

***Interactive comment on “Linking critical infrastructure resilience to social vulnerability through minimum supply concepts: review of gaps and development of an integrative framework” by Matthias Garschagen and Simone Sandholz***

**Matthias Garschagen and Simone Sandholz**

sandholz@ehs.unu.edu

Received and published: 29 January 2018

We would like to thank the referee for his review and the valuable comments, below we reply to each comment separately:

1. technical comments The technical comments will be taken into consideration when revising the full paper, in addition a native speaker will revise the manuscript again

C1

2. “Please add an Acknowledgement section at the end of the paper where you include the “BMBF Fördernummer” and the name of the (sub)project” The acknowledgement will be added in the next version of the paper, we purposefully did not include it in the first draft, but certainly in the final version.

3. “It would be nice to have a structured comparison table where the (found) references are linked to chosen (to be defined) subcategories.” Thank you for your suggestion to add a comparison table with the literature and subcategories, we would include the table in the attached file in our revised manuscript, which gives the main references for our three levels of analysis, separated by the two bodies of literature we assessed.

---

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2017-375>, 2017.

C2

Reply to **Anonymous Referee #2**

We would like to thank the referee for his review and the valuable comments, below we reply to each comment separately:

**1. technical comments**

The technical comments will be taken into consideration when revising the full paper, in addition a native speaker will revise the manuscript again

**2. "Please add an Acknowledgement section at the end of the paper where you include the "BMBF Fördernummer" and the name of the (sub)project"**

The acknowledgement will be added in the next version of the paper, we purposefully did not include it in the first draft, but certainly in the final version.

**3. "It would be nice to have a structured comparison table where the (found) references are linked to chosen (to be defined) subcategories."**

Thank you for your suggestion to add a comparison table with the literature and subcategories, we would include the table below in our revised manuscript, which gives the main references for our three levels of analysis, separated by the two bodies of literature we assessed:

**Fig. 1.**

C3