

The authors' resubmission with the full experimental data alongside with their uncertainties inside the main text are a clear improvement of the presented work. The scientific relevance of the contribution is generally improved.

Most of the required amendments in the previous evaluation have been considered. As final remark remains: The author's concerns that Figure 9 and 10 become confusing when all the uncertainties are plotted is a fair remark. However, inserting a representative error bar to one data point might strengthen the scientific information contained in the plot.

Overall the manuscript is presented in a much better way and merits publication after some minor revisions:

- As the presentation of the data is rather space consuming, a compression of Figures 2,3,4,5,7 to a multipanel figure might be helpful. Especially Figure 5 (a) might undergo a revision as well as Figure 6 - increase readability of text. The authors might reevaluate whether all those figures are necessary.
- Reconsider inserting representative error estimations/boundaries for Figure 9 and 10 as well as for Fig. 12 and 13.

Future work of Chun and co-authors should strongly focus on their English grammar (proof reading before initial submission is highly recommended), thorough estimation of experimental errors and transparent communication thereof as well as appealing figure work.