A. Authors response to the editor and referees' comments

We would like to thank all the reviewers for taking time reading and suggesting ways to improve the manuscript. We found all the comments are very useful. Please find our answers to all raised issues below.

1. Response to Editor's Comments from Ass. Prof. Dhruvesh Patel on "Towards risk-based flood management in highly productive paddy rice cultivation – concept development and application to the Mekong Delta" by Nguyen Van Khanh Triet et al.

Editor's comments: Thanks for submission of your manuscript entitled "Towards risk-based flood management in highly productive paddy rice cultivation – concept development and application to the Mekong Delta" Paper is relevant to the scope of the special issue and it is well written. I have observed some minor correction which improves the quality of work. 1) Fig.1, Geographical location of the Mekong Basin (Left Panel), observed the north arrow is missing. In addition, provide common scale bar and Graticle grid frame (Degree Minute Second) to both the panels. 2) Fig. 5, (B), provide the north arrow 3) Fig. 11, Provide the common scale bar to Fig A,B, C.

Authors' response: We would like to thank the editor for the positive comments and suggestions towards improving our manuscript. We will incorporate your suggestions to Fig. 1, Fig.5 and Fig.11 in the revised manuscript.



Figure 1. Geographical location of the Mekong Basin (left panel). The Vietnamese Mekong Delta and its flood prone area (right panel)...



Figure 5. (A) Schematization of the quasi-2D flood propagation model for the Mekong Delta, and the concept of simulation of compartmented floodplains in the VMD. Comparison of observed inundation extent derived from satellite data and simulated maximum inundation extent for the flood event in 2011 for the whole delta (B), and evaluation of inundation simulation (C) adapted from <u>Triet</u> et al. (2017).



Figure 11. Flood risk for rice crops in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta. Specific loss is calculated in US\$/ha/a for three land-use scenarios (A) current land-use, (B) no Autumn-Winter crop (AWC) in An Giang and Dong Thap, and (C) expansion of the AWC in these two provinces.

2. Response to first Referee's Comments on "Towards risk-based flood management in highly productive paddy rice cultivation – concept development and application to the Mekong Delta" by Nguyen Van Khanh Triet et al.

Referee's comments: The topic of risk-based flood management in paddy rice cultivation is an interesting one and appropriate for the journal. I read with interest the well-presented and concise paper. I also read the comments of the Editor and fully agree with his suggestion for improving some of the figures.

I congratulate the authors for the nice research work they have been carried out.

I would still suggest that the authors comment in the paper on the fact that the risk assessment is done for the whole Mekong delta, while there are many districts with many different decision persons involved. How would such a study contribute to common understanding of flood risk of these decision makers, and would it be possible for them to collaborate in the overall water management, or there are other factors that are needed before such a level is achieved.

Authors' response: We would like to thank the reviewer for the positive comments. In the revised manuscript we will comment if and how the presented work can contribute to actual flood risk management. The results of this study, i.e. flood damages to crops and associated risks, will provide to decision makers with information on the two important components in flood risk assessment: exposure and vulnerability. Until now the predominant flood management approach was based on flood hazard, or in many case even only on a flood anger assessment (i.e. flood exposure assessment without an estimation of probabilities). Based on this infrastructure was developed to control inundation, mainly dykes, sluice gates. Moreover, water-governance/management in the delta is still often defragmented on the provincial level. Collaboration between provinces, and horizontal and vertical integration and collaboration between different departments in charge of water resources, or between sectors is limited, as recently (again) reported by Ha et al. (2018) and Hoang et al. (2018). We certainly agree with the reviewer that all provinces in the Mekong Delta urgently need to collaborate in their flood management plans, in order to obtain sustainable management plans for the individual provinces and the Delta as a whole. How this can be achieved is basically a political problem. However, the results of this study can be broken down to provincial and even district level to support adapted management plans for the provinces, which will also be sustainable for the whole delta.

For possible implementation pathways we highly suggest to consider the two above mentioned recent publications of Ha et al. (2018) and Hoang et al. (2018), which provide more insight to the issue of water and flood management, and the policy framework in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta. The previously high level Steering Committee for the Southwest Region (disbanded in early 2018), might have significant contribution towards the development and implementation of flood management plans harmonized between the provinces.

References

- Ha, T. P., Dieperink, C., Dang Tri, V. P., Otter, H. S., and Hoekstra, P.: Governance conditions for adaptive freshwater management in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta, Journal of Hydrology, 557, 116-127, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.12.024, 2018.
- Hoang, L. P., Biesbroek, R., Tri, V. P. D., Kummu, M., van Vliet, M. T. H., Leemans, R., Kabat, P., and Ludwig, F.: Managing flood risks in the Mekong Delta: How to address emerging challenges under climate change and socioeconomic developments, Ambio, 10.1007/s13280-017-1009-4, 2018.

3. Response to Referee's Comments from Dr. Jorge Ramirez on "Towards risk-based flood management in highly productive paddy rice cultivation – concept development and application to the Mekong Delta" by Nguyen Van Khanh Triet et al.

General comments: This paper applies flood modelling to the Mekong delta to determine loses to rice crops. This study builds upon previous modelling studies and methods to estimate damage are adopted from other studies. Overall, the paper is well written and the analysis is good. A major shortcoming of the study is the poor performance of the flood and damage model, and the authors should take care to address the comments related to this topic. I look forward to seeing the revised version of this manuscript.

Authors' response: We would like to thank the reviewer for the positive comments and suggestions towards improving our manuscript. We found all comments very useful to enhance our paper quality and clarity. Please find our answers to all raised comments below.

Referee's comment 1: Line 18: replace "Therefor' with "Therefore".

Authors' response: We will replace in the revised manuscript.

"Particularly, flood risk to paddy rice cultivation, the most important economic activity in the delta, has not been performed yet. *Therefore*, the present study was developed to provide the very first in-sight on delta scale flood damages and risks to rice cultivation."

Referee's comment 2: Figure 2: Fully define WS, SA, and AW crop. I am not sure what these abbreviations mean at this point in the paper.

Authors' response: Thank you for pointing this out. We will replace these abbreviations with full text in the revised manuscript as below.



Figure 2. Time-series of the smoothed enhanced vegetation index (EVI) for double (A) and triple (B) rice cropping fields in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta...

Referee's comment 3: *Page 4, line 34: Provide an interpretation for the EVI values (e.g. in general a low EVI means: ... and a high EVI means: ...).*

Authors' response: We will include the following sentence to this paragraph:

"There is a shift of 1-1.5 months in the planting calendar between the shallow and deep inundation regions. The Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) time-series used to construct this plot were provided by A. Kotera (personal communication, March 01, 2017). An EVI value of 0 indicates no vegetation cover, whereas a value of 1 means complete vegetation cover. The methodology to derive the dataset has been presented in Kotera et al. (2016), and applied to assess economic flood damages to rice crop in the Chao Phraya delta in Thailand from 2000 to 2011."

Referee's comment 4: Page 5, line 29: Please label station Kratie in a figure and reference this figure here.

Authors' response: We will include the lable for station Kratie in Figure 1 - left panel and add reference to this figure in the correspondence line.

"Synthetic flood events were estimated for station Kratie (Fig.1 – left panel) with 10, 20, 50 and 100..."

Referee's comment 5: *Page 5, line 33: Here you mention a bivariate copula-based statistical model, although you refer the reader to the original study for further details, please provide in your paper a brief summary of this model (2-3 sentences).*

Authors' response: Thank you for the comment. We will revise this paragraph as follow:

"Synthetic flood events were estimated for station Kratie (Fig.1 – left panel) with 10, 20, 50 and 100 year return periods, referred to as T10,T20, T50 and T100. This station is commonly defined as the upstream entrance of the MD and is used as upper boundary of the hydraulic inundation model of the MD. The estimation of flood events is based on Dung et al. (2015). The authors developed and tested different bivariate copula-based statistical models on extreme values, using annual maximum discharge Q_{max} and flood volume F_V . Both variables are important for the characterization of the long-lasting annual floods in the MD. From different models being tested, the Gumbel-Hougaard copula was selected as most suitable, with log-normal distributions describing the marginals of Q_{max} and F_V . The outcomes of the mentioned study is the very first publication on flood frequency analysis for the MD considering both peak discharge and flood volume. For further details of the bivariate extreme value statistics, we refer readers to the original paper of Dung et al. (2015). "

Referee's comment 6: *Page 6, line 1: You mention that the model has high computational demands. Provide the average wall clock times of your simulations and computer processor specifications to support this.*

Authors' response: We will add the following text to support this claim:

"Four pairs of peak discharge (Q_{max}) and volume at Kratie (F_V), corresponding to T_{10} , T_{20} , T_{50} and T_{100} floods were selected from the bivariate copula model. The most probable pairs were selected from the Q_{max}/F_V pairs with equal joint probabilities corresponding to the return periods specified above. A full probabilistic analysis using a large number of Q_{max}/F_V pairs with equal joint probabilities was not performed due to the high computational demand of the large-scale hydraulic model (on average, 2-3 hours are required for one simulation of the whole flood season June-November on a PC installed with Intel i7-CPU 3.0 GHz, 16 GB RAM). The selected Q_{max} and F_V values range from 56 500 m³s⁻¹ to 66 000 m³s⁻¹ and from 459 km³ to 525 km³, from the different return periods respectively."

Referee's comment 7: *Page 7, line 11: Do you mean adopted instead of adapted? If you adapted the stage damage curves, please explain how this was performed.*

Authors' response: Thank you for pointing this out. The correct term is "adopted". We will change in the revised manuscript.

"... A_1, A_2 are the total exposed areas classified as partial and fully losses. RD_1, RD_2 are the relative damage factors specified on the basis of the damage curves adopted from Dutta et al. (2003) (see Fig. 4C)."

Referee's comment 8: Figure 6: No need to have three legends and scale bars if all three images are at the same scale and have the same inundation categories. Reduce to one legend.

Authors' response: We will change in the revised manuscript.



Figure 6. Simulated maximum inundation extent for a 10-year return period flood $(T_{10}shp_1)$ for three land-use scenarios...

Referee's comment 9: Page 8, line 19: Remove "huge" from this sentence.

Authors' response: We will delete this word.

Referee's comment 10: Page 8, line 14-17: Here you report "agricultural damages from the National Steering Committee for Flood and Storm Prevention and Control (US\$ 52.8 million)" and compare this to the modelled rice crop loses. Can you clarify if the observed damages only included rice or other crops too. If the later, provide rationale in the text for using this observed damage estimate from various crops to validate your rice damage model.

Authors' response: As mentioned in the text, the observes damages included other agriculture crops and facilites. All these damagees were lumped to a single value. And this is the only information available to validate our damages estimation. We will revise this paragraph to provide the rationale of using the observed damages data.

"We estimated rice crop losses of US\$ 42.7 million. This number is equivalent to 81% of the reported 15 agricultural damages from the National Steering Committee for Flood and Storm Prevention and Control (US\$ 52.8 million) (MRC, 2011). Flood damages to other agriculture crops and facilities as e.g. farmhouses, which were included in the reported damages (lumped to a single value categorized as agriculture losses), were not yet incorporated in the presented damage estimation. Considering that paddy rice is the predomimant crop in the delta, it is very likely to share a large part of the reported losses. Paddy fields as derived from the land-use LC2014 raster, account for 72% of whole agriculture land within the focus area of this study (deep and shallow inundation areas). Assuming a linear distribution of damages in the

lumped official reported damages with land use proportion, it can be reasoned that the simulated damages are in the range of the reported. However, it has to be acknowledged that spatial distribution and market prices of different crops are likely to be important for the damage estimation. In any case, although not being the ideal piece of information, the reported agriculture losses were the only available data to evaluate our rice crop damages calculation."

Referee's comment 11: *Page 8, line 21: Define the flood areas index. Also, what is an acceptable FAI, and a poor FAI? Please provide this information in the text.*

Authors' response: We would like to thank the reviewer for pointing out that the flood areas index is not defined in our manuscript. We will revise the correspondence paragraph to provide this information.

"A large share of the overestimated exposed area of rice crops can be attributed to the simulated inundation extents, although efforts have been made in updating, refining, and calibrating the model (Dung et al., 2011;Manh et al., 20 2014;Triet et al., 2017). The main source of uncertainty stems from the interpolation of 1D model results to a 2D raster, which could not be reduced even by the high resolution LiDAR DEM. Triet et al. (2017) reported a flood areas index (FAI), of 0.64 for the comparison of modelled and observed inundated areas for the whole VMD. The FAI was computed by deviding the sets of pixels presenting the intersect of observed and simulated inundation with the set of pixels preseting the union of observed and simulated (Eq. 3 in <u>Aronica et al. (2002)</u>). This value increased to 0.74 if only the flood-prone area of the VMD was considered. According to Aronica et al (2002), who suggested that a FAI higher than 0.7 is considered as acceptable for an inundation simulation model, it can be concluded that the performance of the inundation model for the VMD is acceptable for the flood prone are of the VMD, where the bulk of flood damages occur.

Referee's comment 12: Page 8, lines 33-36: Delete these lines, the comparison between modelled and observed inundations and losses somewhat refutes this. You could state this if the model had a better FAI and the model replicated multiple observations (e.g. various flood events) of rice losses.

Authors' response: Please find our clarification on the performance of the damages model in the response for comment No. 13 below. Therefore, we think that we can keep these lines in our manuscript.

"Despite these deficiencies, the flood damage assessment proposed in this study can produce reliable results, particularly when the typically 35 large errors in flood damage estimation are taken as reference (e.g. Schröter et al., 2014). Thus the proposed method is judged to be appropriate to estimate flood hazard and risk to rice cropping in the VMD."

Referee's comment 13: Page 10, lines 7-12: Why is there a 73% difference between the modelled worst case scenario damages (T100) and observed damages from a 20-year flood? Doesn't it mean that the model is severely underestimating damage? Please explain this difference in the text.

Authors' response: The comment regarding damages differences between the worst case 100-yr event and the flood event in 2000 (20-yr), might be a misunderstading generated by the authors. We did not mean to directly compared damages between these two events. We actually aimed to illustrate the importance of the flood control structures being constructed in the delta after the historical flood in 2000, which is estimated as a 20-year flood mentioned in the next two sentences in the same paragraph. After this disastrous flood, the government of Vietnam promoted the delta flood management programme. It resulted in construction of thousands of kilometer of man-made canals, together with dykes and flood control structures e.g. sluice gates, and weirs. In our damages estimation for the worst case scenario (the 100-yr event), those dyke lines and sluice gates (up to the year of 2011) were included in the flood model. Therefore, the

damages were substantially reduced, compared to the system in 2000 where many of the flood control strucures were not in place. We clarify this paragraph as:

"Our worst scenario, i.e. $T_{100}shp_3$, resulted in damages of US\$ 115.7 million. This value is less than half of the reported overall damages of the flood in 2000 (US\$ 250 million; reported in MRC (2012), scaled to US\$ 500 million with 2011 price levels by Chinh et al. (2016)), which is considered as a 20-year flood in the MD (Le et al., 2007). Although the damage figures from the event in 2000 were the overall damages, of which agricultural damages were an unknown part, this indicates a large reduction in flood losses can be linked to the flood management and adaptation measures being implemented in the VMD following the "Decision No. 99/1996" from the Government of Vietnam (The Government of Viet Nam, 1996). Note that the plan was initiated in 1996, but was implemented to a large extend after the flood in 2000 occurred."

Referee's comment 14: Page 11, lines 37-40: Here you state that a 2D modelling approach would require the implementation of detailed man-made structures and this hinders the adoption of a 2D approach. Can you explain in the text how in contrast your 1D approach easily represented man-made structures?

Authors' response: In the VMD over 85 000 km of canals/rivers, 14 000 km of dyke-line, about 1000 sluice gates of 3-100m width, and over 10 000 more with width less than 3 meter exist (Hung et al., 2014). These structures heavily regulate the flood regime in the delta. To captures the dynamics of delta inundation, a fully 2D approach should have a spatial resolution at 5x5 at minimum to represent dyke-lines (with the width at crest generally less than 5 m) properly. Thee dyke lines need to be implemented to the underlying DEM with correct elevations. This means that any DEM needs to be checked if dyke lines are present in the grid, and if they show the correct elevation. If not, this needs to be corrected, which is time consuming.

In our quasi-2D model these dyke-lines are presented as broad-crest weirs in the model structure at the simulation nodes only (the concept is demonstrated in Fig.5A). Thus the implementation of hydraulic structures is less cumbersone in this model structure compared to a fully 2D model.

However, the main restriction of using a fully 2D model in a risk assessment are the runtimes of these models. The huge area covering more than 4 million hectare is still a large challenge for 2D models at high resolution, even with the now available computational facilities.

References

- Aronica, G., Bates, P., and Horritt, M.: Assessing the uncertainty in distributed model predictions using observed binary pattern information within GLUE, Hydrological Processes, 16, 2001-2016, 2002.
- Hung, N. N., Delgado, J. M., Güntner, A., Merz, B., Bárdossy, A., and Apel, H.: Sedimentation in the floodplains of the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. Part I: suspended sediment dynamics, Hydrological Processes, 28, 3132-3144, 10.1002/hyp.9856, 2014.

4. Response to third Referee's Comments on "Towards risk-based flood management in highly productive paddy rice cultivation – concept development and application to the Mekong Delta" by Nguyen Van Khanh Triet, Nguyen Viet Dung, Bruno Merz and Heiko Apel

Referee's comments: This paper quantifies flood risk to paddy rice in the Mekong delta. The methodological contribution is the consideration of cropping calendar and plant phenology in combination with synthetic probabilistic flood hydrographs, such that the plant phenology and temporal occurrence of flood peaks is introduced into the probabilistic flood risk assessment approach. The authors showed that timing of floods, the high tides and the cropping calendar are crucial factors for agricultural crop damage. And the authors make several suggestions to support flood management in the region. I think the paper is in general well written (see some technical corrections; e.g. space after '; '), and the work is worth to be published.

And I am looking forward to see the next research of the authors in the directions they suggest in section 4.5.

Lastly, in case the Editor asked a reviewed version of the manuscript, I am happy to review the revised version.

Authors' response: We would like to thank the reviewer for the positive comments. Thank you for pointing out the issue with space after ";". We believe this occurs mostly between multiple citations, because we used the default template of Hydro-Earth Sys Sci. We will correct this issue in the revised manuscript.

B. List of all relevant changes made in the manuscript

Please find the list of changes we made in the revised manuscript according to comments from the reviewers in the below table.

no.	changes	changed line/paragraph				
1	replace Therefor by Therefore following comment from RC2.	Page 1 – Line 18				
2	technical correction: replace sees by see following comment from RC3.	Page 1 – Line 22				
3	 technical correction: replace SAC by fully definition: Summer-Autumn crop. replace rice by crop for consistency term being used 	Page 4 – Line 20, 28,29, 30				
4	providing an interpretation of EVI values as suggestions from RC2.	Page 5 – Section 2.2.2 – third paragraph (Line 1)				
5	technical correction: replace called by referred	Page 5 – Line 19				
6	 two changes in the first paragraph of section 3.1 were made as recommended by RC2: mark the station Kratie to Figure 1 and include reference to this station after its name in line 29. revise the paragraph to include description of the copula-based extreme statistical model. 	Section 3.1 – first paragraph (Page 5 – Line 33 to Page 6 – Line 5)				
7	provide the simulations time and computer processor specifications to support the claims being made as suggestion from RC2.	Page 6 – Line 8-14				
8	replace "different damages" with "different possible damages"	Page 6 – Line 18				
9	add 2 sentences for detailed information of the flood model	Page 6 – Line 36-38				
10	technical correction: replace "f June" with "from June"	Page 7 – Line 13				
11	replace "adapted" with "adopted" following comment from RC2.	Page 7 – Line 28				
12	technical correction: add "is"	Page 7 – Line 31				
13	replace "cultivating" with "cultivation of", and "propagated" with "simulated"	Page 8 – Line 17 & 23				
14	revise first paragraph of Section 4.1 following RC2 comments to explain data used to validated damages model.	Section 4.1 – first paragraph (Page 8 – Line 33 to Page 9 – Line 5)				
15	remove "huge" as comment from RC2.	Page 9 – Line 7				
16	revise second paragraph of Section 4.1 following RC2 comments to provide explanation of the Flood Area Index (FAI).	Section 4.1 – second paragraph Page 9 – Line 10-15.				
17	technical corrections	Page 9 – Line 17-19 Page 10 – Line 8,13,16,19 and 20				
18	revise first paragraph of Section 4.3 following RC2 comments.	Page 11 – Section 4.3 – first paragraph (Line 1-7)				
19	technical corrections	Page 13 – Line 1,7 and 37 Page 14 – Line 2 & 14.				

no.	changes	changed line/paragraph
20	 changes made to Figure 1: add graticule grids, north arrow and scale bar in both panel (EC and RC1 comments). mark the location of Kratie to the left panel (RC2 comment). 	Page 20 – Figure 1
21	replace abbreviation with full-text definition of WS, SA and AW crops following RC2 comment.	Page 21 – Figure 2
22	include north arrow to Figure 5B as EC and RC1 comment	Page 23 – Figure 5
23	reduce to one legend for all panels followed RC2 comment	Page 24 – Figure 6
25	provide scale bar and reduce to one legend followed comment from EC, RC1 and RC2	Page 27 – Figure 11

C. Mark-up manuscript version

Please find the mark-up version of the manuscript starting from the next page.

Towards risk-based flood management in highly productive paddy rice cultivation – concept development and application to the Mekong Delta

5 Nguyen Van Khanh Triet^{1,2}, Nguyen Viet Dung¹, Bruno Merz^{1,3}, Heiko Apel¹

¹GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section 5.4 Hydrology, Potsdam, 14473, Germany

²SIWRR Southern Institute of Water Resources Research, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

³ Institute of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Potsdam, Germany

10 Correspondence to: Nguyen Van Khanh Triet (triet@gfz-potsdam.de)

Abstract

15

Flooding is an imminent natural hazard threatening most river deltas, as e.g. the Mekong Delta. An appropriate flood management is thus required for a sustainable development of the often densely populated regions. Recently, the traditional event based hazard control shifted towards a risk management approach in many regions, driven by intensive research leading to new legal regulation on flood management. However, a large-scale flood risk assessment does not exist for the Mekong Delta. Particularly, flood risk to paddy rice cultivation, the most important economic activity in the delta, has not been performed yet. Therefor-Therefore, the present study was developed to provide the very first in-sight on delta scale flood damages and risks to rice cultivation. The flood hazard was quantified by probabilistic flood hazard maps of the whole delta

- 20 using a bivariate extreme value statistics, synthetic flood hydrographs, and a large scale hydraulic model. The flood risk to paddy rice was then quantified considering cropping calendars, rice phenology and harvest times based on a time-series of enhanced vegetation index (EVI) derived from MODIS satellite data, and a published rice flood damage functions. The proposed concept provided flood risk maps to paddy rice for the Mekong Delta in terms of expected annual damage. The presented concept can be used as a blueprint for regions facing similar problems due to its generic approach. Furthermore,
- 25 the changes in flood risk to paddy rice caused by changes in land use currently under discussion in the Mekong Delta were estimated. Two land-use scenarios either intensifying or reducing rice cropping were considered, and the changes in risk were presented in spatially explicit flood risk maps. The basic risk maps could serve as guidance for the authorities to develop spatially explicit flood management and mitigation plans for the delta. The land-use change risk maps could further be used for adaptive risk management plans, and as basis for a cost-benefit of the discussed land use change scenarios. Additionally,
- 30 the damage and risks maps may support the recently initiated agricultural insurance programme in Vietnam.

Keywords Flood, Paddy rice, Risk, Mekong, Agriculture

1 Introduction

Characterised by low topography, the Mekong Delta (MD) is subjected to flooding caused by high river discharge, tidal backwater effects, and storm surges. Floods in the Mekong Delta are annual events, mainly triggered by the Asian monsoons,

- 5 but also by tropical cyclones (typhoons). On the positive side, floods bring various benefits to the MD with an estimated annual value of US\$ 8-10 billion (MRC, 2012). These benefits include provision of sediment to counter delta subsidence, increase of wild fish catch, and enhancement of soil fertility through deposited sediment (Manh et al., 2014). On the other hand, extreme floods can result in extensive damages as recorded during the floods in 2011 and 2000. For example, the 2000 flood, considered as a 20-year flood (Le et al., 2007), resulted in over 450 fatalities and economic losses of US\$ 250 million
- 10 (MRC, 2012). Recent studies suggest that the frequency of such extreme events is likely to increase (Delgado et al., 2010; Hirabayashi et al., 2013). For instance, the 100-year flood in the Mekong basin in the 20th centutry is projected to occur every 10-20 years in the 21st century due to impacts of climate change (Hirabayashi et al. (2013). Therefore, assessing hazards and risks induced from extreme floods is a crucial task for developing flood management strategies and climate change adaptation measures.
- 15 Traditionally, flood management in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta (VMD) has focussed on engineering solutions aiming at flood control. Structural flood defence measures, such as sluice gates and dyke-lines, were implemented across the whole delta. The water level of the flood in 2000 was commonly chosen as the design flood event. Flood risk assessments, taking into account not only flood probabilities and water levels but also flood losses, were not undertaken to support flood management. Recently, non-structural measures (e.g. shifting of cropping calendar) have gained more interest. This alteration
- 20 is in agreement with the global trend of moving from "flood hazard control" toward "flood risk management" (Merz et al., 2010). By definition, risk assessment is the evaluation of the frequency and magnitude of floods, or flood hazard, and their consequences. Hence, damages assessment is an essential task for the transition from traditional hazard control to flood risk management.
- The majority of the literature on flood hazard assessments for the VMD focusses on changes in delta inundation hazards driven by upstream infrastructure development (e.g. hydropower dams), local flood control (e.g. dyke systems), climate change impacts and sea level rise by hydrodynamic modelling (Le et al., 2007; Van, 2009; Dinh et al., 2012; Van et al., 2012; Toan, 2014; Triet et al., 2017; Dang et al., 2018). A comprehensive flood hazard analysis for the whole MD can only be found in the study of Dung et al. (2015). They developed different copula-based bivariate statistical models to quantify the probability of joint occurrence of peak discharge and flood volume of the Mekong River at Kratie, commonly defined as the upstream entrance of the MD. Apel et al. (2016) presented a detailed probabilistic fluvial-pluvial flood hazard assessment for
- the city of Can Tho in the centre of VMD using the results of Dung et al. (2015) as boundary condition for a fluvial 2dimensional urban flood model.

Studies on flood damages are rare for the VMD. Damages assessments require rather extensive datasets, such as land-use, cropping systems and crop timing, asset values, damage functions for the different land-use types, and damage data to

35 calibrate and validate the damage models. Consequently, flood damage and risk assessments have been performed at the scale of districts or provinces only. For example, Chinh et al. (2017) developed a model to estimate flood losses using surveyed damage data of the flood in 2011 and assessed flood risk for an urban district of Can Tho City. Similarly, publications on agro-economic flood damages are practically non-existing for the VMD. The literature search on damages to agriculture in the VMD resulted in a single publication, a report by the Mekong River Commission (MRC, 2009). The MRC

developed a loss model for paddy rice in two provinces in the VMD: An Giang and Dong Thap. Two damage functions were developed using observed maximum water levels at two gauging stations, and statistical damages data for the period of 2000-2007. These depth-damage functions were then applied to estimate flood losses for the period 1910-2006. Since this model requires only the water level as input, it can provide a quick assessment of flood damage to rice crops. Applying these damage

functions to the current situation is, however, not recommended due to the massive changes in land-use and cropping system 5 over the last two decades. For example, Le et al. (2018) calculated an annual rate of change in land-use in the VMD of 14.9% during 2001-2012. Hence, to our best knowledge, large-scale economic assessments of flood damage to agriculture crops for the whole delta and appropriate damage models are missing.

Against this background, we provide the first large-scale flood risk assessment for the agricultural sector covering the whole

- VMD. Our assessment is focussed on paddy rice, the predominant land-use type in the delta. We limit our calculation to 10 direct losses, i.e. yield reduction as consequence of physical contact with flood water. The methodological novelty is the detailed consideration of cropping calendar and plant phenology in combination with synthetic probabilistic flood hydrographs mapping different flood regimes of the Mekong. By this the important aspect of plant phenology and temporal occurrence of flood peaks is introduced into the probabilistic flood risk assessment approach.
- The flood hazard is quantified following the methodology of Dung et al. (2015). To obtain spatially explicit flood hazard 15 maps, a large-scale hydraulic inundation model is driven by synthetic flood discharge time series, which are associated with probabilities of occurrences. Based on these hazard maps, crop damages are estimated using published damage functions, and explicitly considering the temporal occurrence of high water levels, the cropping calendar and plant phenology. Finally, the consequences of two land-use development scenarios proposed in the Mekong Delta Plan were estimated in terms of crop
- 20 damages by floods.

2 Study area and data

2.1 Study area

The Vietnamese Mekong Delta (VMD) covers an area of approximately 40 500 km² in the south of Vietnam, where the Mekong River discharges into the South China Sea through a number of estuary branches. The landscape is dominated by flat floodplains formed by deposited river sediments. Floodplain sedimentation is estimated to approximately 9.5 mm yr⁻¹ on 25 average (Manh et al., 2013). The properties of the deposits and the associated nutrients in combination with the tropical climate form ideal conditions for a high agricultural productivity. Official statistics indicate that over 64% of the delta (2.6 mil ha) are used for agriculture, with rice as the dominant crop (three quarters of the total cultivation land), followed by orchard farms and sugarcane (GSO, 2015). Covering only 12% of the total land area of Vietnam, the delta contributes 52% to the national food production and over 80% to the Vietnamese rice export (GSO, 2015).

30

During July to December, high discharge of the Mekong River triggered by the Asian monsoons cause large-scale inundation in the delta. Our study area, referred to as delta flood prone region in Vietnam, comprises 2 million hectares of nine provinces. The area is commonly divided into two ecological regions on the basis of inundation depth, named as deep inundation (above 1.5 m) and shallow inundation (below 1.5 m). The deep inundation areas (marked in yellow in Fig. 1) encompass the two

35 most important floodplains in the delta, i.e. the Plain of Reeds (PoR), and the Long Xuyen Quadrangle (LXQ).

Flooding in the VMD is characterised by slowly rising and receding rates, with a mean value of 5-10 cm/day. The flood hydrograph at Tan Chau and Chau Doc (blue points 1 and 2 in Fig. 1) usually has two peaks. The first peak normally falls in mid-July to mid-August. The second, often higher peak, arrives in September to October. Floodwater from Cambodia enters the VMD via three main routes. The mainstream branches of the Mekong, i.e. Mekong and Bassac Rivers, convey 90% of the total flood volume. The remaining 10% are the transboundary overland flow from Cambodian lowlands to the PoR east of the Mekong River, and the LXQ west of the Bassac River (Hung et al., 2012; Tri, 2012). Besides inundation caused by

5 high river discharge, tidal floods occur in the vicinity of rivers/canals in the coastal areas, characterized by short but repeated durations following the high tides of the South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand (Apel et al., 2016).

2.2 Data

2.2.1 Topography data, tidal levels and operation schemes of flood control structures

Tidal level data are used as downstream boundary condition of the flood propagation model. Hourly tidal level records at
ten gauge stations were collected, covering the entire flood season of the year 2011 from June 01 to November 30. The
locations of these tidal gauges are given in Fig. 1. These data were provided by the Southern Regional Hydro-Meteorology
Centre of Vietnam (SHRMC). To generate inundation maps, a high resolution (5 x 5 meter) LiDAR-based digital elevation
model (DEM) for the whole VMD was acquired from the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources of Vietnam
(MONRE). The LiDAR data were collected and processed during 2009-2010. Operation schemes of the flood control
structures in 2011 were collected from the Departments of Agriculture and Rural Development (DARD) of the delta

provinces.

2.2.2 Rice cropping system and planting calendar

The rice cropping system in the VMD is strongly related to water availability, soil fertility and irrigation/drainage facilities (e.g. flood control structures). Rice fields are generally encircled by dyke systems to protect them against the regular flood pulse of the Mekong. These dyke systems can be classified as low-dykes and high-dykes. Low-dykes protect the <u>Summer-Autumn crop_SAC</u>-against the early flood peak in mid-July to mid-August. They are regularly overtopped during the later stages of the flood period. Farmlands protected by high-dykes can be, however, completely cut-off from floodwater. The design level of the dykes was chosen to withstand water levels observed during the historical flood in 2000 (Triet et al., 2017). The inundation of those areas is thus controlled by the operation of sluice gates included in the dyke lines.

- 25 Traditionally, farmers were only able to grow a single rice crop per year during the wet season. This crop was known as "rainfed" crop. Today, the majority of rainfed crops has been replaced by "irrigated rice", except for small areas affected by saline water intrusion or poor soil quality (acid sulphate soil). Farmers are able to grow two or even three crops per year. One crop is planted in the dry season in November-December and harvested in February-March (called Winter–Spring rice-crop or Đông Xuân). During the wet season, farmers plant one or two crops. The first crop (Summer-Autumn croprice or Hè Thu)
- 30 is planted in April–early June and harvested by July-early August. The second crop (Autumn-Winter <u>rice-crop</u> or Thu Đông) depends on how farmlands are protected against flood water. Farmlands with full protection (located in the deep inundation region) plant in August and harvest in November-December. In the shallow inundation region, farmers harvest in late August-early September, before the arrival of the main flood peak.

The rice cropping system and planting calendar in the VMD have been well studied using optical or radar satellite data (e.g.

35 Bouvet and Le Toan, 2009; Bouvet and Le Toan, 2011; Nguyen et al., 2015). Figure 2 illustrates the planting calendar in 2011 for four paddy fields positioned in the deep versus shallow inundation region for double and triple season rice fields. There is a shift of 1-1.5 months in the planting calendar between the shallow and deep inundation regions. The Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) time-series used to construct this plot were provided by A. Kotera (personal communication, March

01, 2017). An EVI value of 0 indicates no vegetation cover, whereas a value of 1 means complete vegetation cover. The methodology to derive the dataset has been presented in Kotera et al. (2016), and applied to assess economic flood damages to rice crop in the Chao Phraya delta in Thailand from 2000 to 2011.

2.2.3 Land-use data

5 The land-use map of 2014, with a resolution of 250x250 m, covering all 13 provinces in the VMD was provided by the German Aerospace Center (DLR). The VMD land-use is part of the product MEKONG LC2010 covering the entire Mekong Basin at a spatial resolution of 500 m. MEKONG LC2010 was developed within the German-Vietnamese project "Waterrelated Information System for а Sustainable Development of the Mekong Delta" (WISDOM, http://www.wisdom.eoc.dlr.de/). Land cover data was derived using the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer 10 (MODIS) instrument aboard the Terra and Aqua satellites. Different MODIS products were combined to provide cloud free

composites. The Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) was calculated following Eq. 1.

$$EVI = G \times \frac{NIR - RED}{NIR + C_1 \cdot RED - C_2 \cdot BLUE + L}$$
(1)

where G is the gain factor (G = 2.5). C_1 and C_2 are the coefficients of the aerosol resistance term, which uses the 500-m blue band of MODIS to correct aerosol influences on the red band ($C_1 = 6.0$ and $C_2 = 7.5$). L is the canopy background adjustment (L = 1) (Huete et al., 2002). 15

Land use classification was performed on the basis of the EVI time series from 2001-2011 (for a detailed description see Leinenkugel et al. (2013). Within the 12 classes of the VMD land-use raster, three classes indicate rice cultivation areas: single season rice, double season rice, and triple season rice (values 5-7 in Fig. 3A).

We reclassified the original product to two raster images presenting the Summer-Autumn crop, hereafter called referred as 20 SAC, and the Autumn-Winter crop, hereafter referred to as AWC, since their growth stages partially or fully fall in the flood season. The SAC image was created by merging all pixels with double season and triple season rice. The other land-use classes (e.g. orchards, sugarcane) were considered as non-rice pixels (presented in Fig. 3B). To produce the AWC image, which is only grown in triple season cropping schemes, only pixels with original values of seven (7) were considered (see Fig. 3C).

25 3 Methodology

For the large-scale flood risk assessment, the temporal relationship between the inundation hazard and the rice planting calendar was taken into consideration. The next sections describe the procedure to derive the area of rice crops exposed to floods, flood damage (D) from a given extreme event, and the expected annual damage (EAD). These risk indicators were estimated for the current situation and for two land-use development scenarios, namely the reduction or expansion of the

30 triple season rice area as given in the Mekong Delta Plan of the Vietnamese government. The methodology is outlined in Fig. 4A.

3.1 Determining event hydrographs corresponding to the T-year flood

Synthetic flood events were estimated for station Kratie (Fig.1 – left panel) with 10, 20, 50 and 100 year return periods, referred to as T_{10} , T_{20} , T_{50} and T_{100} . This station is commonly defined as the upstream entrance of the MD and is used as upper boundary of the hydraulic inundation model of the MD. The estimation of flood events is based on Dung et al. (2015).

35

They developed a bivariate copula based statistical model for flood frequency analysis at Kratie using 88 years of discharge records, resulting in The authors developed and tested different bivariate copula-based statistical models on extreme values, using annual maximum discharge Q_{max} and flood volume F_V . Both variables are important for the characterization of the long-lasting annual floods in the MD. From different models being tested, the Gumbel-Hougaard copula was selected as most

5 <u>suitable</u>, with log-normal distributions describing the marginals of Q_{max} and F_V . The outcomes of the mentioned study is the very first publication on flood frequency analysis for the MD considering both peak discharge and flood volume. We refer readers to the original paper for a detailed description.

We selected four Four pairs of peak discharge (Q_{max}) and volume at Kratie (F_V) , corresponding to T_{10} , T_{20} , T_{50} and T_{100} floods were selected from the bivariate copula model. The most probable pairs were selected from the Q_{max}/F_V pairs with

- 10 equal joint probabilities corresponding to the return periods specified above. A full probabilistic analysis using a large number of Q_{max}/F_V pairs with equal joint probabilities was not performed due to the high computational demand of the large-scale hydraulic model (on average, 2-3 hours are required for one simulation of the whole flood season June-November on a PC installed with Intel i7-CPU 3.0 GHz, 16 GB RAM). The selected Q_{max} and F_V values range from 56 500 m³s⁻¹ to 66 000 m³s⁻¹ and from 459 km³ to 525 km³, from the different return periods respectively.
- 15 Damages to agriculture crops are highly dependent on the time of occurrence of flooding (Penning-Rowsell et al., 2003; Förster et al., 2008; Klaus et al., 2016). To account for the timing, each of the four Q_{max}/F_V pairs were scaled to synthetic flood hydrographs covering the whole flood season from June 1st to November 30th by four typical hydrograph shapes (*shp_i*, i = 1-4), representing different flood patterns and, consequently, different <u>possible</u> damages. These shapes were adapted from Dung et al. (2015) (see Fig. 4B). The shape *shp*₃ has the highest possibility of occurrence (*p* = 0.34). The flood in 2000 closely followed this shape, with a minor alteration as the first peak arrived some days earlier. The other three hydrograph
- shapes have equal probabilities of occurrence (p = 0.21 0.23). The shape shp_2 has an early flood peak, while shp_1 shows a late peak. The disastrous flood in 2011 resembled shp_1 .

This procedure results in 16 synthetic discharge time-series at Kratie. They serve as the upper boundary condition for the flood propagation model. In each simulation, the lower boundaries (i.e. tidal levels), dyke scenarios and operation schemes of flood control structures were fixed as recorded in 2011, i.e. the most recent damaging flood. The scenarios are denoted using the return period and the hydrograph shape. For example, scenario $T_{100}shp_3$ corresponds to the 100-year return period of Q_{max}/F_V and the hydrograph shp_3 .

3.2 Transformation of discharge to water levels

To transform the discharge series into spatially distributed inundation water levels and associated timing in the VMD, a 30 quasi-2D, large-scale hydraulic model was used. The model domain covers the entire MD, including the VMD and Cambodia lowlands and the Tonle Sap Lake. It uses Kratie as the upper boundary condition and the tidal level monitoring gauges along the South China Sea and the Gulf of Thailand as downstream boundaries.

The model was initially developed by Dung et al. (2011), using the 1D river model modelling package MIKE11-HD developed by Danish Hydraulic Institute (DHI). The hydrodynamic module (HD) provides the full dynamic solution of the

35 1D Saint-Venant equations. The solution is based on an implicit finite difference scheme developed by Abbott and Ionescu (1967). Floodplain inundation (2D flow) was presented by the 1D model through wide cross-sections for the Cambodian part of the model domain, which is appropriate for the comparative low anthropogenic impacts on the channel network, and natural inundation dynamics of in this part of the MD. In the Vietnamese part of the delta, flood compartments were

represented by, or _"virtual canals" and control structures presenting the dikes. The original model was later refined and updated by Manh et al. (2014) and Triet et al. (2017) (see Fig. 5). The model was calibrated and validated with gauged data and maximum inundation extents derived from satellite data for a number of flood events, including the moderate flood of 2009, the low flood of 2010, and the extreme floods in 2011 and 2000. For a detailed description of the 2D model sees the original paper by Dung et al. (2011).

5

3.3 Transformation of water levels to flood hazard indicators

The hydraulic simulations provided discharge and water level time series at the model calculation nodes. Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) was applied to interpolate between nodes for complete spatial coverage. Inundation extent and depth were then obtained by intersecting the water levels with the LiDAR-based DEM.

- Based on the rice cropping system and cropping calendar, three gridded inundation maps were produced for each simulation 10 scenario. The first map, denoted as "July", was generated using the maximum water level of 61 days from the beginning of simulation in June 01 to July 31. It was applied to calculate damage to the Summer-Autumn crop (SAC) in both shallow and deep submergence regions. The second map ("August") was based on the maximum water level fromf June 01 to September 15, in order to calculate damages to the Autumn-Winter crop (AWC) in the shallow submergence region. For the AWC in the
- 15 deep submergence region, we used the map defined by the maximum annual submergence ("Annual") within September 01 to November 30. The inundation grid cells of these maps were classified into three inundation depth classes to assign a stagedamage curve (Fig. 4C) to each grid cell. Exposed areas of rice crops were calculated by intersecting the inundation maps with the two land-use maps presenting the SAC and the AWC, as summarized in Table 1.

All these procedures were performed using Python scripts (Python version 2.7) and the python supported module of ArcGIS 20 (ArcGIS 10.4).

3.4 Calculation of flood damage (D) and expected annual damage (EAD)

Flood damage (D) was calculated on a pixel basis following equation (Eq. 2), and aggregated to damage per province and to the whole study area.

$$D = Y \times MP \times (A_1 \cdot RD_1 + A_2 \cdot RD_2) \tag{2}$$

- 25 where D is the total monetary damage (in US\$). Y, MP are the average rice yield and market prices taken from official statistical data for 2011: Y = 5.0 ton per hectare, and MP = US 280 per ton. A_1, A_2 are the total exposed areas classified as partial and fully losses. RD1, RD2 are the relative damage factors specified on the basis of the damage curves adapted adopted from Dutta et al. (2003) (see Fig. 4C). Percentage of damage (i.e. in terms of yield reduction) depends on the duration of contact with flood water (in days) and inundation depth, which was classified into two groups, i.e. below 0.5 m, and above
- 0.5 m. Because flooding in the VMD is characterised with long duration of submergence of 2-5 months (Toan, 2014), loss 30 factor RD_2 was set to 1 for the areas where inundation depth is above 0.5 m (A_2), while the partial loss factor RD_1 was set to 0.5, which is the maximum damage of this class in the damage functions, for areas with inundation depth below 0.5 m (A_1) .

We calculated the expected annual damages (EAD) for each of the four hydrograph shapes following Apel et al. (2016). EAD is defined as the product of probability of exceedance of a given flood event and its damage:

$$35 \quad EAD = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta P_i. \, \overline{D}_i \tag{3}$$

 ΔP_i and \overline{D}_i are calculated as follows:

$$\overline{D}_{i} = \frac{1}{2} (D_{i} + D_{i+1}) \tag{4}$$

$$\Delta P_i = p_{i+1} - p_i \tag{5}$$

where ΔP is the increment of annual probability of exceedance = $\Delta(1-p)$, with p as the annual probability of non-exceedance. In this work p = 0.90, 0.95, 0.98 and 0.99 according to the selected return periods; D is the calculated

5 damage induced by the given event; i is the numerator of the probability levels considered, and n is the number of probability levels.

The average estimated annual damage (\overline{EAD}) of the four hydrograph shapes was computed as the weighed sum of the *EAD* values, with the probability of occurrence of the hydrograph (*Pr*) as weights (Eq. 6). The average crop risk indicator was computed by dividing the average *EAD* by the total annual rice plantation area.

10
$$EAD = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Pr(shp = shp_i) EAD_i$$
(6)

3.5 Estimation of risk variation as a result of two land-use scenarios

In the final step, we investigated how flood risk will change by two land-use scenarios. Triet et al. (2017) proved that construction of high-dyke areas in the northern delta provinces An Giang and Dong Thap increased the flood hazard in the centre of the delta. Thus, the first scenario considers the opening of the sluice gates in the high-dyke areas in these two provinces to introduce floodwater to the paddy fields during the main flood period September-October. In response to this change in the flood management the farming system also changes: farmlands with triple season cropping are converted to double season cropping, i.e. no cultivation of fing the *AWC*. The second scenario considers an expansion of high-dykes, i.e. increase the height of the existing low-dykes, in these two provinces to enlarge the area with triple rice crop production. This scenario follows the development scenario, the so-called "Food Production Scenario", proposed in the Mekong Delta Plan (Deltares, 2013). Dyke height was increased using information of dyke elevation from neighbouring compartments and maximum water level of the historical flood in 2000, which was chosen as the design event for flood control infrastructures

in the delta. The flood propagation model propagated simulated the 16 synthetic floods for these two dyke scenarios, while the lower boundary conditions were preserved as in 2011. Figure 6 exemplarily illustrates the simulated inundation extent for the 10-

25 year flood for three scenarios (current situation, expansion and removal of high-dykes).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Validation of estimated damage

30

data. The exposed cropping area was overestimated by 18%, i.e. 32.500 hectares in comparison with a reported area of 27.000 hectares (Tinh, 2012). We estimated rice crop losses of US\$ 42.7 million. This number is equivalent to 81% of the reported agricultural damages from the National Steering Committee for Flood and Storm Prevention and Control (US\$ 52.8 million) (MRC, 2011). Flood damages to other agriculture crops and facilities as e.g. farmhouses, which were included in the reported damages <u>(lumped to a single value categorized as agriculture losses)</u>, were not yet incorporated in the presented damage estimation. <u>Considering that paddy rice is the predominant crop in the delta, it is very likely to share a large part of the state.</u>

The damage estimation was validated by comparing the estimated damage for the flood in 2011 with official damage

35 reported losses. Paddy fields as derived from the land-use LC2014 raster, account for 72% of whole agriculture land within

the focus area of this study (deep and shallow inundation areas). Assuming a linear distribution of damages in the lumped official reported damages with land use proportion, it can be reasoned that the simulated damages are in the range of the reported. However, it has to be acknowledged that spatial distribution and market prices of different crops are likely to be important for the damage estimation. In any case, although not being the ideal piece of information, the reported agriculture

losses were the only available data to evaluate our rice crop damages calculation. 5

A large share of the overestimated exposed area of rice crops can be attributed to the simulated inundation extents, although huge efforts have been made in updating, refining, and calibrating the model (Dung et al., 2011; Manh et al., 2014; Triet et al., 2017). The main source of uncertainty stems from the interpolation of 1D model results to a 2D raster, which could not be reduced even by the high resolution LiDAR DEM. Triet et al. (2017) reported a flood areas index (FAI) of 0.64 for the comparison of modelled and observed inundated areas for the whole VMD. The FAI was computed by deviding the sets of pixels presenting the intersection of observed and simulated inundation with the set of pixels preseting the union of observed and simulated (Eq. 3 in Aronica et al. (2002)). This value increased to 0.74 if only the flood-prone area of the VMD was considered. According to Aronica et al. (2002), who suggested that a FAI higher than 0.7 is considered as acceptable for an

15 for the flood prone are of the VMD, where the bulk of flood damages occur.

A small share of the overestimation of the 2011 flood might stem from the land-use data. Considering the rapid expansion of the triple season rice areas in the delta (Le et al. (2018), it can be expected that the used land-use product of 2014-is expected to overestimates the spatial coverage of damage areas of triple season rice paddies, possibly resulting in an overestimation of the flood damages. Also, land-use data have a resolution of 250x250 m, therefore the majority of inland canals (width 10-30

inundation simulation model, it can be concluded that the performance of the inundation model for the VMD is acceptable

20

10

m) were likely classified as rice pixels (see Fig. 3). Considered the channel density in the delta of 14 m/ha (Hung et al., 2012), not separating these inland water pixels might contribute with a small share to the overestimation. Additionally, other important factors were not considered, such as dyke failures and local flood management measures, i.e. early harvesting of rice crops despite not being 100% ripe, or local raising of dyke segments with sandbags.

Some of these error sources might be resolved by further refining the model. For instance, the land-use dataset can be 25 improved by considering the inland canals when the crop areas are extracted. Enhancing model performance is, however, not that straightforward considering the huge amount of data required for the large-scale model domain. Despite these deficiencies, the flood damage assessment proposed in this study can produce reliable results, particularly when the typically large errors in flood damage estimation are taken as reference (e.g. Schröter et al., 2014). Thus the proposed method is judged to be appropriate to estimate flood hazard and risk to rice cropping in the VMD.

30 4.2 Flood hazard assessment

The flood event time series at Kratie were transformed into four hazard indicators: maximum water level, date of occurrence, inundation extent and depth. The simulated annual maximum water levels (AMWL) at locations with water level gauges in the VMD are summarized in Table 2. Figure 7 presents the date of occurrence (DO) of the AMWLs at these points. The chosen locations encompass nine gauges in the Mekong mainstream (i.e. Mekong River and Bassac River), and seven

35 inland gauges in the two most important floodplains, i.e. LXQ (Long Xuyen Quadrangle) and PoR (Plain of Reeds). Inundated areas were calculated for the three periods specified in Table 1 and aggregated to the flood-prone area of the VMD on the basis of the four hydrograph shapes (Fig. 8).

The simulation results show that the AMWLs in the VMD vary substantially depending on flood magnitude (T_F) and hydrograph shapes. We estimated a relative change of 10-20% (40-60 cm) in simulated AMWL for an event with T_{100} compared to T_{10} in the deep submergence region (DSR), and 4-8% (5-10 cm) in the shallow submergence region (SSR). The minor increase in the SSR can be attributed to the strong tidal influence at these stations. Hung et al. (2012) and Triet et al.

5 (2017) reported a tidal influence of 70-80% to the river flow and water level at Can Tho and My Thuan during the flood season. Towards the northern part of the VMD the tidal influence reduces below 2% at Tan Chau and Chau Doc at the border with Cambodia (Hung et al., 2012).

With regard to the shape of <u>The</u> hydrograph <u>shape also influences the AMWL</u>: higher AMWL were obtained for events with shp_1 and shp_3 . The shape shp_3 resulted in higher AMWL in the DSR, while shp_1 yieled higher AMWL in the SSR of the

- 10 delta. In contrast to this shp_2 and shp_4 caused lower water levels. We found a difference in AMWL ranges from 9 cm at SSR to 45 cm at the DSR induced by the shapes shp_2 and shp_4 compared to the other two hydrograph shapes. These results are explained by the date of Q_{max} at Kratie: shp_1 and shp_3 have the second and higher peak in mid-September (shp_3) or mid-October (shp_1), about one month later than the other *FP*. When this peak is routed to the VMD, it <u>meets already partly</u> filled floodplain compartments, and coincides with the period of highest tidal levels in the year (October-November).
- 15 Therefore, the highest AMWL is caused by the hydrodynamic interaction between the upstream and downstream boundaries. and preceding inundation dynamics. Our simulation thus provides numerical evidence to confirm the statement in Tri (2012) and Triet et al. (2017) that the superposition of river flood peaks with high tide periods results in substantial backwater effects and higher water levels up to the border with Cambodia.

The date of occurrence (DO) of the AMWL in the VMD is less sensitive to changes in flood magnitude peak discharge and

- 20 shape of hydrograph than the actual AMWL (see Fig. 7). The DO can be divided into two groups. The first group is composed of stations with prevailing tidal influence, e.g. Can Tho, My Thuan, Vi Thanh. For this group AMWL occurs in late October, similar to the period of maximum tidal levels in 2011, the downstream boundary conditions of the flood propagation model. The second group contains gauges further north or gauges far from the main rivers, where the tidal influence is largely reduced. These gauges have the DO in the first half of October.
- Figure 8 illustrates the frequency distribution of maximum inundation extent for three periods: July, August and whole year. This indicator varies strongly depending on the hydrograph shapes. A 10-year flood event with hydrograph shape *shp*₁ results in the same maximum extent as the 100-year flood with *shp*₄. This result proves the necessity to incorporate the temporal evolution of flood events into flood hazard and risk assessments in the MD. Our estimation of inundated areas from *T*₁₀ to *T*₁₀₀ event changed from 5%-10% of the size of the flood prone region of the VMD (2.0 million ha) in July, 30%-50% in August, and 60-75% for annual maximum extent. This means that even for the 100-year flood, 25-40% of the flood prone region were cut off from inundation by implementing high-dykes, initiated after the flood in 2000.

4.3 Exposed rice cropping area and flood damage

35

Exposed areas and flood damage (*D*) to rice crop were calculated on a pixel basis and then aggregated to the eight provinces located in the study area (Fig. 9 and Table 3). The average damage ranged from US\$ 39.0 mil for a 10-year flood to US\$ 75.0 million for a 100-year flood. These numbers account for 0.23-0.45% of the total Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of the

eight provinces in 2011. Since such assessments are not available for neighbouring deltas in South Asia, e.g. Chao Phraya in Thailand or Irrawaddy in Myanmar, a comparison between these deltas is not possible. Our worst scenario, i.e. $T_{100}shp_3$, resulted in damages of US\$ 115.7 million. This value is less than half of the reported <u>overall</u> damages of the flood in 2000 (US\$ 250 million; reported by in MRC (2012), scaled to US\$ 500 million with 2011 price levels by Chinh et al. (2016)), which is considered as a 20-year flood in the MD (Le et al., 2007). Although the damage figures from the event in 2000 were the overall damages, of which agricultural damages were an unknown part, this indicates a large This huge reduction in flood losses can be directly-linked to the effective-flood management and adaptation measures being implemented in the VMD

5 following the "Decision No. 99/1996" on flood control and development plan for the delta from the Government of Vietnam (The Government of Viet Nam, 1996). Note that the plan was initiated in 1996, but was implemented to a large extend after the flood in 2000 occurred.

The hydrograph shape has a substantial effect on damage to rice crops in the VMD. The shape shp_3 resulted in high damages, roughly 1.5 times higher than the average damage. Flood hydrograph shp_2 closely matched the average damage (85-90%),

10 while shp_1 and shp_4 resulted in approximately 60-70% of the average damage (Fig. 9B). These findings support our hazard and risk assessment approach and point to the relevance of the temporal evolution of the flood event for damage estimation. For example, the total flood damage from $T_{10}shp_3$ (US\$ 57.8 million) was about 10% higher than the $T_{100}shp_1$ event (US\$ 51.0 million).

The results provide evidence that rice cropping in the VMD is most vulnerable to flooding stemming from the early flood pulse in August/September when the total plantation area is expected to be at its maximum. During this period damage occurs to the second crop (*SAC*) in both DSR and SSR, and the *AWC* in the SSR. This finding is in line with the extremely high damages during the flood in 2000, whose first peak at Tan Chau (point 1 in Fig. 1) occurred in August 02, i.e. one month earlier than usual (Xo et al., 2015). In contrast, damage from late flood events, i.e. shp_1 or shp_4 , are limited to the *AWC* in the DSR due to failure of dyke structure, or flood levels overtopping the current dyke height, similar to the flood damages

20 recorded in 2011.

Aggregating the mean D values per province showed that the two northern provinces An Giang and Dong Thap accounted for about two-third of the total D of the VMD, with flood damage in An Giang being about 1.5 times higher than in Dong Thap. This difference increases up to a factor of three in case of late flood peaks (Table 3). This can be explained by the larger areas with triple rice crops in An Giang. This third crop in the DSR is particularly vulnerable to inundation in

25 October/November (see Fig. 3B). Damages for the other two provinces in the DSR also showed remarkable differences, with ~16% of the total flood damage calculated for Long An located in the Plain of Reeds. This is 10-15 times higher than the share of Kien Giang (D in Kien Giang is less than 2% of the total D), although the rice cropping area is larger in Kien Giang (Bouvet and Le Toan, 2011; Nguyen et al., 2015).

The remaining SSR provinces accounted for about a quarter of the total *D*, which can be linked to their smaller rice cultivation areas in combination with their flood control measures. Our calculated flood damage to rice crop for Can Tho is, on average, in the range US\$ 2.9-6.3 million from the 10-year to the 100-year flood, respectively. These figures amount to about 60% of the estimated urban damages of Can Tho (US\$ 5.0-9.7 million) for events with similar magnitudes (Chinh et al., 2017). Since Can Tho has the highest urban/rural area ratio compared to the other delta provinces, it is likely that agriculture losses have an equal or higher share in flood damage compared to urban losses in the other provinces of the delta.

35 4.4 Rice cropping flood risk

For the current land-use, the *EAD* for rice cropping in the whole delta amounts to US\$ 4.5 million (see Fig. 10B), with an average crop risk of 1.0 - 4.6 US\$ for each unit of land [hectare] (see Fig. 11A). The highest risk was calculated for the provinces located in the deep submergence region (DSR), except for Kien Giang where the *EAD* was very low.

Expansion of areas with triple rice cropping in An Giang and Dong Thap, as defined in the expansion development scenario, would increase the exposure to flooding. Figure 10B shows that this expansion of *AWC* would triple the *EAD* to above US\$ 15.0 million. The expansion of triple rice cropping also means that the current low-dyke system must be raised to a higher design level in order to support the cultivation of *AWC* during September-November. This, in turn, would lead to higher

5 inundation hazards and risks in downstream provinces (Triet et al., 2017). Figure 11C shows both effects: a substantial increase in *EAD* in Dong Thap and An Giang, and a slight increase of *EAD* in the downstream provinces resulting from a higher inundation hazard caused by the high-dyke development.

The second development scenario – introducing floodwater to the paddy fields after the *SAC* and no cultivation of *AWC* in An Giang and Dong Thap – resulted in smaller D and *EAD* values, as expected. Abandoning *AWC* cultivation would result in an *EAD* decrease about 40% (Fig. 10B). The majority of these changes in both scenarios stems from changes in An Giang

and Dong Thap. The other provinces account for 5-15% of the changes in EAD only.

The full flood control measures (high-dykes) supporting the expansion of *AWC* areas in An Giang and Dong Thap after the flood in 2000 have been continuously debated. Triet et al. (2017) revealed that the change from low-dyke to high-dyke systems in this upstream part of the delta increased the inundation hazard in downstream areas, e.g. by an increase of 9-13

- 15 cm in AMWL at Can Tho and My Thuan. Howie (2005) and Käkönen (2008) challenged the claim that farmers could have higher benefit by being able to add another harvest to the cropping system, because of more investment cost for mineral fertilisers to counter the losses of natural fertilization by deposited sediment (Manh et al., 2014), together with negative social and environmental consequences. The profitability of triple rice farming was reported to reduce from initially 57% to 6% after 15 years compared to double rice counterparts due to higher production costs (Tran et al., 2018). These arguments and
- 20 findings might make expansion of AWC less attractive.

10

On the other hand, rice cultivation areas in the southern part of the delta are likely to decrease due to increased salinity intrusion following higher sea levels (Smajgl et al., 2015; Hak et al., 2016) and land subsidence (Erban et al., 2014; Minderhoud et al., 2017). Expansion of *AWC* in the northern part of the delta is an option to counter such losses and to ensure food security. Our results could support an evaluation of the costs and benefits of further high-dyke development and triple

25 rice cropping expansion, and thus provide important information for future flood management and land-use planning in the delta. Additionally, the damage and risks maps can serve as a basis for flood management. They could support the agricultural insurance, initiated in 2011 by the decision of the Prime Minister of Vietnam. In this programme the insurance premium depends on the rice yield only, and the spatial pattern of the flood hazard is not considered (The Government of Viet Nam, 2011).

30 4.5 Uncertainties, limitation and future research directions

One of the major sources of uncertainties in our estimation of D and EAD is associated with the inundation maps. The process of interpolating from 1D water levels to 2D inundation raster inherits uncertainties from the hydraulic model and from the DEM used for interpolation (Brandt, 2016). A full 2D modelling approach might enhance the quality of flood inundation mapping, but this comes at costs: the setup of a full 2D hydraulic model on that scale is challenging because of

35 the high density of man-made channels and hydraulic infrastructure, which need to be implemented in the model with high accuracy to substantially improve the simulations. Additionally, model runtime becomes critical in detailed large-scale 2D hydraulic simulations within a risk assessment requiring a large number of model simulations. Large-scale approaches building on coarse resolution modelling with sub-grid parameterization (Sampson et al., 2015) still cannot provide sufficient accuracy to properly map the hydraulic dynamics in such a complex system with flat topography, where details matter a lot.

But refining this approach on-in a high-resolution, including all relevant hydraulic structures, and implementation in a highly parallelized environment (e.g. on GPUs) could provide a viable path for reducing the uncertainties in hydraulic modelling of the MD.

Another uncertainty source are the land use maps used to quantify flood exposure of rice crops. The land-use raster was produced using satellite data from 2014, thus it is somewhat outdated considering the dynamics of agricultural land-use 5 change in the MD. The area of triple season rice has very likely increased from 2014 to present. An updated and higher resolution land-use dataset would certainly improve-provide more up to datethe results.

Another uncertainty source is the limited number of return periods used to calculate EAD. Ward et al. (2011) showed that the number and choice of the selected return periods can introduce a significant bias in the EAD estimates. They also pointed out

the importance of considering damages to frequently occurring low damage floods, in line with the findings of Merz et al. 10 (2009). However, as the agricultural system in the MD is well adapted to frequently occurring floods (in fact these floods are the basis for the current practice of paddy rice cropping), this effect is likely not as important as in the European studies listed above.

Our work does not consider flood damage to other agriculture crops (e.g. orchard farms) or land-use types (e.g. shrimp farms).

- Although these production types are smaller than rice cropping in terms of area, they generate much higher economic value 15 per cultivation unit. Therefore, it is highly recommended to include these crops in future studies on agricultural flood risk in the VMD. To facilitate such assessments efforts need to be made to collect data on crop and aquaculture type and area, plantation calendar, and their vulnerability to inundation. Such risk assessments could then be used for scenario planning by varying land-use types and cropping patterns or changing boundary conditions by climate change and upstream
- developments. In addition, dedicated efforts for validation and development of crop damage curves for the VMD based on 20 recorded flood damages at the plot scale would increase the credibility of the presented risk analysis. Our study is validated against large-scale, aggregated damage data only, and it is open to which extent small-scale variations which could be important for local adaptation measures are represented sufficiently well.

5 Conclusions

- A top-down approach to estimate flood damages and risks to rice cropping in the flood-prone areas of the Vietnamese 25 Mekong Delta (VMD) is presented. The work was motivated by recent publications stating that extreme floods in the Mekong are likely to occur more frequent in the 21st century (Delgado et al., 2010; Hirabayashi et al., 2013), but also by the perceived need to shift flood management towards a risk-based approach. The presented quantification of flood risks to rice crops, the predominant land-use in the region, is the first step in this direction, as a large-scale flood risk assessment has not been implemented to date. This work is thus the very first publication on large-scale flood risk assessment for the agricultural 30
- - sector in the VMD.

The results showed that the timing of the floods, the high tides and the cropping calendar are crucial factors for agricultural crop damage. Although the cropping calendars are adapted to the general flood dynamics in the different areas of the MD, large damages might still occur in case of extreme events. A reliable seasonal forecast of the annual floods would thus be

35 very helpful for a risk-based adaptive flood management of agricultural production. The study suggests that flood mitigation measures by the government and farmers, e.g. shifting of the cropping calendar and construction of dykes and sluice gates, prior and post the historical flood in 2000 have greatly reduced potential agricultural flood damages.

The risk indicators, expected annual damages (*EAD*) and average crop risk per province, can serve as the basis to develop spatially explicit flood management and mitigation plans for the delta. The crop risk maps, corresponding to three-two land-use change scenarios which are frequently used in the public and academic discussion, could be used as input information for a cost-benefit analysis to evaluate the alternative of enlargement of the third rice crop in the two northern provinces.

- 5 Based on our findings, the following suggestions can be made to support flood management in the region: (1) Appropriate maintenance is necessary for the flood control systems, with a strong emphasis on the low-dyke systems providing protection against the early flood wave before September. (2) The rice cropping scheme referred as "ba năm tám vụ", meaning eight rice crops each three years, should be reviewed. According to this scheme, sluice gates will be opened to allow flood water inundating the compartments, in order to replenish the natural fertilization with deposited sediment at least once every three
- 10 consecutive years. However, the study of Manh et al. (2014) revealed that during low flood years the estimated deposited sediment in the VMD was ~14 times smaller than in years of extreme floods. Thus, opening flood compartments during low flood year might result in little sediment deposition in paddy-fields. We propose to open the flood compartments protected with high-dykes in An Giang, Dong Thap during extreme events (i.e. larger than 10-year return period). However, for a proper implementation of such a scheme a reliable seasonal forecasts of the expected floods are required. Our estimation of
- 15 flood damage (D) can be used as reference for developing such management plans based on a thorough cost-benefit analysis including a quantitative consideration of the benefits of natural fertilization vs. mineral fertilizers. (3) The current pilot programme on agriculture insurance should be revised, at least for rice cropping in the VMD. Insurance premiums are preferably calculated based on the average rice yield per province. Using our spatially explicit results would include the actual flood hazard of the insured area. However, the damages should be updated to current economic values, as the values
- 20 of 2011 were used.

Finally, our inundation hazard maps can be used to quantify flood damages and risks to other agricultural crops and land-use in the MD, if appropriate land-use maps and damage models are available. In a similar manner changes in flood hazard and risk inflicted by impacts of climate change, sea level rise, the pronounced deltaic land subsidence, land-use changes and upstream hydropower development can be quantified systematically. These issues will be addressed in future work.

25 Acknowledgement

The work leading to this publication was supported by the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) with funds from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF). We acknowledge Dr. Kotera at Vietnam Japan University for providing processed EVI data. The authors want to specially thank Mr. Pham The Vinh and various colleagues at SIWRR for their support in providing the DEM and survey data.

References

5

15

Abbott, M. B., and Ionescu, F.: On the numerical computation of nearly horizontal flows, Journal of Hydraulic Research, 5, 97-117, 1967. Apel, H., Trepat, O. M., Hung, N. N., Chinh, D. T., Merz, B., and Dung, N. V.: Combined fluvial and pluvial urban flood hazard analysis:

- concept development and application to Can Tho city, Mekong Delta, Vietnam, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 16, 941-961, 2016.
- Aronica, G., Bates, P., and Horritt, M.: Assessing the uncertainty in distributed model predictions using observed binary pattern information within GLUE, Hydrological Processes, 16, 2001-2016, 2002.
- Bouvet, A., and Le Toan, T. L. D., Nguyen: Monitoring of the Rice Cropping System in the Mekong Delta Using ENVISAT/ASAR Dual Polarization Data, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 47, 10, 10.1109/TGRS.2008.2007963, 2009.
- 10 Bouvet, A., and Le Toan, T.: Use of ENVISAT/ASAR wide-swath data for timely rice fields mapping in the Mekong River Delta, Remote Sensing of Environment, 115, 1090-1101, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2010.12.014</u>, 2011.

Brandt, S. A.: Modeling and visualizing uncertainties of flood boundary delineation: algorithm for slope and DEM resolution dependencies of 1D hydraulic models, Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 30, 1677-1690, 10.1007/s00477-016-1212-z, 2016.

- Chinh, D., Dung, N., Gain, A., and Kreibich, H.: Flood Loss Models and Risk Analysis for Private Households in Can Tho City, Vietnam, Water, 9, 313, 2017.
- Chinh, D. T., Dung, N. V., Kreibich, H., and Bubeck, P.: The 2011 flood event in the Mekong Delta: preparedness, response, damage and recovery of private households and small businesses, Disasters, 2016.
- Dang, T. D., Cochrane, T. A., Arias, M. E., and Tri, V. P. D.: Future hydrological alterations in the Mekong Delta under the impact of water resources development, land subsidence and sea level rise, Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 15, 119-133, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2017.12.002, 2018.
 - Delgado, J., Apel, H., and Merz, B.: Flood trends and variability in the Mekong river, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 14, 407-418, 2010.

Deltares: Mekong Delta Plan, Long-term vision and strategy for a safe, prosperous and sustainable delta, in, 126, 2013.

- Dinh, Q., Balica, S., Popescu, I., and Jonoski, A.: Climate change impact on flood hazard, vulnerability and risk of the Long Xuyen
 Quadrangle in the Mekong Delta, International Journal of River Basin Management, 10, 103-120, 2012.
 - Dung, N. V., Merz, B., Bárdossy, A., Thang, T. D., and Apel, H.: Multi-objective automatic calibration of hydrodynamic models utilizing inundation maps and gauge data, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 15, 1339-1354, 2011.
 - Dung, N. V., Merz, B., Bárdossy, A., and Apel, H.: Handling uncertainty in bivariate quantile estimation–An application to flood hazard analysis in the Mekong Delta, Journal of Hydrology, 527, 704-717, 2015.
- 30 Dutta, D., Herath, S., and Musiake, K.: A mathematical model for flood loss estimation, Journal of hydrology, 277, 24-49, 2003. Erban, L. E., Gorelick, S. M., and Zebker, H. A.: Groundwater extraction, land subsidence, and sea-level rise in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam, Environmental Research Letters, 9, 084010, 2014.
 - Förster, S., Kuhlmann, B., Lindenschmidt, K.-E., and Bronstert, A.: Assessing flood risk for a rural detention area, Natural Hazards and Earth System Science, 8, 311-322, 2008.
- 35 GSO: Statistical handbook of Vietnam 2015, General statistics office of Viet Nam, 2015.
 - Hak, D., Nadaoka, K., Patrick Bernado, L., Le Phu, V., Hong Quan, N., Quang Toan, T., Hieu Trung, N., Van Ni, D., and Pham Dang Tri,
 V.: Spatio-temporal variations of sea level around the Mekong Delta: their causes and consequences on the coastal environment,
 Hydrological Research Letters, 10, 60-66, 2016.
- Hirabayashi, Y., Mahendran, R., Koirala, S., Konoshima, L., Yamazaki, D., Watanabe, S., Kim, H., and Kanae, S.: Global flood risk under climate change, Nature Climate Change, 3, 816, 10.1038/nclimate1911
 - https://www.nature.com/articles/nclimate1911#supplementary-information, 2013.
 - Howie, C.: High dykes in the Mekong Delta in Vietnam bring social gains and environmental pains, Aquaculture News, 32, 15-17, 2005.
- Huete, A., Didan, K., Miura, T., Rodriguez, E. P., Gao, X., and Ferreira, L. G.: Overview of the radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices, Remote Sensing of Environment, 83, 195-213, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00096-2</u>, 2002.
 - Hung, N. N., Delgado, J. M., Tri, V. K., Hung, L. M., Merz, B., Bárdossy, A., and Apel, H.: Floodplain hydrology of the Mekong Delta, Vietnam, Hydrological Processes, 26, 674-686, 10.1002/hyp.8183, 2012.
 - Käkönen, M.: Mekong Delta at the crossroads: more control or adaptation?, AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment, 37, 205-212, 2008.
- 50 Klaus, S., Kreibich, H., Merz, B., Kuhlmann, B., and Schröter, K.: Large-scale, seasonal flood risk analysis for agricultural crops in Germany, Environmental Earth Sciences, 75, 1289, 10.1007/s12665-016-6096-1, 2016.
 - Kotera, A., Nagano, T., Hanittinan, P., and Koontanakulvong, S.: Assessing the degree of flood damage to rice crops in the Chao Phraya delta, Thailand, using MODIS satellite imaging, Paddy Water Environ, 14, 271-280, 2016.
- Le, T. N., Bregt, A. K., van Halsema, G. E., Hellegers, P. J. G. J., and Nguyen, L.-D.: Interplay between land-use dynamics and changes 55 hydrological regime Vietnamese Mekong Delta, Land Policy, 269-280, in in the Use 73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.01.030, 2018.
 - Le, T. V. H., Nguyen, H. N., Wolanski, E., Tran, T. C., and Haruyama, S.: The combined impact on the flooding in Vietnam's Mekong River delta of local man-made structures, sea level rise, and dams upstream in the river catchment, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 71, 110-116, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2006.08.021</u>, 2007.

Leinenkugel, P., Kuenzer, C., Oppelt, N., and Dech, S.: Characterisation of land surface phenology and land cover based on moderate resolution satellite data in cloud prone areas — A novel product for the Mekong Basin, Remote Sensing of Environment, 136, 180-198, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.05.004</u>, 2013.

- Manh, N., Merz, B., and Apel, H.: Sedimentation monitoring including uncertainty analysis in complex floodplains: a case study in the
 Mekong Delta, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17, 3039-3057, 2013.
 - Manh, N. V., Dung, N. V., Hung, N. N., Merz, B., and Apel, H.: Large-scale suspended sediment transport and sediment deposition in the Mekong Delta, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 18, 3033-3053, 10.5194/hess-18-3033-2014, 2014.
 - Merz, B., Elmer, F., and Thieken, A. H.: Significance of "high probability/low damage" versus "low probability/high damage" flood events, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 9, 1033-1046, 10.5194/nhess-9-1033-2009, 2009.
- 10 Merz, B., Kreibich, H., Schwarze, R., and Thieken, A.: Review article" Assessment of economic flood damage", Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 10, 1697-1724, 2010.

Minderhoud, P. S. J., Erkens, G., Pham, V. H., Bui, V. T., Erban, L., Kooi, H., and Stouthamer, E.: Impacts of 25 years of groundwater extraction on subsidence in the Mekong delta, Vietnam, Environmental Research Letters, 12, 064006, 2017.

- MRC: Flood Damages, Benefits and Flood Risk in Focal Areas, Mekong River Commission, 184 pages, 2009.
- MRC: Flood situation report 2011, MRC Technical Paper No. 36, Mekong River Commission, Phnom Phenh, 57 pages, 2011.
 MRC: The Impact and Management of Floods and Droughts in the Lower Mekong Basin and The Implications of Possible Climate Change, Mekong River Commission, 129 pages, 2012.
 - Nguyen, D. B., Clauss, K., Cao, S., Naeimi, V., Kuenzer, C., and Wagner, W.: Mapping Rice Seasonality in the Mekong Delta with Multi-Year Envisat ASAR WSM Data, Remote Sensing, 7, 15808, 2015.
- 20 Penning-Rowsell, E. C., Wilson, T., and Centre, F. H. R.: The benefits of flood and coastal defence: techniques and data for 2003, Middlesex University, 2003.

Sampson, C. C., Smith, A. M., Bates, P. B., Neal, J. C., Alfieri, L., and Freer, J. E.: A high-resolution global flood hazard model, Water Resources Research, 51, 7358–7381, 10.1002/2015WR016954, 2015.

- Schröter, K., Kreibich, H., Vogel, K., Riggelsen, C., Scherbaum, F., and Merz, B.: How useful are complex flood damage models?, Water Resources Research, 50, 3378-3395, 2014.
 - Smajgl, A., Toan, T. Q., Nhan, D. K., Ward, J., Trung, N. H., Tri, L. Q., Tri, V. P. D., and Vu, P. T.: Responding to rising sea levels in the Mekong Delta, Nature Clim. Change, 5, 167-174, 10.1038/nclimate2469, 2015.

The Government of Viet Nam: Decision No. 99/TTg on water resources, infrastructure and rural development plan for the Vietnamese Mekong Delta during the period 1996 - 2000 (in Vietnamese), in, 1996.

30 The Government of Viet Nam: Decision No. 315/TTg on pilot programme for agriculture insurance in Vietnam (in Vietnamese), in, 2011. Tinh, D. N.: 2011 flood lesson leraned in Vietnam, in, Presentation at 2012 South-East-Asia Flood Risk Reduction Forum: Vietnam, 19, 2012.

35

Toan, T. Q.: Climate Change and Sea Level Rise in the Mekong Delta: Flood, Tidal Inundation, Salinity Intrusion, and Irrigation Adaptation Methods, in: Coastal Disasters and Climate Change in Vietnam, edited by: Esteban, N. D. T., Hiroshi Takagi Miguel, Elsevier, Oxford, 199-218, 2014.

- Tran, D. D., van Halsema, G., Hellegers, P. J. G. J., Ludwig, F., and Wyatt, A.: Questioning triple rice intensification on the Vietnamese mekong delta floodplains: An environmental and economic analysis of current land-use trends and alternatives, Journal of Environmental Management, 217, 429-441, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.03.116</u>, 2018.
- Tri, V.: Hydrology and Hydraulic Infrastructure Systems in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam, in: The Mekong Delta System, edited by: Renaud,
 F. G., and Kuenzer, C., Springer Environmental Science and Engineering, Springer Netherlands, 49-81, 2012.
 - Triet, N. V. K., Dung, N. V., Fujii, H., Kummu, M., Merz, B., and Apel, H.: Has dyke development in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta shifted flood hazard downstream?, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 21, 3991-4010, 10.5194/hess-21-3991-2017, 2017.
 - Van, P., Popescu, I., Van Griensven, A., Solomatine, D., Trung, N., and Green, A.: A study of the climate change impacts on fluvial flood propagation in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16 (12), 2012, 2012.
- Van, T. C.: Identification of sea level rise impacts on the Mekong Delta and orientation of adaptation activities, in, 2009.
 Ward, P. J., de Moel, H., and Aerts, J. C. J. H.: How are flood risk estimates affected by the choice of return-periods?, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 11, 3181-3195, 10.5194/nhess-11-3181-2011, 2011.
 - Xo, L. Q., Hien, N. X., Thanh, N. D., Ngoc, B., Khoi, N. H., Lam, D. T., Khoi, T. M., Tien, H. T., and Uyen, N. T.: Mekong Delta flood management plan to 2020 and 2030 (in Vietnamese), Southern Institute of Water Resources Planning, Hochiminh City, Vietnam, 2015.

16

Table 1. Inundation maps for estimating damage to rice crops in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta.

inundation	cropping	rice crop	planting calendar	input inundated raster			
legion	system			raster name	period		
shallow	double season rice	Summer-Autumn (SAC)	mid-April - mid-July	July	Jun. 01 – Jul. 31		
(below 1.5 m)	triple season rice	Summer-Autumn (SAC)	March - May/early June	July	Jun. 01 – Jul. 31		
		Autumn-Winter (AWC)	mid-June - mid September	August	Jun. 01 – Sep. 15		
deep	double season rice	Summer-Autumn (SAC)	May - July	July	Jun. 01 – Jul. 31		
(above 1.5 m)	triple season rice	Summer-Autumn (SAC)	May - July	July	Jun. 01 – Jul. 31		
		Autumn-Winter (AWC)	September - November	Annual	Sep. 01 – Nov. 30		

Table 2. Simulated annual maximum water level at key gauge stations in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta in correspondence with T-year flood event.

l stations	Phung Hiep	[12]	2.01	2.03	2.05	2.07	1.98	2.00	2.02	2.03	1.95	1.97	1.99	2.03	1.96	1.98	2.00	2.01
inland	Vi Thanh	[11]	1.01	1.04	1.08	1.11	0.96	0.99	1.02	1.03	0.94	0.96	0.99	1.02	0.94	0.96	0.99	1.01
lrangle	Tan Hiep	[10]	1.80	1.91	2.02	2.09	1.66	1.74	1.83	1.91	1.83	1.95	2.07	2.14	1.65	1.74	1.85	1.93
uyen Quao	Tri Ton	[6]	2.90	3.05	3.20	3.29	2.75	2.89	3.02	3.11	3.05	3.19	3.34	3.43	2.77	2.92	3.07	3.16
Long	Xuan To	[8]	4.69	4.90	5.12	5.25	4.31	4.49	4.68	4.81	4.66	4.85	5.06	5.19	4.28	4.46	4.66	4.79
	Tan An	[16]	1.58	1.61	1.64	1.67	1.50	1.54	1.58	1.60	1.50	1.53	1.56	1.60	1.47	1.51	1.55	1.57
f Reeds	Kien Binh	[15]	2.09	2.30	2.49	2.61	1.76	1.94	2.15	2.27	2.09	2.29	2.50	2.62	1.72	1.90	2.13	2.27
Plain of	Hung Thanh	[13]	3.29	3.51	3.74	3.89	2.91	3.11	3.32	3.45	3.29	3.51	3.75	3.89	2.87	3.08	3.32	3.47
	Moc Hoa	[14]	3.20	3.45	3.71	3.87	2.75	2.99	3.24	3.39	3.22	3.46	3.72	3.88	2.73	2.99	3.26	3.42
	Can Tho	[9]	2.27	2.30	2.33	2.35	2.22	2.25	2.28	2.30	2.21	2.25	2.28	2.33	2.19	2.22	2.25	2.27
assac Rive	Long Xuyen	[4]	2.78	2.89	3.02	3.10	2.66	2.74	2.84	2.91	2.87	2.98	3.10	3.19	2.67	2.77	2.88	2.95
B	Chau Doc	[2]	4.71	4.92	5.12	5.26	4.33	4.51	4.70	4.82	4.68	4.87	5.08	5.21	4.31	4.49	4.69	4.82
	My Thuan	[7]	2.04	2.07	2.09	2.11	1.99	2.02	2.05	2.06	1.95	1.98	2.01	2.04	1.96	1.99	2.02	2.04
Mekong River	Cao Lanh	[3]	2.69	2.83	2.98	3.07	2.53	2.64	2.76	2.84	2.79	2.92	3.06	3.17	2.55	2.67	2.80	2.89
	Vam Nao	[5]	3.71	3.88	4.06	4.17	3.41	3.55	3.70	3.81	3.75	3.91	4.09	4.20	3.44	3.59	3.76	3.87
	Tan Chau	[1]*	4.94	5.15	5.37	5.50	4.54	4.72	4.92	5.05	4.93	5.13	5.35	5.49	4.54	4.73	4.94	5.09
Simulation			T10Shp1	T20Shp1	T50Shp1	T100Shp1	T10Shp2	T20Shp2	T50Shp2	T100Shp2	T10Shp3	T20Shp3	T50Shp3	T100Shp3	T10Shp4	T20Shp4	T50Shp4	T100Shp4

Simulation	return period	An Giang	Dong Thap	Long An	Kien Giang	Can Tho	Hau Giang	Tien Giang	Vinh Long
T10shp1		50.5	18.0	13.6	0.2	6.2	1.8	0.9	8.9
$T_{10}shp_2$	10 year	30.3	22.7	21.1	1.3	11.2	2.5	3.6	7.2
T10shp3		36.3	29.8	18.9	0.5	6.5	1.6	1.7	4.7
$T_{10}shp_4$		42.9	23.3	14.6	0.2	7.3	2.0	1.0	8.8
$T_{20}shp_1$		54.1	16.9	12.1	0.2	6.4	1.7	1.0	7.5
$T_{20}shp_2$	20 year	27.7	21.7	20.9	2.1	13.3	2.9	5.0	6.3
$T_{20}shp_{3} \\$		37.5	27.7	19.9	0.8	6.7	1.5	2.0	3.9
$T_{20}shp_4 \\$		41.2	25.1	14.4	0.2	7.7	2.1	1.2	8.1
T ₅₀ shp ₁		61.0	14.1	10.4	0.2	5.7	1.5	1.3	5.7
$T_{50}shp_2$	50 year	25.1	19.7	21.2	2.9	14.3	3.4	7.7	5.9
T50shp3		40.6	24.6	19.5	1.0	7.0	1.6	2.3	3.2
T50shp4		43.4	25.2	13.3	0.2	7.6	2.0	1.6	6.6
T ₁₀₀ shp ₁		62.2	13.7	10.0	0.2	5.9	1.5	1.3	5.1
$T_{100}shp_2 \\$	100 year	26.8	18.0	19.6	4.2	14.4	3.4	8.4	5.2
$T_{100}shp_3\\$		40.1	23.8	20.2	1.3	7.2	1.7	2.9	2.9
$T_{100}shp_4$		44.5	24.7	13.6	0.3	7.6	2.0	1.6	5.8

Table 3. Flood damage to rice crop aggregated to the province level and converted to percentage of the total damage.



Figure 1. Geographical location of the Mekong Basin (left panel). The Vietnamese Mekong Delta and its flood prone area (right panel). Deep inundation region (above 1.5 meter) marked in yellow, shallow inundation region (below 1.5 meter) presented in green colour. Red dots are locations of tidal gauges. Blue dots are locations of water level gauges. The numbers above blue/ red dots present station codes.



Figure 2. Time-series of the smoothed enhanced vegetation index (EVI) for double (A) and triple (B) rice cropping fields in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta. The blue lines denote paddy fields in shallow inundation zone. Red lines represent paddy fields in deep inundation zone. The cropping season ends 40 days after EVI peak (Kotera et al., 2016).



Figure 3. Panel A presents the land-use map of the Vietnamese Mekong Delta in 2014. Panel B and C show plantation areas of the Summer-Autumn crop (*SAC*) and the Autumn-Winter crop (*AWC*).



Figure 4. (A) Procedure to estimate flood risk to rice production in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta. (B) The four normalized discharge hydrographs at Kratie, together with their probability of occurrence (Dung et al., 2015) used for the derivation of synthetic flood events as upper boundary of the hydraulic model. (C) Stage-damage curve for paddy rice (Dutta et al., 2003).



Figure 5. (A) Schematization of the quasi-2D flood propagation model for the Mekong Delta, and the concept of simulation of compartmented floodplains in the VMD. Comparison of observed inundation extent derived from satellite data and simulated maximum

inundation extent for the flood event in 2011 for the whole delta (B), and evaluation of inundation simulation (C) adapted from Triet et al. (2017).



5 Figure 6. Simulated maximum inundation extent for a 10-year return period flood ($T_{10}shp_1$) for three land-use scenarios. (A) no plantation of Autum-Winter crop in An Giang and Dong Thap, (B) present condition as of 2011, and (C) expansion of high-dyke areas in An Giang and Dong Thap to enlarge triple season rice crops.



Figure 7. Date of occurrence (DO) of the annual maximum water level at key monitoring gauges in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta (A) stations in the Mekong branch, (B) stations in the Bassac branch, (C) stations in the Plain of Reeds and (D) stations in the Long Xuyen Quadrangle. The four hydrograph shapes (indicated by colours) in combination with different flood magnitudes (indicated by markers) are shown.

5



Figure 8. Frequency distributions of the maximum inundated area in July (squares), in August (diamonds) and whole year (circles) corresponding to the four flood patterns (different colours).



Figure 9. (A) Total exposed areas of rice crop in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta to floods of different return periods, calculated for the second and third rice crops, and aggregated to the whole year. (B) Total damage for floods of different return periods.



5 Figure 10. (A) Total flood damage for different return periods corresponding to two land-use scenarios. (B) Average crop risk as specific loss for each hydrograph shape.



Figure 11. Flood risk for rice crops in the Vietnamese Mekong Delta. Specific loss is calculated in US\$/ha/a for three land-use scenarios (A) current land-use, (B) no Autumn-Winter crop (AWC) in An Giang and Dong Thap, and (C) expansion of the AWC in these two provinces.