normalized critical rainfall factor combined with an effective cumulative

64   precipitation and maximum hourly rainfall intensity index for the forecast of gully-type debris

65   flows. The model which is partly based on a runoff-induced mechanism has been successfully

66   applied to the Wangmo River catchment, Guizhou Province, Southwest China (Yu et al. 2014).

67   In Southwest China, the strong earthquake shocks caused a significant rise in the frequency of

68   debris flow. Researchers have already paid great attention to the mechanism, movement

69   characteristics, and thresholds of debris flow in these shocked areas (Guo et al. 2013; Huang et al.

70   2015a; Yin et al. 2010; Yu et al. ; Zhou and Tang 2013). The long-term effect by earthquakes cause

71   the region become a high-risk area, and particularly the gullies in mountain with no debris flows

72   before become the debris flow gullies at present. Unfortunately, there is a significant lack of

73   available data in these areas, e.g. the rainfall events which are very important to understand the

74   debris flow triggering threshold. But in the earthquake shocked regions, numbers of unstable slope

75   or loose deposited material distributed along the catchments. Therefore, rainfall is not the only

76   triggering factor for debris flow occurrence. Thus, during this study, pore pressure in slope

stability analysis have been considered for gully-type debris flow forecasting. The goal of the here presented study is to propose a comprehensive method for such kind of debris flow early warning by real-time monitoring of rainfall and changes in pore pressure in the deposited material along channel in Southwest China. The infinite slope stability analysis was applied to identify the critical stability conditions of the deposited material. Then, a comprehensive warning threshold for rainfall and critical pore pressure will be presented, which includes both rainfall conditions and soil properties. Finally, verification and revision has been discussed to search a practical and useful method for reducing the risks of debris flows in Southwest China.

2. Study area

The Wenjia gully is located at the north of Qingping town, Mianzhu city, Sichuan province, Southwest China, and has a catchment area of 7.8 km^2 and a 5.2 km long main channel, as shown in Fig. 1. The elevation of this study area ranges from 860 m to 2,400 m above sea level (Fig. 2a), and the main valley with slope inclinations between 30° and 70° has been deeply incised by the Mianyuan river. The average yearly temperature is about 16 ℃, and the climate is mild semi-tropical and moist with abundant rainfall and four distinguishable seasons. Eighty percent of the rainfall is concentrated in three months from July to September.

Before the Wenchuan earthquake on May12, 2008, the Wenjia catchment was covered by rich vegetation, and the channel was smooth and stable, as shown in Fig.3 (a). At that time, few geological disasters occurred in this region. Therefore, many farmers settled down at the foothills along the Mianyuan River. Qingping town downstream of the Wenjia channel's outlet (Fig 3 a & b). During the earthquake, a giant landslide occurred upstream in the catchment at the top of the watershed, which generated abundant co-seismic rock fall material and finer landslide deposits on a platform with an elevation of 1,300 m above sea level (Fig. 1, the photograph at left bottom of the main map). These loose solid erodible materials could easily transform into debris flows during a rain storm. Shortly

101   after the earthquake on Sep. 24, 2008, one rainfall event caused the first debris flow in this gully. The

102   catastrophic debris flow triggered by a heavy rainfall on August 13, 2010, with a peak discharge of

103   1,530 m^3/s and a total volume of 4.5×10^6 m^3, caused many victims and the burying of reconstructed

104   houses, most of the downstream check dams along the channel (Yu et al. 2013b).

105

106   Fig. 1. Location of Wenjia gully modified from Huang et al. (2013). The inset photograph of Wenjia gully at the

107   left bottom was taken from the other side of Mianyuan River on August 10, 2008.

Fig. 2. DEM map of Wenjia gully and photo on the debris flow event (August 13, 2010)

Fig. 3. Aerial image of Wenjia gully (a. image from Google Earth on Dec. 31, 2007; b. aerial photograph taken on May 18, 2008)

Fig. 4. Geological profile of the main channel of Wenjia gully

Fig. 4 is the geological profile of cross section Ⅰ-Ⅰ' in Wenjia gully (Fig. 3b). The exposure strata are Guanwushan Group (upper devonian period) with limestone, and Qingping Group (cambrian perod) with sandstone and siltstone. Field investigation also shows that the main loose deposits are located at the 1300 platform (Fig 1 & 3). During heavy rains, the intense surface run-off may cause the unstable slope collapse into the channel, maybe bed failure or run-off scouring of the loose deposited material. This explains why there would be giant debris flow occurrence in this gully, e.g. the debris flow event on August 13, 2010 above-mentioned.

3. Methodology

According to Terzaghi theory in soil mechanics, the shear strength of material at a point within a slope can be expressed as Eq. (1).

$$\tau = c + (\sigma - u)\tan\phi \tag{1}$$

where τ is the shear strength of the slope material, c is the effective cohesion of the material, ϕ is the effective friction angle of the material, σ is the total stress normal to a potential slip surface, and u is the pore pressure. Generally, the strength parameters (c, ϕ) of the slope material mainly determined the stability of the slope and the potential position of the slip surface.

Rainfall infiltrates into a hillslope, always accumulating in a saturated zone above a

128   permeability barrier, and increases the pore pressures within the slope material. Based on the

129   Terzaghi's work, the increase in u would cause the effective overburden stress ($\sigma - u$) to

130   decrease, and therefore the decrease of the shear strength until the slope fails. A formula to

131   calculate the critical level of the pore pressure, for a highly idealized model of an infinite slope

132   composed of cohesionless materials ($c = 0$) has been presented by Keefer et al. (1987), assuming

133   both slip surface and piezometric surface are parallel to the ground surface. For all these

134   assumptions, the critical pore pressure can be calculated by Eq. (2).

135
$$u_c = Z \times \gamma_t \times \left(1 - \frac{\tan\theta}{\tan\phi}\right) \tag{2}$$

136   where Z is the depth of slip surface, γ_t is the total unit weight of the slope material, and

137   θ is the slope inclination, the other parameters are the same to those mentioned-above.

138   Since the deposited material along the channel usually is loose and has a grain shape, it can be

139   regarded as an infinite slope composed of cohesionless materials. Therefore, the critical pore

140   pressure (Eq. 2) can be used to calculate the stability of the source area. Then pore pressure and

141   rainfall monitoring sensors were installed in the Wenjia gully to capture the real-time data and

142   put forward a comprehensive warning threshold for forecasting debris flow occurrence. The

143   history events about rainfall with debris flow occurrences and non-occurrences have been

144   collected for this study from 2008 to 2018. Fortunately, three debris flow events with detailed

145   rainfall and pore pressure monitoring data have been recorded, which could be an important

146   evidence to prove the presented methodology.

147   **4. Results**

148   **4.1 Data analysis**

149   Data were collected from the literature about the occurrence of debris flows in the Wenjia

150   gully and from technical reports and documents presented by government agency. Since there is a

151   large difference in debris flow frequency before and after the Wenchuan earthquake, only the

152   data after quake were used for the analyses and set-up of an early warning system (Table 1). There

153   were no debris flow events after 2014, so the rainfall data are omitted in the table.

154   Table 1. Primary rainfall events in the catchment of Wenjia gully (2008-2018), added from Xu (2010)

155   & Yu et al. (2013b)

Time	Maximum hourly rainfall intensity (I_h: mm/h)	Accumulated precipitation (R_{dt}: mm)	Debris flow occurrence or not	Volume of debris flow (m³)
Sep. 24, 2008	30.5	88.0	Yes	5.0×10^5
Jul. 18, 2009	20.5	70.5	No	-
Aug. 25, 2009	28.9	86.7	No	-
Sep. 13, 2009	15.4	84.6	No	-
May 27, 2010	10.5	34.9	No	-
Jun. 13, 2010	5.5	95.1	No	-
Jul. 25, 2010	11.6	89.6	No	-
Jul. 31, 2010	51.7	60.2	Yes	$1.0 \sim 2.0 \times 10^5$
Aug. 13, 2010	**70.6**	**185.0**	**Yes**	**4.5×10^6**
Aug. 19, 2010	31.9	72.6	Yes	3.0×10^5
Sep. 18, 2010	29.0	52.0	Yes	1.7×10^5
Sep. 22, 2010	24.5	81.2	No	-
May 2, 2011	5.6	35.8	No	-
Jul. 5, 2011	12.5	61.3	No	-
Jul. 21, 2011	23.5	63.2	No	-
Jul. 30, 2011	18.2	78.3	No	-
Aug. 16, 2011	10.5	44.3	No	-
Aug. 21, 2011	13.6	76.6	No	-
Sep. 7, 2011	15.2	51.3	No	-
Oct. 27, 2011	8.5	36.9	No	-
Jul. 21, 2012	30.5	76	No	-
Aug. 14, 2012	68	109	Yes	3.2×10^4
Aug. 17, 2012	41	89.5	Yes	7.8×10^4
Aug. 18, 2012	69	104.5	No	-
Sep. 16, 2012	12	44	No	-
Sep. 25, 2012	4.5	52	No	-
Jun. 19, 2013	33.5	62	No	-
Jun. 29, 2013	16.5	41.5	No	-
Jun. 30, 2013	40.5	94	No	-
Jul. 4, 2013	32	98	No	-
Jul. 8, 2013	53	195	Yes	34.4×10^4
Jul. 10, 2014	51.5	67	No	-

Aug. 8, 2014	50.5	68.5	No	-
......	......	......	......	......

156   Table 1 shows that the number of debris flows decreases with time, from 2008 to 2014. Several

157   years after the earthquake, however, giant debris flows still caused catastrophic losses, which

158   alarmed the public and government because of its huge destructive power and long-term impact.

159   Particularly on Aug. 13, 2010, a great rainstorm lasting for 2 hours during midnight, triggered a

160   giant debris flow, which buried the Qingping town in the Mianyuan River floodplain. According

161   to the inventory report, the maximum deposition height was up to 6 m. Most of the check dams

162   located in the downstream part of the Wenjia gully collapsed and lost their effectiveness after

163   passing of the debris flow. Meanwhile, it eroded the channel bottom over a depth of about 13 m

164   (Yu et al. 2012).

165   Pore pressure and rainfall monitoring sensors have been installed for understanding their

166   relationship, and the link with debris flow occurrence. The real-time monitoring system in the

167   Wenjia gully includes 7 automatic rain gauges and 5 pore pressure monitoring instruments. The

168   installation was finished by April 1, 2012 (see Table 2, Figure 4 and Figure 5). It can be seen that

169   all rain gauges are arranged in the upstream part of the Wenjia gully catchment, while pore

170   pressure monitoring sensors are distributed along the mainstream of the Wenjia gully, with a

171   depth of 1 m below the ground surface.

172   The 2012, heavy rainfall event on August 14, which triggered a debris flow has been caught

173   totally by the real-time monitoring system. During the rainstorm, monitoring sensors YL05, YL06

174   and SY02, SY05 lost the connection with the monitoring center. The other monitoring sensors

175   worked well, as shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. The figures show that the rainfall was almost

176   concentrated in two hours from 17:00 until 19:00. The amount of precipitation was highly variable

177   along the channel of the Wengjia gully. The maximum hourly rainfall intensity is 73.5 mm/hr

178   (YL01, 17:00), and the cumulative maximum rainfall is 118 mm (YL04). The receive frequency is

179 every 5 minutes during the rainfall event, which is defined as the moment that hourly rainfall

180 amount is more than 5 mm/h, and the end is when the hourly rainfall amount is less than 5 mm/h,

181 and this should last for at least 6 h.

182

183

Table 2. List of monitoring devices in the Wenjia gully

No.	Longitude	Latitude	Elevation(m)	Photo
YL01	E104°8'21"	N31°33'32"	1652	
YL02	E104°7'55"	N31°33'11"	1390	
YL03	E104°8'39"	N31°33'14"	1671	
YL04	E104°8'16"	N31°32'47"	1490	
YL05	E104°7'47"	N31°32'39"	1433	
YL06	E104°7'46"	N31°33'29"	1280	
YL07	E104°7'9"	N31°32'59"	1025	
SY01	E104°8'12"	N31°33'9"	1210	
SY02	E104°8'11"	N31°33'9"	1212	
SY03	E104°8'11"	N31°33'8"	1208	
SY04	E104°7'49"	N31°32'55"	1092	
SY05	E104°7'48"	N31°32'56"	1081	

184

 Fig. 5. Layout map of the monitoring devices installed in the Wenjia gully (The base map is from Google Earth,
 the date of background image is Dec. 18, 2010).

187

188 Fig. 6. The rainfall in Wenjia gully on Aug. 14, 2012 (the column graphs are hourly rainfall and the single line
189 curves are cumulative rainfall)

190

191

192 Fig. 7. The rainfall and pore pressure in Wenjia gully on Aug. 14, 2012 (the column graphs are hourly rainfall
193 and the single line curves are pore pressure)

194 The maximum hourly rainfall and cumulative rainfall are not found in the highest part of the

195 catchment. The variety in cumulative maximum rainfall is larger than the variety in maximum

196 hourly rainfall intensity. The Fig. 7 shows the relation between hourly rainfall and pore pressure: the

197 small amount of rain from 2:00 to 5:00 with a maximum hourly rainfall of 12.5 mm did not trigger any

198   change in pore pressure. However, during the concentrated rain period between 15:00 and 18:00 there

199   was a sudden rise of the pore pressure. The debris flow was triggered adjacently when it reached the

200   maximum rise of the pore pressure. The highest value of the pore pressure is 9.1 kPa (SY01) at 18:00,

201   5.7 kPa (SY03) at 20:00 and 7.8 kPa (SY04) at 17:00. The sudden rise of pore pressure, therefore may

202   be a good indicator for contributing to the gully-type debris flows occurrence.

203   **4.2 Warning threshold for the Wenjia gully**

204   In order to improve the warning thresholds for forecasting the debris flow occurrence, which

205   do not just represent a simple relationship between rainfall and debris flow occurrence, the pore

206   pressure of landslide deposits was incorporated into the assessment of a threshold. Critical pore

207   pressure for bed failure generating debris flows can be estimated with Eq. (2). The total unit

208   weight of deposit material at 1300 platform in the Wenjia gully is around 21 ± 2 kN/m³, average

209   slope inclination of 18.5°, and average effective friction angle is 27.5° by consolidated undrain

210   indoor test. Thus, the critical pore pressure of the deposited material can be calculated by Eq. (3).

211
$$u_C = \left(6.79 - 8.22\right) \times Z \tag{3}$$

212   Obviously, it's a linear function, as shown in Fig. 8. According to the real-time monitoring system,

213   therefore, the critical pore pressure should be 6.79~8.22 kPa at the depth of 1 m below the ground

214   surface. According to Table 1, on Aug. 14, 2012, there was a debris flow with run-off volume of

215   3.2×10^4 m³, and before the debris flow event pore pressure monitoring data show its maximum value

216   was up to 9.1 kPa (SY01), 7.8 kPa (SY04) and 5.7 kPa (SY03). Obviously, SY01 has already

217   exceeded the upper threshold of critical pore pressure (8.22 kPa), which means that the critical pore

218   pressure might be an important factor in debris flow occurrence.

219
220 Fig. 8. The critical pore pressure with probable depth of slip surface in the Wenjia gully

221   Considering the acquired available data, the maximum hourly rainfall (I_h: mm) and cumulative

222 rainfall (R_t: mm) are selected as the basic triggering rainfall parameters for the rainfall threshold, and

223 the critical pore pressure (u_c) has been defined as a supporting factor in forecasting debris flow

224 occurrence. For each rainfall event with or without debris flow occurrence, R_t (Cumulative rainfall)

225 and I_h (Hourly intensity), can be plotted in a X-Y field, like the debris flow event on Aug. 13, 2010

226 (Fig. 9 Tag A). The red line drawn under the lowest rectangle points which represent debris flow

227 occurrences under such rainfall conditions. The area between the line and the x and y axes defines

228 combinations of R_t and I_h with a zero probability of debris flow occurrence. The gradient is an

229 uncertain parameter which can be determined by experts' experiences and historical data sets (Huang

230 et al. 2015b). Then, the rainfall threshold can be defined by Eq. (4) in Fig. 9 (Tag C).

231
$$R_t + 2.4I_h = 120 \tag{4}$$

232   where R_t is the cumulative rainfall (mm), I_h is the maximum hourly rainfall (mm).

233

234
Fig. 9. Warning threshold combined with rainfall and pore pressure

235   While above the red line, the probability of debris flow occurrence is higher. But among these

236 points, there are 8 rectangular points with debris flow. The possibility of debris flow occurrence can be

237 predicted correctly up to 62% by rainfall threshold, which seems to be fine as a preliminary

238 assessment. If the pore pressure monitoring data has been considered, in Figure 8, there are three blue

239 circular points without debris flow, but two magenta points show debris flow happened. The different

240 between them is that maximum pore pressure has exceeded the critical pore pressure line (8.22 kPa).

241 Therefore, the rainfall threshold and pore pressure threshold need to be combined during forecasting

242 debris flow occurrence, then there must be a much higher possibility of successful prediction. For a

243 given rainfall event, the starting point and its trend can be calculated and plotted in Fig. 9. In order to

244 verify in real time whether the trend line exceed the warning threshold. More detail information will

245 be discussed with an example as follow.

246 **4.3 Example of application**

247   In order to make a better use for the presented method, early warning criteria have to be

248   simplified to make a clear understanding for the study area. Therefore, a three-level early warning

249   system has been proposed for the Wenjia gully, as shown in Table 3. At level one there is low

250   possibility of debris flow occurrence. At level two there is a chance of debris flow occurrence in the

251   near future, and warning messages need to be sent to local authority and countermeasures need to be

252   discussed. At level three there is very likely to occur right now, therefore, local residents need to be

253   alerted and forbidden going to the threatened places.

254

Table 3. Recommended warning levels for Wenjia gully

Warning level	Trigger	Response
I	Default level. Not exceeding rainfall threshold and critical pore pressure.	**Null**: but data are checked daily. Weekly monitoring bulletin.
II	Attention level. Exceeding rainfall threshold but critical pore pressure not.	**Watch**: data are checked more frequently. Daily monitoring bulletin. Authority and expert are alerted. Preparing for alarm.
III	Alert level. Exceeding both of rainfall threshold and critical pore pressure.	**Warning**: data are checked even more frequently. Two monitoring bulletins per day. Local people are alerted.

255   In order to explain how the presented method can be used in a real-time debris flow early warning

256   case, the rainfall on Jul. 8, 2013 has been selected as an application (Fig. 10). The small circular

257   magenta solid points connected by a magenta line shows the course of the real-time monitored data

258   during this rain storm, with the cumulative rainfall on the X axis and hourly rainfall intensity on the Y

259   axis. The Tag A in Fig. 10 shows the rainfall data at 17:00 on Jul. 8, 2013, with a pore pressure of 3.00

260   kPa at that time. Three hours later at 20:00 (Tag B), the real-time rainfall has exceeded the rainfall

261   threshold, but the pore pressure didn't exceed the critical pore pressure (6.3 kPa < 6.79 or 8.22 kPa)

262   indicating that the warning information stayed in level II. One hour later at 21:00 (Tag C), the pore

263   pressure did exceed the lower critical pore pressure (7.8 kPa > 6.79 kPa) indicating that debris flow

264   had a much higher possibility to occur. Further, the pore pressure went up to 8.7 kPa over the upper

265   critical pore pressure (8.22 kPa), and triggered a debris flow occurrence finally (Tag D).

Fig. 10. Case application of the presented method in Wenjia gully (Jul. 8, 2013)

The case study shows that how to use this presented combined warning threshold in a real-time way during a rain storm. In 2014, two heavy rainstorms (Table 1) both have exceeded the rainfall threshold, but pore pressure did not cross the critical pore pressure during the whole course of the rainfall. Therefore, a warning message has been sent to the local government with a median possibility of debris flow occurrence. At last, fortunately no debris flow occurred during these rain storms. Therefore, the presented comprehensive warning threshold can be used as a helpful tool for debris flow prediction in mountainous area, especially in this earthquake area of Southwest China where a lot of loose material is available.

5. Discussion and conclusion

Gully-type debris flow, usually triggered by high-intensity and short-duration rainstorms cause serious harm to human lives and properties every year in the mountainous region of Southwest China. Therefore, in order to prevent such natural disasters, there is an urgent requirement for an effective method to predict debris flow occurrence. The combined warning threshold proposed and discussed in this paper, not only use the common rainfall threshold, but

282 also include the critical pore pressure determined by a hydro mechanical stability model.

283   Two rainfall triggering factors: maximum hourly rainfall and cumulative rainfall, have been

284 selected to establish a simple rainfall threshold as a baseline for debris flow early warning. Critical

285 pore pressure can be used as a combined threshold to make the warning threshold better in

286 practical usage. The Wenjia gully was selected as a case study for a detailed explanation of the

287 presented method, for the great volume of deposited materials triggered by Wenchuan earthquake

288 along the channel. The results show that the combined threshold can play a great role in debris

289 flow predicting, at least reduce the mistaken alerts for debris flow occurrence compared to use of

290 only a rainfall threshold. However, such a combined warning threshold still has some restrictions.

291 First, the critical pore pressure is a linear function with the depth of a potential slip surface, which

292 is difficult to determine in an actual gully by the real time monitoring system. In this study,

293 one-meter depth of the slip surface was selected as a possible condition for this preliminary study.

294 Second, the study area still focused on the Wenjia gully, therefore the presented method can't be

295 used in other gullies directly. But in the near future, different gully-type debris flows will be

296 researched, and more subsequent work need to be carried on for a better understanding of debris

297 flow prediction. Finally, the most complex problem is the final determination whether to alert the

298 local population, and whether some reactions need to be done immediately, or later. Debris flow

299 early warning is not an imminent hazard but is just regarded as a potential danger. In spite of these

300 limitations, the methodology presented in this paper has reached the goal to establish a

301 preliminary combined warning threshold for gully-type debris flow prediction. In the future

302 studies, the critical pore pressure threshold which are dependent on topography, geology, and soil

303 properties, can be determined by long-term field monitoring, and more important by debris flow

304 tests in laboratory with different slope angles, and depths of slip surface to reduce the loss of

305 properties and lives.

306

Acknowledgements

307

308   This study was financially supported by the Funds for Creative Research Groups of China (Grant

309   No. 41521002), International Cooperation (NSFC-RCUK_NERC), Resilience to Earthquake-induced

310   landslide risk in China (Grant No. 41661134010), and State Key Laboratory of Geo-hazard Prevention

311   and Geo-environment Protection (Chengdu University of Technology) (Grant No. SKLGP2017Z006).

312   The authors also give great thanks to Prof. Niek Rengers for his review of an earlier version of this

313   paper and for his suggestions to polish the language, which greatly improved the quality of the

314   manuscript.

315   **References**

316   Baum RL, Godt JW (2009) Early warning of rainfall-induced shallow landslides and debris flows in the USA
317   Landslides 7:259-272 doi:10.1007/s10346-009-0177-0
318   Beven KJ, Kirkby MJ (1979) A physically based, variable contributing area model of basin hydrology / Un
319   modèle à base physique de zone d'appel variable de l'hydrologie du bassin versant Hydrological
320   Sciences Bulletin 24:43-69 doi:10.1080/02626667909491834
321   Cannon SH, Gartner JE, Wilson RC, Bowers JC, Laber JL (2008) Storm rainfall conditions for floods and debris
322   flows from recently burned areas in southwestern Colorado and southern California Geomorphology
323   96:250-269 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.03.019
324   Chae B-G, Kim M-I (2011) Suggestion of a method for landslide early warning using the change in the
325   volumetric water content gradient due to rainfall infiltration Environmental Earth Sciences
326   66:1973-1986 doi:10.1007/s12665-011-1423-z
327   Deb S. K., El-Kadi A. I. (2009) Susceptibility assessment of shallow landslides on Oahu, Hawaii, under
328   extreme-rainfall events Geomorphology 108:219-233
329   Glade T, Crozier M, Smith P (2000) Applying probability determination to refine landslide-triggering rainfall
330   thresholds using an empirical "Antecedent Daily Rainfall Model" Pure and Applied Geophysics
331   157:1059-1079
332   Guo X-j, Cui P, Li Y (2013) Debris flow warning threshold based on antecedent rainfall: A case study in Jiangjia
333   Ravine, Yunnan, China Journal of Mountain Science 10:305-314 doi:10.1007/s11629-013-2521-z
334   Guzzetti F, Peruccacci S, Rossi M, Stark CP (2007a) The rainfall intensity–duration control of shallow landslides
335   and debris flows: an update Landslides 5:3-17 doi:10.1007/s10346-007-0112-1
336   Guzzetti F, Peruccacci S, Rossi M, Stark CP (2007b) Rainfall thresholds for the initiation of landslides in central
337   and   southern   Europe   Meteorology   and   Atmospheric   Physics   98:239-267
338   doi:10.1007/s00703-007-0262-7
339   Huang J, Huang R, Ju N, Xu Q, He C (2015a) 3D WebGIS-based platform for debris flow early warning: A case
340   study Engineering Geology 197:57-66 doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2015.08.013
341   Huang J, Ju NP, Liao YJ, Liu DD (2015b) Determination of rainfall thresholds for shallow landslides by a
342   probabilistic and empirical method Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences 15:2715-2723
343   doi:10.5194/nhess-15-2715-2015

344   Huang R, Huang J, Ju N, He C, Li W (2013) WebGIS-based information management system for landslides
345   triggered by Wenchuan earthquake Natural hazards 65:1507-1517

346   Iverson RM (1997) The physics of debris flows Reviews of geophysics 35:245-296

347   Kean JW, McCoy SW, Tucker GE, Staley DM, Coe JA (2013) Runoff-generated debris flows: Observations and
348   modeling of surge initiation, magnitude, and frequency Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface
349   118:2190-2207 doi:10.1002/jgrf.20148

350   Keefer DK et al. (1987) Real-Time Landslide Warning during Heavy Rainfall Science 238:921-925

351   Michel G. P., Kobiyama M. (2016) Developement of new equation to estimate the maximum soil depth by using
352   the safety factor.

353   Peng J, Fan Z, Wu D, Zhuang J, Dai F, Chen W, Zhao C (2014) Heavy rainfall triggered loess-mudstone
354   landslide and subsequent debris flow in Tianshui, China Engineering Geology
355   doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2014.08.015

356   Segoni S, Rosi A, Rossi G, Catani F, Casagli N (2014) Analysing the relationship between rainfalls and
357   landslides to define a mosaic of triggering thresholds for regional-scale warning systems Natural
358   Hazards and Earth System Science 14:2637-2648 doi:10.5194/nhess-14-2637-2014

359   Shieh C-L, Chen Y, Tsai Y, Wu J (2009) Variability in rainfall threshold for debris flow after the Chi-Chi
360   earthquake in central Taiwan, China International Journal of Sediment Research 24:177-188

361   Shuin Y, Hotta N, Suzuki M, Ogawa K-i (2012) Estimating the effects of heavy rainfall conditions on shallow
362   landslides using a distributed landslide conceptual model Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts
363   A/B/C 49:44-51 doi:10.1016/j.pce.2011.06.002

364   Thiebes B (2012) Integrative Early Warning. In: Landslide Analysis and Early Warning Systems. Springer, pp
365   215-219

366   Tropeano D, Turconi L (2004) Using historical documents for landslide, debris flow and stream flood prevention.
367   Applications in Northern Italy Natural Hazards 31:663-679

368   van Asch TWJ, Tang C, Alkema D, Zhu J, Zhou W (2013) An integrated model to assess critical rainfall
369   thresholds for run-out distances of debris flows Natural Hazards 70:299-311
370   doi:10.1007/s11069-013-0810-z

371   Xu Q (2010) The 13 August 2010 catastrophic debris flows in Sichuan Province: characteristics,
372   geneticmechanism and suggestions. Journal of Engineering Geology 18:596-608

373   Yin Y, Wang H, Gao Y, Li X (2010) Real-time monitoring and early warning of landslides at relocated Wushan
374   Town, the Three Gorges Reservoir, China Landslides 7:339-349 doi:10.1007/s10346-010-0220-1

375   Yu B, Li L, Wu Y, Chu S (2013a) A formation model for debris flows in the Chenyulan River Watershed, Taiwan
376   Natural Hazards 68:745-762 doi:10.1007/s11069-013-0646-6

377   Yu B, Ma Y, Wu Y (2013b) Case study of a giant debris flow in the Wenjia Gully, Sichuan Province, China
378   Natural Hazards 65:835-849 doi:10.1007/s11069-012-0395-y

379   Yu B, Zhu Y, Wang T, Chen Y, Zhu Y, Tie Y, Lu K (2014) A prediction model for debris flows triggered by a
380   runoff-induced mechanism Natural Hazards:1-21

381   Zhou W, Tang C (2013) Rainfall thresholds for debris flow initiation in the Wenchuan earthquake-stricken area,
382   southwestern China Landslides 11:877-887 doi:10.1007/s10346-013-0421-5

383