© Author(s) 2017. CC BY 4.0 License.





1 Stability assessment of roadbed affected by ground

- 2 subsidence adjacent to urban railways
- 3

4 Ki-Young Eum¹, Young-Kon Park², Sang-Soo Jeon³

- ¹Advanced infrastructure research team, Korea Railroad Research Institute, Chuldobakmulgwan-Ro 176,
- 6 Uiwang City, Gyeonggi-Do 16105, South Korea
- 7 ²Smart station research team, Korea Railroad Research Institute, Chuldobakmulgwan-Ro 176, Uiwang
- 8 City, Gyeonggi-Do 16105, South Korea
- ³Department of Civil and Urban Engineering, Inje University, Inje-Ro 197, Gimhae City,
- 10 Kyungsangnam-Do 50834, South Korea
- 11 Correspondence to: Sang-Soo Jeon (<u>ssj@inje.ac.kr</u>)
- 12

24 25

26

13 Abstract. In recent years, leakages in aged pipelines for water and sewage in urban areas have frequently induced 14 ground loss resulting in cavities. One third of the pipelines buried in Seoul city in South Korea are more than fifty 15 years old. Train loadings and change in groundwater levels in the undiscerned development of urban areas induce 16 roadbed settlements. Train derailment may occur as the roadbed exceeds the allowable settlements associated with 17 location and size of the cavity adjacent to the roadbed. In this study, FLAC3D, which is a three-dimensional finite-18 difference numerical modeling software, is used to do stability and risk level assessment for the roadbed in 19 adjacent to urban railways with respect to various groundwater levels and the geometric characteristics of cavities. 20 Numerical results show that the roadbed settlements in simulated ground conditions in South Korea, that satisfy the 21 allowable values for a cavity of diameter of 10 m exists adjacent to the roadbed. The distance between the center of 22 the roadbed and the center of the cavity should be greater than 25 m and the groundwater level should be greater 23 than 22 m below the ground surface.

1 Introduction

Urban railways in South Korea have been initiated from the Seoul subway 1st line in1974 and have been operating in Seoul city and several metropolitan cities. The number of passengers using urban railway are being increased and it has played a significantly important role in public transportation for urban development. Urban railway is defined as transportation facility and method for smooth transportation in the city and includes light rail transit and subway as indicated in the law of urban railway (Ministry of land, 2017). As roadbed settlements exceed the allowable limits, it may result in track irregularity and derailments of trains causing heavy loss of life. Therefore, methods to secure the stability of roadbeds have been examined.

34 Research on stability assessment and reinforcement of railway roadbeds has been actively carried out, but the 35 effect of the cavity adjacent to urban railways on roadbed behavior has rarely studied. In recent years, the number 36 of accidents induced by cavities larger than 2-m in diameter has increased especially in highly populated cities in 37 South Korea. Therefore, the residents in these cities were terrified of cavities after the accidents (Shin and Roh, 38 2006). Especially, ground subsidence near subways due to self-weight and/or surcharge loading was around 60% 39 (Lee and Kang, 2014). Changes in groundwater levels may cause increased occurrences of ground subsidence 40 because the lowering of groundwater levels lead to ground settlement (Lee et al., 2015). Groundwater level 41 influences both ground settlement and stability of underground structures. Deep excavation of the ground adjacent to urban railways has a significance influence on the allowable tensile strength of underground structures (Lee at 42 43 al., 2017). If large underground cavities are located at nearby roadbeds, there is a high potential of ground 44 subsidence.

80% of the ground subsidence occurred from 2010 until the beginning of 2014 in Seoul City (Fig. 1) was
induced by aged pipelines for water and sewage (Oh et al., 2015). Since 48% and 30% of sewage pipelines in Seoul
city were constructed more than thirty and fifty years ago, respectively. Aged pipelines for water and sewage
pipelines cause numerous cavities in the near future (The Segye times, 2016).

© Author(s) 2017. CC BY 4.0 License.





49 As a cavity exists at the center of the railway track in the box structures of urban railways, its influence on box 50 structures and roadbed settlements has been examined to observe the effects of cavities adjacent to the roadbeds of 51 urban railways (Lee at al., 2015). A method to establish a data-base was proposed to prevent and manage the

52 disasters (Choi et al., 2007). 53



As a cavity exists adjacent to the roadbed, in this study, a three-dimensional numerical analysis is carried out to 57 58 assess both roadbed stability and risk level with respect to the distance between the center of the roadbed and the 59 center of the cavity, diameter of the cavity, and groundwater levels.

60

54 55

56

61 2 Case studies of ground subsidence 62

Ground subsidence in South Korea 63 2.1

64

65 The ground subsidence (Fig. 2) occurred at nearby urban railways most recently (Kyunghang times, 2016). The 66 ground subsidence (Fig. 2a) occurred with a cavity of depth 5 m, width 8 m, and length 80 m near the Seokchon subway station in Seoul City. The accident was induced by the inappropriate deep excavation near the subway. The 67 68 ground subsidence (Fig. 2b) was caused by the leakage of a water pipeline with a large-scale cavity of depth 21 m, 69 width 11 m, and length 12 m near Bakchon subway station in Incheon City (Newshankuk, 2016). The ground 70 subsidence (Fig. 2c) occurred near Samseongjungang subway station. Six cavities were found almost simultaneously 71 in Seoul City (Kyunghang times, 2016). A small-scale cavity of depth 2.2 m (Fig. 2d) occurred near Janghanpyeong 72 subway station in Seoul City, but the cause of this accident has not been clarified. The accident was assumed to be 73 caused by inappropriate recovery construction near subway extension. Ground subsidence, as described above, 74 occurred at nearby urban railways.

75



- 76 Figure 2. Ground subsidence nearby subway of urban railway in South Korea. Seokchon subway station (a). Bakchon subway 77 station (b). Samseongjungang subway station (c). Janghanpyeong subway station (d).
- 78

2.2 Representative ground subsidence in the US, Canada, Japan, and China

79 80 81

Ground subsidence (Fig. 3) has occurred at nearby urban railways most recently [10]. Ground subsidence with a

82 cavity having depth 3.6 m (Fig. 3a) occurred as the replacement work of a sewage pipeline was carried out at Texas 83 in the US (Wikitree, 2016). Ground subsidence with a cavity having a width of 15 m (Fig. 3b) occurred as tunnel 84 excavation work for subway extension was carried out at Fukuoka in Japan (Chosun Ilbo, 2016). Ground

© Author(s) 2017. CC BY 4.0 License.





85 subsidence with a cavity of width 25 m (Fig. 3c) occurred as a 50-m tunnel excavation near the light rail transit was 86 carried out at Ottawa in Canada (Yonhap news, 2016). Ground subsidence with a cavity of depth 10 m (Fig. 3d) 87 occurred as subway construction was carried out near Guangzhou in China (Sisa china, 2016). Ground subsidence 88 with a large-scale cavity in urban areas is highly correlated with the undiscerned development of urban areas, abuse 89 of groundwater and inappropriate underground construction.

90



91 Figure 3. Ground subsidence nearby subway of urban railway in the US, Canada, Japan, and China. Texas in the US (a). 92 Fukuoka in Japan (b). Ottawa in Canada (c). Guangzhou in China (d).

93 94 95

96

97

3 Numerical analysis

3.1 Conditions for numerical analysis

98 In this study, FLAC3D, which is a three-dimensional finite-difference numerical code, is used to conduct stability 99 and risk level assessment for roadbeds associated with various groundwater levels and the geometric characteristics 100 of cavities adjacent to urban railways. The Mohr-Coulomb failure model has been used for the analysis (Itasca 101 Consulting Group Inc., 2015). Since there are various causes and sizes of the cavity of ground subsidence occurring 102 near urban railway, it is very difficult to simulate the process of cavity generation. A circular cavity below the 103 ground surface has been modelled with respect to diameters (D) of 4-10 m at distances of 15-25 m from the cavity 104 to the center of the roadbed associated with various groundwater levels. The analysis is performed based on the 105 configuration and numerical model of the analysis, respectively (Figs. 4 and 5).

106

107 3.1.1 Ground conditions

108 An embankment consists of the lower roadbed, upper roadbed, and gravel ballast. The roadbed width at the 109 bottom of the ballast is 8m. The widths of its bottom and top are 5.1 m and 3.3 m, respectively, and its slope is 110 1:1.8. In-situ soil consists of reclaimed soil, silty clay, weathered soil, and weathered rock. Its physical properties 111 listed in Table 1 are obtained from lab experiments of soil sampled at a construction site.

112



113 114

116

- Figure 4. Configuration of the railway roadbed and cavity 115
- Figure 5. Three-dimensional view of the numerical model

Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences Discussions



117 **3.1.2** Physical properties of rail, rail pad, and prestressed concrete (PC) sleeper 118

KS60 rail and prestressed concrete (PC) sleeper commonly used in gravel ballast have been used for the numerical analysis. A rail pad, which is widely used to minimize vibration and impact loading during train operation is made of ethylene vinyl acetate (EVA). However, in this study, a thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU) rail pad, which is more economical and has higher tensile strength has been used for the numerical analysis. Its properties are listed in Tables 2, 3, and 4. The beam element is used for the rail and rail pad.

124

Table 1. Physical properties of soil

	Height (m)	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	Elastic modulus (kPa)	Poisson's ratio (v)	Cohesion (kPa)	Friction angle (°)	Coefficient of permeability (cm/s)	Ko
Ballast stone	0.3	19.0	133,900	0.30	-	35	-	0.43
Upper roadbed	1.5	18.0	81,600	0.20	3.0	32	-	0.47
Lower roadbed	1.5	18.0	51,000	0.30	10.0	30	-	0.50
Land fill	1.5	17.0	30,000	0.35	5.0	24	1.0×10 ⁻³	0.59
Silty clay	1.5	17.0	20,000	0.35	5.0	25	5.0×10 ⁻⁴	0.58
Weathered soil I	15.0	19.0	75,000	0.33	10.0	30	1.0×10 ⁻⁴	0.50
Weathered soil ∏	15.0	19.0	70,000	0.33	10.0	33	1.0×10 ⁻⁴	0.46
Weathered rock	7.0	20.0	110,000	0.31	60.0	42	1.0×10 ⁻⁵	0.33

127 128 129

130

Table 2. Physical properties of the rail

	Area	Unit weight Elastic modulu		Moment of inertia(m ⁴)		
	(mm ²)	(kN/m ³)	(kPa)	I _{XX}	I _{YY}	
KS60 rail	7,741	77.5	$21,000 \times 10^4$	30,820×10 ⁻⁹	5,120×10 ⁻⁹	

131 132 133

134

Table 3. Physical properties of the PC sleeper

	Length (m)	Width (m)	Height (m)	Interval between sleepers (m)
PC sleeper	2.45	0.28	0.20	0.58

135

136137 Table 4. Physical properties of the rail pad138

	Thickness	Unit weight	Vertical spring coefficient of rail pac
	(mm)	(kN/m ³)	(kPa)
Rail pad	5	11.5	15.3×10 ⁷

139 140





141 3.1.3 Applied train loading

142

143 An axial load of the urban railway train (16 tons) is applied for the numerical analysis. The effective loading is

estimated by multiplying 1.2 with half of the axial load considering a wheel loading increment of 20% and a

marginal safety of deficiency of the cant. Dynamic loading to reflect dynamic impact ratio (Fig. 6) was estimated

by multiplying 1.2 with the effective loading (Ministry of land, 2013).



147 148

150

Figure 6. Configuration of the train load

149 **3.2** Allowable settlement of the roadbed

151 In general, an allowable settlement of 10 mm has been recommended in South Korea. The vibratory loading 152 induces the gravel to be in a loose state, and frequent repairs of ballasts are required. Therefore, an allowable 153 settlement of 2.5 mm is used to attain additional marginal safety considering the compressive displacement of both 154 the rail pad and ballasts, settlement of rail, ride quality, and both water inflow and cracks in the pavement surface 155 of roadbeds (Jeon, 2014).

156 157 4 Roadbed Settlement and Stability 158

159 4.1 Roadbed settlement

160

The contours of ground settlement are presented for how the roadbed (Fig. 7) is influenced by a cavity adjacent to the urban railways. The contours of ground settlement are presented for cavities with diameters of 8 m and 10 m, respectively, at a distance of 20 m between the center of the roadbed and the center of the cavity. As shown in the figures, ground settlement increases as the diameter of the cavity increases. As a cavity is generated on the right side of the roadbed, the right end of the roadbed is significantly settled down.









169 The analysis results (Fig. 8) are presented for cavities with diameters of 4-10 m. As the variation from 15 to 20 170 m in the distances between the center of the roadbed and the center of the cavity is applied to the 10-m cavity, 171 roadbed settlements are calculated with respect to various diameters of the cavity. The cavity with a diameter of 10 172 m at a distance of 20 m has little influenced on the roadbed. However, as the diameter of the cavity at the same 173 distance exceeds 10m, the roadbed settlement exceeds the allowable value. As cavities with diameters of 8 m and 6 174 m are generated, at distances less than 18 m and 15 m, it exceeds the allowable settlement and may result in an 175 accident





177 Figure 8. Roadbed settlement with respect to distance between roadbed and cavity. Diameter = 4 m (a). Diameter = 6 m (b). 178 Diameter = 8 m (c). Diameter = 10 m (d).

179

180 4.1.1 Regression analysis of roadbed settlement

181

182 The regression analysis results (Fig. 9) are presented for the roadbed settlement with respect to the distance (d) 183 between the roadbed and the cavity and diameter (D) of the cavity shows high correlations of $r^2=0.72$ and 184 substantial increment as D/d exceeds 0.35.





185 4.2 Effects of groundwater level186

187 In this study, the effects of groundwater level on the roadbed settlement are examined and it is lowered until the 188 allowable settlement value of the roadbed is satisfied. The maximum distance between the roadbed and the cavity 189 for the analysis is determined as the maximum value for the satisfied allowable settlement with no groundwater 190 condition. A stability assessment of the roadbed has been carried out at the distance of 20 m for both 4-m and 6-m 191 diameter cavities and at 25 m for both the 8-m and 10-m diameter cavities.

192The contours of ground settlement (Fig. 10) are presented to examine the groundwater level (GWL) effects in the193case of the 8-m diameter cavity located at a distance of 25 m from the roadbed to cavity. The contours of ground194settlement are presented with GWL on the ground surface and 20 m below it, respectively (Figs. 10a and 10b). The195settlement of the roadbed is highly subject to groundwater levels.

196 197



- **Figure 9.** Regression analysis of roadbed settlements with respect to the diameter of the cavity and distance between roadbed
- and the cavity
- 200 201



Figure 10. Vertical displacement contours of the roadbed for a cavity diameter 8 m, at the roadbed-to-cavity distance of 25 m with respect to GWL = ground surface (a). GWL = (-)20 m (b).

203 204





212

The roadbed settlement (Fig. 11) is highly influenced by groundwater. Ground settlement for 4-m and 6-m diameter cavities located at a distance of 20 m from the roadbed (Figs. 11a and 11b) satisfies the allowable value for GWL=(-) 4m and (-) 12m, respectively. The ground settlement for 8-m and 10-m diameter cavities located at a distance of 25 m from the center of the roadbed (Figs. 11c and 11d) has substantially decreased as groundwater level is 8 m and 15 m below the ground surface, respectively, and satisfies the allowable value as its level is 18m and 22m below the ground surface, respectively. Therefore, a roadbed settlement is highly influenced by groundwater levels to an extent greater than even the influence of the size of the cavity.



Figure 11. Roadbed settlement with respect to the groundwater level. Diameter of the cavity = 4 m and distance of roadbed from the center of the cavity = 20 m (a). Diameter of the cavity = 6 m and distance of the roadbed from the center of cavity = 20 m (b).
Diameter of the cavity = 8 m and distance of the roadbed from the center of cavity = 25 m (c). Diameter of the cavity = 10 m and the distance of the roadbed from the center of the cavity = 25 m (d).

217

218 4.3 Risk level assessment of roadbed

Roadbed settlements induced by the cavity near urban railways have been estimated with respect to the
groundwater level, distance between the roadbed and cavity, and size of the cavity. The risk level has been
estimated by the occurrence of roadbed settlements. Its risk level has been defined by the value of the roadbed





223 settlements relative to the allowable settlement. The risk level is defined as safe (not problematic for both ride 224 quality and track repair), caution (not problematic for track repair), warning (between caution and danger), and 225 danger (highly probable traffic accident) as a settlement is equal to or less than 2.5 mm, greater than 2.5 mm and 226 equal to or less than 4 mm, greater than 4 mm and equal to or less than 9mm, and greater than 9 mm, respectively 227 As listed in Table 5, the roadbed settlement increases as the size of the cavity increases and the cavity is located 228 close to the roadbed. As listed in Tables 6 and 7, the roadbed settlement for the groundwater condition is less than 229 the allowable value, whereas it is in extreme danger when groundwater is present. When it is in the status of danger, 230 train operation should be stopped and the roadbed should be reinforced or repaired. A database of measurement 231 sensors for urban railways should be established for real-time monitoring of the roadbed, structures and 232 groundwater for disaster prevention.

233

234 Table 5. Risk level of the roadbed with respect to the diameter of the cavity and the distance between the roadbed to the cavity 235 for the groundwater condition

236

Distance (m) 21 20 19 18 17 15 25 24 23 22 16 10 Case B Random Sampling 8 Danger Diameter (m) 6 Safety Case A 4

※ Safety(Settlement≤2.5mm), Danger(9.0mm<Settlement)</p>

237 238

Table 6. Risk level of the roadbed with respect to the diameter of the cavity and groundwater levels at a distance of

239 20-m between the roadbed and cavity for case A

240



[★] Safety(Settlement≤2.5mm), Caution(2.5mm<Settlement≤4.0mm), Warning(4.0mm<Settlement≤9.0mm), Danger(9.0mm<Settlement)

241

242 Table 7. Risk level of the roadbed with respect to the groundwater levels at a distance of 25 m between the roadbed and cavity for case B

243

244	

		Groundwater Level (m)							
		-22	-20	-18	-17	-15	-10	-8	Ground surface
Diameter of cavity (m)	8	Safety						Danger	
	10		Caution		Warning				

% Safety(Settlement≤2.5mm), Caution(2.5mm<Settlement≤4.0mm), Warning(4.0mm<Settlement≤9.0mm), Danger(9.0mm<Settlement)

© Author(s) 2017. CC BY 4.0 License.



247



246 5 Conclusions

248 The number of occurrences of ground subsidence induced by a leakage of aged pipelines for water and sewage in 249 urban areas resulting in various sizes of cavity near the urban railway in Seoul City has been found to increase and 250 it may cause the roadbed settlement to exceed the allowable value. A large-scale cavity is rarely found, but if it is 251 close to the roadbed, the roadbed is highly influenced by the cavity and may cause train derailment.

252 In this study, a three-dimensional numerical analysis is carried out to estimate roadbed stability and its risk level 253 associated with various groundwater levels, sizes of cavities, in simulated ground conditions and tracks in Daejeon 254 urban railways in South Korea.

255 Future work should focus on coupled hydro-mechanical analysis for groundwater flow and a real-time 256 monitoring system of measurement sensors installed on the railway ground and structures with groundwater to 257 prevent disasters in advance.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the 2017 INJE University research grant.

261 References

262 263 264

258 259

260

Choi, C. Y., Kim, D. S., Lee, J. W., and Shin, M. H.: Development of Database System for Management of Roadbed Settlement in High Speed Railway, Proceedings of Korean Society for Railway Fall Conference, 496-500, 2007

- 265 Chosunilbo: Excavations for 15-m diameter large-scale sinkhole Fukuoka in Japan, http://news.chosun.com/site/data/html 266 dir/2016/11/08/2016110801318.html, last access: December 2016.
- 267 Itasca Consulting Group, Inc: FLAC3D Manual-Theory and Background, Minnesota, USA, 2002
- 268 Jeon, S.-S.: Roadbed behavior subjected to tilting-train loading at rail joint and continuous welded rail, Journal of Central South 269 University, 21, 2962-2969, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11771-014-2263-2, 2014
- 270 Kyunghang times: Additional finings of ground subsidence in Seckchon subway in Seoul,
- 271 http://news.khan.co.kr/kh_news/khan_art_view.html?artid=201408211343301&code=940100, last access: December 2016.
- 272 Kyunghang times: '6; sinkholes concurrence at the same time' nearby sanseongjungang of subway 9th line station, 273 http://sports.khan.co.kr/culture/sk_index.html?cat=view&art_id=201504031002483&sec_id=562901&pt=nv, last access: 274 December 2016.
- 275 Lee, H. J., Lee, Y. T., Choi, I. W., Lee, M. S., and Lee, T. G.: Investigation of Settlement of Concrete Track on High-Speed 276 Railway Due to Groundwater Variation, Journal of the Korean Society for Railway, 20, 248-256, 2017
- 277 Lee, J. H., Lee, H. S., and Lee, I. H.: IoT-based Convergence Technology for Urban Underground Sinkhole Prediction, in: 2015 278 Summer metting of The institute of electronics and information engineering, The institute of electronics and information 279 engineering, 1735-1737, 2015
- 280 Lee, K. Y. and Kang, S. J.: Causes and Countermeasures of Sinkhole Swallowed the City, Issue and Analysis, 156, 1-23, 2014.
- 281 Lee, S. J., Lee, J. W., Jung, Y. N., and Cho, H. J.: Sensitivity Analysis of the Deformations caused by Cavity Generation in 282 Subway Trackbed Foundation using the FEA, Proceedings of Korean Society for Railway Fall Conference, Yeosu, 1480-283 1485, 2015
- 284 Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport, Seoul, Korea, available at: http://www.molit.go.kr/portal.do, (last access: 15 285 june 2015), 2013
- 286 Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport.: Seoul, Korea, available at: http://www.molit.go.kr/portal.do, (last access: 15 287 may 2017), 2017
- 288 Newshankuk: Asphalt road in swallowed by sinkhole brief moment, http://www.newshankuk.com/news/content.asp?fs=12&ss 289 =57&news_idx=201202201707081291, last access: December 2016.
- Oh, D. W., Kong, S. M., Lee, D. Y., Yoo, Y. S., and Lee, Y. J.: Effects of Reinforced Pseudo-Plastic Backfill on the Behavior 290 291 of Ground around Cavity Developed due to Sewer Leakage, Journal of the Korean Geoenvironmental Society, 16, 13-22, 292 2015
- 293 Segye Ilbo: Half of sewage pipelines left for tohirty years without maintenance leads to disaster of sinkhole, 294 http://www.segye.com/newsView/20150322002265, last access: 3 December 2016.
- 295 Shin, E. C. and Roh, J. M.: Estimation of RPS Method Using 3-Dimensional Numerical Analysis, Journal of the Korean Society 296 for Railway, 9, 174-179, 2006
- 297 Sisa China: Three of buildings one subsidence at Guangzhou in China, http://sscn.kr/news/view.html?section=1& 298 category=5&no=3561, last access: December 2016.
- 299 Wikitree: "Giant sinkhole" resulting in rushed car and depth of sheriff, http://www.wikitree.co.kr/main/news_view. 300 php?id=284413, last access: December 2016.
- 301 Yonhap news: Large-scale at Ottawa in Canada sinkhole, http://www.yonhapnews.co.kr/bulletin/2016/06/09/0200000000 302 AKR20160609069000009.HTML, last access: December 2016.