

Interactive comment on “Large drainages from short-lived glacial lakes in the Teskey Range, Tien Shan Mountains, Central Asia” by Chiyuki Narama et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 25 August 2017

Dear Editor, thank you for allowing me to comment on this manuscript. The Authors proposed the manuscript “Large drainages from short-lived glacial lakes in the Teskey Range, Tien Shan Mountains, Central Asia”. The paper is interesting, but Authors have to go through all the text because some sentences are not clearly understandable and need to be reformulated. I recommend it for publication after some medium revision. *punteggiatura* Main comments: Line 18-23: you first mention four drainages from glacial lakes, but only three are named in the abstract. This happen again further below in the manuscript. That is confusing, please correct it. Line 72: need more references. Line 153: same as line 18-23. Line 155-156: not clear sentence, please rephrase. Line 158: “(left column)” it is not necessary. Line 196: “250 m long”. Line

C1

209-220: same as line 18-23. Line 245-246: where are from the data about “four large drainages. . . and lake-basin depressions”? Provide references please. Line 247: replace “relational line” with “regression line”. Line 249: replace “figure” with “data” (I can not see it from the figure). Line 254-255: merge these two sentences, it look like a repetition. Line 257: “0.01”. I suggest to use the same decimal precision (0.015 in other parts of the manuscript). Line 262: not clear sentence, please reformulate (and replace “dose” with “does”). Line 267: “As shown in Fig. 3, consecutive. . .”. Line 310: “2.5 m”. Line 311-312: not clear sentence, check wording. Line 315: replace “conditions” with “characteristics”. Line 336: please explain (iv). Line 343: “maximum Discharge (Qmax)”. Line 356-357: replace “the characterization” with “this classification”. Figure 6: there are no dashed region or red arrows in the figure (maybe white).

Interactive comment on Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2017-228>, 2017.

C2