Analysis of the risk associated to coastal flooding hazards: A new historical extreme storm surges dataset for Dunkirk, France

Yasser HAMDI¹, Emmanuel GARNIER², Nathalie GILOY¹, Claire-Marie DULUC¹, Vincent REBOUR¹

[1] {Institute for Radiological Protection and Nuclear Safety, BP17, 92 262 Fontenay aux Roses Cedex, France}
 [2] {UMR 6249 CNRS Chrono-Environnement, Besançon, France}

Correspondence to: Y. Hamdi (yasser.hamdi@irsn.fr)

13 Abstract

1

2 3 4

5 6 7

8

9 10

11 12

14 This paper aims to demonstrate the technical feasibility of a historical study devoted to French Nuclear Power Plants (NPPs) which can be prone to extreme coastal flooding events. It has been shown in the 15 16 literature that the use of Historical Information (HI) can significantly improve the probabilistic and statistical 17 modeling of extreme events. There is a significant lack of historical data on marine flooding (storms and 18 storm surges) compared to river flooding events. To address this data scarcity and to improve the estimation of the risk associated with coastal flooding hazards, a dataset of historical storms and storm surges that hit 19 20 the Nord-Pas-de-Calais region during the past five centuries was created from archival sources, examined 21 and used in a frequency analysis (FA) in order to assess its impact on frequency estimations. This work on the Dunkirk site (representative of the Gravelines NPP) is a continuation of previous work performed on the 22 La Rochelle site in France. Indeed, the frequency model (FM) used in the present paper had some success 23 in the field of coastal hazards and it has been applied in previous studies to surge datasets to prevent 24 25 coastal flooding in the La Rochelle region in France.

In a first step, only information collected from the literature (published reports, journal papers and PhD 26 27 theses) is considered. Although this first historical dataset has extended the gauged record back in time to 28 1897, serious questions related to the exhaustiveness of the information and about the validity of the 29 developed FM have remained unanswered. Additional gualitative and guantitative HI was extracted in a 30 second step from many older archival sources. This work has led to the construction of storms and coastal 31 flooding sheets summarizing key data on each identified event. The quality control and the cross-validation of the collected information, which have been carried out systematically, indicate that it is valid and complete 32 as regards extreme storms and storm surges. Most of the HI collected is in good agreement with other 33 archival sources and documentary climate reconstructions. The probabilistic and statistical analysis of a 34 dataset containing an exceptional observation considered as an outlier (i.e. the 1953 storm surge) is 35 significantly improved when the additional HI collected in both literature and archives is used. As the 36 37 historical data tend to be extreme, the right tail of the distribution has been reinforced and the 1953 "exceptional" event does not appear as an outlier any more. This new dataset provides a valuable source of 38 information on storm surges for future characterization of coastal hazards. 39

40 Key-words: Coastal storms; Storm surges; Coastal flooding; Historical information; Frequency analysis;

41

42 **1** Introduction

As the coastal zone of the Nord-Pas-de-Calais region in Northern France is densely populated, coastal flooding represents a natural hazard threatening the costal populations and facilities in several areas along the shore. The Gravelines Nuclear Power Plant (NPP) is one of those coastal facilities. It is located near the community of Gravelines in Northern France, approximately 20 km from Dunkirk and Calais. The Gravelines NPP is the sixth largest nuclear power station in the world, the second largest in Europe and the largest in Western Europe.

49 Extreme weather conditions could induce strong surges that could cause coastal flooding. The 1953 50 North Sea flood was a major flood caused by a heavy storm that occurred on the night of Saturday, 31 January and morning of Sunday, 1 February. The floods struck many European countries and France had 51 not been the exception. This was particularly the case along the northern coast of France, from Dunkirk to 52 53 the Belgium border. Indeed, it has been shown in an unpublished study that Dunkirk is fairly representative of the Gravelines NPP in terms of extreme sea levels. In addition, the harbor of Dunkirk is an important military 54 base containing a lot of archives. The site of Dunkirk has therefore been selected as site of interest in the 55 56 present paper (Fig. 1). An old map of Dunkirk city is presented in the right panel of Fig. 1 (we shall return to 57 this map at a later stage in this paper). It is a common belief today that the Dunkirk region is vulnerable and 58 subject to several climate risks (e.g. Maspataud et al. 2013). More severe coastal flooding events such as the November 2007 North Sea and the March 2008 Atlantic storms could have had much more severe consequences especially if they had occurred at hightide (Maspataud et al. 2013; Idier et al. 2012). It is important for us to take into account the return periods of such events (especially in the current context of global change and projected sea-level rise) in order to manage and reduce coastal hazards, implement risk prevention policies and enhance and strengthen coastal defence against coastal flooding.

The storm surge frequency analysis (FA) represents a key step in the evaluation of the risk associated 64 with coastal hazards. The frequency estimation of extreme events (induced by natural hazards) using 65 probability functions has been extensively studied for more than a century (e.g. Gumbel, 1935; Chow, 1953; 66 Dalrymple, 1960; Hosking and Wallis, 1986, 1993, 1997, Hamdi et al. 2014, 2015). We generally need to 67 estimate the risk associated with an extreme event in a given return period. Most extreme value models are 68 based on available at-site recorded observations only. A common problem in FA and estimation of the risk 69 70 associated with extreme events is the estimation from a relatively short gauged record of the flood 71 corresponding to 100-1000 year return periods. The problem is even more complicated when this short 72 record contains an outlier (an observation much higher than any others in the dataset). This is the case with 73 several sea-level time series in France and characterizes the Dunkirk surge time series as well.

The 1953 storm surge was considered as an outlier in our previous work (Hamdi et al, 2014) and in previous research (e.g. Bardet et al, 2011). Indeed, although the Gravelines NPP is designed to sustain very low probabilities of failure and despite the fact that no damage was reported at the French NPPs, the 1953 coastal flooding had shown that the extreme sea levels estimated with the current statistical approaches could be underestimated. It seems that the local FA is not really suitable for a relatively short dataset containing an outlier.

80 Indeed, a poor estimation of the distribution parameters may be related to the presence of an outlier in the sample (Hamdi et al, 2015), and must be properly addressed in the FA. One would expect that one or 81 more additional extreme events in a long period (500 years for instance) would, if properly included in the 82 83 frequency model (FM), improve the estimation of a quantile at the given high-return period. The use of other sources of information with more appropriate FMs is required in the frequency estimation of extremes. Worth 84 85 noting is that this recommendation is not new and dates back several years. The value of using other 86 sources of data in the FA of extreme events has been recognized by several authors (e.g. Hosking and Wallis, 1986 and Stedinger and Cohn, 1986). By other sources of information we refer here to events that 87 88 occurred not only before the systematic period (gauging period) but also during gaps of the recorded time 89 series. Water marks left by extreme floods, damage reports and newspapers are reliable sources of 90 Historical Information (HI). It can also be found in the literature, archives, unpublished written records, etc. It 91 may also arise from verbal communications from the general public. Paleoflood and dendrohydrology 92 records (the analysis and application of tree-ring records) can be useful as well. A literature review on the 93 use of HI in flood FAs with an inventory of methods for its modeling has been published by Ouarda et al. 94 (1998). Attempts to evaluate the usefulness of HI for the frequency estimation of extreme events are 95 numerous in the literature (e.g. Guo and Cunnane, 1991; Ouarda et al, 1998; Gaal et al, 2010; Pavrastre et 96 al, 2011; Hamdi, 2011; Hamdi et al, 2015). Hosking and Wallis (1986) have assessed the value of HI using 97 simulated flood series and historical events generated from an extreme value distribution and quantiles are 98 estimated by the maximum likelihood method with and without the historical event. The accuracy of the 99 guantile estimates was then assessed and it was concluded that HI is of great value provided either that the 100 flood frequency distribution has at least three unknown parameters or that gauged records are short. It was also stated that the inclusion of HI is unlikely to be useful in practice when a large number of sites are used 101 in a regional context. Because HI is often imprecise, its inaccuracy should be considered in the analysis. 102 103 Nevertheless, the influence of an outlier can be decreased by increasing its representativity in the sample 104 when using the HI, knowing that its uncertainty is sometimes considerable (e.g. Payrastre et al, 2011; Hamdi et al, 2015). A frequency estimation of extreme storm surges based on the use of HI has rarely been studied 105 explicitly in the literature (Bulteau et al, 2014, Hamdi et al, 2015, 2016) despite its significant impact on social 106 107 and economic activities and on NPPs' safety. Bulteau et al. (2014) have estimated extreme sea-levels by 108 applying a Bayesian model to the La Rochelle site in France. This same site was used as a case study by 109 Hamdi et al, (2015) to characterize the coastal flooding hazard. The use of a skew surge series containing an 110 outlier in local frequency estimation is limited in the literature as well. For convenience, we would like to recall here the definition of a skew surge: It is the difference between the maximum observed water level and 111 112 the maximum predicted tidal level regardless of their timing during the tidal cycle (a tidal cycle contains one 113 skew surge).

114 It is often possible to augment the storm surges record with those that occurred before and after gauging 115 began. Before embarking on a thorough and exhaustive research of any HI related to coastal flooding that hit 116 the area of interest, potential sources of historical coastal flooding data for the French coast (Atlantic and 117 English Channel) and more specifically for the Charente-Maritime region were identified in the literature (e.g. 118 Garnier and Surville, 2010). The HI collected has been very helpful in the estimation of extreme surges at La 119 Rochelle, which was heavily affected by the storm Xynthia in 2010 that generated a water level considered 120 so far as an outlier (Hamdi et al, 2015). Indeed, these results for the La Rochelle site have encouraged us to build a more complete historical database covering all the extreme coastal flooding that occurred over the past five centuries on the entire French coast (Atlantic and English Channel). This database has been completed and is currently the subject of a working group involving several French organizations for maintenance. However, only the historical storm surges that hit the Nord-Pas-de-Calais region during this period are presented herein.

The main objective of the present work is the collection of HI on storms and storm surges that occurred in the last five centuries and to examine its impact on the frequency estimation of extreme storm surges. The paper is organized as follows: HI collected in the literature and its impact on the FA results is presented in sections 2 and 3. The fourth section presents the HI recovered from archival sources, the quality control thereof, and validation. In section 5, the FM is applied using both literature and archival sources. The results are discussed in the same section before concluding and presenting some perspectives in section 6.

2 Use of HI to improve the frequency estimation of extreme storm surges

133 The systematic storm surge series is obtained from the corrected observations and predicted tide levels. The 134 tide gauge data is managed by the French Oceanographic Service (SHOM - Service Hydrographique et 135 Océanographique de la Marine) and measurements are available since 1956. The R package 136 TideHarmonics (Stephenson, 2015) is used to calculate the tidal predictions. In order to remove the effect of sea level rise, the initial mean sea level (obtained by tidal analysis) is corrected for each year by using an 137 annual linear regression, before calculating the predictions. The regression is obtained by calculating daily 138 means using a Demerliac Filter (Simon 2007). Monthly and annual means are calculated with respect to the 139 140 Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (PSMSL) criteria (Holgate, et al, 2013). This method is inspired by 141 the method used by SHOM for its analysis of high water levels during extreme events (SHOM, 2015). The 142 available systematic surge dataset was obtained for the period from 1956 to 2015.

143 The effective design of coastal defense is dependent on how high a design quantile (1000-year storm 144 surge for instance) will be. But this is always estimated with uncertainty and not precisely known. Indeed, any frequency estimation is given with a confidence interval (CI) of which the width depends mainly on the size of 145 146 the sample used in the estimation. Some other sources of uncertainties (such as the use of trends related to 147 climate change) can be considered in the frequency estimation (Katz et al, 2002). As mentioned in the 148 introductory section, samples are often short and characterized by the presence of outliers. The CIs are rather large and in some cases more than 2 or 3 times (and even more) the value of the quantile. Using the 149 150 upper limit of this CI would likely lead to a more expensive design of the defense structure. One could just use the most likely estimate and neglect the CI but it is more interesting to consider the uncertainty as often 151 estimated in frequency analyses. The width of the CI (i.e. inversely related to the sample size) can be 152 153 reduced by increasing the sample size. In the present work, we focus on increasing the number of 154 observations by adding information about storm surges induced by historical events. Additional storm surges 155 can be subdivided into two groups:

HI during gaps in systematic records;

156

157 2. HI before the gauging period (can be found in the literature and/or collected by historians in archives).

158 **3 HI during and before the gauging period**

A historical research devoted to the French NPPs located on the Atlantic and English Channel coast was a genuine scientific challenge due to the time factor and the geographic dispersion of the nuclear sites. To be considered in the FA, a historical storm surge must be well documented; its date must be known and some information on its magnitude must be available. Mostly, available information concerns the impact and the societal disruption caused at the time of the event (Baart, 2011).

164 **3.1 HI collected in the literature**

165 As mentioned above, a common issue in frequency estimations is the presence of gaps within the datasets. Failure of the measuring devices and damage, mainly caused by natural hazards (storms, for instance), are 166 often the origin of these gaps. Human errors, strikes, wars, etc., can also give rise to these gaps. 167 168 Nevertheless, these gaps are themselves considered as dependent events. It is therefore necessary to ensure that the occurrence of the gaps and the observed variable are independent. Whatever the origin and 169 170 characteristics of the missing period, the use of the full set of extreme storm surges that occurred during the gaps is strongly recommended to ensure the exhaustiveness of the information. This will make the estimates 171 172 more robust and reduce associated uncertainties. Indeed, by delving into the literature and the web, one can 173 obtain more information about this kind of events. Maspataud (2011) was able to collect sea-level measurements that were taken by regional maritime services during a storm event in the beginning of 1995, 174 a time where the Dunkirk tide gauge was not working. This allowed the calculation of the skew surge, which 175 was estimated by the author at 1,15m on January 2nd, 1995. This storm surge is high enough to be 176

- considered as an extreme event. In fact, it was exceeded only twice during the systematic period (January 5th, 2012 and December 6th, 2013).
- For the relatively short-term pre-gauging period, a literature review was conducted in order to get an overview of the storm events and associated surges that hit the Nord-Pas-de-Calais region in France during the last two centuries. Some documents and storm databases on local, regional or national scales are available:
- the "Plan de Prévention de Risques Littoraux (PPRL)": refers to documents made by the French state on a communal scale, describing the risks a coastal zone is subject to, e.g. coastal flooding and erosion, and preventive measures in case of a hazard happening. To highlight the vulnerability of a zone, an inventory of storms and marine inundation within the considered area is attached to this document;
- Deboudt (1997) and Maspataud (2011) describe the impact of storms on coastal areas for the study region;
- the VIMERS Project: gives information on the evolutions of the Atlantic depressions that hit Brittany (DREAL Bretagne 2015);
- NIVEXT Project: presents historical tide gauge data and the corresponding extreme water and surge levels for storm events (SHOM, 2015);
- Lamb 1991: provides synoptic reconstructions of the major storms that hit the British Isles from the 16th century up till today.
- According to the literature, the storm of January 31st to February 1st, 1953 caused the greatest surge and 196 197 was the most damaging within the study area. This event has been well analyzed and documented (Sneyers, 198 1953, Rossiter 1954, Gerritsen, 2005, Wolf and Flather 2005): A depression formed over the Northern 199 Atlantic Ocean close to Iceland moving eastward over Scotland and then changing its direction to south-200 eastwards over the North Sea, accompanied by strong northerly winds. An important surge was generated by this storm that, in conjunction with a high springtide, resulted in particularly high sea levels. Around the 201 202 southern parts of the Northern Sea the maximum surges exceeded 2.25m, reaching 3.90m at Harlingen, 203 Netherlands. Large areas were flooded in Great Britain, northern parts of France, Belgium, the Netherlands 204 and the German Bight, causing the death of more than 2,000 people. Le Gorgeu and Guitonneau (1954) 205 indicate that during this event, the water level exceeded the predicted water level at the Eastern Dyke of Dunkirk by more than 2.40m (Table 1). Bardet et al. (2011) included a storm surge equal to 2.13m in their 206 regional frequency analysis. Both authors indicate the same observed water level, i.e. 7.90m, but the 207 208 predicted water level differs: While in 1954 the predicted water level was estimated at 5.50m, the predictions 209 were reevaluated to 5.77m by the SHOM using the harmonic method. A storm surge of 2.13m is therefore 210 used in the present study. Nevertheless, as also shown in Table 1, some other storms (1897, 1949 and 211 1995) inducing important storm surges and coastal floods occurred within the area of interest. Appendix 1 212 presents a description of these events which are quite well documented in the literature. In the appendix, the description of some other historical events (of which the information provided did not allow the estimation of 213 a storm surge value) is included as well. 214

215 3.2 HI collected in the archives

216 For the longer term, the HI collection process involves the exploration and consultation, in a context of a permanent multi-scalar approach, of HI which can be seen as a real documentary puzzle with a large 217 218 number of historical sources and archives. Indeed, NPPs are generally located, for obvious safety reasons, 219 in sparsely populated and isolated areas which is why these sites were subject to little anthropogenic influence in the past. However, this difficulty does not forfeit a historical perspective due to the rich 220 221 documentary resources for studying an extreme event on different scales ranging from the site itself to that of 222 the Region (Garnier, 2015 and 2017 a). In addition, this may be an opportunity for researchers and a part of 223 the solution because it also allows a risk assessment at ungauged sites.

First, it is important to distinguish between "direct data" (also referred to as "direct evidence") and "indirect data" (also referred to as "proxy data"). The first refers to all information from the archives that describes an extreme event (a storm surge event for instance) that occurred at a known date. If their content is mostly instrumental, such as meteorological records presented in certain ordinary books or by the Paris Observatory (since the 17th century), sometimes accurate descriptions of extreme climatic events are likewise found. The "proxy data" rather indicate the influence of certain storm initiators and triggers such as wind and pressure. Concretely, they provide information indirectly on coastal flooding for example.

Private documents or "ego-documents" (accounts and ordinary books, private diaries, etc.) are used in many ways during 16th to 19th centuries. Authors recorded local facts, short news and latest events, and amongst them, weather incidents. These misidentified historical objects may contain many valuable meteorological data. These private documents most often take the form of a register or a journal in which the authors record various events (economic, social and political) as well as weather information. Other authors use a more integrated approach to describe a weather event by combining observations of extreme events, 237 instrumental information, phenology (impact on harvests), prices in local markets and possibly its social 238 expression (scarcity, emotions, riots, etc.). All these misidentified sources are another opportunity for risk 239 and climate historians to better understand the natural and coastal hazards (coastal flooding, earthquakes, 240 tsunamis, landslides, etc.) of the past. Some of these private documents may be limited to weather tables completely disconnected from their socio-economic and climatic contexts. Most of the consulted documents 241 and archives describe the history of coastal flooding in the area of interest. Indeed, the historical inventory 242 243 identifies and describes damaging coastal flooding that occurred on the northern coast of France (Nord-Pas-244 de-Calais and Dunkirk) over the past five centuries. It presents a selection of remarkable marine floods that 245 occurred in this area and integrates not only old events but also those occurring after the gauging period began. The information is structured around storms and coastal flooding summary sheets. Accompanied and 246 247 supported by a historian, several research and field missions were carried out and a large number of archival 248 sources explored and, whenever possible, exploited. The historical analysis began with the consultation of 249 the documentary information stored in the rich library of the communal archive of Dunkirk, Gravelines, Calais 250 and Saint-Omer. The most consulted documents were obtained directly from the Municipal archives because 251 the Municipal Acts guarantee a chronological continuity at least from the end of the 16th century up to the 252 French Revolution (1789). Very useful for spotting extreme events, they unfortunately provide poor instrumental information. We therefore also considered data from local chronicles of annals of the city of 253 254 Dunkirk, as well as reports written by scientists or naturalists to describe tides at Calais, Gravelines, Dunkirk, Nieuport and Oostende. Most of them contain old maps, technical reports, sketches or plans of dykes, sluices and docks designed by engineers of the 18th to 20th centuries and from which it may be possible to 255 256 257 estimate water levels reached during extreme events. Bibliographical documents are mostly chronicles, 258 annals and memoirs written after the disaster. Finally, for the more recent period, available local newspapers 259 were consulted.

260 Multiplying the sources and trying to crosscheck events allowed us to constitute a database of 73 events. We focused the research on the period between 1500 and 1950, since most of the time tide gauge 261 observations are available after 1950. The first event took place in 1507 and the last in 1995. Depending on 262 263 how it is mentioned in the archive and as shown in the left panel of Fig. 2, the collated events were split in 264 two groups. Storm surge events are events where there is a clear mention of flooding within the sources. Are considered as storms, events where only information about strong wind and gales are available. Except for 265 the 19th century, we have much more storm surge events than storms events. All the collected events are 266 summarized in Table 2. 267

268 **3.3 Data quality control**

269 First of all, it is appropriate to remember that the storm surge is the variable of interest in our historical research. It should, however be stressed here that the total sea level, as it is a more operational information, 270 271 is likely to be available most often. The conversion to the storm surge is performed afterwards by subtracting 272 the predicted levels (which are calculated using the tide coefficients). Nevertheless, all types of data require 273 guality control and need to be corrected and homogenized if necessary to ensure that the data are reflecting 274 real and natural variations of the studied phenomena rather than the influence of other factors. This is particularly the case for historical data that have been taken in different site conditions and have not been 275 taken using modern standards and techniques (Brázdil et al., 2010). As mentioned earlier, archival 276 277 documents are of different nature and qualities. We therefore decided to classify them by their degree of reliability according to a scale ranging between 1 and 4: 278

- The degree 1: not very reliable historical source (it is impossible to indicate the exact documentary origin).
 It is particularly the case for HI found in the web.
- The degree 2: information found in scientific books talking about storms without clearly mentioning the sources.
- The degree 3: books, newspapers, reports and eyewitness statements citing historical events and clearly
 specifying its archival sources.
- The degree 4: is the highest level of reliability. Information is taken in a primary source (e.g., an original archival report talking about a storm written by an engineer in the days following the event).

Although the information classified as a category 1 document is not very reliable, it still gives the information that something happened at a date and is therefore not definitely ignored. Typically this type of document needs to be crosschecked with other documents. As shown in Fig. 2 (to the right), the classification of the data reveals a good reliability of collected information as there are no sources classified in category 1 and less than 10% of the sources are in category 2. It is worth noting that paradoxically, the older the information, the more reliable the archival document is.

293 3.4 The historical surge dataset

294 The concern is that it is not always possible to estimate a storm surge or a sea level from the information 295 collected for each event. We focus herein on the reconstruction of some events of the 18th century (1720-296 1767) where certain HI makes it possible to estimate water levels. As depicted in Fig. 2 (to the right), out of 297 the 73 events, 40 are identified as events causing coastal floods, but not all the sources contain quantitative 298 data or at least some information about water level reached. We selected herein the events with the most 299 information about some characteristics of the event (the water level reached, wind speed and direction and in 300 some cases measured information). Table 3 shows a synthesis of the six events which we will analyze in more detail, showing the tide coefficient (obtained from the SHOM website), some wind characteristics and 301 water levels reached in Dunkirk and other cities. The tide coefficient is a ratio of the semi-diurnal amplitude 302 303 by the mean spring neap tide amplitude introduced by Laplace in the 19th century and commonly used in 304 France since then. Today, the coefficient 100 is attributed by definition to the semi-diurnal amplitude of 305 equinox springtides of Brest. Therefore the range of the coefficient lies between 20 and 120, i.e. the lowest 306 and highest astronomical tides. Calculated for each tide at Brest harbor, it is applied to the complete French 307 metropolitan Atlantic and Channel coastal zone (Simon, 2007). As with the short-term HI, a description of these events which are quite well documented in the literature is presented in Appendix 2 with a description 308 of some other historical events (of which the available information did not allow an estimate of a storm surge 309 value). Some other HI about other extreme storms, occurring in the period 1767-1897, were collected in the 310 311 archives and identified as events causing coastal floods. A description of these events is also presented in 312 Appendix 2. To be able to reduce the CI of the high RLs (the 1000-year one for instance), it is insufficient to 313 have the time window (the historical period), as the observations or estimates of high surges are unknown. A 314 fixed time window and magnitudes of the available high storm surges are required to improve the estimates 315 of probabilities of failure. The exhaustiveness assumption of the HI on this time window will therefore be too crude and will make no sense. The historical period 1770-1897 was therefore eliminated from inference. 316 Fortunately, these discontinuities in the historical period can be managed in the FM (Hamdi et al, 2015). Two 317 non-successive time windows, 1720-1770 and 1897-2015, will therefore be used as historical periods in the 318 319 inference.

The extreme storm surges that occurred during the 1720-1767 time-window are then analyzed and the 320 321 development of a methodology to estimate the surges induced by the events from the last part of the 18th 322 and the 19th century is undergoing. Table 3 shows estimated water levels (for Dunkirk, Gravelines, Calais, Oostende and Nieuport) compared to the associated Mean High-Water Springs (MHWS) which is the highest 323 level reached by springtides (on the average over a period of time often equal to 19 years). De Fourcroy D-324 325 R. (1780) presented the water levels in royal foot of Paris, where 1 foot corresponds to 0.325 m and is 326 divided into 12 inches (1 inch = 0.027m) except for the Oostende levels that are given in Flemish Austrian 327 Foot (corresponding to 0.272m and divided in 11 inches). As a first approach the height of the surge above 328 the MHWS level was estimated, which has the advantage that the local reference level does not need to be 329 transposed into the French leveling system and as the historic sea level is considered, there is no need to assess sea level rise which due to climate change can be discarded. De Fourcroy D-R. (1780) gave water 330 331 levels for the five cities in their respective leveling system: In Calais, zero corresponds to a fixed point on the 332 Citadelle sluice, in Gravelines, zero corresponds to a fixed point on the sluice of the river Aa. For Dunkirk, 333 the "likely low tide of mean springtides" is considered as a zero point and marked on the docks of the Bergues Sluice; we will subsequently refer to this zero as Bergues Zero. The location of the measure point of 334 335 the Bergues Sluice is presented in Fig. 1 (to the right) on an old map of Dunkirk city. The difference between 336 the observed water levels and the MHWS is the surge above MHWS. The three levels are about the same height, ranging from 1.46m to 1.62m. We calculated the surge above MHWS for Calais, Gravelines, Nieuport 337 and Oostende; they are shown in the second-to-last column of Table 3. It is interesting to note that, for the 338 339 1763 and 1767 events, the highest levels were reconstructed in Oostende and the lowest levels in Calais.

340 For the sake of convenience and for more precision, we needed to transform the surges above MHWS presented in the second-to-last column of Table 3 into skew surges. This refinement required the 341 development of a tide coefficient-based methodology. Indeed, the tide coefficient for each storm event 342 indicates whether surge above MHWS is over- or underrated or approximately right. As this coefficient is 343 344 calculated for the Brest site and applied to the whole coastal zone, a table showing expected mean levels in 345 Dunkirk for each tide coefficient was established. One tide coefficient estimated at Brest can have different highwater levels at Dunkirk. For this study, it was assumed that the historic MHWS corresponds to the tide 346 coefficient 95. In the developed methodology, all the 2016 hightides for each tide coefficient are used and 347 the water levels for each tide coefficient are averaged. The difference Δ_{wL} between this averaged level and 348 the water level corresponding to the tide coefficient 95 (the actual MHWS) is then calculated and added (or 349 350 subtracted) to the historic surge above MHWS. Where we have two surges, the mean of the two values is considered. Results for the Dunkirk surges are shown in the last column of Table 4. 351

In addition to the water levels reached during events and in specific years, other types of HI (lower bounds and ranges) can be collected. For instance, De Fourcroy D-R. (1780) stated that the highest water level measured during the period 1720-1767 was the one induced by the 1767 extraordinary storm.
Paradoxical though it may seem at first sight, the skew surge caused by the 1763 storm is greater than the
1767 one. A plausible explanation is that the 1767 event occurred when the tide was higher than that of
1763. Fig. 3 shows two examples of HI collected in the archives.

358 For the Dunkirk series, it is interesting to see that it is easier to estimate storm surges induced by events from the 18th century, as the water levels were either measured or reconstructed only a few years after the 359 360 events took place. During research for his thesis, N. Pouvreau (2008) started an inventory of existing tide 361 gauge data available in different archive services in France. According to him, the first observations of the sea level in Dunkirk were made in the years 1701 and 1702, where time and height were reported. 362 Observations were also made in 1802 and another observation campaign was held during 1835. The first 363 364 longer series dates from 1865 to1875. For the 20th century, only sparse data is available for the first half of the century. Pouvreau (2008) only listed the data found in the archives of the National Geographic Institute 365 366 (Institut Géographique National IGN), the Marine Hydrographic and Oceanographic Service (Service 367 Hydrographique et Océanographique de la Marine SHOM) and the Historical Service of Defense (Service 368 Historique de la Défense SHD). During the present study we found evidence that sea levels were measured 369 at the Bergues sluice during the 18th century and that various hydrographic campaigns were carried out 370 during the 19th century (De Fourcroy D-R., 1780). This research and first analysis of historical data shows 371 the potential of the data collected, as we were able to quantify some historical skew surges, but it also shows 372 how difficult and time-consuming the transformation of descriptive information into skew surge values is, and 373 that more detailed analysis will be necessary to estimate the other historical surges.

4 Frequency estimation of extreme storm surges using HI

In this work, we suggest a method of incorporating the HI developed by Hamdi et al. (2015). The proposed FM (POTH) is based on the Peaks-Over-Threshold with HI. The POTH method uses two types of HI: Over-Threshold Supplementary (OTS) and Historical Maxima (HMax) data which are structured in historical periods. Both kinds of historical data can only be complementary to the main systematic sample. The POTH FM was applied to the Dunkirk site to assess the value of historical data in characterizing the coastal flooding hazard and more particularly in improving the frequency estimation of extreme storm surges.

381 4.1 Settings of the POT frequency model

382 To prepare the systematic POT sample and in order to exploit all available data separated by gaps, the 383 surges recorded since 1956 were concatenated to form one systematic series. However, it makes for 384 subjectivity in what should be taken as a reasonable threshold for the POT frequency model. Indeed, the use 385 of a too-low threshold can introduce a bias in the estimation by using observations which may not be 386 extreme data, which violates the principle of the extreme value theory. On the other hand, the use of a toohigh threshold will reduce the sample of extreme data. Coles (2001) has shown that stability plots constitute 387 388 a graphical tool for selecting the optimal value of the threshold. The stability plots are the estimates of the 389 GPD parameters and the mean residual life-plot as a function of the threshold when using the POT 390 approach. It was concluded that a POT threshold equal to 0.75m (corresponding to a rate of events equal to 391 1,4 events/year) is an adequate choice. The POT sample with an effective duration w_{0} of 46,5 years (from 1956 to 2015) is represented by the grey bars in the left panel of Fig. 4 (a, b and c). As homogeneity, 392 stationarity and randomness of time series are prerequisites in a FA (Rao & Hamed, 2001), non-parametric 393 394 tests such as the Wilcoxon test for homogeneity (Wilcoxon, 1945), the Kendall test for stationarity (Mann, 395 1945), and the Wald-Wolfowitz test for randomness (Wald & Wolfowitz, 1943) are applied. These tests were 396 passed by the Dunkirk station at the 5% level of significance.

397 4.2 The POTH frequency model

398 The HI is used in the present paper as HMax data. A HMax data period corresponds to a time interval of known duration w_{HMax} during which historical n_k-largest values are available. Periods are assumed to be 399 potentially disjoint from the systematic period. The distribution of the HMax exceedances is assumed to be a 400 Generalized Pareto one (GPD). The observed distribution function of HMax and systematic data are 401 constructed in the same way with the Weibull rule. To estimate the distribution parameters by using the 402 maximum likelihood technique in the POTH model, let us assume a set of POT systematic observations $X_{sys,i}$ 403 with a set of historical HMax surges $X_{HMax,i}$ and assume that the systematic and historical storm surges are 404 405 available with a density function f_x (.). Under the assumption that the surges are iid, the global likelihood 406 function of the whole data sample is any function $L(G/\theta)$ proportional to the joint probability density function f_x (.) evaluated at the observed sample and it is the product of the likelihood functions of the particular types 407 of events and information. The global log-likelihood can be expressed as 408

409
$$\ell(G | \underline{\theta}) = \underbrace{\ell(X_{sys,i} | \theta)}_{l(X_{sys,i} | \theta)} + \underbrace{\ell(X_{HMax,i} | \theta)}_{l(X_{HMax,i} | \theta)}$$
(1)

410 Let us assume a set of *n* POT systematic observations X_i and a selected threshold u_s and consider w_s the 411 total duration. For a Homogeneous Poisson Process with rate λ , the log-likelihood $\ell(X_{sys,i} | \theta)$ is

412
$$\& \left(X_{sys,i} \mid \theta \right) = n \log \left(\lambda w_s \right) - \log \left(n! \right) - \lambda w_s + \sum_{i=1}^n \log f \left(X_{sys,i}, \theta \right)$$
(2)

413 For the HMax data, it takes the form

415 The reader is referred to Hamdi et al. (2015) for more details about each term of these expressions.

416 **4.3 Settings of the frequency model with HI (POTH)**

417 An important question arises with regard to the exhaustiveness of the HI collected in a well-defined time 418 window (called herein the historical period). In order to properly perform the FA, this criterion must be 419 fulfilled. Indeed, we have good evidence to believe that other than the 1995 storm surge, the surges induced 420 by the 1897, 1949 and 1953 storms are the biggest for the period 1897-2015. The POTH FM was first 421 applied with a single historical datum which is that of 1953 represented by the red bar in Fig. 4-a. It not 422 complicated to demonstrate that this event is undoubtedly an outlier. Indeed, in order to detect outliers, the 423 Grubbs-Beck test was used (Grubbs and Beck, 1972). As mentioned in the previous section, some historical 424 extreme events experienced by Dunkirk city are available in the literature. Only this information (including the 425 1953 event) is considered in this first part of the case study.

426 Otherwise, HI is most often considered in the FA models for pre-gauging data. Less or no attention has 427 been given to non-recorded extreme events that occurred during the systematic missing periods. As 428 mentioned earlier in this paper, the sea level measurement induced by the 1995 storm was missed and a 429 value of the skew surge (1.15m) was reconstructed from information found in the literature (Maspataud, 430 2011). As this event is of ordinary intensity and has taken place very recently, it is considered as systematic 431 data even if this type of data can be managed by the POTH FM by considering it as HI (Hamdi et al, 2015). 432 The HI collected from both literature and archives with some model settings are summarized in Table 5 and 433 the POTH sample with a historical period of 72.51 years is presented in Fig. 4-b. Parameters characterizing 434 datasets including both systematic and HI were introduced in Hamdi et al, (2015). The HI is used herein as HMax data that complements the systematic record (with an effective duration $D_{e\!f\!f}$ equal to w_s) on one 435 historical period (1897-2015) with a known duration $w_h = w_{HMax} = 2015 - 1897 + 1 - D_{eff}$ ($w_h = 72,51 \text{ years}$) and three historical data ($n_k = 3$). Other features of the POTH FM have been used. A parametric method (based 436 437 438 on the Maximum Likelihood) for estimating the Generalized Pareto Distribution (GPD) parameters 439 considering both systematic and historical data have been developed and used. The maximum likelihood 440 method was selected for its statistical features especially for large series and for the ease with which any 441 additional information (i.e. the HI) is incorporated in it. On the other hand, the plotting positions exceedance 442 formula based on both systematic observations and HI (Hirsch, 1987; Hirsch and Stedinger, 1987; Guo, 443 1990) is proposed to calculate the observed probabilities and has been incorporated into the POTH FM 444 considered herein. The reader is referred to Hamdi et al. (2015) for more theoretical details on the POTH 445 model and on the Renext package used to perform all the estimations and fits.

446 **5 Results and discussion**

447 We report herein the results of the FA applied to the Dunkirk tide gauge. As with any sensitive facility, high 448 Return Levels (RLs) (100, 500 and 1000-year extreme surges, for instance) are needed for the safety of 449 NPPs. The results are presented in the form of probability plots in the right panel of Fig. 4 (d, e and f). The 450 theoretical distribution function is represented by the solid line in this figure, while the dashed lines represent 451 the limits of the 70% CIs. The HI is depicted by the empty red circles, while the black full ones represent the 452 systematic sample. The results (estimates of the desired RLs and uncertainty parameters) are also 453 summarized in Table 6. Fitting the GPD to the sample of extreme POTH storm surges yields the relative 454 widths $\Delta CI/S_T$ of the 70% CIs (the variance of the RL estimates are calculated with the delta method).

The FA was firstly performed considering systematic surges and the 1953 storm surge as historical data. It can be seen that the fit of the POTH sample including the 1953 historical event (with w_h equal to 16.5 years) presented in Fig. 4-d (called hereafter the initial fitting), is poor at the right tail and more specifically, at 458 the largest storm surge (the historical data of 2.13 m occurred in 1953) which have a much lower observed 459 return period than its estimated one. The estimates of the RLs of interest and uncertainty parameters (the 460 relative width $\Delta CI/S_r$ of the 70% CIs) are presented in columns 2-3 of Table 6. These initial findings are an 461 important benchmark as we follow the evolution of the results to evaluate the impact of additional HI. 100-, 500- and 1000-year quantiles given by the POTH FM with the 1897, 1949 and 1953 historical storm surges 462 included are about 3-6% higher than those obtained by the initial POTH FM. This result was expected as the 463 464 additional historical surges are higher than all the systematic ones. The relative widths of the CIs are about 465 20-25% narrower.

Unlike the 1897 historical event, the 1949 and 1953 ones have a lower observed return period than their 466 467 estimated one. A plausible explanation for this result is that the body of the distribution is better fitted than 468 the right tail one and this is a shortcoming directly related to the exhaustiveness assumption used in the 469 POTH FM. Indeed, as stated in Hamdi et al. (2015) and as mentioned above, a major limitation of the 470 developed FM arises when the assumption related to the exhaustiveness of the information is not satisfied. 471 This is obviously worrying for us because the POTH FM is based on this assumption. Overall, using 472 additional data in the local FM has improved the variances associated with the estimation of the GPD parameters but did not conduct to robust estimates with a better fitting (particularly at the right tail, the high 473 474 RLs being very sensitive to the historical values) if the assumption of exhaustiveness is still strong. This first 475 conclusion is likewise graphically backed by the CIs plots shown in Fig. 4-e. Nevertheless, as the impact of 476 historical data becomes more significant, there is an urgent need to carry out a deeper investigation of all the 477 historical events that occurred in the region of interest (Nord-Pas-de-Calais) over the longest historical 478 period. In order to have robust estimates and reduced uncertainties, it is absolutely necessary that the 479 collected information be as complete as possible.

480 The robustness of the POTH FM is one of the more significant issues we must deal with. The main focus of this discussion is the assessment of the impact of the additional HI (collected from the archives) on the 481 frequency estimates for high RLs. The same FM was performed but with the long-term additional HI 482 483 (collected in the archives) and different settings (Table 5). The results of the POTH FM using HI from both 484 literature and archives (called hereafter the full FM) are likewise summarized in the last two columns of Table 485 6. The results are also presented in the form of a probability plot (Fig. 4-f). Fig. 7 consists of two subplots 486 related to the FA of the Dunkirk extreme surges. The left side (Fig. 4-c) shows collected data: the systematic 487 surges are represented by the grey bars, the historical surges extracted from the literature by red bars and 488 those extracted from the archives (estimated and corrected with regards to the tide coefficients) are 489 represented by the green ones. We can also see the two time windows (the blue background areas in the 490 graph) 1720-1770 and 1897-2015 used in the POTH FM as historical periods. The right side shows the 491 results of the full FM. As mentioned earlier in this paper, to consider the full POTH FM, six historical storm 492 surges distributed equally ($n_k = 3$) over two not-successive time windows: 1720-1770 ($w_{HMax1} = 50$ years) and 493 1897-2015 (w_{HMax2} = 72.5 years, knowing that w_s = 46.5 years) are used as historical data. In the plotting positions, the archival historical surges are represented by green squares, while those found in the literature 494 495 are depicted by red circles. The fitting presented in Fig. 4-f shows a good adequacy between the plotting 496 positions and theoretical distribution function (calculated probabilities of failure). Indeed, all the points of the 497 observed distribution are not only inside the CI, but even better, they are almost on the theoretical 498 distribution curve. The results of Table 6 show that:

- The RLs of interest had increased by only 10 to 20 cm. This is an important element of robustness. Indeed, adding or removing one or more extreme values from the dataset does not significantly affect the desired RLs. In other words, it is important that the developed model is not very sensitive (in terms of RLs used as design bases) to a modification in the data regarding very few events. As a matter of fact, the model owes this robustness to the exhaustiveness of the available information.
- The relative widths of CIs with no archival HI included are 1.5 times larger than those given by the full
 model. This means that the user of the developed model is more confident in the estimations when using
 the additional HI collected in the archives.

After collecting HI about the most extreme storm surge events in the 18th and 20th centuries, it was first found that the 1953 event is still the most important one in terms of magnitude. The developed POTH FM attributed a 200-year return period to this event. The value of the surge induced by the 1953 storm is between 1.75m and 2.50m. That said, it is interesting to note that this CI includes the value of 2.40m estimated by Le Gorgeu and Guitonneau (1954). This may be a reason to think that the continuation of our work on the quantification of the skew surges that occurred in the 19th century will perhaps reveal extreme surges similar to that induced by the 1953 storm.

514 6 Conclusion & perspectives

515 To improve the estimation of risk associated with exceptional high surges, HI about storms and coastal 516 flooding events for the Nord-Pas-de-Calais was collected by historians for the 1500-1950 period. Qualitative 517 and quantitative information about all the extreme storms that hit the region of interest were extracted from a 518 large number of archival sources. In this paper, we presented the case study of Dunkirk in which the 519 exceptional surge induced by the 1953 violent storm appears as an outlier. In a second step, the information 520 collected (in both literature and archives) was examined. Quality control and cross validation of the collected information indicate that our list of historic storms is complete as regards extreme storms. Only events that 521 occurred in the periods 1720-1770 and 1897-2015 were estimated and used in the POTH FM as historical 522 523 data. To illustrate challenges and opportunities for using this additional data and analyzing extremes over a 524 longer period than was previously possible, the results of the FA of extreme surges was presented and analyzed. The assessment of the impact of additional HI is carried out by comparing theoretical quantiles 525 and associated confidence intervals, with and with no archival historical data, and constitutes the main result 526 527 of this paper.

528 The conclusions drawn in previous studies were examined in greater depth in the present paper. Indeed, 529 on the basis of the results obtained previously (Hamdi et al, 2015) and in the present paper, the following 530 conclusions are reached:

- The use of additional HI over longer periods than the gauging one, can significantly improve the
 probabilistic and statistical treatment of a dataset containing an exceptional observation considered as an
 outlier (i.e. the 1953 storm surge).
- As the HI collected in both literature and archives tend to be extreme, the right-tail distribution has been reinforced and the 1953 "exceptional" event does not appear as an outlier any more.
- As this additional information is exhaustive (relatively to the corresponding historical periods), the RLs of
 interest increased very slightly and the confidence intervals were reduced significantly.
- An in-depth study could help to thoroughly improve the quantification method of the historical surges and apply the developed model on other sites of interest. Finally, an attempt is undergoing to carry out the estimation of the surges induced by the events from 1767 to the end of the 19th century is undergoing.

541 Appendix 1: HI collected in the literature

01/03/1949: A violent storm with mean hourly wind speeds reaching almost 30m.s⁻¹ and gusts of up to 542 38.5m.s⁻¹ (Volker, 1953) was the cause of a storm surge that reached the coast of Northern France and 543 544 Belgium in the beginning of March 1949. The tide gauge of Antwerp in the Escaut estuary measured a water 545 level higher than 7m TAW (a reference level used in Belgium for water levels) which classifies this event as a 546 "buitengewone stormvloed", an extraordinary storm surge (Codde and De Keyser 1967). For the Dunkirk 547 area two sources reporting water levels were found: the first saying that 7.30m was reached as a maximum 548 water level at the eastern Dike in Dunkirk, exceeding the predicted hightide, i.e. 5.70m, with 1.60m (Le 549 Gorgeu and Guittoneau 1954). A second document relates that the maximum water level reached was about 550 7.55m at Malo-les-Bains, which would mean a surge of 1.85m (DREAL Nord-Pas-de-Calais). It is worth 551 noting that the use of proxy data (i.e. the descriptions of events in the historical sources summarized in Table 552 1) to extract sea-level values and to create storm-surge databases is seriously limited. For the 1791 and 553 1808 storms, there is sufficient evidence that extreme surge events took place (extreme water level on 554 Walcheren Island) but the sources are not informative enough to estimate water levels reached in Dunkirk. A 555 surge of 1.25m is given for the storm of 1921. The problem is that the type of surge (instantaneous or skew), 556 the exact location at which it was recorded and the hydro-meteorological parameters are not reported. For 557 the skew surge of 1949, two different values at two locations are given. There are predicted and observed 558 water levels for the storms of 1905 and 1953 in Calais, which indicate that the difference is a skew surge, but 559 likewise neither the exact location nor the information about the reference level are furnished. The need for 560 tracing back to "direct data" describing a storm and its consequences becomes clear, as well as performing a cross-check of the data on a spatial and factual level, as Brάzdil (2000) also suggests. 561

562 <u>28/11/1897</u>: What was felt as stormy winds in Ireland on November 27th, 1897 became an eastward-moving 563 storm with gale-force winds over Great Britain, Denmark and Norway (Lamb, 1991). This storm caused 564 interruption of telephone communications between the cities of Calais, Dunkirk and Lille and great damage to 565 the coastal areas (Le Stéphanois, November 30th, 1897). At Malo-les-Bains, a small town close to Dunkirk, 566 the highest water level reached 7.36m although the hightide was predicted at 5.50m, resulting in a skew 567 surge of 1.86m that caused huge damage to the port infrastructures (DREAL Nord-Pas-de-Calais).

14/01/1808: During the night from January 14th to 15th, 1808, "a terrible storm, similar to a storm that hit the 568 region less than one year before on February 18, 1807" hit the coasts of the most northern parts of France 569 up to the Netherlands. This storm caused severe flooding as well in the Dunkirk area as in the Zeeland area 570 571 in the south western parts of the Netherlands where the water rose up to 25 feet on the isle of Walcheren (i.e. 7.62m). The journal also reports more than 200 deaths. For the Dunkirk area, the last time the water 572 levels rose as high as in January 1808 was February 2nd, 1791. Unfortunately, this source does not provide 573 574 any information that we can quantify or any information on the meteorological and weather conditions that we can use to reconstruct the storm surge value. 575

576 Appendix 2: HI collected in the archives

577 1720-1767: In essays written by a mathematician of the royal academy of science, De Froucroy D-R, who describes the tide phenomenon on the Flemish coast, some extreme water levels observed within the study 578 579 area are reported and described. The author refers to five events that occurred during the period 1720 to 580 1767. The same information is confirmed by a Flemish scientist. Dom Mann (1777, 1780), De Froucrov D-R witnessed the water levels induced by the 1763 and 1767 storms and reconstructed the level induced by the 581 582 1720 event in Dunkirk. Water levels at that time are given for the cities of Dunkirk, Gravelines and Calais in 583 the "pied du roi" unit ("foot of the king" was a French measuring unit, corresponding to 0.325m) above local 584 mean low-water springs. The French water levels are completed by measurements made in ancient Flemish 585 feet above the highest astronomical tides for the cities of Oostende and Nieuport (De Fourcroy D-R., 1780; Mann, 1777, 1780). The upper panel of Fig. 3 shows an example of HI as presented in the archives (De 586 587 Fourcroy D-R., 1780).

- 588 The 1720 event is a memorable event for the city of Dunkirk, as the water level during springtide was 589 increased by the strong gales blowing from north-western direction which destroyed the cofferdam built by 590 the British in the year 1714, cutting the old harbor off from sea access and prohibiting any maritime trade, 591 thus slowly causing the ruin of the city. The socio-cultural impact of the natural destruction of the cofferdam 592 was huge, as it restarted trading in the city (Chambre de Commerce de Dunkergue 1895, Plocg, 1873, 593 Belidor, 1788). In 1736, the only sea level available is given for Gravelines harbor, but extreme water levels 594 are confirmed in the sources as they mention at least 4 feet of water in a district of Calais, and water levels 595 that overtopped the docks of the harbor in Dunkirk (Municipal Archive of Dunkirk DK291, Demotier, 1856). As mentioned above, communal and municipal archives contain plans of dykes, docks and sluices in Dunkirk 596 597 harbor designed by engineers with the means available at that time, and such sketches were recovered. A 598 1740 sketch showing a profile of the Dunkirk harbor dock is presented in the lower panel of Fig. 3 for 599 illustrative purposes only. The use of these plans and sketches in the estimation of some historical storm 600 surges is ongoing. The lower-lying streets of Gravelines were accidently flooded by the high water levels in 601 March 1750. The fact that an extreme water level was also reported in Oostende for the same day confirms the regional aspect of the event. The surge of 1763 occurred in a period with mean tidal range, but water 602 603 level exceeded the level of mean spring hightide in Dunkirk, Calais and Oostende. Unfortunately no more 604 information about the flooded area is available. Strong west-north-westerly winds caused by a quick drop in 605 pressure produced high water levels from Calais up to the Flemish cities. It is, at least for the period from 606 1720 to 1767, the highest water level ever seen and known. The 1720 and 1767 events show good evidence 607 of the wind direction and wind intensity, while in various sources, except for the water levels reported, the 608 events from 1736, 1750 and 1763 are always cited together and described as "extraordinary sea-levels that are accompanied or caused by strong winds blowing from South-West to North" (De la Lande, 1781, De 609 Fourcroy D-R., 1780, Mann, 1777, 1780). As with the 1897-2015 historical/systematic periods, the same 610 guestion related to the exhaustiveness of the HI collected in the 1720-1770 historical period arises. As our 611 historical research on extreme storm surges occurred in this time window was very thorough, we have good 612 reasons to believe that the surges induced by the 1720, 1763 and 1767 storms are the biggest for that 613 historical period. 614
- 615 <u>1767–1897:</u> For the 1778, 1791, 1808 and 1825 events, the sources report strong that winds were blowing 616 from north-westerly directions and that in Dunkirk the quays and docks of the harbor were overtopped as the 617 highest water levels were reached. We know that, after the event of February 1825, at least 19 storm events 618 occurred and we have good evidence to believe that some of them induced extreme surges, but either the 619 information available is not sufficient to draw an approximate value of the water level, or the quantification of 620 the storm surges induced by these events is complicated and time-consuming.
- 1936: The 1936 event can be considered as a lower bound, as the document from the archive testifies that 621 the "water level was at least 1m higher than the predicted tide" during the storm that occurred on the night of 622 623 December 1st, 1936 (Municipal Archives of Dunkirk 4S 881). The 1936 event, which can be described as a moderately extreme storm, is the only one collected on the 50-year time window (1897-1949). As the surge 624 lower bound value induced by this event is too small (i.e. exceeded more than 10 times during the systematic 625 626 period), it could be exceeded several times during the 1897-1949 period. Its involvement in the statistical inference will have the opposite effect and will not only increase the width of the CI but will also degrade the 627 quality of the fit. The 1936 historical event was therefore eliminated from inference. 628
- 629

630 Acknowledgements

631 The Authors thank the municipal archives of Dunkirk and Gravelines for their support during the collection of 632 historical information.

633 **References**

- Baart, F., Bakker, M.A.J., van Dongeren, A., den Heijer, C., van Heteren, S., Smit, M.W.J., van Koningsveld,
 M., and Pool, A., 2011. Using 18th century storm-surge data from the Dutch Coast to improve the
 confindence in flood-risk estimate, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. 11, 2791-2801.
- Bardet, L., C.-M. Duluc, V. Rebour and J. L'Her, 2011. Regional frequency analysis of extreme storm surges
 along the French coast, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. 11(6), 1627-1639. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/nhess-11-</u>
 1627-2011.
- 640 Brazdil, R., 2000. Historical Climatology: Definition, Data, Methods, Results. Geografický Časopis 52(2), 99-641 121.
- Brázdil, R., Dobrovolný, P., Luterbacher, J., Moberg, A., Pfister, C., Wheeler, D., and Zorita, E., 2010.
 European climate of the past 500 years: new challenges for historical climatology. Climatic Change. 101, 7–
 40. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584-009-9783-z</u>
- 645 Bulteau, T., Idier, D., Lambert, J., and Garcin, M., 2015. How historical information can improve estimation 646 and prediction of extreme coastal water levels: application to the Xynthia event at La Rochelle (France), Nat. 647 Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 15, 1135-1147, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/nhess-15-1135-2015.
- 648 Chow, V.T., 1953. Frequency analysis of hydrologic data. Eng. Expt. Stn. Bull. (414), 80pp, University of 649 Illinois, Urbana, Illinois.
- Codde, R., and De Keyser, L., 1967. Altas De Belgique. Mer du Nord Littoral/Estuaire de l'Escaut-Escaut
 Maritime. Comité National de Géographie http://www.atlas-belgique.be/cms/uploads/oldatlas/atlas1/Atlas1 FR-18A-B.PDF.
- Dalrymple, T., 1960. Flood Frequency Analyses, Manual of Hydrology: Part 3. Water Supply Paper 1543-A,
 USGS. url: http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/wsp1543A.
- Deboudt, P., 1997. Etude de géomorphologie historique des littoraux dunaires du Pas-de-Calais et nord-Est
 de la Manche. Ph.D. thesis. Université de Lille 1. Lille.
- De Fourcroy de Ramecourt, 1780. Observations sur les marées à la côte de flandre. In: P. Moutard (Editor),
 Mémoires de mathématique et de physique. Académie Royale des Sciences par divers Savans, & lûs dans
 les Assemblées, Paris.
- De Lalande, J.J.L.F., 1781. Traité du flux et du reflux de la mer d'après la théorie et les observations.
 Astronomie volume 4.
- 662 Demotier, C. 1846. Annales de Calais depuis les temps les plus reculés jusqu'à nos jours. Demotier, Calais.
- 663 DREAL Bretagne, 2015. Etude Vimers des événements de tempête en Bretagne. url : 664 http://www.bretagne.developpement-durable.gouv.fr/etude-vimers-des-evenements-de-tempete-en-
- bretagne-a2705.html, 2015 (accessed 14.03.17)
- DREĂL Nord Pas de Calais, Détermination de l'aléa de submersion marine intégrant les conséquences du changement climatique en région Nord Pas de Calais. Phase 1 : Compréhension du fonctionnement du littoral. <u>https://www.hauts-de-france.developpement-durable.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/50292 sub npc phase 1 version _4.pdf</u>, 2009 (accessed 23.02.17).
- Gaal, L., Szolgay, J., Kohnova, S., Hlavcova, K., and Viglione, A., 2010. Inclusion of historical information in
 flood frequency analysis using a Bayesian MCMC technique: A case study for the power dam Orlik, Czech
 Republic. Contributions to Geophysics and Geodesy 40(2), 121-147.
- 673 Garnier, E. and Surville, F., 2010. La tempête Xynthia face à l'histoire. Submersions et tsunamis sur les 674 littoraux français du Moyen Âge à nos jours, Ed. Le croît Vif. Saintes, France.
- 675 Garnier, E. A historic experience for a strenthened resilience. European societies in front of hydro-meteors 676 16th-20th centuries, in: Prevention of hydrometeorological extreme events - Interfacing sciences and 677 policies, edited by: Quevauviller, P., Chichester, John Wiley & Sons, 2015, pp. 3-26.
- 678 Garnier, E. 2017, Xynthia, February 2010. Autopsy of a foreseeable catastrophe. In : Coping with coastal 679 storms, edited by : Quevauviller, P., Garnier, E., Ciavola, P., Chichester, John Wiley & Sons, pp. 111-148.
- Garnier, E., Ciavola, P., Armaroli, C., Spencer, T. and Ferreira, O., 2017bis. Historical analysis of storms
 events: case studies in France, England, Portugal and Italy. Coast. Eng. (article in press),
 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2017.06.014</u>.
- Gerritsen, H., 2005. What happened in 1953? The Big Flood in the Netherlands in retrospect. Philosophical
 Transactions of the Royal Society A, Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 363, 1271-1291
- 685 Gumbel, E.J. 1935. Les valeurs extrêmes des distributions statistiques, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, 686 5(2), 115–158.
- 687 Guo, S.L., 1990. Unbiased plotting position formulae for historical floods. J. Hydrol. 121, 45-61. 688 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(90)90224-L</u>.
- 689 Guo, S.L., and Cunnane, C., 1991. Evaluation of the usefulness of historical and palaelogical floods in 690 quantile estimation. J. Hydrol. 129(1–4), 245–262. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(91)90053-K</u>.
- Hamdi, Y., 2011. Frequency analysis of droughts using historical information new approach for probability
 plotting position: deceedance probability. Int. J. of Global Warming 3(1/2), 203-218.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/IJGW.2011.038380.

- Hamdi, Y., Bardet, L., Duluc, C.-M. and Rebour, V., 2014. Extreme storm surges: a comparative study of
 frequency analysis approaches. Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 14, 2053–2067.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/nhess-14-2053-2014.
- Hamdi, Y., Bardet, L., Duluc, C.-M., and Rebour, V., 2015. Use of historical information in extreme-surge
 frequency estimation: the case of marine flooding on the La Rochelle site in France. Nat. Hazards Earth
 Syst. Sci., 15, 1515–1531.
- Hirsch R.M., 1987. Probability plotting position formulas for flood records with historical information. J.
 Hydrol. 96, 185-199. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(87)90152-1</u>.
- Hirsch, R.M. and Stedinger, J.R., 1987. Plotting positions for historical floods and their precision. Wat.
 Resour. Res. 23, 715-727. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/WR023i004p00715</u>.
- Holgate, S.J.; Matthews, A.; Woodworth, P.L.; Rickards, L.J.; Tamisiea, M.E.; Bradshaw, E.; Foden, P.R.;
 Gordon, K.M.; Jevrejeva, S., and Pugh, J., 2013. New data systems and products at the permanent service
 for mean sea level. Coastal Research, 29, 493-504. https://dx.doi.org/10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-12-00175.1.
- Hosking, J., and Wallis, J., 1986b. The value of historical data in flood frequency analysis, Water Resour.
 Res., 22(11), 1606–1612. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1029/WR022i011p01606</u>.
- Idier, D., Dumas, F. and Muller, H., 2012. Tide-surge interaction in the English Channel. Nat. Hazards Earth
 Syst. Sci., 12, 3709–3718. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/nhess-12-3709-2012</u>.
- Katz, R.W., Parlange, M.B. and Naveau, P., 2002, Statistics of extremes in hydrology. Adv. Water resour.,
 25, 1287-1304.
- Kelly, D., Clark, R., and Chantelle, L., 2015. OCE: Analysis of Oceanographic Data. <u>https://cran.r-</u>
 project.org/web/packages/oce/oce.pdf.
- Lamb, H., 1991. Historic Storms of the North Sea, British Isles and Northzest Europe. Cambridge University
 Press. Cambridge.
- 717 Lemaire A., 1857. Ephémérides dunkerquoises revues, considérablement augmentées. Maillard et 718 Vandenbusche Dunkerque.
- Le Gorgeu, V. et Guitonneau, R., 1954. Reconstruction de la Digue de l'Est à Dunkerque. Coast. Eng.
 5,555-586. <u>https://icce-ojs-tamu.tdl.org/icce/index.php/icce/article/viewFile/2043/1716</u>.
- Mann, D., 1777. Mémoire sur l'ancien état de la flandre maritime, les changements successifs, & les causes
 qui les ont produits. Mémoires de l'académie impériale et royale des sciences et belles-lettres de bruxelles.
 Académie Impériale des Sciences de Belles-Lettres de Bruxelles, Bruxelles.
- Mann, D., 1780. Mémoire sur l'histoire-naturelle de la mer du nord, & sur la pêche qui s'y fait, Mémoires de
 l'académie impériale et royale des sciences et belles-lettres de bruxelles. Académie Impériale des Sciences
 de Belles-Lettres de Bruxelles, Bruxelles.
- Maspataud, A., Ruz, M. and Vanhée, S., 2013. Potential impacts of extreme storm surges on a low-lying
 densely populated coastline: the case of Dunkirk area, Northern France. Nat Hazards, 66(3), 1327–1343.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11069-012-0210-9.
- Maspataud, A., 2011. Impacts des tempêtes sur la morpho-dynamique du profil côtier en milieu macrotial.
 Ph.D. thesis. Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale. Dunkerque.
- Meier, D., 2012. Die Schäden der Sturmflut von 1825 an der Nordseeküste Schleswig-Holsteins. Die Küste,
 79, 193-235.
- Ouarda, T.B.M.J., Rasmussen, P.F., Bobée, B., and Bernier, J., 1998. Utilisation de l'information historique
 en analyse hydrologique fréquentielle. Rev. Sci. Eau. 11, 41-49. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.7202/705328ar</u>.
- Payrastre, O., Gaume, E. and Andrieu H., 2011. Usefulness of historical information for flood frequency
 analyses: Developments based on a case study, Water Resour. Res., 47, W08511,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010WR009812.
- Pouvreau, N., 2008. Trois cents ans de mesures marégraphiques en france: Outils, méthodes et tendances
 des composantes du niveau de la mer au port de brest. Ph.D. thesis. Université de La Rochelle. La Rochelle.
 Plocq, M.A., 1873. Port et Rade de Dunkergue. Impr. Nationale, Paris.
- 742 Rao, A.R. and Hamed, K.H., 2000, Flood Frequency Analysis. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA
- Rossiter, J.R., 1953. The North Sea surge of 31 January and 1 February 1953. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A, Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences. 246, 371-400.
- Salas, J.D., Wold, E.E. and, Jarrett, R.D., 1994. Determination of flood characteristics using systematic,
 historical and paleoflood data, in: Rossi, G., Harmoncioglu, N., Yevjevich, V. (eds), Coping with floods
 Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp. 111-134.
- SHOM, Rapport technique du Projet NIVEXT: Niveaux marins extrêmes. Camille DAUBORD. Contributeurs
 associés: Gaël André, Virginie Goirand, Marc Kerneis. 444 pp. France, 2015.
- 750 SHOM, 2017. Horaires de Marée. <u>http://maree.shom.fr/</u> (accessed : 10/11/2016).
- Simon, B. 2007. La marée océanique côtière. Institut océanpgraphique. <u>http://diffusion.shom.fr/la-maree-oceanique-cotiere.html</u> (accessed, 10/01/2017).
- 753 Sneyers, R., 1953. La tempête et le débordement de la mer du 1er février 1953. Ciel et Terre 69, 97-107.
- Stedinger, J.R. and Baker, V.R., 1987. Surface water hydrology:Historical and paleoflood information, Rev.
 Geophys. 25(2), 119-124. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/RG025i002p00119</u>.

- 756 Stedinger, J.R., and Cohn, T., 1986. Flood frequency analysis with historical and paleoflood information,
- 757 Water Resour. Res., 22(5), 785–793. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1029/WR022i005p00785</u>
- 758 Union Faulconnier, 1912. Bulletin union faulconnier, société historique de dunkerque tome xv.
- Volker, M., 1953. La marée de tempête du 1^{er} février 1953 et ses conséquences pour les Pays-Bas. La Houille Blanche, 797-806. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1051/lhb/1953013</u>.
- 761 Wolf, J., and Flather, R.A., 2005. Modelling waves and surges during the 1953 storm. Philos. Trans. R. Soc.
- 762 Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 363, 1359–1375. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2005.1572</u>.

764 Table 1 Date, localization, water and surge levels (m) of collected storms within Nord-Pas-de-Calais area.

Date	Location	Predicted W	L Observed WL	Surge	Source
28/11/1897	Malo-les Bains Dunkirk	5,50 ¹	7,36 ¹	1,86 ¹	DREAL Nord – Pas de Calais
01/03/1949	Dunkirk	5,70 NGF	7,30 NGF ² 7,55 NGF ²	1,60 1,85	Le Gorgeu & Guitonnau, 1954 DREAL Nord–Pas de Calais
01/02/1953	Antwerpen (BE) Sangatte, Calais Dunkirk Dunkirk	6,70 5,50 5,77	> 7 TAW ³ 8,20 7,90 7,90	1,50 2,40 2,13	Codde and De Keyser 1967 Deboudt, 1997 Le Gorgeu & Guitonnau, 1954 Bardet et al., 2011

765 766 767 768 ¹ no reference leveling given;² NGF : the French Ordnance Datum (Nivellement Général Français); ³ TAW = Tweede Algemeene Waterpassing(a reference level used in Belgium for water levels); ⁴ no indication which feet (royal french feet / flemish austrian feet); ⁵ Newspapers: Journal Politique de Mannheim 26, 30 Janvier 1808 ;

Table 2 Details of 1500-2015 Nord-Pas-de-Calais historical storms and storm surges so	irges sources.
--	----------------

Year/Date	Data Type	Quality Index	Source Name	Observer occupation	Year/Date	Data Type	Quality Index	Source Name	Observer occupation
1507	Surge	3	L'Abbé Harrau (1901)	Historian	1807	Surge	3	Victor Derode (1852)	Historian
01/11/1570	Surge	3	Pierre Faulconnier (1730)	Mayor of Dunkirk	18/02/1807	Storm	3	Mannheim, 26/01/1808	Newspaper
1605	Surge	3	Victor Derode (1852)	Historian	02/12/1807	Storm	3	Augstin Lemaire (1857)	Regent
12/01/1613	Surge	4	MAS-O (XVIII th century) - Jean Hendricq	Bourgeois and merchant of	14/01/1808	Surge	4	MAC, « floods » sheets	Archivists (Dunkirk)
01/11/1621	Surge	4	bourgeois	the city	14/11/1810	Storm	2	Christian Gonsseaume (1988)	Historian
03/11/1641	Surge	3	Céléstin Landrin (1888)	Archivist (Calais)	03/01/1825	Surge	2	MAC, « storms » sheets	Archivists (Dunkirk)
1644	Surge	4	M. Lefebvre (1766)	Priest	04/02/1825	Surge	4	MAD, ref. 506	Harbor Engineer
1663	Surge	3	Victor Derode (1852)	Historian	19/10/1825	Storm	4	MAC, « storms » sheets	Archivists (Dunkirk)
12/1663	Surge	3	Baron C. de Warenghien (1924)	Historian	29/11/1836	Storm	3	Union Faulconnier(1936)	Mayor of Dunkirk
1665	Surge	3			02/01/1846	Surge	3	Victor Dorodo (1852)	Historian
1671	Surge	3	Victor Derode (1852)	Historian	02/10/1846	Surge	3	victor Derode (1852)	Historiali
1675	Surge	3			26/09/1853	Storm	3		Military Surgeon &
16/02/1699	Surge	3	L'abbé Harrau (1903)	Historian	26/10/1859	Storm	3	Dr. Zandyck (1861)	Physician
1715	Surge	3	Victor Derode (1852)	Historian	02/11/1859	Storm	3		Filystelaii
1720	Surge	3	victor Derode (1852)	Instollar	16/01/1867	Storm	2	Gilles Peltier «Amis du Vieux Calais»	Unknown
31/12/1720	Surge	4	De La Lande (1781)	Astronomer	02/12/1867	Storm	2	Bernard Barron (2007)	Journalist
25/12/1730	Storm	3	Charles Demotier (1856)	Local Historian	30/01/1877	Storm	4	MAC, « storms » sheets	Archivists (Dunkirk)
1734	Surge	4	MAD(AnaDK15)	Unknown	21/12/1892	Storm	3	Céléstin Landrin (1888)	Archivist (Calais)
19/01/1735	Storm	4	MAD (AIRDK15)	UIKIIOWII	10/01/1893	Storm	4	MAD, reference 5 S 1	Harbor Engineer
27/02/1736	Surge	4	MAD, (AncDK291)/C. Demotier (1856)	Historian	18/11/1893	Storm	2	Gilles Peltier «Amis du Vieux Calais»	Unknown
01/10/1744	Storm	3	Jean Louis le Tellier (1927)	Local of Dunkirk	11/10/1896	Storm	2	Christian Gonsseaume (1988)	Historian
11/03/1750	Surge	3	De La Lande (1781)	Astronomer	27/01/1897	Storm	2	Christian Gonsseaume (1988)	Historian
06/07/1760	Storm	3	Almanach de Calais (1845)	Unknown	29/11/1897	Surge	4	MAD, reference 4 S 874	Architect Gontier
02/12/1763	Surge	3	De La Lande (1781)	Astronomer	02/03/1898	Storm	4	Le Gravelinois, (19/03/1989)	Unknown
28/09/1764	Surge	2	J. Goutier «Amis du Vieux Calais»	Unknown	13/01/1899	Storm	4	Le Nord Maritime, (January, 1899)	Unknown
02/01/1767	Surge	3	M.A. Bossaut (1898)	Librarian	10/12/1902	Storm	2	Christian Gonsseaume (1988)	Historian
05/1774	Surge	4	MAD, ref. 2 Fi 169	Unknown	11/09/1904	Storm	3	Emile Bouchet (1911)	Man of Letters
01/01/1777	Surge	3	Raymond de Bertrand (1855)	Writer	08/01/1928	Storm	2	Christian Gonsseaume (1988)	Historian
01/01/1778	Storm	3	Leon Moreel (1931)	Lawyer	07/12/1929	Storm	2	Christian Gonsseaume (1988)	Historian
31/12/1778	Surge	4	Pigault de Lespinoy, 19 th cent a	Mayor of Calais	28/11/1932	Storm	4	MAD rof $AS991$	City council of Dunkirk
02/02/1791	Surge	4	Pigault de Lespinoy, 19th cent b	Mayor of Calais	01/12/1936	Surge	4	MAD, 101. 4 5 881	
17/11/1791	Surge	2	Bernard Barron (2007)	Journalist	01/03/1949	Surge	4	La Voix du Nord, 2-4/03/1949	Unknown
04/09/1793	Surge	3	L'abbé Harrau (1898)	Historian	01/02/1953	Surge	4	La Voix du Nord, 4-6/02/1953	Unknown
30/10/1795	Storm	3	Céléstin Landrin (1888)	Archivist (Calais)	16/09/1966	Surge	4	La Voix du Nord, 17/09/1966	Unknown
13/11/1795	Storm	3	Charles Demotier (1856)	Historian	02/01/1995	Surge	3	Maspataud A., (2011)	PhD student
09/11/1800	Storm	4	MAD, ref. 2Q9	Unknown	MAGO	int On	. M	Anabiana Historiaal aallaati C.I.	Handaian harmania af C i d
29/03/1802	Storm	3	Augstin Lemaire (1857)	Regent	MAS-U: Sa	unt-Ome	iviunicipal	Archives - Historical collection of Jean	Hendricq bourgeois of Saint
03/11/1804	Storm	3	Augstin Lemaire (1857)	Regent	Omer; MAD	· . Munic	ipal Archive	es Dunkirk, MAC : Municipal Archives Ca	iais – mematic sneets

Table 3 HI about water levels in Dunkirk and other cities (unless otherwise stated, Heights are given in
 French royal foot which corresponds to 0,325m).

							-	
Date & N°	Tide Coefficient ¹	The event characteristic	Wind direction	City	Water level (ft)	Surges above MHWS (m)	Source name	
31/12/172	De Fourcroy D-							
1	104-104	Violent storm	NW	Dunkirk	22 ft 3 in**		R. (1780); ⁻ Plocq (1873).	
27/02/17	36						- De La Lande, (1781) :	
2	110-114	Accompanied by	SW to N	Gravelines	13 ft 2 in ^{**}	1,38	⁻ De Fourcroy D-	
		strong winds		Calais	> 1767	1,06	R. (1780).	
11/03/17	50						·Delalande (1781)·	
3	115-111	Generally	SW to N	Gravelines	12 ft 2 in	1,05	⁻ De Fourcroy D-	
		accompanied by		Oostende	13 ft 6 in		R. (1780);	
		strong winds					⁻ Mann, D. (1777,1780).	
02/12/17	63						⁻ De La Lande. (1781) :	
4	78-81	Generally	SW to N	Dunkirk	22 ft		De Fourcroy D-	
		accompanied by		Calais	17 ft 2 in	0,57	R. (1780);	
		strong winds		Gravelines	14 ft 2 in	0,97	⁻ Mann, D. (1777, 1780)	
				Oostende	14 ft	1,10		
				Nieuport	14 ft	0,97		
02/01/17	67						- Histoire de l'Académie	
5	93-96	Horrible storm	WNW-	Dunkirk	22 ft 6 in		Royale des Sciences	
			NNW	Calais	18 ft 8 in	1,06	(1767);	
				Gravelines	15 ft __ 10 in	1,51	De Fourcroy D-	
				Oostende	16 ft	1,60	R. (1780);	
				Nieuport	17 ft 1 in [*]	1,94	⁻ Mann, D. (1777, 1780)	
01/12/19	36						- MAD 4S 881	
6	99-96	Violent storm		Dunkirk	1 m>pred			

¹ Source: SHOM; ^{**} reconstructed water levels; ^{*} foot of Brussels (1 ft = 0.273m).

17/24

Table 4 Historical skew surges induced by the 1720-1767 events. Heights are given in m.

Date	Tide Coef	Surge above MHWS	$\Delta_{\scriptscriptstyle WL}$	Skew surge
1720	104	1,54	-0,17	1,37
1763	78/81	1,46	0,29/0,24	1,75/1,7
1767	93	1,62	0,01	1,63

Table 5 The HI dataset (from literature and archives). Surges are given in m and w_{HMax} and w_s in years.

Year	1720	1763	1767	Events exist $(n_k \neq 0)$ but cannot be	1897	1949	1953
Surge (m)	1,37	1,75	1,63	estimated	1,86	1,60	2,13
	 HI from archives, n_k = 3 1720-1770 time-window 			 HI from archives, n_k ≠ 0 1770-1897 time-window 	 HI from literature, n_k = 3 1897-2015 time-window 		
	• <i>W</i> _{<i>HM</i>}	$a_{ax1} = 50$		 Not used in the inference 	• W _{HMax2}	=72,5; w	$s_{s} = 46, 5$

782 783

 Table 6 The T-year quantiles & relative widths of their 70% CI (all the duration are given in years)

 Tuber 1

 785

T (years)	+19	+1953 event		ature HI	+ literature & archives HI		
	W _{HMa}	$W_{HMax1} = 16,5$		= 72,5	$w_{HMax1} = 50$; $w_{HMax2} = 72, 5$		
	S_T	$\Delta CI/S_T$	S _T	$\Delta CI/S_T$	S_T	$\Delta CI/S_T$	
100	1,76	40%	1,82	32%	1,84	26%	
500	2,46	71%	2,59	56%	2,61	48%	
1000	2,86	86%	3,03	69%	3,05	59%	

786 787

-



Fig. 1. Map of the location (to the left) and an old plan of the Dunkirk city with the measure point of Bergues Sluice (to the right)

Fig. 2. Distribution in time of the type of the events in the data base (left); Quality of the data (right).

795 796 797

\$77.

A LA CÔTE DE FLANDRE,

οU

RECHERCHES fur la hauteur convenable aux Digues, Quais, Écluses, Bátardeaux, & autres Ouvrages contre la Mer.

Par M. DE FOURCROY DE RAMECOURT, Brigadier des Armées du Roi, Ingénieur en Chef en Calais.

LA MARÉE extraordinairement haute, du 2 Janvier de cette année, dont j'ai envoyé, à M. Duhamel du Monceau, pour L'ACADÉMIE, l'Obfervation faite à la Côte de Flandre, ma donné occasion de mettre en ordre plusieurs Notes, que j'avois recueilles, fur les mouvemens ordinaires & extraordinaires de la Mer, le long de cette Côte, & de les comparer à la furface du Pays. Ces Remarques sont en ellesmémes de peu d'importance; cependant il m'a paru que l'on pouvoit en tirer quelques conléquences utiles à la petite Province où elles ont été faites.

I. Des points ordinaires où s'élève la pleine-Mer, à Calais, à Gravelines, à Dunkerque & à Oflende.

 On a observé, depuis long-temps, les points où parvient la hauteur du flot, dans nos Ports de Flandre: il est fait Tome VIII,

· Ce point est le deffus même du socle, ou jambage droit du portail, en entrant.

Dunkirk (De Fourcroy D-R., 1780); (Bottom :) a profile of the Dunkirk harbor dock from the municipal

archives of Dunkirk (ref. 1Fi42, 1740).

803

